Trials@uspto.gov 571-272-7822

DOCKET

Paper No. 28 Entered: March 10, 2023

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD., DELL TECHNOLOGIES INC., and ANKER INNOVATIONS LTD., Petitioner,

v.

MYPAQ HOLDINGS LTD., Patent Owner.

IPR2022-00311 (Patent 8,477,514 B2) IPR2022-00312 (Patent 7,675,759 B2)

> Record of Oral Hearing Held: February 24, 2023

Before KRISTINA M. KALAN, DANIEL J. GALLIGAN, and ELIZABETH M. ROESEL, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

APPEARANCES:

ON BEHALF OF THE PETITIONER:

ELIOT D. WILLIAMS, ESQ. BRETT THOMPSEN, ESQ. Baker Botts LLP 700 K Street NW Washington, DC 20001 (202) 639-1334 (Williams) eliot.williams@bakerbotts.com brett.thomsen@bakerbotts.com

ON BEHALF OF THE PATENT OWNER:

STEPHEN McBRIDE, ESQ. JAMES T. CARMICHAEL, ESQ. MINGHUI YANG, ESQ. Carmichael IP, PLLC 8000 Towers Crescent Drive Floor 13 Tysons Corner, Virginia 22182 (703) 646-9255 (Carmichael) (703) 646 9247 (McBride) (703) 646-9248 (Yang) jim@carmichaelip.com stevenmcbride@carmichealip.com

The above-entitled matter came on for hearing on Friday, February 24, 2023, commencing at 1:00 p.m. EST, via Video-conference.

1	P R O C E E D I N G S
2	
3	(1:00 p.m.)
4	JUDGE GALLIGAN: Good afternoon. This is Administrative
5	Patent Judge Galligan. With me are Judges Kalan and Roesel. This is a
6	consolidated oral argument for IPR 2022-00311 and IPR 2022-00312. The
7	patents are 8,477,514 B2 and 7,675,759 B2. The Petitioner is Samsung
8	Electronics Company, Ltd., Dell Technologies, Inc. and Anker Innovations,
9	Ltd. Patent Owner is MyPAQ Holdings. May we have appearances for
10	counsel starting with Petitioner, please?
11	MR. THOMPSEN: Yes, Your Honor. This is Brett Thompsen. I am
12	backup counsel for Petitioners, and I will be doing the presenting today. On
13	the line with me is lead counsel, Eliot Williams, and also on the public line
14	are the other backup counsel and representatives from both Samsung and
15	Dell.
16	JUDGE GALLIGAN: Thank you, and Patent Owner?
17	MR. MCBRIDE: This is Steve McBride for Patent Owner, MyPAQ
18	Technologies. With me is James Carmichael, to my left here, who is lead
19	counsel in this matter. Also on the public line may be representatives of
20	MyPAQ, as well as Mitch Yang is also of record and a few other attorneys
21	for MyPAQ.
22	JUDGE GALLIGAN: Thanks for that. Anker Innovations is a
23	Petitioner that filed a Motion for Joinder, and we understand that counsel for
24	Anker will not be presenting. If they're on the line, they may make an
25	appearance, but I'll give them a moment. All right, thank you. Sorry, is
26	someone speaking? Thank you. As set forth in the Oral Hearing Order, each

DOCKET A L A R M Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

party has 90 minutes of argument time to cover both proceedings. Our first
 priority is your right to be heard, so if at any time during the hearing you
 encounter technical or other difficulties you feel undermine your ability to
 adequately represent your client, please let us know immediately.

5 You may do this by contacting the team who provided you with the 6 connection information. If you stop hearing or drop off, please note what was being discussed and we'll try to pick up from there. Please mute your 7 8 microphone and only unmute when speaking. Identify yourself when you 9 speak so the transcript accurately reflects the speaker. When referring to an 10 item in the record, please do so with specificity, slide number and paper 11 number, exhibit number, etc. Because we have two cases, please refer to the 12 case number when you're referring to an item in the record. As to the public line, we're not aware of any confidential information. That shouldn't be a 13 14 problem.

Petitioner, you'll go first. You may reserve some time for rebuttal.Would you like to reserve any time?

MR. THOMPSEN: Yes, Your Honor. I'd like to reserve 30 minutes.
JUDGE GALLIGAN: Okay. And let me see, so I'll try to give you a
heads up a little before the hour. Let me just get my stopwatch going, and
you may begin.

MR. THOMPSEN: Thank you, Your Honor. As Your Honor noted, the 311 IPR involves US Patent 8,477,514 and the 312 IPR involves US Patent 7,675,759. The 514 patent is a continuation of a continuation in part from the 759 patent, so these two patents involve overlapping specifications, overlapping disclosures. Today when I'm speaking about the patent or the specification, I'll be speaking to both. If I intend to speak to one or the other,

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

I'll make sure to point that out. If we could go to Slide 3 of Petitioner's
 demonstratives, here's a listing of the various issues that were raised in the
 papers.

4 I certainly don't intend to speak to all of those issues within the hour, 5 but what I'd like to do is start with a brief overview of the 514 and 759 patents, as well as the prior art grounds, and then speak to issues in three 6 different buckets. I'd like to speak to two issues in the first bucket, because 7 8 they're issues that are common to both the 311 and the 312 IPR, as well as 9 issues in the second bucket. Those are issues specific to the 311 IPR. I'll speak to two issues in that second bucket. Then also two issues in the third 10 11 bucket involving the 312 IPR and the 759 patent.

12 As I step through these various issues, we'll notice a theme. That 13 theme is that the issues often don't revolve around the actual disclosures in 14 the prior art. They revolve around narrowing constructions the Patent Owner 15 raises in an effort to try to carve out that prior art. But as we'll see, those 16 narrowing constructions conflict with the plain meaning of the claims and 17 also often conflict or improperly exclude embodiments in the 514 and 759 patents. So if we stick with the plain meaning of the claims consistent with 18 19 the patent specification, the prior art meets each of the challenged claim limitations. 20

So let's go to Slide 5, and I'll start with a brief overview of the challenged patents. So these challenged patents involve switching power converters, and so you'll see the power converter on the left, it includes two switches. Those switches will turn off perhaps thousands, hundreds of thousands of times per second. That's how energy is transferred from the input to the output of the power converter. Now it was well known in the art,

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

DOCKET A L A R M



Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.