# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

### BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

EPIC GAMES, INC., **Petitioner**,

v.

INGENIOSHARE, LLC, **Patent Owner.** 

Case No. IPR2022-00294 Patent No. 10,492,038 B2

## PETITIONER'S NOTICE OF APPEAL



Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. §§ 141(c) and 142 and 37 C.F.R. § 90.2(a), Petitioner Epic Games, Inc. ("Petitioner") hereby appeals to the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit from the Patent Trial and Appeals Board's ("Board") Final Written Decision, entered May 19, 2023 (Paper 30) (a copy of the decision is attached), and from all underlying and related findings, orders, decisions, rulings, and opinions.

For the limited purpose of providing the Director with the information requested in 37 C.F.R. § 90.2(a)(3)(ii), Petitioner further indicates that the issues on appeal may include, but are not limited to:

- 1. Whether the Board erred in its construing the term "network-based portal."
- 2. Whether, under the proper construction of "network-based portal," Petitioner demonstrated by a preponderance of the evidence that claims 7, 10–12, 22–24, 33–36, 38–41, 46, 49, 51–53, 55, 57, 58, and 64–66 of U.S. Patent No. 10,492,038 (the "'038 patent") are unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as obvious over U.S. Publication No. 2002/0116461 A1 ("Diacakis").
- 3. Whether, under the proper construction of "network-based portal," Petitioner demonstrated by a preponderance of the evidence that claims 8, 9, 43, 44, 47, 48, 50, and 54 of the '038 patent are unpatentable under



35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as obvious over Diacakis and U.S. Patent No.

7,287,056 B2 ("Loveland").

4. Whether, under the proper construction of "network-based portal,"

Petitioner demonstrated by a preponderance of the evidence that claims

37, 42, 56, 59–63, and 67 of the '038 patent are unpatentable under

35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as obvious over Diacakis and U.S. Publication No.

2002/0183114 A1 ("Takahashi").

5. Whether, under the proper construction of "network-based portal,"

Petitioner demonstrated by a preponderance of the evidence that claim

45 of the '038 patent is unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as

obvious over Diacakis, Loveland, and Takahashi.

Petitioner further reserves the right to challenge any finding or determination

supporting or relating to the issues above.

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 90.2(a), Petitioner is filing one copy of this Notice of

Appeal with the Director, and electronically filing a copy of this Notice Appeal with

the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit with the requisite filing fee, in

addition to filing this Notice of Appeal with the Board.

DATED: July 20, 2023

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ W. Todd Baker

W. Todd Baker (Reg. No. 42,625)

KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP



Attorney for Petitioner



## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I certify that on July 20, 2023, this document was served on the Director at this address, under 35 U.S.C. § 142 and 37 C.F.R. §§ 90.2(a)(1) and 90.3(a)(1):

Director of the United States Patent and Trademark Office c/o Office of the General Counsel United States Patent and Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

I certify that on July 20, 2023, this document was electronically served on the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit, under 37 C.F.R. § 90.2(a)(2), Fed. Cir. R. 15(a), and Fed. R. App. P. 15(a).

I certify that on July 20, 2023, this document was electronically served on counsel for Patent Owner, under 37 C.F.R. § 42.6(e)(1)-(3):

Cortney Alexander (cortneyalexander@kentrisley.com) Stephen R. Risley (steverisley@kentrisley.com)

A courtesy copy was also served via overnight delivery directed to the attorney/agent of record for the patent as identified on USPTO PAIR and associated with USPTO Customer No. 34,071 at the following address:

C. Thomas (No. 32,947)
Peter Tong (No. 35,757)
4010 Moorpark Ave., Ste. 211
San Jose, CA 95117

DATED: July 20, 2023 Respectfully submitted,

/s/ W. Todd Baker
W. Todd Baker (Reg. No. 45,625)



# DOCKET

# Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

# **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

# **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

# **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

#### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### **LAW FIRMS**

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### **FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

### **E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS**

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

