

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

APPLE INC.,  
Petitioner,

v.

SCRAMOGE TECHNOLOGY LTD.,  
Patent Owner

---

IPR2022-00350  
Patent 9,806,565 B2

---

Record of Oral Hearing  
Held: June 2, 2023

---

BEFORE: JAMESON LEE, KARL D. EASTHOM, and  
MICHELLE N. WORMMEESTER, Administrative Patent Judges.

IPR2022-00350  
Patent 9,806,565 B2

APPEARANCES:

ON BEHALF OF THE PETITIONER:

SCOTT T. JARRATT, ESQUIRE  
Haynes and Boone, LLP  
2323 Victory Ave, Suite 700  
Dallas, TX 75219

JAMIE RAJU, ESQUIRE  
Haynes and Boone, LLP  
2323 Victory Ave, Suite 700  
Dallas, TX 75219

ON BEHALF OF THE PATENT OWNER:

BRETT COOPER, ESQUIRE  
BC Law Group, P.C.  
200 Madison Ave, 24<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016

ANTONIO PAPAGEORGIU  
Lombard & Geliebter LLP  
230 Park Avue, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor West  
New York, NY 10169

The above-entitled matter came on for hearing on June 2, 2023,  
commencing at 9:00 a.m., via video teleconference.

P R O C E E D I N G S

- - - - -

JUDGE WORMMEESTER: Good morning, everyone. We have our final hearing in IPR2022-00350, Apple v. Scramoge Technology, which concerns U.S. patent number 9,806,565. I'm Judge Wormmeester. Also appearing remotely are my colleagues Judges Lee and Easthom. Thank you for your flexibility in conducting this hearing via video today.

Given this format, we wanted to start off by clarifying a few items. First, our primary concern is your right to be heard. If at any time during the proceeding you encounter technical or other difficulties that undermine your ability to adequately represent your client, please let us know immediately, for example, by contacting the team members who provided you with connection information. Second, for the benefit of the Judges, opposing counsel, and court reporter, please identify yourself each time you speak. When not speaking, please mute yourself.

Third, we have the entire record, including demonstratives. When referring to demonstratives, papers, or exhibits, please be explicit in identifying any slide numbers or page numbers. Please also pause a few seconds afterwards so that we can find the reference and follow along. Finally, please note that members of the public may be listening to this oral hearing. Before we move on, does anyone have concerns about that?

MR. COOPER: No, Your Honor.

MR. JARRATT: No, Your Honor.

JUDGE WORMMEESTER: Great. Thank you. All right. Let's get the parties' appearances. Who do we have for Petitioner?

1 MR. JARRATT: Good morning, Your Honors. This is Scott  
2 Jarratt with Haynes and Boone, and I'm lead Petitioner -- lead counsel for  
3 Petitioner Apple. And also for Petitioner is Jamie Raju, who's a LEAP  
4 practitioner, and she will be arguing with respect to the original challenge  
5 claims. And we also have Andy Ehmke, and he will be arguing with respect  
6 to the motion to amend. And I will note that his video is not working. Mr.  
7 Ehmke, can you hear -- or can you speak?

8 MR. EHMKE: I can hear fine. I just do not have the video feed,  
9 which is okay by me.

10 JUDGE WORMMEESTER: Okay. Great. Thank you. Good  
11 morning and welcome. And who do we have for Patent Owner?

12 MR. COOPER: Good morning, Your Honors. My name is Brett  
13 Cooper with BCLG. We represent Scramoge, the Patent Owner. My  
14 colleague, John Petrsoric, is on the line as well. And handling the  
15 amendment side of this response is Antonio Papageorgiou and Nikitas  
16 Nicolakis. They are not with BCLG. They are with a different law firm.

17 JUDGE WORMMEESTER: Okay. Great. Thank you. We  
18 previously set forth the procedure for today's hearing, but just to remind  
19 everyone the way this will work. In our trial order, we granted each party 60  
20 minutes to present arguments. Because we have a LEAP practitioner  
21 presenting for Petitioner today, Petitioner will have an extra 15 minutes to  
22 present arguments.

23 Petitioner will go first and may reserve rebuttal time. Patent  
24 Owner will then present its response and may reserve sur-rebuttal time.  
25 Please remember that the demonstratives you submitted are not part of the  
26 record. The record of the hearing will be the transcript. We will maintain a

1 clock and give you a warning when you're reaching the end of your  
2 argument time.

3 Are there any questions before we proceed?

4 MS. RAJU: No, Your Honors.

5 MR. PAPAGEORGIOU: No, Your Honors.

6 JUDGE WORMMEESTER: All right, counsel. Will you be  
7 reserving any time?

8 MS. RAJU: Yes, Your Honor. I'd like to reserve 20 minutes for  
9 rebuttal.

10 JUDGE WORMMEESTER: Let me set the timer real quick. So  
11 that will give you probably a total of 55 minutes. Then, let's see, let me  
12 just -- you can begin when you're ready.

13 MS. RAJU: Thank you, Your Honor. So the claims of the '565  
14 patent are directed to a wireless power receiver that receives power through  
15 electromagnetic induction. And we see one example of such a wireless  
16 power receiver on Slide 2 of Petitioner's demonstratives, which shows  
17 Figure 27 of the patent.

18 This receiver includes a coil unit and a connecting unit. And it's  
19 called a connecting unit because it connects the coil unit to a wireless power  
20 receiving circuit. And that's so that it can transfer power to a load, such as  
21 for example, a battery.

22 As we see on Slide 3, we are first focusing on the original claims 1  
23 through 20. And the original claims include two independent claims, Claim  
24 1 and Claim 12, both of which are directed to a wireless power receiver. As  
25 you will see on Slide 4, these two independent claims are apparatus claims  
26 and recite a wireless power receiver that includes a connecting unit. And

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.