

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

APPLE INC.,
Petitioner,

v.

SCRAMOGE TECHNOLOGY LTD.,
Patent Owner.

IPR2022-00350
U.S. Patent No. 9,806,565

**PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW
UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 312 AND 37 C.F.R. § 42.104**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PETITIONER’S EXHIBIT LIST	6
I. INTRODUCTION	7
II. GROUNDS FOR STANDING.....	7
III. NOTE.....	7
IV. SUMMARY OF THE ’565 PATENT	8
V. PROSECUTION HISTORY	10
VI. LEVEL OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART	11
VII. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION	11
VIII. RELIEF REQUESTED AND THE REASONS FOR THE REQUESTED RELIEF	12
IX. DISCRETIONARY DENIAL WOULD BE INAPPROPRIATE.....	12
A. Discretionary denial under the <i>Fintiv</i> factors is not appropriate.....	12
1. No evidence regarding a stay	13
2. Parallel proceeding trial date	13
3. Investment in the parallel proceeding.....	13
4. Overlapping issues with the parallel proceeding	14
5. Petitioner is a defendant.....	15
6. Other circumstances.....	15
B. The <i>Fintiv</i> Framework Should Be Overturned.....	15
C. Discretionary denial under <i>General Plastic</i> is not appropriate.....	15

D.	Discretionary denial under 35 U.S.C. § 325(d) is not appropriate	16
X.	IDENTIFICATION OF HOW THE CLAIMS ARE UNPATENTABLE....	16
A.	Challenged Claims	16
B.	Statutory Grounds for Challenges	17
C.	Ground 1: Claims 1-8 and 11-18 are obvious under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) over Hong in view of Park.....	18
1.	Summary of Hong.....	18
2.	Summary of Park	21
3.	Reasons to Combine Hong and Park	22
4.	Claim 1	28
5.	Claim 2.....	46
6.	Claim 3	47
7.	Claim 4.....	49
8.	Claim 5.....	50
9.	Claim 6.....	51
10.	Claim 7.....	53
11.	Claim 8.....	54
12.	Claim 11	55
13.	Claim 12.....	56
14.	Claim 13	58
15.	Claim 14.....	60

16.	Claim 15	60
17.	Claim 16	60
18.	Claim 17	60
19.	Claim 18	60
D.	Ground 2: Claims 9 and 19 are obvious under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) over Hong in view of Park and Hasegawa	60
1.	Summary of Hasegawa	61
2.	Reasons to Combine Hasegawa with Hong	63
3.	Claim 9	66
4.	Claim 19	68
E.	Ground 3: Claims 10 and 20 are obvious under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) over Hong in view of Park and Sung	68
1.	Summary of Sung	68
2.	Reasons to Combine Sung with Hong	68
3.	Claim 10	72
4.	Claim 20	73
XI.	CONCLUSION	73
XII.	MANDATORY NOTICES	74
A.	Real Party-in-Interest	74
B.	Related Matters	74
C.	Lead and Back-up Counsel and Service Information	74
	CERTIFICATE OF WORD COUNT	76

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE77

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.