UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

APPLE, INC., Petitioner,

v.

SCRAMOGE TECHNOLOGY LTD.,
Patent Owner

IPR2022-00351 Patent No. 10,622,842

PATENT OWNER'S RESPONSE



Table of Contents

I.	Introduction	1
II.	Burden of Proof	3
III.	Background	4
A	A. U.S. Patent No. 8,421,574 ("Suzuki")	4
F	3. Challenged Claims 1 and 7	10
IV.	With Respect to Claim 7, Ground 1 Fails Because the Petition's Rationale to Consider Suzuki's Sixth Embodiment in Light of the Second Embodiment is Unsupported	12
V.	Ground 2 Fails Because Park is not Prior Art and the Petition's Rationale to Combine Suzuki with Park is Unsupported	18
A	A. Park is not Prior Art to the '842 Patent	19
F	3. A POSITA Would not be Motivated to Combine Suzuki with Park	23
	1. The Petition identifies no benefit for its proposed combination	27
	2. A POSITA would have further avoided the Petition's proposed combination because that combination would be detrimental to Suzuki's goal	
	3. The Petition's combination is supported only by hindsight	32
VI.	Conclusion	34



Table of Authorities

Cases

Apple, Inc. v. Realtime Data LLC, IPR2016-01737, 2018 WL 1326656 (PTAB Mar. 13, 2018)
Belden Inc. v. Berk-Tek LLC, 805 F.3d 1064 (Fed. Cir. 2015)
Brooktree Corp. v. Advanced Micro Devices, Inc., 977 F.2d 1555 (Fed. Cir. 1992)19
Commonwealth Sci. & Indus. Rsch. Organisation v. Buffalo Tech. (USA), Inc., 542 F.3d 1363 (Fed. Cir. 2008)
Duo Sec. Inc. v. Strikeforce Techs., Inc., IPR2017-01041, 2017 WL 4677235 (PTAB Oct. 16, 2017)29
Dynamic Drinkware, LLC v. Nat'l Graphics, Inc., 800 F.3d 1375 (Fed. Cir. 2015)4
Ex Parte Brillowska-Dabrowska, Appeal No. 2016-006485, 2016 WL 4525004 (PTAB Aug. 24, 2016) 29
Ex Parte Debates, Appeal No. 2020-006536, 2022 WL 263587 (PTAB Jan. 27, 2022)
Ex Parte Masashi Hayakawa, Appeal No. 2020-006550, 2021 WL 6133976 (PTAB Dec. 27, 2021)
Ex Parte Shigetoshi Ito & Daisuke Hanaoka, Appeal No. 2010-003391, 2012 WL 3041144 (BPAI July 23, 2012) 32, 34
<i>Grain Processing Corp. v. Am.–Maize Prods. Co.</i> , 840 F.2d 902 (Fed. Cir. 1988)
Harmonic Inc. v. Avid Tech., Inc., 815 F.3d 1356 (Fed. Cir. 2016)



Hockerson-Halberstadt, Inc. v. Avia Group Int'l, Inc., 222 F.3d 951 (Fed. Cir. 2000)17
<i>In re Chitayat</i> , 408 F.2d 475 (CCPA 1969)17
In re Magnum Oil Tools Int'l, Ltd., 829 F.3d 1364 (Fed. Cir. 2016)
In re NTP, Inc., 654 F.3d 1279 (Fed. Cir. 2011)
In re Wright, 569 F.2d 1124 (CCPA 1977)17
Johns Manville Corp. v. Knauf Insulation, Inc., IPR2018-00827, 2018 WL 5098902 (PTAB Oct. 16, 2018)
KSR Int'l Co. v. Teleflex Inc., 550 U.S. 398 (2007)
Nystrom v. TREX Co., Inc., 424 F.3d 1136 (Fed. Cir. 2005)
Personal Web Techs., LLC v. Apple, Inc., 848 F.3d 987 (Fed. Cir. 2017)29
Schering Corp. v. Amgen Inc., 222 F.3d 1347 (Fed. Cir. 2000)
Winner Int'l Royalty Corp. v. Wang, 202 F.3d 1340 (Fed. Cir. 2000)
<u>Statutes</u>
35 U.S.C. § 312(a)(3)
35 U.S.C. 8 316(e)



Exhibits

Exhibit No.	Description
2001	Notice of IPR Petitions, Scramoge Technology Ltd. v. Apple Inc.,
	Case No. 6:21-cv-00579-ADA, Dkt. No. 35 (W.D. Tex. Nov. 11,
	2021)
2002	Scheduling Order, Scramoge Technology Ltd. v. Apple Inc., Case
	No. 6:21-cv-00579-ADA, Dkt. No. 33 (W.D. Tex. Sept. 28,
	2021)
2003	Law360 Article: West Texas Judge Says He Can Move Faster
	Than PTAB
2004	Text Order Denying Motion to Stay Pending IPR, Solas OLED
	Ltd. v. Google, Inc., Case No. 6:19-cv-00515-ADA (W.D. Tex.
	June 23, 2020)
2005	Order Denying Motion to Stay Pending IPR, Multimedia Content
	Management LLC v. DISH Network L.L.C., Case No. 6:18-cv-
	00207-ADA, Dkt. No. 73 (W.D. Tex. May 30, 2019)
2006	Scheduling Order, Correct Transmission LLC v. Adtran, Inc.,
	Case No. 6:20-cv-00669-ADA, Dkt. No. 34 (W.D. Tex. Dec. 10,
	2020)
2007	Scheduling Order, Maxell Ltd. v. Amperex Technology Ltd., Case
	No. 6:21-cv-00347-ADA, Dkt. No. 37 (W.D. Tex. Nov. 8, 2021)
2008	Standing Order Governing Proceedings in Patent Cases, Judge
	Alan D. Albright
2009	Claim Construction Order, Solas OLED Ltd. v. Apple Inc., Case
	No. 6:19-cv-00537-ADA, Dkt. No. 61 (W.D. Tex. Aug. 30,
2010	2020)
2010	Plaintiff Scramoge Technology Ltd.'s Amended Preliminary
	Disclosure of Asserted Claims and Infringement Contentions to
	Apple Inc. in Scramoge Technology Ltd. v. Apple Inc., Case No.
2011	6:21-cv-00579-ADA (W.D. Tex.)
2011	Defendant Apple Inc.'s First Amended Preliminary Invalidity
	Contentions in Scramoge Technology Ltd. v. Apple Inc., Case
2012	No. 6:21-cv-00579-ADA (W.D. Tex.)
2012	Android Authority article: LG Innotek's Latest wireless charger
2012	is Three times faster
2013	Scheduling Order, Scramoge Technology Ltd. v. Google LLC,
	Case No. 6:21-cv-00616-ADA, Dkt. No. 28 (W.D. Tex. Nov. 15,
	2021)



DOCKET A L A R M

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

