

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

EPIC GAMES, INC.,
Petitioner,

v.

INGENIOSHARE, LLC,
Patent Owner.

Case No. IPR2022-00295
Patent No. 10,492,038

PATENT OWNER'S RESPONSE
UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.120

Mail Stop PATENT BOARD, PTAB
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
I. INTRODUCTION	1
II. TERMINOLOGY	1
III. GROUND I – CLAIMS 7, 10–12, 22–24, 33–36, 38–41, 46, 49, 51–53, 55, 57–58, AND 64–66 ARE NOT RENDERED OBVIOUS BY TANIGAWA IN VIEW OF HULLFISH	1
A. Tanigawa (Exhibit 1010)	2
B. Hullfish (Exhibit 1011)	7
C. A POSITA Would Not Be Motivated To Combine Tanigawa And Hullfish To Implement The Claimed Invention Of The '038 Patent	8
1. Tanigawa And Hullfish Are Incompatible	8
2. Petitioner’s Alleged Motivation To Combine Is Nonsense	12
D. [7.0] “Network-Based Portal”	14
1. A “Portal” Is <i>Not</i> A User Terminal Or A Client Communication Device	16
2. The '038 Specification Defines “Portal” As A “Gateway” And Defines A “Gateway” As A “Networked Server”	17
3. The Functionality Of A “Portal” Is Different Than That Of A Client Communication Device	17
4. The Claims Also Distinguish A “Portal” From A Client Communication Device	19

5.	Petitioner’s Construction Is Contradictory	19
6.	Tanigawa’s User Interface Is Not A “Network-Based Portal”	21
7.	Patent Owner’s Construction Of NBP Does Not Exclude A Preferred Embodiment	22
8.	Petitioner’s Construction Is Contradictory	24
9.	Tanigawa’s User Interface Is Not A “Network- Based Portal”	25
10.	[7.0] Summary	28
E.	[7.1] “A Prior Registration Process” Is Not Obviated By The Combination Of Tanigawa And Hullfish	28
F.	[7.3] “Messages Are Eligible To Be Received ... All Depending On An Identifier” Is Not Rendered Obvious By The Alleged Combination	30
G.	[7.4] “Block” Is Not Rendered Obvious By The Alleged Combination	32
H.	[7.5] The Alleged Combination Does Not Render Obvious “Enabling, Via The Network-Based Portal, The First Message To Be Received” “Depending On <i>The Identifier</i> ” “In View Of The Second User <i>Not Blocking</i> The First User”	34
I.	[7.8] “Even When The Message Is Received” “The Contact Information” “Is <i>Not</i> Provided” Is Not Rendered Obvious By The Alleged Combination	35
1.	The Petitioner’s Positions On “NBP” And “Contact Information <i>Not</i> Provided” Are Incompatible	35

2.	The Combination Teaches That The Recipient’s Contact Information Is Sent To The Sender’s Client Communication Device	36
i.	Tanigawa Teaches A POSITA That Contact Information <i>Is</i> Provided	36
ii.	Tanigawa Teaches A POSITA That IM Clients Receive Presence Information	39
iii.	Tanigawa Teaches A POSITA That IM Clients Use Client Addresses To Initiate Communication	40
3.	Tanigawa Does Not Teach Or Suggest Hiding Contact Information	41
4.	Petitioner’s Reliance On Dr. Almeroth Is Misplaced	41
5.	Petitioner’s Argument Is Wrong And Is Outweighed By Patent Owner’s Evidence	44
6.	The Institution Decision	46
7.	[7.8] Summary	46
IV.	GROUND II – CLAIMS 8, 9, 43, 44, 47, 48, 50, AND 54 ARE NOT RENDERED OBVIOUS BY TANIGAWA IN VIEW OF HULLFISH AND LOVELAND	46
V.	GROUND III – CLAIMS 37, 42, 56, 59–63, AND 67 ARE NOT RENDERED OBVIOUS BY TANIGAWA IN VIEW OF HULLFISH AND TAKAHASHI	47
VI.	GROUND IV – CLAIM 45 IS NOT RENDERED OBVIOUS BY TANIGAWA IN VIEW OF HULLFISH, LOVELAND, AND TAKAHASHI	48
VII.	CONCLUSION	49

...

PATENT OWNER'S EXHIBIT LIST

Exhibit 2001	Complaint
Exhibit 2002	Epic Games Inc.'s Preliminary Invalidity Contentions
Exhibit 2003	Order Setting Markman Hearing
Exhibit 2004	Epic Games Inc.'s Opening Claim Construction Brief
Exhibit 2005	Declaration of Dr. George N. Rouskas, Ph.D.
Exhibit 2006	Decision Denying Institution, IPR2022-00297 (PTAB May 26, 2022)
Exhibit 2007	Judge Chang, IPR2022-00294, Paper No. 13 (PTAB June 7, 2022) (dissent)
Exhibit 2008	CV of Dr. George N. Rouskas, Ph.D.
Exhibit 2009	U.S. Patent Application 2002/0116461 ("Diacakis")

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.