

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

**BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD**

---

EPIC GAMES, INC.,  
Petitioner

v.

INGENIOSHARE, LLC,  
Patent Owner

**U.S. PATENT NO. 10,492,038**

Case IPR2022-TBD

**PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW  
UNDER 35 U.S.C. §312 AND 37 C.F.R. §42.104**

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION .....	1
II.	MANDATORY NOTICES .....	2
A.	Real Party-In-Interest .....	2
B.	Related Matters.....	2
C.	Counsel and Service Information.....	2
D.	37 C.F.R. §42.8(b)(4): Service Information.....	3
III.	PAYMENT OF FEES UNDER 37 C.F.R. §42.103 .....	3
IV.	CERTIFICATION OF GROUNDS FOR STANDING .....	3
V.	OVERVIEW OF CHALLENGE AND RELIEF REQUESTED .....	4
A.	Prior Art Printed Publications .....	4
B.	Relief Requested.....	5
VI.	DISCRETIONARY DENIAL IS NOT APPROPRIATE HERE.....	6
A.	The '038 Patent Has Not Been Subject to a Prior Petition .....	6
B.	The Presented Grounds and Argument Are Dissimilar to the Art and Arguments Previously Presented to the Office .....	7
1.	Becton Dickinson Factors .....	7
2.	The '038 Patent's Challenged Claims Are a Subset of Claims Directed to Substantially Overlapping Subject Matter .....	7
C.	Efficiency, Fairness, and the Merits Support the Exercise of the Board's Authority to Grant the Petition .....	8
VII.	OVERVIEW OF THE TECHNOLOGY.....	13
A.	Network Protocols and Architecture .....	13
B.	Modes of Internet Communications.....	16

Petition for *Inter Partes* Review of U.S. Patent No. 10,492,038

VIII. THE '038 PATENT .....	20
A.    Claims.....	21
B.    Summary of the Specification .....	21
C.    Summary of the Prosecution History .....	23
D.    Person of Ordinary Skill in the Art .....	25
IX. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION .....	25
X. OVERVIEW OF THE PRIOR ART .....	26
A.    Overview of Tanigawa .....	26
A.    Overview of Hullfish.....	29
B.    Overview of Loveland.....	30
C.    Overview of Takahashi .....	31
XI. SPECIFIC GROUNDS FOR PETITION .....	33
A.    Ground I: Claims 7, 10–12, 22–24, 33–36, 38–41, 46, 49, 51–53, 55, 57–58, And 64–66 Are Rendered Obvious by Tanigawa in View of Hullfish.....	33
1.    Motivation to Combine Tanigawa and Hullfish .....	33
2.    Independent Claim 7 .....	37
3.    Dependent Claim 10 .....	56
4.    Dependent Claim 11 .....	56
5.    Dependent Claim 12 .....	58
6.    Dependent Claim 22 .....	59
7.    Dependent Claim 23 .....	59
8.    Dependent Claim 24 .....	59
9.    Dependent Claim 33 .....	59
10.    Dependent Claim 34 .....	61
11.    Dependent Claim 35 .....	62
12.    Dependent Claim 36 .....	63
13.    Independent Claim 38 .....	64
14.    Dependent Claim 39 .....	65
15.    Dependent Claim 40 .....	65

..

Petition for *Inter Partes* Review of U.S. Patent No. 10,492,038

16.	Dependent Claim 41 .....	65
17.	Independent Claim 46 .....	65
18.	Dependent Claim 49 .....	68
19.	Dependent Claim 51 .....	70
20.	Dependent Claim 52 .....	70
21.	Dependent Claim 53 .....	71
22.	Dependent Claim 55 .....	71
23.	Dependent Claim 57 .....	72
24.	Dependent Claim 58 .....	72
25.	Dependent Claim 64 .....	72
26.	Dependent Claim 65 .....	73
27.	Dependent Claim 66 .....	73
B.	Ground II: Claims 8, 9, 43, 44, 47, 48, 50, and 54 Are Rendered Obvious by Tanigawa in View of Hullfish And Loveland .....	74
1.	Motivation to Combine .....	74
2.	Dependent Claim 8 .....	76
3.	Dependent Claim 9 .....	77
4.	Dependent Claim 43 .....	77
5.	Dependent Claim 44 .....	78
6.	Dependent Claim 47 .....	78
7.	Dependent Claim 48 .....	78
8.	Dependent Claim 50 .....	78
9.	Dependent Claim 54 .....	78
C.	Ground III: Claims 37, 42, 56, 59–63, and 67 Are Rendered Obvious by Tanigawa in view of Hullfish And Takahashi.....	79
1.	Motivation to Combine .....	79
2.	Dependent Claim 37 .....	82
3.	Dependent Claim 42 .....	83
4.	Dependent Claim 56 .....	84
5.	Dependent Claim 59 .....	84
6.	Dependent Claim 60 .....	84
7.	Dependent Claim 61 .....	85
8.	Dependent Claim 62 .....	85
9.	Dependent Claim 63 .....	85
10.	Dependent Claim 67 .....	85

...

Petition for *Inter Partes* Review of U.S. Patent No. 10,492,038

D.	Ground IV: Claim 45 Is Rendered Obvious by Tanigawa in View of Hullfish, Loveland, And Takahashi .....	85
1.	Motivation to Combine .....	85
2.	Dependent Claim 45 .....	86
XII.	SECONDARY CONSIDERATIONS .....	86
XIII.	CONCLUSION.....	87

# Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

### LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

### FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

### E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.