UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

APPLE, INC.,

INC.,
Petitioner,
: CASE
: IPR2022-00310

VS.

: U.S PATENT

BILLJCO, LLC, : NO. 9,088,868
Patent Owner :

Thursday, September 1, 2022

Videotaped deposition of THOMAS F. LA PORTA, currently located in Pennsylvania, taken pursuant to notice, was held remotely via Zoom, commencing at 9:02 a.m., on the above date, before Lori A. Zabielski, a Registered Professional Reporter and Notary Public in and for the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.

> MAGNA LEGAL SERVICES 866.624.6221 www.MagnaLS.com

# MAGNAD



Page 2	Page 3
1	1
Page 4	Page 5
1	DEPOSITION SUPPORT INDEX  DEPOSITION SUPPORT INDEX  Direction to Witness Not to Answer:  Page Line Page Line  NONE  Request for Production of Documents:  Page Line Page Line  NONE  Stipulations:  Page Line Page Line  NONE  Ouestion(s) Marked:  Page Line Page Line  NONE  NONE  NONE  NONE

2 (Pages 2 to 5)





	Page 6		Page 7
1		1	Eastern. This deposition is being
2	PROCEEDINGS	2	taken remotely at the request of
3		3	defendant.
4	THE COURT REPORTER: Are	4	The videographer is James
5	there any stipulations?	5	Taylor, and the court reporter is
6	MR. MERRILL: I don't think	6	Lori A. Zabielski of Magna Legal
7	we I always get asked that	7	Services.
8	question, and I think we say no,	8	Will counsel and all parties
9	we are going to follow the rules.	9	present state their appearances
10	we are going to follow the fules.	10	and whom they represent.
11	(Off the record at this	11	MR. MERRILL: Courtland
12		12	
13	time.)	13	Merrill on behalf of the patent
	THE VIDEOCD A DITED. W		owner and with me is Joseph Kuo.
14	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are	14	MR. WOLFE: Joe Wolfe on
15	now on the record. This begins	15	behalf of petitioner, Apple, Inc.
16	Media No. 1 in the deposition of	16	and with me is Larissa Bifano.
17	Thomas F. La Porta in the matter	17	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Will the
18	of Apple, Incorporated versus	18	court reporter please swear in the
19	BillJCo, LLC, in the United States	19	witness.
20	Patent and Trademark Office before	20	
21	the Patent Trial and Appeal Board,	21	THOMAS F. LA PORTA, after
22	Case IPR2022-00310.	22	having been first duly sworn, was
23	Today is September 1st,	23	examined and testified as follows:
24	2022, and the time is 9:02 a.m.	24	
	Page 8		Page 9
1	EXAMINATION	1	A Th41 - £
			Δ Ingre tine
2	EXAMINATION	1 2	A. That's fine.
2		2	Q. And if you can't hear me,
3	BY MR. MERRILL:	2 3	Q. And if you can't hear me, let me know, and I will try to speak up
3 4	BY MR. MERRILL: Q. Good morning, Dr. La Porta.	2 3 4	Q. And if you can't hear me, let me know, and I will try to speak up or change the volume settings.
3 4 5	BY MR. MERRILL: Q. Good morning, Dr. La Porta. My name is Courtland Merrill. I am	2 3 4 5	Q. And if you can't hear me, let me know, and I will try to speak up or change the volume settings.  Also, if you don't
3 4 5 6	BY MR. MERRILL: Q. Good morning, Dr. La Porta. My name is Courtland Merrill. I am counsel for the patent owner in this	2 3 4 5 6	Q. And if you can't hear me, let me know, and I will try to speak up or change the volume settings.  Also, if you don't understand my questions, I will ask you
3 4 5 6 7	BY MR. MERRILL: Q. Good morning, Dr. La Porta. My name is Courtland Merrill. I am counsel for the patent owner in this case, BillJCo. And we are here today	2 3 4 5 6 7	Q. And if you can't hear me, let me know, and I will try to speak up or change the volume settings.  Also, if you don't understand my questions, I will ask you to let me know, and I will try to
3 4 5 6 7 8	BY MR. MERRILL: Q. Good morning, Dr. La Porta. My name is Courtland Merrill. I am counsel for the patent owner in this case, BillJCo. And we are here today regarding your declaration that was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. And if you can't hear me, let me know, and I will try to speak up or change the volume settings.  Also, if you don't understand my questions, I will ask you to let me know, and I will try to rephrase as best as I can.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	BY MR. MERRILL: Q. Good morning, Dr. La Porta. My name is Courtland Merrill. I am counsel for the patent owner in this case, BillJCo. And we are here today regarding your declaration that was submitted in the IPR2022-0310, and that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. And if you can't hear me, let me know, and I will try to speak up or change the volume settings.  Also, if you don't understand my questions, I will ask you to let me know, and I will try to rephrase as best as I can.  Does that sound fair?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	BY MR. MERRILL: Q. Good morning, Dr. La Porta. My name is Courtland Merrill. I am counsel for the patent owner in this case, BillJCo. And we are here today regarding your declaration that was submitted in the IPR2022-0310, and that relates to Patent No. 9,088,868.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. And if you can't hear me, let me know, and I will try to speak up or change the volume settings.  Also, if you don't understand my questions, I will ask you to let me know, and I will try to rephrase as best as I can.  Does that sound fair?  A. Yes, that's fine.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	BY MR. MERRILL: Q. Good morning, Dr. La Porta. My name is Courtland Merrill. I am counsel for the patent owner in this case, BillJCo. And we are here today regarding your declaration that was submitted in the IPR2022-0310, and that relates to Patent No. 9,088,868. I am going to refer to that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q. And if you can't hear me, let me know, and I will try to speak up or change the volume settings.  Also, if you don't understand my questions, I will ask you to let me know, and I will try to rephrase as best as I can.  Does that sound fair?  A. Yes, that's fine.  Q. And if you need a break, let
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	BY MR. MERRILL: Q. Good morning, Dr. La Porta. My name is Courtland Merrill. I am counsel for the patent owner in this case, BillJCo. And we are here today regarding your declaration that was submitted in the IPR2022-0310, and that relates to Patent No. 9,088,868. I am going to refer to that as the '868 Patent. Is that okay with	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q. And if you can't hear me, let me know, and I will try to speak up or change the volume settings.  Also, if you don't understand my questions, I will ask you to let me know, and I will try to rephrase as best as I can.  Does that sound fair?  A. Yes, that's fine.  Q. And if you need a break, let me know, and we will accommodate you. I
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	BY MR. MERRILL: Q. Good morning, Dr. La Porta. My name is Courtland Merrill. I am counsel for the patent owner in this case, BillJCo. And we are here today regarding your declaration that was submitted in the IPR2022-0310, and that relates to Patent No. 9,088,868. I am going to refer to that as the '868 Patent. Is that okay with you?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. And if you can't hear me, let me know, and I will try to speak up or change the volume settings.  Also, if you don't understand my questions, I will ask you to let me know, and I will try to rephrase as best as I can.  Does that sound fair?  A. Yes, that's fine.  Q. And if you need a break, let me know, and we will accommodate you. I would ask that you answer the question
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	BY MR. MERRILL: Q. Good morning, Dr. La Porta. My name is Courtland Merrill. I am counsel for the patent owner in this case, BillJCo. And we are here today regarding your declaration that was submitted in the IPR2022-0310, and that relates to Patent No. 9,088,868. I am going to refer to that as the '868 Patent. Is that okay with you? A. Yes, that's fine.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. And if you can't hear me, let me know, and I will try to speak up or change the volume settings.  Also, if you don't understand my questions, I will ask you to let me know, and I will try to rephrase as best as I can.  Does that sound fair?  A. Yes, that's fine.  Q. And if you need a break, let me know, and we will accommodate you. I would ask that you answer the question before we take a break.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	BY MR. MERRILL: Q. Good morning, Dr. La Porta. My name is Courtland Merrill. I am counsel for the patent owner in this case, BillJCo. And we are here today regarding your declaration that was submitted in the IPR2022-0310, and that relates to Patent No. 9,088,868. I am going to refer to that as the '868 Patent. Is that okay with you? A. Yes, that's fine. Q. Okay. And then before we go	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. And if you can't hear me, let me know, and I will try to speak up or change the volume settings.  Also, if you don't understand my questions, I will ask you to let me know, and I will try to rephrase as best as I can.  Does that sound fair?  A. Yes, that's fine.  Q. And if you need a break, let me know, and we will accommodate you. I would ask that you answer the question before we take a break.  Is that fair?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	BY MR. MERRILL: Q. Good morning, Dr. La Porta. My name is Courtland Merrill. I am counsel for the patent owner in this case, BillJCo. And we are here today regarding your declaration that was submitted in the IPR2022-0310, and that relates to Patent No. 9,088,868. I am going to refer to that as the '868 Patent. Is that okay with you? A. Yes, that's fine. Q. Okay. And then before we go into the deposition, I am going to ask	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. And if you can't hear me, let me know, and I will try to speak up or change the volume settings.  Also, if you don't understand my questions, I will ask you to let me know, and I will try to rephrase as best as I can.  Does that sound fair?  A. Yes, that's fine.  Q. And if you need a break, let me know, and we will accommodate you. I would ask that you answer the question before we take a break.  Is that fair?  A. Yes, that's fine.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	BY MR. MERRILL: Q. Good morning, Dr. La Porta. My name is Courtland Merrill. I am counsel for the patent owner in this case, BillJCo. And we are here today regarding your declaration that was submitted in the IPR2022-0310, and that relates to Patent No. 9,088,868. I am going to refer to that as the '868 Patent. Is that okay with you? A. Yes, that's fine. Q. Okay. And then before we go into the deposition, I am going to ask some preliminary questions and state some	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. And if you can't hear me, let me know, and I will try to speak up or change the volume settings.  Also, if you don't understand my questions, I will ask you to let me know, and I will try to rephrase as best as I can.  Does that sound fair?  A. Yes, that's fine. Q. And if you need a break, let me know, and we will accommodate you. I would ask that you answer the question before we take a break.  Is that fair?  A. Yes, that's fine. Q. And then I guess also, if
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	BY MR. MERRILL: Q. Good morning, Dr. La Porta. My name is Courtland Merrill. I am counsel for the patent owner in this case, BillJCo. And we are here today regarding your declaration that was submitted in the IPR2022-0310, and that relates to Patent No. 9,088,868. I am going to refer to that as the '868 Patent. Is that okay with you? A. Yes, that's fine. Q. Okay. And then before we go into the deposition, I am going to ask some preliminary questions and state some ground rules.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. And if you can't hear me, let me know, and I will try to speak up or change the volume settings.  Also, if you don't understand my questions, I will ask you to let me know, and I will try to rephrase as best as I can.  Does that sound fair?  A. Yes, that's fine.  Q. And if you need a break, let me know, and we will accommodate you. I would ask that you answer the question before we take a break.  Is that fair?  A. Yes, that's fine.  Q. And then I guess also, if you need to review any documents to
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	BY MR. MERRILL: Q. Good morning, Dr. La Porta. My name is Courtland Merrill. I am counsel for the patent owner in this case, BillJCo. And we are here today regarding your declaration that was submitted in the IPR2022-0310, and that relates to Patent No. 9,088,868. I am going to refer to that as the '868 Patent. Is that okay with you? A. Yes, that's fine. Q. Okay. And then before we go into the deposition, I am going to ask some preliminary questions and state some ground rules. So with that, I know you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. And if you can't hear me, let me know, and I will try to speak up or change the volume settings.  Also, if you don't understand my questions, I will ask you to let me know, and I will try to rephrase as best as I can.  Does that sound fair?  A. Yes, that's fine.  Q. And if you need a break, let me know, and we will accommodate you. I would ask that you answer the question before we take a break.  Is that fair?  A. Yes, that's fine.  Q. And then I guess also, if you need to review any documents to answer any of my questions, let me know,
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	BY MR. MERRILL: Q. Good morning, Dr. La Porta. My name is Courtland Merrill. I am counsel for the patent owner in this case, BillJCo. And we are here today regarding your declaration that was submitted in the IPR2022-0310, and that relates to Patent No. 9,088,868. I am going to refer to that as the '868 Patent. Is that okay with you? A. Yes, that's fine. Q. Okay. And then before we go into the deposition, I am going to ask some preliminary questions and state some ground rules. So with that, I know you have been deposed before, but obviously I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. And if you can't hear me, let me know, and I will try to speak up or change the volume settings.  Also, if you don't understand my questions, I will ask you to let me know, and I will try to rephrase as best as I can.  Does that sound fair?  A. Yes, that's fine.  Q. And if you need a break, let me know, and we will accommodate you. I would ask that you answer the question before we take a break.  Is that fair?  A. Yes, that's fine.  Q. And then I guess also, if you need to review any documents to answer any of my questions, let me know, and we will try to find you the document
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	BY MR. MERRILL: Q. Good morning, Dr. La Porta. My name is Courtland Merrill. I am counsel for the patent owner in this case, BillJCo. And we are here today regarding your declaration that was submitted in the IPR2022-0310, and that relates to Patent No. 9,088,868. I am going to refer to that as the '868 Patent. Is that okay with you? A. Yes, that's fine. Q. Okay. And then before we go into the deposition, I am going to ask some preliminary questions and state some ground rules. So with that, I know you have been deposed before, but obviously I am going to ask you questions on the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. And if you can't hear me, let me know, and I will try to speak up or change the volume settings.  Also, if you don't understand my questions, I will ask you to let me know, and I will try to rephrase as best as I can.  Does that sound fair?  A. Yes, that's fine.  Q. And if you need a break, let me know, and we will accommodate you. I would ask that you answer the question before we take a break.  Is that fair?  A. Yes, that's fine.  Q. And then I guess also, if you need to review any documents to answer any of my questions, let me know, and we will try to find you the document and go from there.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	BY MR. MERRILL: Q. Good morning, Dr. La Porta. My name is Courtland Merrill. I am counsel for the patent owner in this case, BillJCo. And we are here today regarding your declaration that was submitted in the IPR2022-0310, and that relates to Patent No. 9,088,868. I am going to refer to that as the '868 Patent. Is that okay with you? A. Yes, that's fine. Q. Okay. And then before we go into the deposition, I am going to ask some preliminary questions and state some ground rules. So with that, I know you have been deposed before, but obviously I am going to ask you questions on the record and I would ask for you to provide	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. And if you can't hear me, let me know, and I will try to speak up or change the volume settings.  Also, if you don't understand my questions, I will ask you to let me know, and I will try to rephrase as best as I can.  Does that sound fair?  A. Yes, that's fine.  Q. And if you need a break, let me know, and we will accommodate you. I would ask that you answer the question before we take a break.  Is that fair?  A. Yes, that's fine.  Q. And then I guess also, if you need to review any documents to answer any of my questions, let me know, and we will try to find you the document and go from there.  Okay? Is that fair?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	BY MR. MERRILL: Q. Good morning, Dr. La Porta. My name is Courtland Merrill. I am counsel for the patent owner in this case, BillJCo. And we are here today regarding your declaration that was submitted in the IPR2022-0310, and that relates to Patent No. 9,088,868. I am going to refer to that as the '868 Patent. Is that okay with you? A. Yes, that's fine. Q. Okay. And then before we go into the deposition, I am going to ask some preliminary questions and state some ground rules. So with that, I know you have been deposed before, but obviously I am going to ask you questions on the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. And if you can't hear me, let me know, and I will try to speak up or change the volume settings.  Also, if you don't understand my questions, I will ask you to let me know, and I will try to rephrase as best as I can.  Does that sound fair?  A. Yes, that's fine.  Q. And if you need a break, let me know, and we will accommodate you. I would ask that you answer the question before we take a break.  Is that fair?  A. Yes, that's fine.  Q. And then I guess also, if you need to review any documents to answer any of my questions, let me know, and we will try to find you the document and go from there.

3 (Pages 6 to 9)





	Page 10		Page 11
1	will try to talk slowly so the court	1	Patent, has anything material changed
2	reporter can take down my questions, and	2	regarding your experience, your
3	I would ask just to make try to do our	3	publications, your work?
4	best to try not to talk over each other.	4	A. No, it hasn't. No, it
5	That seems to happen from time to time in	5	hasn't.
6	depositions. Okay?	6	Q. Okay. Thank you.
7	A. That's fine.	7	Now, concerning the
8	Q. Now, I spoke off the record	8	declaration that you submitted in the
9	to your attorney, Ms. Bifano	9	'868 Patent, about how much time did you
10	MR. MERRILL: Am I saying	10	prepare forming your opinions?
11	that correctly, Larissa?	11	A. So in apparently the
12	MS. BIFANO: Yes.	12	declaration, I would say it's hard to say
13	MR. MERRILL: Bifano?	13	because I was preparing declarations for
14	MS. BIFANO: Yes.	14	the other patents as well. But if I was
15	BY MR. MERRILL:	15	going to divide it out, I would say 50
16	Q. And we agreed that I am not	16	hours, more or less.
17	going to I don't need to ask you	17	Q. And did you prepare your
18	questions that were already asked about	18	declaration regarding the '868 Patent
19	your background, your CV, your experience	19	before or after you prepared your other
20	and that nature, any articles you	20	declarations?
21	published.	21	A. I believe I finished it
22	I guess since your prior	22	last, but it was a lot of the work was
23	depositions regarding the Apple patents,	23	in parallel.
24	specifically the '267 Patent and the '839	24	Q. And did you form your
	specifically the 2071 atom and the 657		Q. This did you form your
	Page 12		
	raye 12		Page 13
1	opinions regarding the '868 Patent before	1	Patent, I looked at the prior art, the
2	opinions regarding the '868 Patent before or after you formed your opinions	2	Patent, I looked at the prior art, the relevant sections of the prior art, I
2 3	opinions regarding the '868 Patent before or after you formed your opinions concerning the '267 and '839 Patents?	2 3	Patent, I looked at the prior art, the relevant sections of the prior art, I would say, I looked at the patent owner's
2 3 4	opinions regarding the '868 Patent before or after you formed your opinions concerning the '267 and '839 Patents?  A. Again, some of the work was	2 3 4	Patent, I looked at the prior art, the relevant sections of the prior art, I would say, I looked at the patent owner's preliminary response and I looked at the
2 3 4 5	opinions regarding the '868 Patent before or after you formed your opinions concerning the '267 and '839 Patents?  A. Again, some of the work was done in parallel, so some of it was	2 3 4 5	Patent, I looked at the prior art, the relevant sections of the prior art, I would say, I looked at the patent owner's preliminary response and I looked at the board's decision.
2 3 4 5 6	opinions regarding the '868 Patent before or after you formed your opinions concerning the '267 and '839 Patents?  A. Again, some of the work was done in parallel, so some of it was done in parallel. Some of the opinions	2 3 4 5 6	Patent, I looked at the prior art, the relevant sections of the prior art, I would say, I looked at the patent owner's preliminary response and I looked at the board's decision.  Q. And I am not going to put it
2 3 4 5 6 7	opinions regarding the '868 Patent before or after you formed your opinions concerning the '267 and '839 Patents?  A. Again, some of the work was done in parallel, so some of it was done in parallel. Some of the opinions were formed in parallel. I don't	2 3 4 5 6 7	Patent, I looked at the prior art, the relevant sections of the prior art, I would say, I looked at the patent owner's preliminary response and I looked at the board's decision.  Q. And I am not going to put it up on my screen, but you have a copy of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	opinions regarding the '868 Patent before or after you formed your opinions concerning the '267 and '839 Patents?  A. Again, some of the work was done in parallel, so some of it was done in parallel. Some of the opinions were formed in parallel. I don't remember exactly when I formed which	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Patent, I looked at the prior art, the relevant sections of the prior art, I would say, I looked at the patent owner's preliminary response and I looked at the board's decision.  Q. And I am not going to put it up on my screen, but you have a copy of the of your declaration, which is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	opinions regarding the '868 Patent before or after you formed your opinions concerning the '267 and '839 Patents?  A. Again, some of the work was done in parallel, so some of it was done in parallel. Some of the opinions were formed in parallel. I don't remember exactly when I formed which opinions. But some of the work was done	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Patent, I looked at the prior art, the relevant sections of the prior art, I would say, I looked at the patent owner's preliminary response and I looked at the board's decision.  Q. And I am not going to put it up on my screen, but you have a copy of the of your declaration, which is Exhibit No. 1002; is that right?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	opinions regarding the '868 Patent before or after you formed your opinions concerning the '267 and '839 Patents?  A. Again, some of the work was done in parallel, so some of it was done in parallel. Some of the opinions were formed in parallel. I don't remember exactly when I formed which opinions. But some of the work was done at the same time.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Patent, I looked at the prior art, the relevant sections of the prior art, I would say, I looked at the patent owner's preliminary response and I looked at the board's decision.  Q. And I am not going to put it up on my screen, but you have a copy of the of your declaration, which is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	opinions regarding the '868 Patent before or after you formed your opinions concerning the '267 and '839 Patents?  A. Again, some of the work was done in parallel, so some of it was done in parallel. Some of the opinions were formed in parallel. I don't remember exactly when I formed which opinions. But some of the work was done at the same time.  Q. Okay. And just generally,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Patent, I looked at the prior art, the relevant sections of the prior art, I would say, I looked at the patent owner's preliminary response and I looked at the board's decision.  Q. And I am not going to put it up on my screen, but you have a copy of the of your declaration, which is Exhibit No. 1002; is that right?  A. Yes, I have a hard copy and a soft copy.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	opinions regarding the '868 Patent before or after you formed your opinions concerning the '267 and '839 Patents?  A. Again, some of the work was done in parallel, so some of it was done in parallel. Some of the opinions were formed in parallel. I don't remember exactly when I formed which opinions. But some of the work was done at the same time.  Q. Okay. And just generally, what was your process in forming your	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Patent, I looked at the prior art, the relevant sections of the prior art, I would say, I looked at the patent owner's preliminary response and I looked at the board's decision.  Q. And I am not going to put it up on my screen, but you have a copy of the of your declaration, which is Exhibit No. 1002; is that right?  A. Yes, I have a hard copy and a soft copy.  Q. Okay. And is your
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	opinions regarding the '868 Patent before or after you formed your opinions concerning the '267 and '839 Patents?  A. Again, some of the work was done in parallel, so some of it was done in parallel. Some of the opinions were formed in parallel. I don't remember exactly when I formed which opinions. But some of the work was done at the same time.  Q. Okay. And just generally, what was your process in forming your opinions regarding the '868 Patent?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Patent, I looked at the prior art, the relevant sections of the prior art, I would say, I looked at the patent owner's preliminary response and I looked at the board's decision.  Q. And I am not going to put it up on my screen, but you have a copy of the of your declaration, which is Exhibit No. 1002; is that right?  A. Yes, I have a hard copy and a soft copy.  Q. Okay. And is your declaration a complete disclosure of all
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	opinions regarding the '868 Patent before or after you formed your opinions concerning the '267 and '839 Patents?  A. Again, some of the work was done in parallel, so some of it was done in parallel. Some of the opinions were formed in parallel. I don't remember exactly when I formed which opinions. But some of the work was done at the same time.  Q. Okay. And just generally, what was your process in forming your opinions regarding the '868 Patent?  A. The general process is, you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Patent, I looked at the prior art, the relevant sections of the prior art, I would say, I looked at the patent owner's preliminary response and I looked at the board's decision.  Q. And I am not going to put it up on my screen, but you have a copy of the of your declaration, which is Exhibit No. 1002; is that right?  A. Yes, I have a hard copy and a soft copy.  Q. Okay. And is your declaration a complete disclosure of all of your opinions concerning the '868
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	opinions regarding the '868 Patent before or after you formed your opinions concerning the '267 and '839 Patents?  A. Again, some of the work was done in parallel, so some of it was done in parallel. Some of the opinions were formed in parallel. I don't remember exactly when I formed which opinions. But some of the work was done at the same time.  Q. Okay. And just generally, what was your process in forming your opinions regarding the '868 Patent?  A. The general process is, you know, I looked at the '868 and I looked	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Patent, I looked at the prior art, the relevant sections of the prior art, I would say, I looked at the patent owner's preliminary response and I looked at the board's decision.  Q. And I am not going to put it up on my screen, but you have a copy of the of your declaration, which is Exhibit No. 1002; is that right?  A. Yes, I have a hard copy and a soft copy.  Q. Okay. And is your declaration a complete disclosure of all of your opinions concerning the '868 Patent?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	opinions regarding the '868 Patent before or after you formed your opinions concerning the '267 and '839 Patents?  A. Again, some of the work was done in parallel, so some of it was done in parallel. Some of the opinions were formed in parallel. I don't remember exactly when I formed which opinions. But some of the work was done at the same time.  Q. Okay. And just generally, what was your process in forming your opinions regarding the '868 Patent?  A. The general process is, you know, I looked at the '868 and I looked at the claims, and then I read the prior	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Patent, I looked at the prior art, the relevant sections of the prior art, I would say, I looked at the patent owner's preliminary response and I looked at the board's decision.  Q. And I am not going to put it up on my screen, but you have a copy of the of your declaration, which is Exhibit No. 1002; is that right?  A. Yes, I have a hard copy and a soft copy.  Q. Okay. And is your declaration a complete disclosure of all of your opinions concerning the '868 Patent?  A. It's a it has my opinions
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	opinions regarding the '868 Patent before or after you formed your opinions concerning the '267 and '839 Patents?  A. Again, some of the work was done in parallel, so some of it was done in parallel. Some of the opinions were formed in parallel. I don't remember exactly when I formed which opinions. But some of the work was done at the same time.  Q. Okay. And just generally, what was your process in forming your opinions regarding the '868 Patent?  A. The general process is, you know, I looked at the '868 and I looked at the claims, and then I read the prior art and, you know, took a bunch of notes	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Patent, I looked at the prior art, the relevant sections of the prior art, I would say, I looked at the patent owner's preliminary response and I looked at the board's decision.  Q. And I am not going to put it up on my screen, but you have a copy of the of your declaration, which is Exhibit No. 1002; is that right?  A. Yes, I have a hard copy and a soft copy.  Q. Okay. And is your declaration a complete disclosure of all of your opinions concerning the '868 Patent?  A. It's a it has my opinions in it. I probably have more opinions
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	opinions regarding the '868 Patent before or after you formed your opinions concerning the '267 and '839 Patents?  A. Again, some of the work was done in parallel, so some of it was done in parallel. Some of the opinions were formed in parallel. I don't remember exactly when I formed which opinions. But some of the work was done at the same time.  Q. Okay. And just generally, what was your process in forming your opinions regarding the '868 Patent?  A. The general process is, you know, I looked at the '868 and I looked at the claims, and then I read the prior art and, you know, took a bunch of notes on parts of the patents' prior art that I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Patent, I looked at the prior art, the relevant sections of the prior art, I would say, I looked at the patent owner's preliminary response and I looked at the board's decision.  Q. And I am not going to put it up on my screen, but you have a copy of the of your declaration, which is Exhibit No. 1002; is that right?  A. Yes, I have a hard copy and a soft copy.  Q. Okay. And is your declaration a complete disclosure of all of your opinions concerning the '868 Patent?  A. It's a it has my opinions in it. I probably have more opinions that are not in there. I mean,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	opinions regarding the '868 Patent before or after you formed your opinions concerning the '267 and '839 Patents?  A. Again, some of the work was done in parallel, so some of it was done in parallel. Some of the opinions were formed in parallel. I don't remember exactly when I formed which opinions. But some of the work was done at the same time.  Q. Okay. And just generally, what was your process in forming your opinions regarding the '868 Patent?  A. The general process is, you know, I looked at the '868 and I looked at the claims, and then I read the prior art and, you know, took a bunch of notes on parts of the patents' prior art that I thought were relevant and drafted	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Patent, I looked at the prior art, the relevant sections of the prior art, I would say, I looked at the patent owner's preliminary response and I looked at the board's decision.  Q. And I am not going to put it up on my screen, but you have a copy of the of your declaration, which is Exhibit No. 1002; is that right?  A. Yes, I have a hard copy and a soft copy.  Q. Okay. And is your declaration a complete disclosure of all of your opinions concerning the '868 Patent?  A. It's a it has my opinions in it. I probably have more opinions that are not in there. I mean, previously those are my opinions.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	opinions regarding the '868 Patent before or after you formed your opinions concerning the '267 and '839 Patents?  A. Again, some of the work was done in parallel, so some of it was done in parallel. Some of the opinions were formed in parallel. I don't remember exactly when I formed which opinions. But some of the work was done at the same time.  Q. Okay. And just generally, what was your process in forming your opinions regarding the '868 Patent?  A. The general process is, you know, I looked at the '868 and I looked at the claims, and then I read the prior art and, you know, took a bunch of notes on parts of the patents' prior art that I thought were relevant and drafted opinions.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Patent, I looked at the prior art, the relevant sections of the prior art, I would say, I looked at the patent owner's preliminary response and I looked at the board's decision.  Q. And I am not going to put it up on my screen, but you have a copy of the of your declaration, which is Exhibit No. 1002; is that right?  A. Yes, I have a hard copy and a soft copy.  Q. Okay. And is your declaration a complete disclosure of all of your opinions concerning the '868 Patent?  A. It's a it has my opinions in it. I probably have more opinions that are not in there. I mean, previously those are my opinions.  There may be more evidence supporting
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	opinions regarding the '868 Patent before or after you formed your opinions concerning the '267 and '839 Patents?  A. Again, some of the work was done in parallel, so some of it was done in parallel. Some of the opinions were formed in parallel. I don't remember exactly when I formed which opinions. But some of the work was done at the same time.  Q. Okay. And just generally, what was your process in forming your opinions regarding the '868 Patent?  A. The general process is, you know, I looked at the '868 and I looked at the claims, and then I read the prior art and, you know, took a bunch of notes on parts of the patents' prior art that I thought were relevant and drafted opinions.  Q. Now, what did you do to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Patent, I looked at the prior art, the relevant sections of the prior art, I would say, I looked at the patent owner's preliminary response and I looked at the board's decision.  Q. And I am not going to put it up on my screen, but you have a copy of the of your declaration, which is Exhibit No. 1002; is that right?  A. Yes, I have a hard copy and a soft copy.  Q. Okay. And is your declaration a complete disclosure of all of your opinions concerning the '868 Patent?  A. It's a it has my opinions in it. I probably have more opinions that are not in there. I mean, previously those are my opinions.  There may be more evidence supporting those opinions that didn't make it in. I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	opinions regarding the '868 Patent before or after you formed your opinions concerning the '267 and '839 Patents?  A. Again, some of the work was done in parallel, so some of it was done in parallel. Some of the opinions were formed in parallel. I don't remember exactly when I formed which opinions. But some of the work was done at the same time.  Q. Okay. And just generally, what was your process in forming your opinions regarding the '868 Patent?  A. The general process is, you know, I looked at the '868 and I looked at the claims, and then I read the prior art and, you know, took a bunch of notes on parts of the patents' prior art that I thought were relevant and drafted opinions.  Q. Now, what did you do to prepare for your deposition today?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Patent, I looked at the prior art, the relevant sections of the prior art, I would say, I looked at the patent owner's preliminary response and I looked at the board's decision.  Q. And I am not going to put it up on my screen, but you have a copy of the of your declaration, which is Exhibit No. 1002; is that right?  A. Yes, I have a hard copy and a soft copy.  Q. Okay. And is your declaration a complete disclosure of all of your opinions concerning the '868 Patent?  A. It's a it has my opinions in it. I probably have more opinions that are not in there. I mean, previously those are my opinions. There may be more evidence supporting those opinions that didn't make it in. I put the stuff that I thought was the most
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	opinions regarding the '868 Patent before or after you formed your opinions concerning the '267 and '839 Patents?  A. Again, some of the work was done in parallel, so some of it was done in parallel. Some of the opinions were formed in parallel. I don't remember exactly when I formed which opinions. But some of the work was done at the same time.  Q. Okay. And just generally, what was your process in forming your opinions regarding the '868 Patent?  A. The general process is, you know, I looked at the '868 and I looked at the claims, and then I read the prior art and, you know, took a bunch of notes on parts of the patents' prior art that I thought were relevant and drafted opinions.  Q. Now, what did you do to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Patent, I looked at the prior art, the relevant sections of the prior art, I would say, I looked at the patent owner's preliminary response and I looked at the board's decision.  Q. And I am not going to put it up on my screen, but you have a copy of the of your declaration, which is Exhibit No. 1002; is that right?  A. Yes, I have a hard copy and a soft copy.  Q. Okay. And is your declaration a complete disclosure of all of your opinions concerning the '868 Patent?  A. It's a it has my opinions in it. I probably have more opinions that are not in there. I mean, previously those are my opinions.  There may be more evidence supporting those opinions that didn't make it in. I

4 (Pages 10 to 13)



# **MAGNA**

		1	
	Page 14		Page 15
1	Q. Okay.	1	keep trying, and if not, I will
2	A. So there may be some	2	have to log out and log back in.
3	additional stuff, I am saying, depending	3	MR. MERRILL: Yeah, just let
4	on the questions. But that's a full	4	me know, and we will deal with it.
5	representation of my my opinions and	5	BY MR. MERRILL:
6	what I consider to be	6	Q. Okay. Dr. La Porta, could
7	what I consider to be	7	we take a look at Exhibit-1001 which is
8	(Department alamification at	8	
9	(Reporter clarification at	9	the '868 Patent? I am going to put that
	this time.)	1	up on the screen here.
10	THE WITNESS E 1	10	A. I have it on my screen as
11	THE WITNESS: Enough	11	well.
12	evidence to support my opinions.	12	Q. All right.
13	THE COURT REPORTER:	13	MR. MERRILL: Can anybody
14	Counsel, are you having difficulty	14	everyone see my screen?
15	with the audio breaking in and	15	MR. WOLFE: I can, yes.
16	out?	16	MR. MERRILL: Okay.
17	MR. MERRILL: I am not. I	17	BY MR. MERRILL:
18	can hear him fine. I don't know	18	Q. So just looking at the '868
19	if anybody else is having an	19	Patent, are there any figures in this
20	issue.	20	patent that you thought were perhaps
21	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Yeah, I	21	relevant to your opinions in the case?
22	am not having any issues either.	22	A. I will have to flip through
23	MR. WOLFE: I am not either.	23	the opinions excuse me the figures.
24	THE COURT REPORTER: We will	24	I want to look at my screen for that.
	THE COOK! REPORTER: WE WIN		1 want to look at my sereen for that.
	Page 16		Page 17
1		1	
	Q. Yeah, go ahead. And I am	1 2	something that would that was relevant
2	Q. Yeah, go ahead. And I am just kind of asking that generally, if	2	something that would that was relevant to your opinions or material to your
2	Q. Yeah, go ahead. And I am just kind of asking that generally, if there was anything relevant to your	2 3	something that would that was relevant to your opinions or material to your opinions?
2 3 4	Q. Yeah, go ahead. And I am just kind of asking that generally, if there was anything relevant to your opinions in here.	2 3 4	something that would that was relevant to your opinions or material to your opinions?  A. So I don't know that any of
2 3 4 5	Q. Yeah, go ahead. And I am just kind of asking that generally, if there was anything relevant to your opinions in here.  A. I mean, certainly there are	2 3 4 5	something that would that was relevant to your opinions or material to your opinions?  A. So I don't know that any of them stand out more than any of the
2 3 4 5 6	Q. Yeah, go ahead. And I am just kind of asking that generally, if there was anything relevant to your opinions in here.  A. I mean, certainly there are some of the figures that were relevant to	2 3 4 5 6	something that would that was relevant to your opinions or material to your opinions?  A. So I don't know that any of them stand out more than any of the others. And I I would say to the
2 3 4 5 6 7	Q. Yeah, go ahead. And I am just kind of asking that generally, if there was anything relevant to your opinions in here.  A. I mean, certainly there are some of the figures that were relevant to my opinion because I used the figures to	2 3 4 5 6 7	something that would that was relevant to your opinions or material to your opinions?  A. So I don't know that any of them stand out more than any of the others. And I I would say to the extent that I cite the '868, sometimes
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. Yeah, go ahead. And I am just kind of asking that generally, if there was anything relevant to your opinions in here.  A. I mean, certainly there are some of the figures that were relevant to my opinion because I used the figures to understand how to understand the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	something that would that was relevant to your opinions or material to your opinions?  A. So I don't know that any of them stand out more than any of the others. And I I would say to the extent that I cite the '868, sometimes some of that text may be referring to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. Yeah, go ahead. And I am just kind of asking that generally, if there was anything relevant to your opinions in here.  A. I mean, certainly there are some of the figures that were relevant to my opinion because I used the figures to understand how to understand the disclosure in the '868 and, you know, the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	something that would that was relevant to your opinions or material to your opinions?  A. So I don't know that any of them stand out more than any of the others. And I I would say to the extent that I cite the '868, sometimes some of that text may be referring to figures or may be related to figures. So
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. Yeah, go ahead. And I am just kind of asking that generally, if there was anything relevant to your opinions in here.  A. I mean, certainly there are some of the figures that were relevant to my opinion because I used the figures to understand how to understand the disclosure in the '868 and, you know, the claims. So, I mean, there is general	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	something that would that was relevant to your opinions or material to your opinions?  A. So I don't know that any of them stand out more than any of the others. And I I would say to the extent that I cite the '868, sometimes some of that text may be referring to figures or may be related to figures. So while I may not have cited a figure
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q. Yeah, go ahead. And I am just kind of asking that generally, if there was anything relevant to your opinions in here.  A. I mean, certainly there are some of the figures that were relevant to my opinion because I used the figures to understand how to understand the disclosure in the '868 and, you know, the claims. So, I mean, there is general figures that show that it's, you know,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	something that would that was relevant to your opinions or material to your opinions?  A. So I don't know that any of them stand out more than any of the others. And I I would say to the extent that I cite the '868, sometimes some of that text may be referring to figures or may be related to figures. So while I may not have cited a figure directly, I some of the text in the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q. Yeah, go ahead. And I am just kind of asking that generally, if there was anything relevant to your opinions in here.  A. I mean, certainly there are some of the figures that were relevant to my opinion because I used the figures to understand how to understand the disclosure in the '868 and, you know, the claims. So, I mean, there is general figures that show that it's, you know, sort of cellar network that have the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	something that would that was relevant to your opinions or material to your opinions?  A. So I don't know that any of them stand out more than any of the others. And I I would say to the extent that I cite the '868, sometimes some of that text may be referring to figures or may be related to figures. So while I may not have cited a figure directly, I some of the text in the patents was referring to the figures or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. Yeah, go ahead. And I am just kind of asking that generally, if there was anything relevant to your opinions in here.  A. I mean, certainly there are some of the figures that were relevant to my opinion because I used the figures to understand how to understand the disclosure in the '868 and, you know, the claims. So, I mean, there is general figures that show that it's, you know, sort of cellar network that have the architecture of the device with, you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	something that would that was relevant to your opinions or material to your opinions?  A. So I don't know that any of them stand out more than any of the others. And I I would say to the extent that I cite the '868, sometimes some of that text may be referring to figures or may be related to figures. So while I may not have cited a figure directly, I some of the text in the patents was referring to the figures or related to the figures.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. Yeah, go ahead. And I am just kind of asking that generally, if there was anything relevant to your opinions in here.  A. I mean, certainly there are some of the figures that were relevant to my opinion because I used the figures to understand how to understand the disclosure in the '868 and, you know, the claims. So, I mean, there is general figures that show that it's, you know, sort of cellar network that have the architecture of the device with, you know, the processors and the memory. So	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	something that would that was relevant to your opinions or material to your opinions?  A. So I don't know that any of them stand out more than any of the others. And I I would say to the extent that I cite the '868, sometimes some of that text may be referring to figures or may be related to figures. So while I may not have cited a figure directly, I some of the text in the patents was referring to the figures or related to the figures.  Q. And I am going to ask a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. Yeah, go ahead. And I am just kind of asking that generally, if there was anything relevant to your opinions in here.  A. I mean, certainly there are some of the figures that were relevant to my opinion because I used the figures to understand how to understand the disclosure in the '868 and, you know, the claims. So, I mean, there is general figures that show that it's, you know, sort of cellar network that have the architecture of the device with, you know, the processors and the memory. So all those things, you know, as I read the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	something that would that was relevant to your opinions or material to your opinions?  A. So I don't know that any of them stand out more than any of the others. And I I would say to the extent that I cite the '868, sometimes some of that text may be referring to figures or may be related to figures. So while I may not have cited a figure directly, I some of the text in the patents was referring to the figures or related to the figures.  Q. And I am going to ask a question about the specification, the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. Yeah, go ahead. And I am just kind of asking that generally, if there was anything relevant to your opinions in here.  A. I mean, certainly there are some of the figures that were relevant to my opinion because I used the figures to understand how to understand the disclosure in the '868 and, you know, the claims. So, I mean, there is general figures that show that it's, you know, sort of cellar network that have the architecture of the device with, you know, the processors and the memory. So all those things, you know, as I read the '868, I referred to the figures. So yes,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	something that would that was relevant to your opinions or material to your opinions?  A. So I don't know that any of them stand out more than any of the others. And I I would say to the extent that I cite the '868, sometimes some of that text may be referring to figures or may be related to figures. So while I may not have cited a figure directly, I some of the text in the patents was referring to the figures or related to the figures.  Q. And I am going to ask a question about the specification, the written specification. Is there any
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. Yeah, go ahead. And I am just kind of asking that generally, if there was anything relevant to your opinions in here.  A. I mean, certainly there are some of the figures that were relevant to my opinion because I used the figures to understand how to understand the disclosure in the '868 and, you know, the claims. So, I mean, there is general figures that show that it's, you know, sort of cellar network that have the architecture of the device with, you know, the processors and the memory. So all those things, you know, as I read the '868, I referred to the figures. So yes, the figures in general were relevant to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	something that would that was relevant to your opinions or material to your opinions?  A. So I don't know that any of them stand out more than any of the others. And I I would say to the extent that I cite the '868, sometimes some of that text may be referring to figures or may be related to figures. So while I may not have cited a figure directly, I some of the text in the patents was referring to the figures or related to the figures.  Q. And I am going to ask a question about the specification, the written specification. Is there any parts of that that you felt were material
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. Yeah, go ahead. And I am just kind of asking that generally, if there was anything relevant to your opinions in here.  A. I mean, certainly there are some of the figures that were relevant to my opinion because I used the figures to understand how to understand the disclosure in the '868 and, you know, the claims. So, I mean, there is general figures that show that it's, you know, sort of cellar network that have the architecture of the device with, you know, the processors and the memory. So all those things, you know, as I read the '868, I referred to the figures. So yes, the figures in general were relevant to my opinion.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	something that would that was relevant to your opinions or material to your opinions?  A. So I don't know that any of them stand out more than any of the others. And I I would say to the extent that I cite the '868, sometimes some of that text may be referring to figures or may be related to figures. So while I may not have cited a figure directly, I some of the text in the patents was referring to the figures or related to the figures.  Q. And I am going to ask a question about the specification, the written specification. Is there any parts of that that you felt were material to your opinions? And I can direct you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. Yeah, go ahead. And I am just kind of asking that generally, if there was anything relevant to your opinions in here.  A. I mean, certainly there are some of the figures that were relevant to my opinion because I used the figures to understand how to understand the disclosure in the '868 and, you know, the claims. So, I mean, there is general figures that show that it's, you know, sort of cellar network that have the architecture of the device with, you know, the processors and the memory. So all those things, you know, as I read the '868, I referred to the figures. So yes, the figures in general were relevant to my opinion.  Q. Do any of them stick out to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	something that would that was relevant to your opinions or material to your opinions?  A. So I don't know that any of them stand out more than any of the others. And I I would say to the extent that I cite the '868, sometimes some of that text may be referring to figures or may be related to figures. So while I may not have cited a figure directly, I some of the text in the patents was referring to the figures or related to the figures.  Q. And I am going to ask a question about the specification, the written specification. Is there any parts of that that you felt were material to your opinions? And I can direct you to, I believe, paragraph 33 of your
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. Yeah, go ahead. And I am just kind of asking that generally, if there was anything relevant to your opinions in here.  A. I mean, certainly there are some of the figures that were relevant to my opinion because I used the figures to understand how to understand the disclosure in the '868 and, you know, the claims. So, I mean, there is general figures that show that it's, you know, sort of cellar network that have the architecture of the device with, you know, the processors and the memory. So all those things, you know, as I read the '868, I referred to the figures. So yes, the figures in general were relevant to my opinion.  Q. Do any of them stick out to you? I don't recall any of them being	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	something that would that was relevant to your opinions or material to your opinions?  A. So I don't know that any of them stand out more than any of the others. And I I would say to the extent that I cite the '868, sometimes some of that text may be referring to figures or may be related to figures. So while I may not have cited a figure directly, I some of the text in the patents was referring to the figures or related to the figures.  Q. And I am going to ask a question about the specification, the written specification. Is there any parts of that that you felt were material to your opinions? And I can direct you to, I believe, paragraph 33 of your declaration. You cite a little bit right
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. Yeah, go ahead. And I am just kind of asking that generally, if there was anything relevant to your opinions in here.  A. I mean, certainly there are some of the figures that were relevant to my opinion because I used the figures to understand how to understand the disclosure in the '868 and, you know, the claims. So, I mean, there is general figures that show that it's, you know, sort of cellar network that have the architecture of the device with, you know, the processors and the memory. So all those things, you know, as I read the '868, I referred to the figures. So yes, the figures in general were relevant to my opinion.  Q. Do any of them stick out to you? I don't recall any of them being referred to in your declaration. We can	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	something that would that was relevant to your opinions or material to your opinions?  A. So I don't know that any of them stand out more than any of the others. And I I would say to the extent that I cite the '868, sometimes some of that text may be referring to figures or may be related to figures. So while I may not have cited a figure directly, I some of the text in the patents was referring to the figures or related to the figures.  Q. And I am going to ask a question about the specification, the written specification. Is there any parts of that that you felt were material to your opinions? And I can direct you to, I believe, paragraph 33 of your declaration. You cite a little bit right there?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. Yeah, go ahead. And I am just kind of asking that generally, if there was anything relevant to your opinions in here.  A. I mean, certainly there are some of the figures that were relevant to my opinion because I used the figures to understand how to understand the disclosure in the '868 and, you know, the claims. So, I mean, there is general figures that show that it's, you know, sort of cellar network that have the architecture of the device with, you know, the processors and the memory. So all those things, you know, as I read the '868, I referred to the figures. So yes, the figures in general were relevant to my opinion.  Q. Do any of them stick out to you? I don't recall any of them being referred to in your declaration. We can check that, if you want.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	something that would that was relevant to your opinions or material to your opinions?  A. So I don't know that any of them stand out more than any of the others. And I I would say to the extent that I cite the '868, sometimes some of that text may be referring to figures or may be related to figures. So while I may not have cited a figure directly, I some of the text in the patents was referring to the figures or related to the figures.  Q. And I am going to ask a question about the specification, the written specification. Is there any parts of that that you felt were material to your opinions? And I can direct you to, I believe, paragraph 33 of your declaration. You cite a little bit right there?  A. So, you know, it's hard for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. Yeah, go ahead. And I am just kind of asking that generally, if there was anything relevant to your opinions in here.  A. I mean, certainly there are some of the figures that were relevant to my opinion because I used the figures to understand how to understand the disclosure in the '868 and, you know, the claims. So, I mean, there is general figures that show that it's, you know, sort of cellar network that have the architecture of the device with, you know, the processors and the memory. So all those things, you know, as I read the '868, I referred to the figures. So yes, the figures in general were relevant to my opinion.  Q. Do any of them stick out to you? I don't recall any of them being referred to in your declaration. We can check that, if you want.  But do you recall any of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	something that would that was relevant to your opinions or material to your opinions?  A. So I don't know that any of them stand out more than any of the others. And I I would say to the extent that I cite the '868, sometimes some of that text may be referring to figures or may be related to figures. So while I may not have cited a figure directly, I some of the text in the patents was referring to the figures or related to the figures.  Q. And I am going to ask a question about the specification, the written specification. Is there any parts of that that you felt were material to your opinions? And I can direct you to, I believe, paragraph 33 of your declaration. You cite a little bit right there?  A. So, you know, it's hard for me to say what sections I focused on.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. Yeah, go ahead. And I am just kind of asking that generally, if there was anything relevant to your opinions in here.  A. I mean, certainly there are some of the figures that were relevant to my opinion because I used the figures to understand how to understand the disclosure in the '868 and, you know, the claims. So, I mean, there is general figures that show that it's, you know, sort of cellar network that have the architecture of the device with, you know, the processors and the memory. So all those things, you know, as I read the '868, I referred to the figures. So yes, the figures in general were relevant to my opinion.  Q. Do any of them stick out to you? I don't recall any of them being referred to in your declaration. We can check that, if you want.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	something that would that was relevant to your opinions or material to your opinions?  A. So I don't know that any of them stand out more than any of the others. And I I would say to the extent that I cite the '868, sometimes some of that text may be referring to figures or may be related to figures. So while I may not have cited a figure directly, I some of the text in the patents was referring to the figures or related to the figures.  Q. And I am going to ask a question about the specification, the written specification. Is there any parts of that that you felt were material to your opinions? And I can direct you to, I believe, paragraph 33 of your declaration. You cite a little bit right there?  A. So, you know, it's hard for

5 (Pages 14 to 17)





# DOCKET

# Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

### **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

#### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### **LAW FIRMS**

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### **FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

### **E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS**

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

