

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
WACO DIVISION

MYPAQ HOLDINGS LTD.,

Plaintiff,

v.

DELL TECHNOLOGIES INC. and  
DELL INC.,

Defendants.

CIVIL ACTION NO. 6:21-CV-933-ADA

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

**PLAINTIFF'S OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO STAY PENDING  
RESOLUTION OF DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR INTRA-DISTRICT  
TRANSFER OF VENUE**

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

I. INTRODUCTION..... 1

II. LEGAL STANDARD..... 1

III. ARGUMENT ..... 2

    A. A Stay Is Not Appropriate Based on this Court’s Standing Orders, Especially  
    Considering Dell’s Delay in Seeking Transfer. .... 2

    B. The Stay Factors Decisively Favor MyPAQ..... 6

IV. CONCLUSION ..... 9

## TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
<b>CASES</b>	
<i>Express Mobile, Inc. v. Expedia, Inc.</i> , No. 6:20-CV-801-ADA, Text Order Granting ECF No. 38 (W.D. Tex. Aug. 2, 2021) .....	5
<i>Identity Sec. LLC v. Apple, Inc.</i> , No. 1:22-CV-58-LY, ECF No. 62 (W.D. Tex. Feb. 7, 2022) .....	9
<i>In re Apple Inc.</i> , 979 F.3d 1332 (Fed. Cir. 2020).....	5
<i>In re Google Inc.</i> , No. 2015-138, 2015 WL 5294800 (Fed. Cir. July 16, 2015).....	5
<i>In re Nintendo Co., Ltd.</i> , 544 F. App'x 934 (Fed. Cir. 2013) .....	5
<i>In re Radmax, Ltd.</i> , 720 F.3d 285 (5th Cir. 2013).....	4
<i>In re SK hynix Inc.</i> , 835 F. App'x 600 (Fed. Cir. 2021) .....	5
<i>In re TracFone Wireless, Inc.</i> , 848 F. App'x 899 (Fed. Cir. 2021) .....	2, 5
<i>Intell. Ventures II LLC v. FedEx Corp.</i> , No. 2:16-CV-00980-JRG, 2017 WL 6559172 (E.D. Tex. Dec. 22, 2017) .....	6
<i>Kahn v. Gen. Motors Corp.</i> , 889 F.2d 1078 (Fed. Cir. 1989).....	8
<i>Kajeet, Inc. v. Trend Micro, Inc.</i> , No. 6:21-CV-389-ADA, 2022 WL 126490 (W.D. Tex. Jan. 12, 2022).....	8
<i>Kerr Mach. Co. v. Vulcan Indus. Holdings, LLC</i> , No. 6-20-CV-00200-ADA, 2021 WL 1298932 (W.D. Tex. Apr. 7, 2021).....	1, 8
<i>Neo Wireless LLC v. Dell Techs. Inc.</i> , No. 6:21-CV-00024-ADA, ECF No. 60 (W.D. Tex. Jan. 20, 2022).....	4
<i>Neodron Ltd. v. Dell Techs. Inc.</i> , No. 1:19-CV-00819-ADA, 2019 WL 9633629 (W.D. Tex. Dec. 16, 2019).....	1, 6, 7
<i>Smith v. Michels Corp.</i> , No. 2:13-CV-00185-JRG, 2013 WL 4811227 (E.D. Tex. Sept. 9, 2013) .....	4

*Sonrai Memory Ltd. v. Oracle Corp.*,  
 No. 6:21-CV-00116-ADA, Text Order Granting ECF No. 44 (W.D. Tex. Jan. 2, 2022).....5

*Sundell v. Cisco Sys. Inc.*,  
 No. 96-41191, 1997 WL 156824 (5th Cir. Mar. 20, 1997) .....4

*Tex. Democratic Party v. Abbott*,  
 961 F.3d 389 (5th Cir. 2020).....2

*USC IP P’ship, L.P. v. Facebook, Inc.*,  
 No. 6-20-CV-00555-ADA, 2021 WL 6201200 (W.D. Tex. Aug. 5, 2021) .....6

*VersaTop Support Sys., LLC v. Ga. Expo, Inc.*,  
 921 F.3d 1364 (Fed. Cir. 2019).....4

*Videolabs, Inc. v. Dell Techs. Inc.*,  
 No. 6:21-CV-00456-ADA, ECF No. 63 (W.D. Tex. Mar. 3, 2022) .....5

*WSOU Invs. LLC v. ZTE Corp.*,  
 No. 6:20-CV-00487-ADA, 2022 WL 479131 (W.D. Tex. Feb. 16, 2022) ..... 2, 6, 7

**STATUTES**

28 U.S.C. § 1404(a) .....2

**OTHER AUTHORITIES**

Amended Standing Order Regarding  
 Venue and Jurisdictional Discovery Limits for Patent Cases (June 8, 2021)..... 1, 3

Defendants’ Opposed Motion for Intra-District Transfer, *Videolabs, Inc. v. Dell Techs. Inc.*,  
 No. 6:21-CV-456-ADA, ECF No. 28 (W.D. Tex. Aug. 2, 2021) .....3

Notice re Venue Discovery and Briefing, *Videolabs, Inc. v. Dell Techs. Inc.*,  
 No. 6:21-CV-456-ADA, ECF No. 29 (W.D. Tex. Aug. 13, 2021) .....3

Second Amended Standing Order Regarding  
 Motions for Inter-District Transfer (Aug. 18, 2021)..... 4, 5

W.D. TEX. CIV. R. 1.....4

## I. INTRODUCTION

On February 3, 2022, Defendants Dell Technologies Inc. and Dell Inc. (together, “Dell”) moved for an intra-district transfer of venue from the Waco Division to the Austin Division. *See* Dkt. 36 (the “Transfer Motion”). Although the factual bases for the Transfer Motion, as alleged by Dell, were easily ascertainable from this lawsuit’s filing—and despite Dell agreeing “to a joint *Markman* hearing for this case and the *Samsung* case on May 4, 2022,” Dkt. 18—Dell waited five months before moving to transfer.<sup>1</sup>

In response, MyPAQ Holdings Ltd. (“MyPAQ”) sought venue discovery from Dell, *see* Dkt 41, as authorized by the Court’s standing orders, *see* Amended Standing Order Regarding Venue and Jurisdictional Discovery Limits for Patent Cases (“Venue Discovery Order”) (June 8, 2021), at 1. Although the Court allows three months to complete venue discovery, *see id.*, MyPAQ intends to complete it and file its response to the Transfer Motion before the scheduled *Markman* hearing.

Dell now moves to stay this case pending resolution of the Transfer Motion. *See* Dkt. 43. As demonstrated below, Dell fails to meet the high standard for a stay.

## II. LEGAL STANDARD

“Whether to stay a case falls within the Court’s inherent discretionary authority.” *Kerr Mach. Co. v. Vulcan Indus. Holdings, LLC*, No. 6:20-CV-00200-ADA, 2021 WL 1298932, at \*1 (W.D. Tex. Apr. 7, 2021). “In determining whether a stay is proper, a district court should consider, among other factors, (1) the potential prejudice to the non-moving party; (2) the hardship and inequity to the moving party if the action is not stayed; and (3) judicial resources.” *Neodron Ltd. v. Dell Techs. Inc.*, No. 1:19-CV-00819-ADA, 2019 WL 9633629, at \*1 (W.D. Tex. Dec. 16, 2019). To deserve a stay, “[t]he movant has the burden to make out a clear case of hardship or inequity.” *WSOU Invs. LLC v. ZTE Corp.*, No.

---

<sup>1</sup> Dell Technologies Inc. was served with MyPAQ’s Original Complaint on September 14, 2021. *See* Dkt. 8. Dell Inc. was served two days later. *See* Dkt. 9.

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.