

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
WACO DIVISION**

**XR COMMUNICATIONS, LLC, dba  
VIVATO TECHNOLOGIES,**

**Plaintiff,**

**v.**

**HP INC.,**

**Defendant.**

Civil No. 6:21-cv-00694-ADA

**JURY TRIAL REQUESTED**

**DEFENDANT HP INC.'S OPPOSED MOTION TO TRANSFER VENUE TO  
THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA UNDER 28 U.S.C. § 1404(a)**

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

- I. INTRODUCTION ..... 1
- II. FACTUAL BACKGROUND..... 1
  - A. HP’s Relevant Witnesses and Documents Are Located in Northern California and Taiwan..... 2
  - B. XR’s Principal Place of Business in California ..... 3
- III. LEGAL STANDARD..... 3
- IV. The Northern District of California Is Clearly the More Convenient Venue ..... 5
  - A. Venue is Proper in the Northern District of California..... 5
  - B. The Private Interest Factors Favor Transfer to Northern District of California ..... 5
    - 1. Willing Witnesses Convenience Favors Transfer..... 5
    - 2. The Availability of Compulsory Process in NDCA Favors Transfer ..... 6
    - 3. The Relative Ease of Access to Sources of Proof Favors Transfer ..... 9
    - 4. Transfer Presents No Practical Problems..... 10
  - C. The Public Interest Factors Favor Transfer ..... 12
    - 1. NDCA Has a Strong Local Interest in This Dispute..... 12
    - 2. Court-Congestion is Neutral and Should Be Given Little Weight ..... 13
    - 3. The Remaining Public-Interest Factors Are Neutral ..... 13
- V. CONCLUSION..... 14

**TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**

	<b>Page(s)</b>
<b>Cases</b>	
<i>In re Acer Am. Corp.</i> , 626 F.3d 1252 (Fed. Cir. 2010).....	6
<i>Aguilar-Ayala v. Ruiz</i> , 973 F.2d 411 (5th Cir. 1992) .....	7
<i>In re Apple Inc.</i> , No. 2021-181, 2021 WL 5291804 (Fed. Cir. Nov. 15, 2021) .....	<i>passim</i>
<i>In re Apple, Inc.</i> , 581 F. App'x 886 (Fed. Cir. 2014) .....	6
<i>In re Apple, Inc.</i> , 979 F.3d 1332 (Fed. Cir. 2020).....	<i>passim</i>
<i>In re Dish Network L.L.C.</i> , No. 2021-182, 2021 WL 4911981 (Fed. Cir. Oct. 21, 2021).....	<i>passim</i>
<i>In re Genentech, Inc.</i> , 566 F.3d 1338 (Fed. Cir. 2009).....	6
<i>In re Google Inc.</i> , No. 2017-107, 2017 WL 977038 (Fed. Cir. Feb. 23, 2017) .....	5, 10
<i>In re Google LLC</i> , 2021 WL 3378938 (Fed Cir. 2021).....	13
<i>HD Silicon Sols. LLC v. Microchip Tech., Inc.</i> , No. W-20-CV-01092-ADA, 2021 WL 4953884 (W.D. Tex. Oct. 25, 2021).....	6, 10
<i>In re Hoffman-La Roche, Inc.</i> , 587 F.3d 1333 (Fed. Cir. 2009).....	6
<i>In re HP Inc.</i> , 826 Fed. Appx. 899 (Fed. Cir. 2020).....	4, 6, 10
<i>In re Hulu, LLC</i> , No. 2021-142, 2021 WL 3278194 (Fed. Cir. Aug. 2, 2021) .....	<i>passim</i>
<i>In re Juniper Networks, Inc.</i> , 14 F.4th 1313 (Fed. Cir. 2021) .....	<i>passim</i>

<i>In re Juniper Networks, Inc.</i> , No. 2021-156, 2021 WL 4519889 (Fed. Cir. Oct. 4, 2021) .....	13
<i>In re Morgan Stanley</i> , 417 F. App'x 947 (Fed. Cir. 2011) .....	13
<i>In re Netscout Sys., Inc.</i> , No. 2021-173, 2021 WL 4771756 (Fed. Cir. Oct. 13, 2021).....	4, 5
<i>In re Nintendo Co.</i> , 589 F.3d 1194 (Fed. Cir. 2009).....	4
<i>In re Pandora Media, LLC</i> , No. 2021-172, 2021 WL 4772805 (Fed. Cir. Oct. 13, 2021).....	4, 7
<i>Parus Holdings Inc. v. LG Elecs. Inc.</i> , No. 6:19-cv-00432, 2020 WL 4905809 (W.D. Tex. Aug. 20, 2020) .....	11
<i>In re Quest Diagnostics Inc.</i> , No. 2021-193, 2021 WL 5230757 (Fed. Cir. Nov. 10, 2021) .....	6
<i>In re Samsung Elecs. Co.</i> , 2 F.4th 1371 (Fed. Cir. 2021) .....	<i>passim</i>
<i>Super Interconnect Techs. LLC v. Google LLC</i> , No. 6:21-cv-00259-ADA, slip op. (W.D. Tex. Nov. 8, 2021).....	9, 10
<i>In re TS Tech USA Corp.</i> , 551 F.3d 1315 (Fed. Cir. 2008).....	14
<i>In re Volkswagen AG</i> , 371 F.3d 201 (5th Cir. 2004) .....	3, 4, 6, 10
<i>In re Volkswagen of Am., Inc.</i> , 545 F.3d 304 (5th Cir. 2008) .....	1, 3, 5, 6
<i>W. Prop. Holdings, LLC v. Aequitas Cap. Mgmt., Inc.</i> , 392 P.3d 770 (Or. App. 2017).....	8
<i>XY, LLC v. Trans Ova Genetics, LC</i> , No. 16-cv-00447-RP, 2017 WL 5505340 (W.D. Tex. Apr. 5, 2017).....	9
<b>Statutes</b>	
28 U.S.C. § 1400(b) .....	5
28 U.S.C. § 1404(a) .....	3, 5, 10

**Other Authorities**

Fed. R. Civ. P. 45(c)(1).....7

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.