

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

APOTEX INC.,

Petitioner

v.

REGENERON PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.,

Patent Owner

Inter Partes Review No.: IPR2022-00301

U.S. Patent No. 9,669,069 B2

Filed: December 17, 2015

Issued: June 6, 2017

Inventor: George D. Yancopoulos

Title: USE OF A VEGF ANTAGONIST TO TREAT
ANGIOGENIC EYE DISORDERS

**PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW
OF U.S. PATENT NO. 9,669,069 B2**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

EXHIBIT LIST	viii
I. INTRODUCTION.....	1
II. OVERVIEW.....	1
III. MANDATORY NOTICES (37 C.F.R. § 42.8).....	4
A. REAL PARTIES-IN-INTEREST (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(1)).....	4
B. RELATED MATTERS (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(2)).....	5
C. LEAD AND BACK-UP COUNSEL AND SERVICE INFORMATION (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(3),(4)).....	5
IV. PAYMENT OF FEES UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.15(a) AND § 42.103.....	6
V. GROUNDS FOR STANDING (37 C.F.R. § 42.104(a)).....	7
VI. THRESHOLD REQUIREMENT FOR INTER PARTES REVIEW.....	7
VII. OVERVIEW OF CHALLENGE AND PRECISE RELIEF REQUESTED. ..	7
A. CHALLENGED CLAIMS.....	7
B. STATUTORY GROUNDS OF CHALLENGE.	7
VIII. OVERVIEW OF THE '069 PATENT AND PROSECUTION HISTORY.....	8
A. THE '069 PATENT.	8
B. PROSECUTION HISTORY.....	11
IX. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION (37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(3)).....	13
A. “INITIAL DOSE,” “SECONDARY DOSE,” AND “TERTIARY DOSE.”.....	13
1. Regeneron’s contradictory construction for “tertiary dose,” if presented here, must be rejected.	15

B.	“4 WEEKS” AND “PRO RE NATA (PRN).”	18
C.	“VEGFR1 COMPONENT,” “VEGFR2 COMPONENT,” AND THE “MULTIMERIZATION COMPONENT.”	19
D.	“TREATING.”	19
1.	The “method for treating” element of the preamble is not a limitation on the Challenged Claims, and therefore, does not require construction.....	19
2.	Regeneron’s anticipated argument that the “method for treating” preamble is a positive limitation should be rejected. ..	22
3.	If construed to be a limitation, the preamble’s plain and ordinary meaning—which does not provide any specific efficacy requirement—must govern.	23
X.	PERSON OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART.	24
XI.	THE SCOPE AND CONTENT OF THE PRIOR ART.....	25
A.	VEGF TRAP-EYE/AFLIBERCEPT BACKGROUND.....	25
B.	PETITIONER’S PRIOR ART REFERENCES.	28
1.	Dixon (Ex.1006).....	31
2.	Regeneron (28-April-2008) (Ex.1012).	33
3.	Heier-2009 (Ex.1020).	34
4.	Regeneron (30-April-2009) (Ex.1028).	35
5.	The ’758 patent (Ex.1010).	37
6.	Dix (Ex.1033).....	37
7.	Mitchell (Ex.1030).....	38
8.	Lalwani (Ex.1035).	40
XII.	GROUND FOR UNPATENTABILITY—DETAILED ANALYSIS.	40
A.	ANTICIPATION AND OBVIOUSNESS.	40

1.	Legal standards.	40
2.	Grounds 1&2: Claims 1 and 9-12 are anticipated by both Heier-2009 and Dixon, respectively.	44
3.	Ground 3: Regeneron (30-April-2009) anticipates claims 1 and 9-12.	49
4.	Ground 4: VIEW1/VIEW2 disclosures in Dixon anticipate and/or render obvious claims 1 and 8-12.....	52
	a. Anticipation.	53
	b. Obviousness.	57
5.	Ground 5: The Challenged Claims are obvious over Heier-2009 in combination with either Mitchell or Dixon—and, optionally, either the '758 patent or Dix.	59
	a. A skilled artisan would have been motivated to combine Heier-2009 with either Mitchell or Dixon.	61
	b. Independent Claim 1.....	61
	c. Claim 8.....	65
	d. Claims 9 and 10.	65
	e. Claim 11.....	65
	f. Claim 12.....	66
	g. A skilled artisan would have reasonably expected success.	66
6.	No Secondary Considerations.....	68
XIII.	CONCLUSION.....	71

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Cases

<i>Advanced Display Sys., Inc. v. Kent State Univ.</i> , 212 F.3d 1272 (Fed. Cir. 2000)	39
<i>Arctic Cat Inc. v. GEP Power Prods., Inc.</i> , 919 F.3d 1320 (Fed. Cir. 2019)	20
<i>Ariosa Diagnostics v. Verinata Health, Inc.</i> , 805 F.3d 1359 (Fed. Cir. 2015)	25
<i>Bio-Rad Lab 'ys, Inc. v. 10X Genomics Inc.</i> , 967 F.3d 1353 (Fed. Cir. 2020)	20
<i>Bristol-Myers Squibb Co. v. Ben Venue Lab 'ys, Inc.</i> , 246 F.3d 1368 (Fed. Cir. 2001)	19, 22, 41, 42
<i>Chengdu Kanghong Biotechnology Co. v. Regeneron Pharms., Inc.</i> , No. PGR2021-00035, Paper 6, 9 (P.T.A.B. Apr. 15, 2021)	15
<i>Cubist Pharms., Inc. v. Hospira, Inc.</i> , 75 F. Supp. 3d 641 (D. Del. 2014)	42
<i>GlaxoSmithKline LLC v. Glenmark Pharms., Inc.</i> , No. 14-877-LPS- CJB, 2016 WL 3186657 (D. Del. June 3, 2016)	23
<i>Grünenthal GmbH v. Antecip Bioventures II LLC</i> , No. PGR2019- 00026, 2020 WL 4341822, at *8 (P.T.A.B. July 28, 2020)	38
<i>In re Antor Media Corp.</i> , 689 F.3d 1282 (Fed. Cir. 2012)	42
<i>In re Baxter Travenol Labs</i> , 952 F.2d 388 (Fed. Cir. 1991)	55
<i>In Re: Copaxone Consol. Cases</i> , 906 F.3d 1013, 1022-23 (Fed. Cir. 2018)	20, 22
<i>In re Cruciferous Sprout Litig.</i> , 301 F.3d 1343 (Fed. Cir. 2002)	40

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.