

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

EPIC GAMES, INC.,
Petitioner,

v.

INGENIOSHARE, LLC,
Patent Owner.

Case No. IPR2022-00294
Patent No. 10,492,038

**DECLARATION OF PROFESSOR
GEORGE N. ROUSKAS, PH.D.**

Exhibit 2005

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
INTRODUCTION	1
QUALIFICATIONS	1
BASES OF OPINIONS	6
APPLICABLE LEGAL STANDARDS	6
A. Ordinary Skill in the Art	6
B. Claim Construction	8
C. Obviousness (35 U.S.C. § 103)	9
TERMINOLOGY	12
GROUND I – DIACAKIS DOES NOT OBVIATE CLAIMS 7, 10-12, 22-24, 33-36, 38-41, 46, 49, 51-53, 55, 57-58, OR 64-66	12
A. Diacakis (Exhibit 1007)	12
1. Diacakis Does Not Provide Or Support Messages	18
B. [7.0] “Network-Based Portal”	19
1. A “Portal” Is <i>Not</i> A User Terminal Or A “Client Communication Device”	21
2. The ’038 Specification Defines “Portal” As A “Gateway” And Defines A “Gateway” As A “Networked Server”	21
3. The Functionality Of A “Portal” Is Different Than That Of A Client Communication Device	22

4.	The Claims Also Distinguish A “Portal” From A Client Communication Device	23
5.	The User Interface Of Diacakis Is Not A “Portal”	25
6.	Petitioner’s Construction Of A Network- Based Portal Impermissibly Requires “A Plurality Of Users” To Have Access To The First User’s Device	26
7.	Dr. Almeroth’s Testimony Is Not Supported By The Specification	27
8.	Patent Owner’s Construction Of A NBP Does Not Exclude A Preferred Embodiment	28
9.	[7.0] Summary	30
C.	[7.1] Is Not Obviated By Diacakis	31
D.	[7.3] “Messages Are Eligible To Be Received ... All Depending On An Identifier” Is Not Rendered Obvious By Diacakis	31
E.	[7.5] Is Not Obviated By Diacakis	34
F.	[7.7] Is Not Rendered Obvious By Diacakis	35
G.	[7.8] “Even When The Message Is Received” “The Contact Information” “Is Not Provided” Is Not Rendered Obvious By Diacakis	36
1.	The Petitioner’s Positions On “NBP” And “Contact Information Not Provided” Are Incompatible	36

2.	Diacakis Teaches That The Recipient’s Contact Information Is Sent To The Sender’s Client Device	37
i.	Diacakis Teaches A POSITA That Contact Information Is Provided	38
ii	The Board Already Determined That Diacakis Teaches A POSITA That Contact Information Is Provided	41
iii	Judge Chang Also Determined That Diacakis Teaches A POSITA That Contact Information Is Provided	41
3.	Diacakis’s Blocked Users Do Not Receive A Message	42
4.	The Institution Decision	42
5.	[7.8] Summary	44
	GROUND II – CLAIMS 8, 9, 43, 44, 47, 48, 50, AND 54 ARE NOT RENDERED OBVIOUS BY DIACAKIS AND LOVELAND	44
	GROUND III – CLAIMS 37, 42, 56, 59–63, AND 67 ARE NOT RENDERED OBVIOUS BY DIACAKIS AND TAKAHASHI	45
	GROUND IV – CLAIM 45 IS NOT RENDERED OBVIOUS BY DIACAKIS, LOVELAND, AND TAKAHASHI	46
	CONCLUSION	46

INTRODUCTION

1. My name is George Rouskas. I have been retained as an expert witness to provide my independent opinions in regards with matters at issue in the *inter partes* review of U.S. 10,492,038 (“the ’038 Patent”) in the IPR2022-00294. I have been retained by IngenioShare LLC, the Patent Owner, in the above proceeding.

2. Unless otherwise noted, the statements made herein are based on my personal knowledge, and if called to testify about this declaration, I could and would do so competently and truthfully.

3. A detailed record of my professional qualifications including cases in which I was an expert has been submitted as Exhibit 2008 and is summarized in Section II, *infra*.

4. I am not a legal expert and offer no opinions on the law. However, I have been informed by counsel of the various legal standards that apply, and I have applied those standards in arriving at my conclusions.

QUALIFICATIONS

5. I am an Alumni Distinguished Graduate Professor with Tenure in the Department of Computer Science at North Carolina State University (NC State), where I also serve as the Director of Graduate Programs. I am an experienced researcher and educator in the field of computer networking, with expertise in

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.