UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD AND DELL TECHNOLOGIES INC.

Petitioners

v.

MYPAQ HOLDINGS LTD.

Patent Owner

Case No. IPR2022-00311

U.S. Patent No. 8,477,514

PATENT OWNER SUR-REPLY

TABLE OF CONTENTS

			<u>Page</u>
I.	INT	RODUCTION	1
II.	CLA	AIM 1	1
	A.	"System Operational State of Said Load"	1
	В.	Chagny's "activity input 202" is not a signal "indicating a system operational state of said load"	6
	C.	Hwang's "standby signal" is not a "signal indicating a system operational state of said load"	7
III.	CLA	AIM 6	9
	A.	Chagny's "activity input 202" is not a "signal characterizing a power requirement"	9
	В.	Hwang's "standby signal" is not a "signal characterizing a power requirement of a processor system"	12
IV.	CLA	AIMS 11 AND 16	12
	A.	Chagny and Hwang do not disclose "enabling operation of components of a processor system to establish a state of power drain thereof"	12
		1. Chagny	12
		2. Hwang	14
	В.	Hwang does not disclose "sensing a power level of said state of power drain in response to said signal"	15
V.	"PO	OWER SYSTEM CONTROLLER"	15
	A.	Chagny's software program 296 is not a "power system controller"	15



	B.	Hwang's microprocessor is not a "power system controller"	16
VI.	DEPI	ENDENT CLAIMS 2, 7, 12, 17	17
	A.	Chagny does not disclose "in accordance with said [signal/power level]"	17
	В.	Petitioners Mischaracterize Hwang's Duty Cycle	19
VII.	DEPI	ENDENT CLAIMS 3, 8, 14, 19	20
	A.	"Over a period of time"	20
VIII.	DEPI	ENDENT CLAIMS 4 AND 9	22
	A.	Chagny and Hwang do not disclose core states	22
IX.	DEPI	ENDENT CLAIMS 13 AND 18	24
	A.	Chagny and Hwang do not disclose "upon startup"	24
Χ.	GRO	UND 2B (Hwang and Chagny)	25
VI	CON	CLUCION	16



TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Page(s)

Cases	
Arendi S.A.R.L. v. Apple Inc., 832 F.3d 1355 (Fed. Cir. 2016)	5
Regulations	
37 C.F.R. § 42.10410	О
Patent Procedures	
Consolidated Trial Practice Guide (Nov. 2019)	8



	EXHIBIT LIST		
2001	Defendants' Joint Preliminary Invalidity Contentions, served on January		
	12, 2022 in MyPAQ Holdings, Ltd. v. Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd. et		
	al., 6:21-CV-398-ADA		
2002	Complaint in MyPAQ Holdings, Ltd. v. Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd. et		
	al., 6:21-CV-398-ADA (W.D. Tex.)		
2003	Complaint in MyPAQ Holdings, Ltd. v. Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd. et		
	al., 6:21-CV-398-ADA (W.D. Tex.)		
2004	Albright Says He'll Very Rarely Put Cases On Hold For PTAB, Ryan		
	Davis, Law360, May 11, 2021, available at		
	https://www.law360.com/articles/1381597/print?section=ip; last accessed		
	February 8, 2022.		
2005	Second Amended Standing Order Regarding Motions for Inter-District		
	Transfer, Judge Albright (W.D. Tex. Aug. 18, 2021)		
2006	Order Granting Early Discovery in MyPAQ Holdings, Ltd. v. Dell		
	Technologies, Inc. and Dell Inc., 6:21-cv-00933-ADA, Dkt. No. 42		
	(February 24, 2022)		
2007	Standing Order Governing Proceedings—Patent Cases, Eastern District		
	of Texas, Waco Division (Mar. 7, 2022)		
2008	Defendants' First Venue-Related Requests for Production (Nos. 1-6) in		
	MyPAQ Holdings, Ltd. v. Dell Technologies, Inc. and Dell Inc., 6:21-cv-		
	00933-ADA (March 15, 2022)		
2009	Defendants' First Set of Venue-Related Interrogatories (Nos. 1-4) in		
	MyPAQ Holdings, Ltd. v. Dell Technologies, Inc. and Dell Inc., 6:21-cv-		
	00933-ADA (March 15, 2022)		
2010	Plaintiff's Notice of Deposition of Merle Wood in MyPAQ Holdings, Ltd.		
	v. Dell Technologies, Inc. and Dell Inc., 6:21-cv-00933-ADA, (February		
	16, 2022)		
2011	Plaintiff's Notice of Deposition of Andy Sultenfuss in MyPAQ Holdings,		
	Ltd. v. Dell Technologies, Inc. and Dell Inc., 6:21-cv-00933-ADA		
	(February 16, 2022)		
2012	Plaintiff's Notice of Deposition of Dell Venue Witnesses in MyPAQ		
	Holdings, Ltd. v. Dell Technologies, Inc. and Dell Inc., 6:21-cv-00933-		
	ADA (March 11, 2022)		
2013	Plaintiff's First Notice of Deposition of Samsung Venue Witnesses in		
	MyPAQ Holdings, Ltd. v. Dell Technologies, Inc. and Dell Inc., 6:21-cv-		
	00933-ADA (February 21, 2022)		



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

