

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

APPLE INC.,
Petitioner,

v.

TELEFONAKTIEBOLAGET LM ERICSSON,
Patent Owner.

IPR2022-00348
Patent 10,484,915 B2

Before SALLY C. MEDLEY, GEORGIANNA W. BRADEN, and
NATHAN A. ENGELS, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

ENGELS, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

ORDER
Granting Patent Owner's Motion for Admission *Pro Hac Vice*
of Kayvan B. Noroozi
37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c)

Patent Owner filed a motion for admission *pro hac vice* of Kayvan B. Noroozi in the above-captioned proceeding (“Motion”). Paper 6. Patent Owner represents that Petitioner does not oppose the Motion. *Id.* at 1. The Motion is supported by the Declaration of Mr. Noroozi. Ex. 2001. For the reasons below, Patent Owner’s Motion is *granted*.

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c), we may recognize counsel *pro hac vice* during a proceeding upon a showing of good cause, subject to the condition that lead counsel be a registered practitioner. A motion for *pro hac vice* admission must contain a statement of facts showing there is good cause for us to recognize counsel *pro hac vice* during the proceeding and be accompanied by an affidavit or declaration of the individual seeking to appear. *See Unified Patents, Inc. v. Parallel Iron, LLC*, IPR2013-00639, slip op. at 3–4 (PTAB Oct. 15, 2013) (Paper 7) (representative “Order – Authorizing Motion for *Pro Hac Vice* Admission”).

Based on the facts set forth in the Motion and the accompanying Declaration, we conclude that Mr. Noroozi has sufficient legal and technical qualifications to represent Patent Owner in this proceeding, that Mr. Noroozi has demonstrated sufficient litigation experience and familiarity with the subject matter of this proceeding, and that Mr. Noroozi meets all other requirements for admission *pro hac vice*. Ex. 2001 ¶¶ 1–10. Accordingly, Patent Owner has established good cause for *pro hac vice* admission of Mr. Noroozi.

ORDER

ORDERED that Patent Owner's motion for *pro hac vice* admission of Kayvan B. Noroozi is *granted*, and Mr. Noroozi is authorized to represent Patent Owner only as back-up counsel in this proceeding;

FURTHER ORDERED that Patent Owner is to continue to have a registered practitioner as lead counsel in this proceeding;

FURTHER ORDERED that Mr. Noroozi shall comply with the updated Office Patent Trial Practice Guide¹ (84 Fed. Reg. 64,280 (Nov. 21, 2019)), and the Board's Rules of Practice for Trials, as set forth in Part 42 of Title 37 of the Code of Federal Regulations; and

FURTHER ORDERED that Mr. Noroozi is subject to the USPTO's disciplinary jurisdiction under 37 C.F.R. § 11.19(a), and the USPTO's Rules of Professional Conduct set forth at 37 C.F.R. §§ 11.101–11.901.

¹ Available at <https://www.uspto.gov/TrialPracticeGuideConsolidated>.

IPR2022-00348
Patent 10,484,915 B2

PETITIONER:

W. Karl Renner
Thomas Rozylowicz
David L. Holt
Ayan Roy-Chowdhury
FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.
axf-ptab@fr.com
tar@fr.com
holt2@fr.com
roy-chowdhury@fr.com

PATENT OWNER:

Peter C. Knops
Jason Wejner
NOROOZIPC
peter@noroozipc.com
jason@noroozipc.com