

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD.,
SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC., and GOOGLE LLC
Petitioner

v.

SCRAMOGE TECHNOLOGY LTD.
Patent Owner.

**PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW
OF U.S. PATENT NO. 9,997,962**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
I. INTRODUCTION	1
II. MANDATORY NOTICES	1
III. FEE AUTHORIZATION	3
IV. GROUNDS FOR STANDING.....	3
V. PRECISE RELIEF REQUESTED	3
VI. THE CHALLENGED PATENT	5
VII. LEVEL OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART	8
VIII. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION	9
IX. DETAILED EXPLANATION OF THE GROUNDS.....	10
A. Ground 1: Claims 1-8 and 18-19 are rendered obvious by Suzuki in view of Okada	10
1. Obviousness Rationale.....	10
2. Independent Claims 1, 18	12
3. Dependent Claims 2-8 and 19.....	32
B. Ground 2: Claims 2-8 are rendered obvious by Suzuki in view of Okada and Yang.....	44
1. Obviousness Rationale.....	44
C. Ground 3: Claims 1-8 and 18-19 are rendered obvious by Suzuki in view of Okada and Lin.....	47
1. Obviousness Rationale.....	47
D. Ground 4: Claims 2-8 are rendered obvious by Suzuki in view of Okada, Lin, and Yang	50
E. Ground 5: Claims 1-8 and 18-19 are rendered obvious by Suzuki in view of Lee.....	51
1. Obviousness Rationale.....	51
2. Independent Claims 1, 18	53
3. Dependent Claims 2-8 and 19.....	70

TABLE OF CONTENTS
(continued)

	Page
F. Ground 6: Claim 6 is rendered obvious by Suzuki in view of Lee and Lin.....	78
1. Obviousness Rationale.....	78
X. THE BOARD SHOULD NOT EXERCISE ITS DISCRETION UNDER SECTION 325(D).....	79
XI. THE BOARD SHOULD NOT USE ITS DISCRETION TO DENY INSTITUTION UNDER <i>FINTIV</i>	81
XII. THE BOARD SHOULD NOT EXERCISE ITS DISCRETION UNDER <i>GENERAL PLASTICS</i>	85
XIII. CONCLUSION.....	87

LIST OF EXHIBITS¹

Ex-1001	U.S. Patent No. 9,997,962
Ex-1002	Declaration of Dr. Gary Woods
Ex-1003	Curriculum Vitae of Dr. Gary Woods
Ex-1004	Prosecution History of U.S. Patent No. 9,997,962
Ex-1005	U.S. Patent No. 9,515,513 to Suzuki et al.
Ex-1006	U.S. Patent Publication No. 2009/0284341 to Okada et al.
Ex-1007	U.S. Patent No. 9,252,611 to Lee et al.
Ex-1008	<i>Scramoge Technology Ltd. 's Infringement Contentions</i> regarding U.S. Patent No. 9,997,962 against Samsung in <i>Scramoge Technology Ltd. v. Samsung Elec. Co., Ltd.</i> , No. 6:21-cv-00454-ADA (Sept. 7, 2021)
Ex-1009	English-language translation of Korean Patent Publication No. 10-2013-0050633 to Jang et al., original Korean Publication No. 10-2013-0050633, and translation certificate
Ex-1010	U.S. Patent Publication No. 2011/0210696 to Inoue
Ex-1011	Double Coated Tapes with Adhesive 350, 3M (November, 2008)
Ex-1012	Double Coated Tape with Adhesive 420, 3M (January, 2011)
Ex-1013	U.S. Patent No. 8,268,092 to Yang
Ex-1014	U.S. Patent Publication No. 2014/0147625 to Lin
Ex-1015	English-language translation of Taiwanese Patent Application No. 101144204 to Lin, original Taiwanese Patent Application No.

¹ Four-digit pin citations that begin with 0 refer to branded page numbers added by Samsung in the bottom right corner of the exhibits. All other pin citations are to original page, column, paragraph, and/or line numbers.

	101144204, and translation certificate
Ex-1016	English-language translation of PCT Application No. PCT/KR2012/011256, original PCT Application No. PCT/KR2012/011256, and translation certificate
Ex-1017	Excerpt of Encyclopedia of Materials: Science and Technology, Volume 9, pp. 8840-8843 (2001)
Ex-1018	Scheduling Order, <i>Scramoge Technology Ltd. v. Google LLC</i> , No. 6:21-cv-00616 (W.D. Tex. Nov. 15, 2021), ECF No. 28.
Ex-1019	Proposed Scheduling Order, <i>Scramoge Technology Ltd. v. Samsung Elec. Co., Ltd.</i> , No. 6:21-cv-00454-ADA (W.D. Tex. Sept. 27, 2021), ECF No. 34-1.
Ex-1020	Google LLC's Opposed Motion to Transfer Venue to the Northern District of California Under 28 U.S.C. ¶ 1404(a) [redacted], <i>Scramoge Technology Ltd. v. Google LLC</i> , No. 6:21-cv-00616 (W.D. Tex. Sept. 29, 2021), ECF No. 26.
Ex-1021	Standing Order Governing Proceedings – Patent Cases, <i>Scramoge Tech. Ltd. v. Samsung Elec. Co., Ltd.</i> , 6:21-cv-454-ADA (W.D. Tex. Oct. 8, 2021), ECF No. 36

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.