DOCKET NO.: 337722-000232 Filed on behalf of Apple Inc.

By: Larissa S. Bifano, Reg. No. 59,051 Jonathan Hicks, Reg. No. 75,195 Joseph Wolfe, Reg. No. 73,173

> DLA Piper LLP (US) 33 Arch Street, 26th Floor Boston, Massachusetts 02110-1447 Email: Larissa.Bifano@dlapiper.com

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

APPLE INC.,

Petitioner

v.

BILLJCO LLC,

Patent Owner

IPR2022-00310

PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF U.S. PATENT NO. 9,088,868
CHALLENGING CLAIMS 1, 2, 5, 20, 24, 25, 28, and 43
UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 312 AND 37 C.F.R. § 42.104



TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTR	NTRODUCTION				
II.	MAN	NDATORY NOTICES				
	A. B. C. D.	Relat Coun	Party-in-Interested Mattersselselsel	1		
III.			IFICATION OF GROUNDS FOR STANDING			
IV.	OVERVIEW OF CHALLENGE AND RELIEF REQUESTED					
	A. B.	Prior	Art Patents and Printed Publicationsnds for Challenge	2		
V.	OVE	W OF THE '868 PATENT	4			
	A. B. C.	Leve	nary of the Alleged Inventionl of Ordinary Skill in the Artecution History	5		
VI.	CLA	IM CC	ONSTRUCTION	6		
VII.	SPEC	CIFIC (GROUNDS FOR PETITION	6		
	A.		nd 1: Claims 1, 2, 5, 20, 24, 25, 28, and 43 are obvious Haberman	7		
		1.	Overview of Haberman	7		
		2.	Claims 1 and 24 are obvious over Haberman	9		
		3.	Claims 2 and 25 are obvious over Haberman	28		
		4.	Claims 5 and 28 are obvious over Haberman	28		
		5.	Claims 20 and 43 are obvious over Haberman	30		
	В.		nd 2: Claim 1, 2, 5, 20, 24, 25, 28, and 43 are obvious over rman in view of Boger	32		
		1.	Overview of Boger	32		
		2.	Claims 1 and 24 are obvious over Haberman in view of Boger	32		
			<u>.</u>			



		3.	Claims 2 and 25 are obvious over Haberman and Boger	46	
		4.	Claims 5 and 28 are obvious over Haberman and Boger	47	
		5.	Claims 20 and 43 are obvious over Haberman and Boger	49	
	C.	Ground 3: Claim 1, 2, 5, 20, 24, 25, 28, and 43 are obvious over Haberman in view of Evans			
		1.	Overview of Evans	49	
		2.	Claims 1 and 24 are obvious over Haberman in view of Evans	50	
		3.	Claims 2 and 25 are obvious over Haberman and Evans	57	
		4.	Claims 5 and 28 are obvious over Haberman and Evans	57	
		5.	Claims 20 and 43 are obvious over Haberman and Evans	57	
	D.		nd 4: Claim 1, 2, 5, 20, 24, 25, 28, and 43 are obvious over rman in view of Boger and Evans	58	
		1.	Claims 1 and 24 are obvious over Haberman, Boger, and Evans	58	
		2.	Claims 2 and 25 are obvious over Haberman, Boger, and Evans	62	
		3.	Claims 5 and 28 are obvious over Haberman, Boger, and Evans	62	
		4.	Claims 20 and 43 are obvious over Haberman, Boger, and Evans	63	
VIII.	DISC	RETIO	ONARY DENIAL IS NOT APPROPRIATE	63	
	A. B.		ral Plastic Denial is Inappropriate Discretionary Denial is Inappropriate		
		1.	Fintiv Factor 1: Institution Will Enable a Stay	64	
		2.	Fintiv Factor 2: District Court Schedule	65	
		3.	Fintiv Factor 3: Parallel Proceeding Considerations	67	
		4.	Fintiv Factor 4: The Petition Raises Unique Issues	67	
		5.	Fintiv Factor 5: The Petition Will Enable Cancellation of Claims that Might Be Reasserted	68	



IPR2022-00310 U.S. Pat. No. 9,088,868

	6.	Fintiv Factor 6:	Other Considerations Support Institution6
IX.	CONCLUS	ION	6



I. INTRODUCTION

Apple Inc. ("Apple" or "Petitioner") hereby petitions for *inter partes* review of U.S. Patent No. 9,088,868 ("'868 patent") (EX1001). The '868 patent describes location-based services for mobile data processing systems and location-based exchanges of data between distributed mobile data processing systems. As shown below, the techniques described in the '868 patent were known in the prior art.

II. MANDATORY NOTICES

A. Real Party-in-Interest

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(1), Petitioner certifies that Apple is the real party-in-interest, and further certifies that no other party exercised control or could exercise control over the filing of this petition or Apple's participation in any proceeding instituted on this petition.

B. Related Matters

According to assignment records at the United States Patent and Trademark Office, the '868 patent is currently owned by BillJCo LLC ("BillJCo"). The '868 patent is asserted in the matter *BillJCo v. Apple Inc.*, 6:21-cv-00528 (WDTX).

C. Counsel

Lead Counsel: Larissa S. Bifano (Reg. No. 59,051)

Backup Counsel: Jonathan Hicks (Reg. No. 75,195)



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

