

May 19, 2021

**VIA E-MAIL**

Reza Mirzaie, Paul A. Kroeger, Philip X. Wang, James N. Pickens, Minna Chan, Christian Conkle  
Russ August & Kabat  
12424 Wilshire Blvd. 12th Floor  
Los Angeles, CA 90025  
Phone: (310) 826-7474

**Re: XR Communications, LLC v. HP Inc., et al., Case No. 6:21-cv-00694 (W.D. Tex.)**

Dear Counsel:

HP Inc. (“**HP**”) has filed an *inter partes* review (IPR) petition (IPR2022-00367) with the Patent Trial and Appeal Board (PTAB) to address the validity of claims 8-14 of U.S. Patent No. 10,715,235. The tables in attached Appendix A list all the grounds, challenged claims, and references asserted in IPR2022-00367.

I write to inform you that HP hereby stipulates that:

- if the PTAB institutes IPR2022-00367 based on the grounds and claims listed in the tables in Appendix A, HP will not pursue in the District Court the specific grounds raised in IPR2022-00367 against claims 8-14.

In so stipulating, HP seeks to avoid multiple proceedings addressing the validity of the challenged claims based on the same grounds. Rather, through this stipulation, HP expresses its intention to have only the PTAB address the Instituted Grounds of invalidity of these claims. But, for the sake of clarity and to avoid any doubt, if the PTAB declines to institute any of the grounds identified herein, HP reserves the right to assert the denied grounds in the litigation. HP also reserves its rights to continue to assert grounds other than the Instituted Grounds.

Sincerely,



Aamir Kazi

cc: Counsel of record

**Appendix A – Grounds and Prior Art References Used in IPR2022-00367**

<b>Ground</b>	<b>Claim(s)</b>	<b>35 U.S.C. § 103</b>
<b>1</b>	<b>8-12</b>	Burke
<b>2</b>	<b>13, 14</b>	Burke in view of Shull

**List of References**

<b>Reference Name</b>	<b>Details</b>
Burke	U.S. Patent No. 7,155,231
Shull	U.S. Patent No. 6,006,077