UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

_____

SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD AND DELL TECHNOLOGIES INC.

Petitioners

v.

MYPAQ HOLDINGS LTD.

Patent Owner

_____

Case No. IPR2022-00311

U.S. Patent No. 8,477,514

_____

PATENT OWNER RESPONSE

_____

DOCKET ALARM

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

I. INTRODUCTION 1

II. BACKGROUND 3

A. Procedural History 3

B. The '514 Patent 4

1. The Invention of the '514 Patent 4

2. Prosecution History 10

C. Petitioners' Cited References 13

1. Chagny (Ex. 1004) 13

2. Hwang (Ex. 1006) 14

III. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION 16

IV. PERSON OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART 16

V. THE CITED REFERENCES DO NOT TEACH OR SUGGEST EVERY LIMITATION OF ANY CHALLENGED CLAIM 17

A. Ground 1A: Claims 1-12, 14-17 and 19-20 are not anticipated by Chagny 18

1. Chagny does not teach or suggest a "power converter controller configured to receive a signal from said load indicating a system operational state of said load" as required by independent claim 1 18

2. Chagny does not teach or suggest a "power system controller configured to provide a signal characterizing a power requirement" as required by independent Claim 6 23

DOCKET ALARM
Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

3. Chagny does not teach or suggest a power system controller configured to enable operation of components of a processor system.....25

4. Chagny does not teach or suggest enabling operation of components of a processor system to establish a state of power drain thereof 27

5. Chagny does not teach or suggest a "power system controller" as required by independent claims 6 and 11 28

6. Chagny does not teach or suggest dependent claims 2-5, 7-10, 12, 14-15, 17 or 19-20.....32

7. Dependent claims 2, 7, 12 and 17 are separately patentable over Chagny.....32

8. Dependent claims 3, 8, 14 and 19 are separately patentable over Chagny.....34

9. Dependent claims 4 and 9 are separately patentable over Chagny.....37

B. Ground 1B: Claims 1-20 are not rendered obvious by Chagny in view of the knowledge of a POSITA 40

C. Ground 2A: Claims 1-10, 16-17 and 19-20 are not anticipated by Hwang 41

1. Hwang does not teach or suggest "a power converter controller configured to receive a signal from said load indicating a system operational state of said load".....41

2. Hwang does not teach or suggest a "a power system controller configured to provide a signal characterizing a power requirement of a processor system" 44

3. Hwang does not enable operation of components of a processor system to establish a state of power drain.....45

DOCKET ALARM
Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

4. Hwang does not teach or suggest a "power system controller" 47

5. Hwang does not sense a power level of said state of power drain in response to said signal 50

6. Hwang does not teach or suggest dependent claims 2-5, 7-10, 17 or 19-20 51

7. Dependent claims 2, 7, 12 and 17 are separately patentable over Hwang 51

8. Dependent claims 3, 8, 14 and 19 are separately patentable over Hwang 52

9. Dependent claims 4 and 9 are separately patentable over Hwang 53

D. Ground 2B: Hwang in view of Chagny does not render Claims 11-12, 14-17 and 19-20 obvious 56

1. Hwang in view of Chagny does not teach or suggest claim 11 56

2. A POSITA would not have looked to combine Chagny's software program 296 into Hwang 57

3. Hwang in view of Chagny does not render claims 12, 14-17 or 19-20 obvious 59

E. Ground 2C: Hwang in view of the knowledge of a POSITA does not render obvious claim 18 60

F. Ground 2D: Hwang in view of Chagny does not render obvious claims 13 and 18 62

VI. CONCLUSION 63

DOCKET ALARM
Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Page(s)

Cases

Arendi S.A.R.L. v. Apple Inc.,
832 F.3d 1355 (Fed. Cir. 2016) 40

DSS Tech. Mgmt. Inc. v. Apple Inc.,
885 F.3d 1367 (Fed. Cir. 2018) 39

Nidec Motor Corp. v. Zhongshan Broad Ocean Motor Co.,
851 F.3d 1270 (Fed. Cir. 2017) 40

Statutes

35 U.S.C. § 102 10

35 U.S.C. § 103 10

35 U.S.C. § 316 1

DOCKET ALARM
Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

DOCKET ALARM

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts

Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research

With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips

Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS
Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS
Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS
Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.