

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD AND DELL TECHNOLOGIES INC.

Petitioners

v.

MYPAQ HOLDINGS LTD.

Patent Owner

Case No. IPR2022-00311

U.S. Patent No. 8,477,514

PATENT OWNER PRELIMINARY RESPONSE

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
I. INTRODUCTION	1
II. BACKGROUND	2
A. Procedural History	2
B. The '514 Patent.....	3
1. The Invention.....	3
2. Prosecution History	7
C. Petitioners' Cited References	8
1. Chagny (Ex. 1004).....	8
2. Hwang (Ex. 1006).....	10
III. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION.....	11
IV. PERSON OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART.....	11
V. THE BOARD SHOULD EXERCISE DISCRETION UNDER SECTION 314 AND DENY INSTITUTION FOR ALL GROUNDS	11
VI. NO COMBINATION OF THE CITED REFERENCES TEACHES OR SUGGESTS EVERY LIMITATION OF ANY CHALLENGED CLAIM.....	22
A. Ground 1A: Claims 1-12, 14-17 and 19-20 are not anticipated by Chagny	22
1. Chagny's VRM is not a power converter and is not coupled to a load.....	22

2.	Chagny does not teach or suggest both a “power system controller” and a “controller” within the power converter as required by independent Claims 6 and 11.....	24
B.	Ground 1B: Claims 1-20 are not rendered obvious by Chagny in view of the knowledge of a POSITA	27
C.	Ground 2A: Claims 1-10, 16-17 and 19-20 are not anticipated by Hwang	28
1.	Hwang does not disclose “a power converter controller configured to receive a signal from said load indicating a system operational state of said load”	28
2.	Hwang does not disclose the “power system controller” as required by independent claim 6	30
D.	Ground 2B: Hwang in view of Chagny does not render Claims 11-12, 14-17 and 19-20 obvious	32
E.	Ground 2C: Hwang in view of the knowledge of a POSITA renders obvious claim 18	34
F.	Ground 2D: Hwang in view of Chagny does not render obvious claims 13 and 18	34
VII.	CONCLUSION	34

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Page(s)

Cases

California Institute of Technology v. Broadcom Limited, et al.,
Case No. 20-2222, Dkt. No. 63 (Fed. Cir. Feb. 4, 2022)19

Cuozzo Speed Techs., LLC v. Lee,
136 S. Ct. 2131 (2016).....12

Harmonic Inc. v. Avid Tech.,
815 F.3d 1356 (Fed. Cir. 2016)11

Verdegaal Bros. v. Union Oil Co. of California,
814 F.2d 628, 2 USPQ2d 1051, 1053 (Fed. Cir. 1987).....31

Statutes

35 U.S.C. § 1027, 29

35 U.S.C. § 1037, 29

35 U.S.C. § 314 passim

35 U.S.C. § 31519

Agency Decisions

Apple Inc. v. Fintiv, Inc.,
IPR2020-00019, Paper 11 (PTAB Mar. 20, 2020).....13

Apple Inc. v. Fintiv, Inc.,
IPR2020-00019, Paper 15 (PTAB May 13, 2020)13

General Plastic Indus. Co., Ltd. v. Canon Kabushiki Kaisha,
IPR2016-01357, Paper 19 (PTAB. Sept. 6, 2017).....14

NHK Spring Co. v. Intri-Plex., Inc.,
IPR2018- 00752, Paper 8 (PTAB Sept. 12, 2018).....12

Regulations

37 C.F.R. § 42.10429
37 C.F.R. § 42.10812

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.