UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

APPLE INC., Petitioner

v. SCRAMOGE TECHNOLOGY, LTD., Patent Owner

> IPR2022-00350 U.S. Patent No. 9,806,565

PETITIONER'S SUR-REPLY TO PATENT OWNER'S REPLY TO OPPOSITION TO REVISED MOTION TO AMEND

DOCKET A L A R M Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| PETI | TIONI | ER'S EXHIBIT LISTiii | | |
|------------------------|--|---|--|--|
| I. | INTRODUCTION1 | | | |
| II. | PARK IN VIEW OF MOTOHARU RENDERS OBVIOUS SUBSTITUTE CLAIMS 21-231 | | | |
| | A. | Motoharu's flexible board discloses the claimed " <i>discrete</i> <i>connecting unit</i> " under Patent Owner's plain and ordinary interpretation | | |
| | B. | Motoharu's flexible board is " <i>otherwise separate</i> " from its coil because they are connected only at their connection leads | | |
| | C. | Claim 23: Motoharu discloses separate and distinct first through fourth connection terminals | | |
| IV. | CON | CLUSION12 | | |
| CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE | | | | |

PETITIONER'S EXHIBIT LIST

| - | |
|---------|---|
| Ex.1001 | U.S. 9,806,565 |
| Ex.1002 | Prosecution History of U.S. 9,806,565 |
| Ex.1003 | Declaration of Dr. Joshua Phinney under 37 C.F.R. § 1.68 |
| Ex.1004 | Curriculum Vitae of Dr. Joshua Phinney |
| Ex.1005 | U.S. 8,941,352 to Hong |
| Ex.1006 | U.S. 8,922,162 to Park <i>et al</i> . |
| Ex.1007 | U.S. 2009/0021212 to Hasegawa <i>et al.</i> |
| Ex.1008 | U.S. 2012/0274148 to Sung <i>et al</i> . |
| Ex.1009 | U.S. 8,427,100 |
| Ex.1010 | U.S. 8,687,536 |
| Ex.1011 | Websters II New College Dictionary: Third Edition, (2005) |
| Ex.1012 | U.S. 8,339,798 to Minoo et al. |
| Ex.1013 | U.S. 7,375,609 |
| Ex.1014 | U.S. 8,164,001 |
| Ex.1015 | Scheduling Order, <i>Scramoge Technology Limited v. Apple Inc.</i> , WDTX-6-21-cv-00579 (filed Sept. 28, 2021) |
| Ex.1016 | Plaintiff's Preliminary Disclosure of Asserted Claims and Infringement Contentions to Apple Inc., <i>Scramoge Technology</i> <i>Limited v. Apple Inc.</i> , WDTX-6-21-cv-00579 (served Sept. 7, 2021) |
| Ex.1017 | U.S. 8,643,219 |
| Ex.1018 | U.S. 2011/0050164 |
| Ex.1019 | U.S. 9,252,611 |
| | |

| [| |
|---------|---|
| Ex.1020 | Order Cancelling Markman Hearing, <i>Scramoge Tech. Ltd. v. Apple Inc.</i> , WDTX-6-21-cv-00579 (filed Sept. 28, 2021) |
| Ex.1021 | Docket Sheet, Scramoge Tech. Ltd. v. Apple Inc., WDTX-6-21-cv-00579 |
| Ex.1022 | Order Setting Initial Case Management Conference and ADR Deadlines, <i>Scramoge Tech. Ltd. v. Apple Inc.</i> , NDCA-22-cv-03041 (filed May 24, 2022) |
| Ex.1023 | Certified English Translation of Japanese Patent Publication JP2011-210937 to Goma et al. ("Goma"), Japanese Language Version of JP2011-210937 and Translation Certificate. |
| Ex.1024 | Supplemental Declaration of Dr. Joshua Phinney under 37 C.F.R. § 1.68 |
| Ex.1025 | Certified English Translation of Japanese Patent Application Publication H4-51115 to Motoharu et al. ("Motoharu"), Japanese Language Version of H4-51115 and Translation Certificate. |
| Ex.1026 | Second Supplemental Declaration of Dr. Joshua Phinney under 37 C.F.R. § 1.68 |
| Ex.1027 | U.S. 2007/0069961 to Akiho et al. |

I. INTRODUCTION

In its Reply to Petitioner's Opposition to Revised Motion to Amend (Reply, Paper 32), Patent Owner attempts to interpret the claim terms "*discrete*" and "*otherwise separate*" to distinguish substitute claims 21-23 over the combination of Park and Motoharu. Patent Owner's interpretations, however, do not provide any such distinction. Park in view of Motoharu discloses exactly what is claimed, even under Patent Owner's interpretations. Accordingly, Petitioner requests that the Board find substitute claims 21-23 unpatentable.

II. PARK IN VIEW OF MOTOHARU RENDERS OBVIOUS SUBSTITUTE CLAIMS 21-23

As illustrated in Petitioner's Opposition (Paper 30), Park in view of Motoharu renders obvious every limitation of substitute claims 21-23, including the "*connecting unit*" limitations. A POSITA would have found it obvious to reduce Park's substrate thickness by using Motoharu's "board mounting groove" technique. Ex.1025, 4; Opposition, 2-9. Figs. 1 and 2 of Motoharu (annotated below) illustrate its board mounting groove 5 (*receiving space*) formed in the ferrite core (*substrate*), where the flexible board 4 (*connecting unit*) is "mounted"

DOCKET A L A R M



Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.