

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

EPIC GAMES, INC.,  
Petitioner,

v.

INGENIOSHARE, LLC,  
Patent Owner.

---

Case No. IPR2022-00291  
Patent No. 10,708,727

---

**PATENT OWNER'S RESPONSE  
UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.120**

---

Mail Stop PATENT BOARD, PTAB  
Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<b>Page</b>
I. INTRODUCTION .....	1
II. TERMINOLOGY .....	1
III. GROUND I – DIACAKIS DOES NOT OBVIATE CLAIMS 1-6, 15, and 17 .....	1
A. Diacakis (Exhibit 1007) .....	1
1. Diacakis Does Not Provide Or Support Messages ...	8
B. [1.0] “Network-Based Portal” .....	9
1. A “Portal” Is <i>Not</i> A User Terminal Or A Client Communication Device .....	11
2. The ’727 Specification Defines “Portal” As A “Gateway” And Defines A “Gateway” As A “Networked Server” .....	11
3. The Functionality Of A “Portal” Is Different Than That Of A Client Communication Device .....	12
4. Requiring The “Portal” To Be On The Sender’s Client Communication Device Leads To A Contradiction .....	13
5. The User Interface Of Diacakis Is Not A “Portal” .....	14
6. Dr. Almeroth’s Testimony Is Not Supported By The Specification .....	16
7. Patent Owner’s Construction Of A NBP Does Not Exclude A Preferred Embodiment.....	17

8.	[1.0] Summary .....	19
C.	[1.3] “Any Of The Plurality Of Modes Of Communication” “All Depending On An Identifier” “Previously Set By The Second User” Is Not Obviated By Diacakis .....	20
1.	Requiring The “Portal” To Be On The Sender’s Client Communication Device Leads To A Contradiction .....	22
D.	[1.5] Is Not Obviated By Diacakis .....	23
E	[1.8] “Even When The Message Is Received” “Contact Information” “Is Not Provided” Is Not Obviated By Diacakis .....	24
1.	The Petitioner’s Positions On “NBP” And “Contact Information Not Provided” Are Incompatible .....	24
2.	Diacakis Teaches That The Recipient’s Contact Information Is Sent To The Sender’s Client Device .....	26
i.	Diacakis Teaches A POSITA That Contact Information Is Provided .....	26
ii	The Board Already Determined That Diacakis Teaches A POSITA That Contact Information Is Provided .....	29
iii	Judge Chang Also Determined That Diacakis Teaches A POSITA That Contact Information Is Provided .....	30
3.	Diacakis’s Blocked Users Do Not Receive A Message .....	31
4.	[1.8] Summary .....	31

∴

F.	[3.0] .....	31
G.	[6.0] .....	31
H.	[9.0] .....	31
I.	[17.0].....	32
IV.	GROUND II – CLAIMS 7-9 ARE NOT RENDERED OBVIOUS BY DIACAKIS AND LOVELAND .....	32
V.	GROUND III – CLAIM 16 IS NOT RENDERED OBVIOUS BY DIACAKIS AND TAKAHASHI .....	33
VI.	GROUND IV – HULLFISH COMBINED WITH TANIGAWA DOES NOT OBVIATE CLAIMS 1-3, 6, 15, and 17 TO A POSITA .....	33
A.	Tanigawa (Exhibit 1010) .....	33
B.	Hullfish (Exhibit 1011) .....	39
C.	A POSITA Would Not Be Motivated To Combine Tanigawa And Hullfish To Implement The Claimed Invention Of The '727 Patent .....	40
1.	Tanigawa And Hullfish Are Incompatible .....	40
2.	Petitioner’s Alleged Motivation To Combine Is Nonsense .....	43
D.	[1.0] “Network-Based Portal” .....	46
1.	A “Portal” Is <i>Not</i> A User Terminal Or A Client Communication Device .....	48
2.	The '727 Specification Defines “Portal” As A “Gateway” And Defines A “Gateway” As A “Networked Server” .....	48

...

3.	The Functionality Of A “Portal” Is Different Than That Of A Client Communication Device .....	49
4.	Petitioner’s Construction Is Contradictory .....	50
5.	Tanigawa’s User Interface Is Not A “Network-Based Portal” .....	51
E.	[1.3] “Any Of The Plurality Of Modes Of Communication” “All Depending On An Identifier” Is Not Obviated By Tanigawa And Hullfish .....	54
F.	[1.4] “Block” Is Not Rendered Obvious By The Combination .....	56
G.	[1.5] The Combination Does Not Obviate “Enabling The First Message” “Depending On <i>The Identifier</i> ” “In View Of The Second User <i>Not Blocking</i> The First User” .....	58
H.	[1.8] Is Not Obviated By The Combination .....	58
1.	The Petitioner’s Positions On “NBP” And “Contact Information <i>Not Provided</i> ” Are Incompatible .....	58
2.	The Combination Teaches That The Recipient’s Contact Information Is Sent To The Sender’s Client Communication Device .....	59
i.	Tanigawa Teaches A POSITA That Contact Information Is Provided .....	60
ii.	Tanigawa Teaches A POSITA That IM Clients Receive Presence Information .....	62

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.