

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

APPLE INC.,
Petitioner,

v.

TELEFONAKTIEBOLAGET LM ERICSSON,
Patent Owner.

IPR2022-00337
Patent 10,454,655 B2

Before SALLY C. MEDLEY, STEVEN M. AMUNDSON, and
STEPHEN E. BELISLE, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

AMUNDSON, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

TERMINATION

Due to Settlement After Institution of Trial
Granting Joint Request to Treat Settlement
Agreement as Business Confidential Information
35 U.S.C. § 317; 37 C.F.R. § 42.74

I. INTRODUCTION

Apple Inc. (“Petitioner”) filed a Petition requesting an *inter partes* review of claims 1–40 in U.S. Patent No. 10,454,655 B2 (Exhibit 1001, “the ’655 patent”) under 35 U.S.C. §§ 311–319. Paper 2.

Telefonaktiebolaget LM Ericsson (“Patent Owner”) filed a Preliminary Response. Paper 6.

On September 7, 2022, the Board instituted an *inter partes* review of claims 1–40 in the ’655 patent. Paper 7.

On December 16, 2022, after receiving Board authorization, Petitioner and Patent Owner filed a Joint Motion to Terminate Proceeding under 35 U.S.C. § 317(a) and 37 C.F.R. § 42.74. Paper 17. As Exhibit 1009, the parties filed a copy of an agreement titled “Global Patent License Agreement.” Ex. 1009, 1. The parties also filed a Joint Request to Treat Settlement Agreement as Business Confidential Information under 35 U.S.C. § 317(b) and 37 C.F.R. § 42.74(c). Paper 18.

II. DISCUSSION

The parties represent that they “have reached a settlement as to all the disputes in this proceeding and as to the ’655 patent.” Paper 17, 2. The parties represent that a “true copy of the settlement agreement” is filed as Exhibit 1009. *Id.* The parties also represent that “[n]o other such agreements, written or oral, exist between or among” the parties. *Id.*

The parties assert that termination “would save significant further expenditure of resources by” the parties. Paper 17, 2. The parties assert that termination “would also further the purpose of *inter partes* review proceedings, which seek to provide an efficient and less costly alternative forum for patent disputes.” *Id.* at 2–3. Additionally, the parties contend that

“maintaining the proceeding would discourage further settlements, as patent owners in similar situations would have a strong disincentive to settle if they perceived that an *inter partes* review would continue regardless of a settlement.” *Id.* at 3.

This proceeding has not progressed very far. Patent Owner has not filed its Response. Terminating this proceeding will save the Board administrative and judicial resources, e.g., in conducting an oral argument and issuing a final written decision to decide the patentability issues raised in the Petition. Further, “[t]here are strong public policy reasons to favor settlement between the parties to a proceeding,” and “[t]he Board expects that a proceeding will terminate after the filing of a settlement agreement, unless the Board has already decided the merits of the proceeding.” Office Patent Trial Practice Guide, 77 Fed. Reg. 48,756, 48,768 (Aug. 14, 2012).

Under these circumstances, we determine that it is appropriate to terminate this proceeding. We also determine that it is appropriate to treat the parties’ settlement agreement (Exhibit 1009) as business confidential information under 35 U.S.C. § 317(b) and 37 C.F.R. § 42.74(c).

This Order does not constitute a final written decision under 35 U.S.C. § 318(a).

III. ORDER

Accordingly, it is

ORDERED that the parties’ Joint Motion to Terminate Proceeding (Paper 17) is granted;

FURTHER ORDERED that this proceeding is terminated as to all parties; and

IPR2022-00337
Patent 10,454,655 B2

FURTHER ORDERED that the parties' Joint Request to Treat Settlement Agreement as Business Confidential Information (Paper 18) is granted, and the parties' settlement agreement (Exhibit 1009) shall be treated as business confidential information and be kept separate from the file of U.S. Patent No. 10,454,655 B2 and made available only under the provisions of 35 U.S.C. § 317(b) and 37 C.F.R. § 42.74(c).

IPR2022-00337
Patent 10,454,655 B2

For PETITIONER:

W. Karl Renner
Thomas A. Rozyłowicz
Andrew. B. Patrick
Joel A. Henry
John-Paul Fryckman
Daniel D. Smith
FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.
IPR50095-0059IP1@fr.com
PTABInbound@fr.com
renner@fr.com
rozyłowicz@fr.com
patrick@fr.com
jhenry@fr.com
fryckman@fr.com
dsmith@fr.com

For PATENT OWNER:

Chad C. Walters
James Williams
Matthew Chuning
BAKER BOTTS L.L.P.
chad.walters@bakerbotts.com
james.williams@bakerbotts.com
matthew.chuning@bakerbotts.com