

US007374757B2

(12) United States Patent

Papadopoulos et al.

(54) MODIFIED CHIMERIC POLYPEPTIDES WITH IMPROVED PHARMACOKINETIC PROPERTIES

- (75) Inventors: Nicholas J. Papadopoulos, Lagrangeville, NY (US); Samuel Davis, New York, NY (US); George D. Yancopoulos, Yorktown Heights, NY (US)
- (73) Assignee: Regeneron Pharmaceuticals, Inc.. Tarrytown, NY (US)
- (*) Subject to any disclaimer, the term of this patent is extended or adjusted under 35 U.S.C, 154(b) by 489 days.

This patent is subject to a terminal disclaimer.

- (21) Appl. No.: 11/016,097
- (22) Dec. 17, 2004

(65) Prior Publication Data

US 2005/0163798 Al Jul. 28, 2005

Related U.S. Application Data

- (62) Division of application No. $10/009,852$, filed as appli-
exists No. $DCTAJ500/14142$ as M_{\odot} 22, 2000, results cation No. PCT/US00/14142 on May23, 2000, now Pat. No. 7,070,959.
- (60) Provisional application No. 60/138,133, filed on Jun. 8, 1999,

(51) Int. Cl.

- (52) US. Ch ooocccccccceeeeeseeeeeeeeees 424/134.1; 424/192.1; §14/2: 514/12; 530/350; 536/23.4
- (58) Field of Classification Search None See application file for complete search history.

(56) References Cited

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

WO WO97/44453 11/1997

(10) Patent No.: US 7,374,757 B2
(45) Date of Patent: *May 20, 2008 (45) Date of Patent:

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Terman, B.I., et al, "Identification of ^a new endothelial cell growth factor receptor tyrosine kinase", Oncogene (1991) 6:1677-1683. Terman, B.L., et al, "Identification of the KDR tyrosine kinase as a

receptor for vascular endothelial cell growth factor", Biochem Biophys Res Comm (1992) 187(3):1579-1586,

Tsutsumi. Y., et al. "PEGylation of interleukin-6 effectively increases its thrombopoietic potency", Thrombosis and Haemostasis (1997) 77(1): 168-173.

Dunca, R. and Spreafico, F., "Polymer Conjugates", Drug Delivery Systems (1994) 27(4):290-306.

Hileman, R.F., et al., "Glycosaminoglycan-protein interactions: definitions of consensus sites in glycosaminoglycan binding proteins", BioEssays (1998) 20: 156-167.

deVries, Carlie, et al., "The fms-like tyrosine kinase, a receptor for vascular endothelial growth factor", Science (1992) 225:989-991. Sharifi, J., et al., "Lmproving monoclonal antibody pharmacokinet-

ics via chemical modification", Quart J Nucl Med (1998) 42:242- 249.

Jensen-Pippo, K.E., et al., "Enteral bioavailability of human granulocyte colony stimulating factor conjugated with poly(ethylene glycol)", (1996) Pharm Res 13(1):102-107,

Tanaka, K., et al., "Characterization of the extracellular domain in vascular endothelial growth factor receptor-l (FIt-l Tyrosine kinase)", (1997) Jpn J Cancer Res 88:867-876.

Yang, JC., et al. "The use of polyethylene glycol-modified interleukin-2 (PEG-IL-2) in the treatment of patients with metastatic renal cell carcinoma and melanoma", (1995) Cancer 76(4): 687- 694.

Davis-Smyth, T., et al., 1996, "The second immunoglobulin-like domain of the VEGF tyrosine kinase receptor Flt-1 determines ligand binding and mayinitiate a signal transduction cascade", The EMBO Journal 15(18):4919-4927,

* cited by examiner

Primary Examiner—Christine ^J Saoud Assistant Examiner—Jon ^M Lockard (74) Attorney, Agent, or Firm—Gregg Valeta, Esq.

(57) ABSTRACT

Modified chimeric polypeptides with improved pharmacokinetics are disclosed. Specifically, modified chimeric FItl receptor polypeptides that have been modified in such a way as to improve their pharmacokinetic profile are disclosed. Also disclosed are methods of making and using the modified polypeptides including but not limited to using the modified polypeptides to decrease or inhibit plasma leakage and/or vascular permeability in a mammal.

7 Claims, 55 Drawing Sheets

Fig.6B.

 $--\overline{48}--$ acetylated Flt-1(1-3)-Fc (30X)

Fig.10A.

10* 20 * 30 50 60*« 40* t * * * * * * *« .
ATG GTC AGC TAC TGG GAC ACC GGG GTC CTG CTG TGC GCG CTG CTC AGC TGT CTG CTT CTC TAC CAG TCG ATG ACC CTG TGG CCC CAG GAC GAC ACG CGC GAC GAG TCG ACA GAC GAA GAG Met Val Ser Tyr Trp Asp Thr Gly Val Leu Cys Ala Leu Leu Ser Cys Leu Leu Leu> 70 90 100 110* 120 80 \cdot \cdot * * * * ACA GGA TCT AGT TCA GGT TCA AAA TTA AAA GAT CCT GAA CTG AGT TTA AAA GGC ACC CAG TGT CCT AGA TCA AGT CCA AGT TTT AAT TTT CTA GGA CTT GAC TCA AAT TTT CCG TGG GTC Thr Gly Ser Ser Gly Ser Lys Leu Lys Asp Pre Glu Leu Ser Leu Lys Gly Thr Gln> 130 140* 150 160* 170* 180 * * * * * \bullet \bullet CAC ATC ATG CAA GCA GGC CAG ACA CTG CAT CTC CAA TGC AGG GGG GAA GCA GCC CAT AAA CCG GTC TGT GAC GTA GAG GTT ACG TCC CCC CTT CGT CGG GTA TTT GTG TAG TAC GTT CGT CCG GTC TGT GAC GTA GAG GTT ACG TCC CCC CTT CGT CGG GTA TTT
His Ile Met Gln Ala Gly Gln Thr Leu His Leu Gln Cys Arg Gly Glu Ala Ala His Lys> 230 _ 190 200* 210 220* 240 . \overline{y} \overline{y} \bullet ۰. TCT TIG CCT GAA ATG GTG AGT AAG GAA AGC GAA AGG CTG AGC ATA ACT AAA TCT GCC AGA AAC GGA CTT TAC CAC TCA TTC CTT TCG CTT TCC GAC TCG TAT TGA TTT AGA CGG Non Are don't in the the form it is the committee of the committee and the fact the committee of the ser Alax 250 260* 270 280* 290* 300 * GGA AGA AAT GGC AAA CAA TTC TGC AGT ACT TTA ACC TTG AAC ACA GCT CAA GCA AAC CCT TCT TTA CCG TTT GTT AAG ACG TCA TGA AAT TGG AAC TTG TGT CGA GTT CGT TTG Gly Arg Asn Gly Lys Gln Phe Cys Ser Thr Leu Thr Leu Asn Thr Ala Gln Ala Asn> 310 * 320 * 330 340* 350 t 360 * * TAC AGC TGC AAA TAT CTA GCT GTA CCT ACT TCA AAG AAG AAG GAA ACA ACT GGC TIC TAC AGC TGC AAA TAT CIA GCT GIA CCT ACT TCA AAS AAG AAG GAA ACA Thr Gly Phe Tyr Ser Cys Lys Tyr Leu Ala Val Pro Thr Ser Lys Lys Lys Glu Thr> 400 * 370 * 380 * 390 410* 420 * * TCT GCA ATC TAT ATA TTT ATT AGT GAT ACA GGT AGA CCT TTC GTA GAG ATG TAC AGT AGA CGT TAG ATA TAT AAA TAA TCA CTA TGT CCA TCT GGA AAG CAT CTC TAC ATG TCA Ala Ile Tyr Ile Phe Ile Ser Asp Thr Gly Arg Pro Phe Val Glu Met Tyr Ser> 460 470* 480 430* 440* 450 * * * CCC GAA ATT ATA CAC ATG ACT GAA GGA AGG GAG CTC GTC ATT CCC TGC CGG GTT TAG GGG CTT TAA TAT GTG TAC TGA CTT CCT TCC CTC GAG CAG TAA GGG TAG GGG CTT TAA TAT GTG TAC TGA CTT CCT TCC CTC GAG CAG TAA GGG ACG GCC CAA
Ile Pro Glu Ile Ile His Met Thr Glu Gly Arg Glu Leu Val Ile Pro Cys Arg Val> 490 500* 510 520 530* 540 CCT AAC ATC ACT GTT ACT TTA AAA AAG TTT CCA CTT GAC ACT TTG ATC CCT GAT GGA TIG TAG TGA CAA TGA AAT TTT TIC AAA GGT GAA CTG TGA AAC TAG GGA CTA er Pro Asn Ile Thr Val Thr Leu Lys Lys Phe Pro Leu Asp Thr Leu Ile Pro Asp> Fig. 10B.

1050 1060 1070 1080 1030 1040 $\ddot{}$ ÷ \star ۰ GAC AAA ACT CAC ACA TGC CCA CCG TGC CCA GCA CCT GAA CTC CTG GGG GGA CCG TCA GTC CTG TTT TGA GTG TGT ACG GGT GGC ACG GGT CGT GGA CTT GAG GAC CCC CCT GGC AGT CAG Asp Lys Thr His Thr Cys Pro Pro Cys Pro Ala Pro Glu Leu Leu Gly Gly Pro Ser Val>

Fig. 10C.

1600 1610 1570 1580 1590 1620 \star \bullet \star $\qquad \qquad \star$ $\ddot{}$ \star \bullet \bullet \mathbf{r} \star \star \cdot GAC GGC TCC TTC TTC CTC TAC AGC AAG CTC ACC GTG GAC AAG AGC AGG TGG CAG CAG GGG CTG CCG AGG AAG AAG GAG ATG TCG TTC GAG TGG CAC CTG TTC TCG TCC ACC GTC GTC CCC Asp Gly Ser Phe Phe Leu Tyr Ser Lys Leu Thr Val Asp Lys Ser Arg Trp Gln Gln Gly

Fig.10D.

 $1630 \t\t 1640 \t\t 1650 \t\t 1660 \t\t 1670 \t\t 1680$ AAC GTC TIC TCA TGC TCC GIG ATG CAT GAG GCT CTG CAC AAC CAC TAC ACG CAG AAG AGC TTG CAG AAG AGT ACG AGG CAC TAC GTA CTC CGA GAC GIG TIG GIG ATG TGC GU TIC TCG Asn Val Phe Ser Cys Ser Val Met His Glu Ala Leu His Asn His Tyr Thr Gln Lys Ser>

 1690 1700 cic TC CTG TCT CCG GGT AAA TGA GAG AGG GAC AGA GGC CCA TIT ACT Leu Ser Leu Ser Pro Gly Lys ***>

Fig.13A.

Fig.13B

⁵⁵⁰ ⁵⁶⁰ ⁵⁷⁰ ⁵⁸⁰ ⁵⁹⁰ ⁶⁰⁰ * * * . * . * * « * GGA AAA CGC ATA ATC TGG GAC AGT AGA AAG GGC TIC ATC ATA TCA AAT GCA ACG TAC AAA CCT TIT GCG TAT TAG ACC CTG TCA TCT TTC CCG AAG TAG TAT AGT TTA CGT TGC ATG TTT Gly Lys Arg Ile Ile Trp Asp Ser Arg Lys Gly Phe Ile Ile Ser Asn Ala Thr Tyr Lys>

 610 620 GAA ATA GGG CTT CTG ACC TGT GAA ACA GTC AAT GGG CAT TTG TAT AAG ACA AAC TAT CTT TAT CCC GAA GAC TGG ACA CTT CGT TGT CAG TTA CCC GTA-AAC ATA TTC TGT TTG ATA
Glu Ile Glu Isu Isu Emer Gue Glu Ale Emer Val Aen Gly His Leu Tyr Lys Thr Asn Tyr Glu Ile Gly Leu Leu Thr Cys Glu Ala Thr Val Asn Gly His Leu Tyr Lys Thr Asn Tyr> ⁶³⁰ ⁶⁴⁰ ⁶⁵⁰ ⁶⁶⁰ * * * .

⁶⁷⁰ ⁶⁸⁰ ⁶⁹⁰ ⁷⁰⁰ ⁷¹⁰ ⁷²⁰ * * ⁼ * * * * * * * * * Circ ACA CAT CGA CAA ACC AAT ACA ATC ATA GAT GTC CAA ATA AGC ACA CCA CGC CCA GTC GAG TGT GTA GCT GIT TGG TTA TGT TAG TAT CTA CAG GTT TAT TCG TGT GGT GCG GGT CAG Leu Thr His Arg Gln Thr Asn Thr Ile Ile Asp Val Gln Ile Ser Thr Pro Arg Pro Val>

⁷³⁰ ⁷⁴⁰ ⁷⁵⁰ ⁷⁶⁰ ⁷⁷⁰ ⁷⁸⁰ * * * * * * * * * * * * AAA TTA CTT AGA GGC CAT ACT CTT GIC CIC AAT IGT ACT GCT ACC ACT CCC TTG AAC ACG TTT AAT GAA TCT CCG GTA TGA GAA CAG GAG TTA ACA TGA CGA TGG TGA GGG AAC TIG TGC Lys Leu Leu Arg Gly His Tnr Leu Val Leu Asn Cys Thr Ala Thr Thr Pro Leu Asn Thr>

⁷⁹⁰ ⁸⁰⁰ ⁸¹⁰ ⁸²⁰ ⁸³⁰ ⁸⁴⁰ * * * * * * * * * * GTT CAA ATG ACC TGG AGT TAC CCT GAT GAA ATT GAC CAA AGC AAT TCC CAT GCC AAC TCT CAA GTT TAC TGG ACC TCA ATG GGA CTA CTT TAA CTG GTT TCG TTA AGG GTA CGG TTG Val Gln Met Thr Trp Ser Tyr Pro Asp Glu Ile Asp Gln Ser Asn Ser His Ala Asn>

⁸⁵⁰ ⁸⁶⁰ ⁸⁷⁰ ⁸⁸⁰ ⁸⁹⁰ ⁹⁰⁰ * * * * * *. * * * * * * ATA TTC TAC AGT GTT CTT ACT ATT GAC AAA ATG CAG AAC AAA GAC AAA GGA CTT TAT ACT TAT AAG ATG TCA CAA GAA TGA TAA CIG TIT TAC GTC TIG TIT CIG TIT CCT ATA TGA Ile Phe Tyr Ser Val Leu Thr Ile Asp Lys Met Gln Asn Lys Asp Lys Gly Leu '

⁹¹⁰ ⁹²⁰ ⁹³⁰ 940 ⁹⁵⁰ ⁹⁶⁰ * * . * TGT CGT GTA AGG AGT GGA CCA TCA TTC AAA TCT GTT AAC ACC TCA GTG CAT ATA TAT GAT TGT GTA AGG AGT GGA CCA TCA TTC AAA TCT GTT AAC ACC TCA GTG CAT ATA TAT GAT
ACA GCA CAT TCC TCA CCT GGT AGT AAG TTT AGA CAA TTG TGG AGT CAC GTA TAT ATA CTA
Cus Arg Val Arg Ser Gly Pro Ser Phe Iys Ser Val Asp Thr Ser Val Hi Cys Arg Val Arg Ser Gly Pro Ser Lys Ser Val Asn Thr Ser Val His Ile Tyr Asp> 0 940 950 960
* * * * * * *

³⁷⁰ ³⁸⁰ ⁹⁹⁰ 1000 ¹⁰¹⁰ ¹⁰²⁰ * * * * * * AAA GCA GGC CCG GGC GAG CCC AAA TCT TGT GAC AAA ACT CAC ACA TGC CCA CCG TGC CCA TTT CGT CCG GGC CCG CTC GGG TTT AGA ACA CTIG TIT TGA GTG TGT ACG GGT GCC ACG GCT Lys Ala Gly Pro Gly Glu Pro Lys Ser Cys Asp Lys Thr His Thr Cys Pro Pro Cys Pro> 500 550 1000

 1030 1040 1050 1060 1070 1080 GCA CCT GAA CTC CTG GGG GGA CCG TCA GTC TTC CTC TTC CCC CCA AAA CCC AAG GAC ACC CGT GGA CTT GAG GAC CCC CCT GGC AGT CAG AAG GAG AAG GGG GGT TIT GGG TIC CTG TGG Ala Pro Glu Leu Leu Gly Gly Pro Ser Val Phe Leu Phe Pro Pro Lys Pro Lys Asp Thr>

Fig.13C.

1090 1100 1110 1120 1130 1140 * * * * * * * * * * * * CTC ATG ATC TCC CGG ACC CCT GAG GIC ACA TGC GTG GIG GTG GAC GTG AGC CAC GAA GAC GAG TAC TAG AGG GCC TGG GGA CTC CAG TGT ACG CAC CAC CAC CTG CAC TCG GIG CTT CTG Leu Met Ile Ser Arg Thr Pro Glu Val Thr Cys Val Val Val Asp Val Ser His Glu Asp> 1150 1160 1170 1160 1190 1200 * * * * * * * * * * * * CCT GAG GTC AAG TIC AAC TGG TAC GTG GAC GGC GTG GAG GTG CAT AAT GCC AAG ACA AAG GGA CTC CAG TTC AAG TTG ACC ATG CAC CTG CCG CAC CTC CAC GTA TTA CGG TTC TGT TTC Pro Glu Val Lys Phe Asn Trp Tyr Val Asp Gly Val Glu Val His Asn Ala Lys Thr Lys> 1210 1220 1230 1240 1250 1260 * * * * * * * * * * * * CCG CGG GAG GAG CAG TAC AAC AGC ACG TAC CGT GIG GIC AGC GTC CTC ACC GIC CTIG Cac GGc Gcc CIc CIC GIC ATG TIG TOG TCC ATG GCA CAC CAG TCG CAG GAG TGG CAG GAC GIG Pro Arg Glu Glu Gln Tyr Asn Ser Thr Tyr Arg Val Val Ser Val Leu Thr Val Leu His> 1270 1280 1290 1300 1310 1320 CAG GAC TGG CTGAAT GGC AAG GAG TAC AAG TGC AAG GIC TCC AAC AAA GCC CIC CCA GCC GTC CTG ACC GAC TTA CCG TIC CTC ATG TIC ACG TIC CAG AGG TIG TIT CGG GAG GGT CGG Gln Asp Trp Leu Asn Gly Lys Glu Tyr Lys Cys Lys Val Ser Asn Lys Ala Leu Pro Ala> * 1330 1340 1350 1360 1370 1380 * * * * * * *« * * * * * ccc ATC GAG AAA ACC ATC TCC AAA GCC AAA GGG CAG CCC CGA GAA CCA CAG GTG TAC ACC GGG TAG CIC TIT TGG TAG AGG TIT CGG TIT CCC GIC GGG GCT CTT GGT GTC CAC ATG TGS Pro Ile Glu Lys Thr Ile Ser Lys Ala Lys Gly Gln Pro Arg Glu Pro Gln Val Tyr Thr> 1390 1400 1410 1420 1430 1440 * * * * * * * * * * ® * CTG CCC CCA TCC CGG GAT GAG CTG ACC AAG. AAC CAG GTC AGC CTG ACC TGC CTIG GIC AAA GAC GGG GGT AGG GCC CTA CIC GAC IGG TIC TIG GTC CAG TCG GAC TGG ACG GAC CAG TIT Leu Pro Pro Ser Arg Asp Glu Leu Thr Lys Asn Gin Val Ser Leu Thr Cys Leu Val Lys> 1450 1460 1470 1480 1490 1500 * * * * * * * * * * * * GGC TIC TAT CCC AGC GAC ATC GCC GIG GAG TGG GAG AGC AAT GGG CAG CCG GAG AAC AAC

CCG AAG ATA GGG TCG CTIG TAG CG CAC CIC ACC CTC TOG TTA CCC GTC Got CTC TIG TIG Gly Phe Tyr Pro Ser Asp Ile Ala Val Glu Trp Glu Ser Asn Gly Gin Pro Glu Asn Asn>

¹⁵¹⁰ ¹⁵²⁰ ¹⁵³⁰ ¹⁵⁴⁰ ¹⁵⁵⁰ ¹⁵⁶⁰ * * * * * * * * * * * TAC AAG ACC ACG CCT CCC GTG CTG GAC TCC GAC GGC TCC TTC TTC CTC TAC AGC AAG CTC ATG TTC TGG TGC GGA GGG CAC GAC CTG AGG CTG CCG AGG AAG AAG GAG ATG TCG TIC GAG Tyr Lys Thr Thr Pro Pro Val Leu Asp Ser Asp Gly Ser Phe Phe Leu Tyr Ser Lys Leu> *

¹⁵⁷⁰ ¹⁵⁸⁰ ¹⁵⁹⁰ ¹⁶⁰⁰ ¹⁶¹⁰ ¹⁶²⁰ * * * * * * * * * * * * ACC GTG GAC AAG AGC AGG TGG CAG CAG GGG AAC GTC TIC TCA TGC TCC GIG ATG CAT GAG TGG CAC CIG TIC TCG TCC ACC GTC GIC CCC TIG CAG AAG AGT ACG AGG CAC TAC GTA CTC Thr Val Asp Lys Ser Arg Trp Gln Gln Gly Asn Val Phe Ser Cys Ser Val Met His Glu>

Fig.13D.

1630 1640 1650 1660 1670 * * * * * * * * * ® GCT CIG CAC AAC CAC TAC ACG CAG AAG AGC CTC TCC CTG TCT CCG GGT AAA TGA CGA GAC GIG TTG GIG ATG TGC GIC TIC TCG GAG AGG GAC AGA GGC CCA TIT ACT Ala Leu His Asn His Tyr Thr Gln Lys Ser Leu Ser Leu Ser Pro Gly Lys ***> Fig. 14A.

60 30 40 50 ATG GTC AGC TAC TGG GAC ACC GGG GTC CTG CTG TGC GCG CTG CTC AGC TGT CTG CTT CTC TAC CAG TCG ATG ACC CTG TGG CCC CAG GAC GAC ACG CGC GAC GAG TCG ACA GAC GAA GAG Met Val Ser Tyr Trp Asp Thr Gly Val Leu Leu Cys Ala Leu Leu Ser Cys Leu Leu Leu> 90 100 110 120 70 80 \star \star \star ACA GGA TCT AGT TCC GGA GGT AGA CCT TTC GTA GAG ATG TAC AGT GAA ATC CCC GAA ATT TGT CCT AGA TCA AGG CCT CCA TCT GGA AAG CAT CTC TAC ATG TCA CTT TAG GGG CTT TAA Thr Gly Ser Ser Ser Gly Gly Arg Pro Phe Val Glu Met Tyr Ser Glu Ile Pro Glu Ile> 160 170 180 150 140 130 \star ATA CAC ATG ACT GAA GGA AGG GAG CTC GTC ATT CCC TGC CGG GTT ACG TCA CCT AAC ATC TAT GTG TAC TGA CTT CCT TCC CTC GAG CAG TAA GGG ACG GCC CAA TGC AGT GGA TTG TAG Ile His Met Thr Glu Gly Arg Glu Leu Val Ile Pro Cys Arg Val Thr Ser Pro Asn Ile> 240 220 230 190 200 210 \star \star ACT GTT ACT TTA AAA AAG TTT CCA CTT GAC ACT TTG ATC CCT GAT GGA AAA CGC ATA ATC TGA CAA TGA AAT TTT TTC AAA GGT GAA CTG TGA AAC TAG GGA CTA CCT TTT GCG TAT TAG Thr Val Thr Leu Lys Lys Phe Pro Leu Asp Thr Leu Ile Pro Asp Gly Lys Arg Ile Ile> 300 290 270 280 250 260 \star TGG GAC AGT AGA AAG GGC TTC ATC ATA TCA AAT GCA ACG TAC AAA GAA ATA GGG CTT CTG ACC CTG TCA TCT TTC CCG AAG TAG TAT AGT TTA CGT TGC ATG TTT CTT TAT CCC GAA GAC Trp Asp Ser Arg Lys Gly Phe Ile Ile Ser Asn Ala Thr Tyr Lys Glu Ile Gly Leu Leu> 360 350 330 340 310 320 \bullet ACC TGT GAA GCA ACA GTC AAT GGG CAT TTG TAT AAG ACA AAC TAT CTC ACA CAT CGA CAA TGG ACA CTT CGT TGT CAG TTA CCC GTA AAC ATA TTC TGT TTG ATA GAG TGT GTA GCT GTT Thr Cys Glu Ala Thr Val Asn Gly His Leu Tyr Lys Thr Asn Tyr Leu Thr His Arg Gln> 420 410 390 400 370 380 \star \star \star \bullet ACC AAT ACA ATC ATA GAT GTC CAA ATA AGC ACA CCA CGC CCA GTC AAA TTA CTT AGA GGC TGG TTA TGT TAG TAT CTA CAG GTT TAT TCG TGT GGT GCG GGT CAG TTT AAT GAA TCT CCG Thr Asn Thr Ile Ile Asp Val Gln Ile Ser Thr Pro Arg Pro Val Lys Leu Leu Arg Gly> 480 470 460 430 440 450 \bullet \star \star \star \star ٠ CAT ACT CTT GTC CTC AAT TGT ACT GCT ACC ACT CCC TTG AAC ACG AGA GTT CAA ATG ACC GTA TGA GAA CAG GAG TTA ACA TGA CGA TGG TGA GGG AAC TTG TGC TCT CAA GTT TAC TGG His Thr Leu Val Leu Asn Cys Thr Ala Thr Thr Pro Leu Asn Thr Arg Val Gln Met Thr> 540 520 530 490 500 510 TGG AGT TAC CCT GAT GAA ATT GAC CAA AGC AAT TCC CAT GCC AAC ATA TTC TAC AGT GTT

ACC TCA ATG GGA CTA CTT TAA CTG GTT TCG TTA AGG GTA CGG TTG TAT AAG ATG TCA CAA Trp Ser Tyr Pro Asp Glu Ile Asp Gln Ser Asn Ser His Ala Asn Ile Phe Tyr Ser Val>

Fig.14B.

580* ⁵⁹⁰ ⁶⁰⁰ * . * * 550 560* 570 * * ACT ATT GAC AAA ATG CAG GAC AAA GGA CTT TAT ACT TGT CGT GTA AGG AGT ACT ATT GAC AAA ATG CAG AAC
TGA TAA CTG TTT TAC GTC TTG
Thr Ile Asp Lys Met Gln Asm CTIG TTT CCT GAA ATA TGA ACA GCA CAT TCC TCA Thr Ile Asp Lys Met Gln Asp Lys Gly Leu Tyr Thr Cys Arg Val Arg Ser> 630 640 650 660* 610 620 $\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{r}$. The set of $\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{r}$ \rightarrow CCA TCA TTC AAA TCT GTT AAC ACC TCA GTG CAT ATA TAT GAT AAA GCA GGC CCG GGC GGT AGT AAG TTT AGA CAA TTG TGG AGT CAC GTA TAT ATA CTA TTT CGT CCG GGC CCG Gly Pro Ser Phe Lys Ser Val Asn His Ile Tyr Asp Lys Ala Gly Pro Gly> 700 * 710 670 680 690 720* \mathbb{R}^n . The set of \mathbb{R}^n $\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{r}$ * * \bullet * . . CCC AAA TCT TGT GAC AAA ACT CAC ACA TGC CCA CCG TGC CCA GCA CCT GAA CTC CTG GGG TIT AGA ACA CIG TIT TGA GTG TGT ACG GGT GGC ACG GGT CGT GGA CTT GAG GAC Glu Pro Lys Ser Cys Asp Lys Thr His Thr Cys Pro Pro Cys Pro Ala Pro Glu Leu Leu $\begin{array}{ccc}\n & 770 \\
\star & \star & \star & \star \\
\end{array}$ 760* 730 740 750 \star \bullet GGA CCG TCA GTC TTC CTC TTC CCC CCA AAA CCC AAG GAC ACC CTC ATG ATC TCC CGG CCT GGC AGT CAG AAG GAG AAG GGG GGT TTT GGG TTC CTG TGG GAG TAC TAG AGG GCC Gly Gly Pro Ser Val Phe Leu Phe Lys Asp Thr Leu Met Ile Ser Arg> Pro 810 820 ⁸³⁰ * . * 840* ⁷⁹⁰ * * 800* \star CCT GAG GTC ACA TGC GTG GTG GTG GAC GTG AGC CAC GAA GAC CCT GAG GTC AAG TTC GGA CTC CAG TGT ACG CAC CAC CAC CTG CAC TCG GTG CTT CTG GGA CTC CAG TTC AAG Pro Glu Val Thr Cys Val Val Val Asp Val Ser His Glu Asp Pro Glu Val Lys Phe> 890 900 870 880 _{*} 850 860* * \bullet TAC GTG GAC GGC GTG GAG GTG CAT AAT GCC AAG ACA AAG CCG CGG GAG GAG CAG ATG CAC CTG CCG CAC CTC CAC GTA TTA CGG TTC TGT TTC GGC GCC CTC CTC GTC

930 940* 950 . . ⁹¹⁰ ⁹²⁰ * * * * \bullet TAC AAC AGC ACG TAC CGT GTG GTC AGC GTC CTC ACC GTC CTG CAC CAG GAC TGG CTG AAT ATG TTG TCG TGC ATG GCA CAC CAG TCG CAG GAG TGG CAG GAC GTG GTC CTG ACC GAC TTA Ser Thr Tyr Arg Val Val Ser Val Leu Thr Val Leu His Gln Asp Trp Leu Asn>

Asn Trp Tyr Val Asp Gly Val Glu Val His Asn Ala Lys Thr Lys Pro Arg Glu Glu Gln>

990 1000 1010 1020 970 980* \star GAG TAC AAG TGC AAG GTC TCC AAC AAA GCC CTC CCA GCC CCC ATC GAG AAA ACC GAG TAC AAG TOO AAG GTO TOO AAD AAN GOO CTC CON GOO COO ATG GIB TIT AGG Gly Glu Tyr Lys Cys Lys Val Leu Pro Ala Pro Ile Glu Lys Thr> Asn Lys Ala

 1070 1080 1040* 1050 1060* 1030 \bullet \bullet ATC TCC AAA GCC AAA GGG CAG CCC CGA GAA CCA CAG GTG TAC ACC CTG CCC CCA TCC CGG TAG AGG TTT CGG TTT CCC GTC GGG GCT CTT GGT GTC CAC ATG TGG GAC GGG GGT AGG GCC nd hoo in oos in oos oos oos oo saa dhamad ah iyr Thr Leu Pro Pro Ser Arg> Ile Ser Lys Ala Lys Gly Gln Pro Arg

Fig. 14C.

1090 1100 1120 1130 1140 1110 \star \mathbf{r} \cdot \star \star \cdot GAT GAG CTG ACC AAG AAC CAG GTC AGC CTG ACC TGC CTG GTC AAA GGC TTC TAT CCC AGC CTA CTC GAC TGG TTC TTG GTC CAG TCG GAC TGG ACG GAC CAG TTT CCG AAG ATA GGG TCG Asp Glu Leu Thr Lys Asn Gln Val Ser Leu Thr Cys Leu Val Lys Gly Phe Tyr Pro Ser>

1190 1180 1200 1150 1160 1170 \rightarrow \rightarrow \blacksquare \rightarrow \star $\ddot{}$ \star \star GAC ATC GCC GTG GAG TGG GAG AGC AAT GGG CAG CCG GAG AAC AAC TAC AAG ACC ACG CCT CTG TAG CGG CAC CTC ACC CTC TCG TTA CCC GTC GGC CTC TTG TTG ATG TTC TGG TGC GGA Asp Ile Ala Val Glu Trp Glu Ser Asn Gly Gln Pro Glu Asn Asn Tyr Lys Thr Thr Pro>

1250 1260 1230 1240 1210 1220 \star \bullet \bullet \star ٠ \star \star \star CCC GTG CTG GAC TCC GAC GGC TCC TTC TTC CTC TAC AGC AAG CTC ACC GTG GAC AAG AGC GGG CAC GAC CTG AGG CTG CCG AGG AAG AAG GAG ATG TCG TTC GAG TGG CAC CTG TTC TCG Pro Val Leu Asp Ser Asp Gly Ser Phe Phe Leu Tyr Ser Lys Leu Thr Val Asp Lys Ser>

1300 1310 1320 1290 1270 1280 \star \star $\;$ $\;$ \rightarrow $\ddot{}$ \star \bullet \rightarrow \star AGG TGG CAG CAG GGG AAC GTC TTC TCA TGC TCC GTG ATG CAT GAG GCT CTG CAC AAC CAC TCC ACC GTC GTC CCC TTG CAG AAG AGT ACG AGG CAC TAC GTA CTC CGA GAC GTG TTG GTG Arg Trp Gln Gln Gly Asn Val Phe Ser Cys Ser Val Met His Glu Ala Leu His Asn His>

1330 1340 1350 \star \star \star $\pmb{\star}$ \star \rightarrow TAC ACG CAG AAG AGC CTC TCC CTG TCT CCG GGT AAA TGA ATG TGC GTC TTC TCG GAG AGG GAC AGA GGC CCA TTT ACT Tyr Thr Gln Lys Ser Leu Ser Leu Ser Pro Gly Lys ***>

Fig.15A.

 $10 \t 20 \t 30 \t 40 \t 50 \t 60$ ATG GTC AGC TAC TGG GAC ACC GGG GTC CTG CTIG TGC GCG CIG CTC AGC TCT CTG CTT CTC TAC CAG TCG ATG ACC CIG TGG CCC CAG GAC GAC ACG CGC GAC GAG TCG ACA GAC GAA GAG Met Val Ser Tyr Trp Asp Thr Gly Val Leu Leu Cys Ala Leu Leu Ser Cys Leu Leu Leu> ⁷⁰ ⁸⁰ ⁹⁰ ¹⁰⁰ ¹¹⁰ ¹²⁰ * * * * * * * * * * * * ACA GGA TCT AGT TCC GGA GGT AGA CCT TIC GTA GAG ATG TAC AGT GAA ATC CCC GAA ATT TGT CCT AGA TCA AGG CCT CCA TCT GGA AAG CAT CTC TAC ATG TCA CTT TAG GGG CTT TAA Thr Gly Ser Ser Ser Gly Gly Arg Pro Phe Val Glu Met Tyr Ser Glu Ile Pro Glu Ile> 130 140 150 160 170 180
* * * * * * * * * * * * ATA CAC ATG ACT GAA GGA AGG GAG CTC GIC ATT CCC TGC CGG GTT ACG TCA CCT AAC ATC TAT GIG TAC TGA CTT CCT TCC CTC GAG CAG TAA GGG ACG GCC CAA TGC AGT GGA TIG TAG Ile His Met Thr Glu Gly Arg Glu Leu Val Ile Pro Cys Arg Val Thr Ser Pro Asn Ile> 190 200 210 220 230 240
* * * * * * * * * * * * * ACT GTT ACT TTA AAA AAG TTT CCA CTT GAC ACT TTG ATC CCT GAT GGA AAA CGC ATA ATC ACT GIT ACT TIA AAA AAS TIT CCA CIT GAC ACT TIG ATC CCT GAT GGA AAA CGC ATA ATC Thr Val Thr Leu Lys Lys Phe Pro Leu Asp Thr Leu Ile Pro Asp Gly Lys Arg Ile Ile> * * ²⁵⁰ ²⁶⁰ ²⁷⁰ ²⁸⁰ ²⁹⁰ ³⁰⁰ * * * * * * * * * * * * TGG GAC AGT AGA AAG GGC TTC ATC ATA TCA AAT GCA ACG TAC AAA GAA ATA GGG CTT CTG ACC CTG TCA TCT TIC CCG AAG TAG TAT AGT TIA CGT TGC ATG TIT CTT TAT CCC GAA GAC Trp Asp Ser Arg Lys Gly Phe Ile Ile Ser Asn Ala Thr Tyr Lys Glu Ile Gly Leu Leu> 310 320 330 340 350 360 x * * * * * * * * * *. * ACC TGT GAA GCA ACA GIC AAT GGG CAT TTG TAT AAG ACA AAC TAT CIC ACA CAT CGA CAA TGG ACA CTT CGT TGT CAG TTA CCC GTA AAC ATA TTC TGT TIG ATA GAG TGT GTA GCT GIT Thr Cys Glu Ala Thr Val Asn Gly His Leu Tyr Lys Thr Asn Tyr Leu Thr His Arg Gln> 370 380 390 400 410 420 ACC AAT ACA ATC ATA GAT GTC CAA ATA AGC ACA CCA CGC CCA GTC AAA TIA CTT AGA GCC IGG TTA TGT TAG TAT CTA CAG GTT TAT TCG TGT GGT GCG GGT CAG TTT AAT GAA TCT COG Thr Asn Thr Ile Ile Asp Val Gln Ile Ser Thr Pro Arg Pro Val Lys Leu Leu Arg Gly> 430 440 450 460 470 480 CAT ACT CTT GTC CTC AAT TGT ACT GCT ACC ACT CCC TTG AAC ACG AGA GTT CAA ATG ACC * * * * * * * *

GTA TGA GAA CAG GAG TTA ACA TGA CGA TGG TGA GGG AAC TTG TGC TCT CAA GTT TAC TGG CAT ACT CTT GTC CTC AAT TGT ACT GCT ACC ACT CCC TTG AAC ACG AGA GTT CAA ATG ACC
GTA TGA GAA CAG GAG TTA ACA TGA CGA TGG TGA GGG AAC TTG TGC TCT CAA GTT TAC TGG
His Thr Leu Val Leu Asn Cys Thr Ala Thr Thr Pro Leu Asn Thr Ar

490 500 510 520 530 540 AGT TAC CCT GAT GAA AAA AAT AAG AGA GCT TCC GTA AGG CGA CGA ATT GAC CAA AGC ACC TCA ATG GGA CTA CTT TTT TTA TTC TCT CGA AGG CAT TCC GCT GCT TAA CTG GTT TCG Ser Tyr Pro Asp Glu Lys Asn Lys Arg Ala Ser Val Arg Arg Arg Ile Asp Gln Ser> Fig.15B.

550 560 570 580 590 600 * * * * * * * * * * * AAT TCC CAT GCC AAC ATA TIC TAC AGT GIT ACT ATT GAC AAA ATG CAG AAC AAA GAC TTA AGG GTA CGG TTG TAT AAG ATG TCA CAA GAA TGA TAA CTG TTT TAC GTC TTG TTT CTG Asn Ser His Ala Asn Ile Phe Tyr Ser Val Leu Thr Ile Asp Lys Met Gln Asn Lys Asp> 610 620 630 640 650 660 * * * * * * * * * * * * AAA GGA CTT TAT ACT TGT CGT GTA AGG AGT GGA CCA TCA TIC AAA TCT GTT AAC ACC TCA TTT CCT GAA ATA TGA ACA GCA CAT TCC TCA CCT GGT AGT AAG TIT AGA CAA TTG TGG AGT Lys Gly Leu Tyr Thr Cys Arg Val Arg Ser Gly Pro Ser Phe Lys Ser Val Asn Thr Ser> 670 680 690 700 710 720 * *. * * * * * * * * * * GTG CAT ATA TAT GAT AAA GCA GGC CCG GGC GAG CCC AAA TCT TGT GAC AAA ACT CAC ACA CAC GTA TAT ATA CTA TIT CGT CCG GGC COG CIC GGG TIT AGA ACA CTIG TTT TGA GIG TCT Val His Ile Tyr Asp Lys Ala Gly Pro Gly Glu Pro Lys Ser Cys Asp Lys Thr His Thr> ⁷³⁰ ⁷⁴⁰ ⁷⁵⁰ ⁷⁶⁰ ⁷⁷⁰ ⁷⁸⁰ * * * * * * ® * TGC CCA COG TGC CCA GCA CCT GAA CTC CTG GGG GGA COG TCA GTC TTC CTC TTC CCC CCA ACG GGT GGC ACG GGT CGT GGA CTT GAG GAC CCC CCT GGC AGT CAG AAG GAG AAG GGG GGT Cys Pro Pro Cys Pro Ala Pro Glu Leu Leu Gly Gly Pro Ser Val Phe Leu Phe Pro Pro> \overline{a} \overline{b} \overline{c} $\overline{$ 790 800 810 820 830 840 * * * * * * * * * * AAA CCC AAG GAC ACC CTC ATG ATC TCC CG ACC CCT GAG GIC ACA TGC GTG GIG GIG GAC TTT GGG TTC CTG TGG GAG TAC TAG AGG GCC TGG GGA CIC CAG TGT ACG CAC CAC CAC CTIG Lys Pro Lys Asp Thr Leu Met Ile Ser Arg Thr Pro Glu Val Thr Cys Val Val Val Asp> ⁸⁵⁰ ⁸⁶⁰ ⁸⁷⁰ ⁸⁸⁰ ⁸⁹⁰ ⁹⁰⁰ * * * * ⁼ * * * * * CAC GAA GAC CCT GAG GTC AAG TTC AAC TGG TAC GTG GAC GGC GTG GAG GTG CAT
GTG AGC CAC GAA GAC CCT GAG GTC AAG TTC AAC TGG TAC GTG GAC GGC GTG GAG GTG CAT AGO CAC GAA GAC COI GAS GIC AAS ITC AAC TOO IND CTC DID COO CAC CTC CAC GTA
TOG GTG CTT CTG GGA CTC CAG TTC AAG TTG ACC ATG CAC CTG CCG CAC CTC CAC GTA
Ser His Glu Asp Pro Glu Val Lys Phe Asp Tro Tyr Val Asp Gly Val Glu Va Val Ser His Glu Asp Pro Glu Val Lys Tyr Val Asp Gly Val Glu Val His> ⁹¹⁰ ⁹²⁰ ⁹³⁰ ⁹⁴⁰ ⁹⁵⁰ ⁹⁶⁰ *. * * * * * : * * * AAT GCC AAG ACA AAG CCG CGG GAG GAG CAG TAC AAC AGC ACG TAC CGT GTG GTC AGC GTC TTA CGG TTC TGT TTC GGC GCC CTC CTC GTC ATG TTG TCG TGC ATG GCA CAC CAG Asn Ala Lys Thr Lys Pro Arg.Glu Glu Gln Tyr Asn Ser Thr Tyr Arg Val Val Ser Val> 970 980 990 1000 1010 1020 * * * * * * * * * * * * * * cTc ACC GTC CTG CAC CAG GAC TGG CTG AAT GGC AAG GAG TAC AAG TGC AAG GTC TCC AAC GAG TGG CAG GAC GIG GIC CTG ACC GAC TTA COG TIC CIC ATG TTC ACG TTC CAG AGG TIG Leu Thr Val Leu His Gln Asp Trp Leu Asn Gly Lys Glu Tyr Lys Cys Lys Val Ser Asn> 1030 1040 1050 1060 1070 1080
* * * * * * * * * * * *

AAA GCC CTC CCA GCC CCC ATC GAG AAA ACC ATC TCC AAA GCC AAA GGG CAG CCC CGA GAA TTT CGG GAG GGT CGG GGG TAG CTC TTT TGG TAG AGG TTT CGG TTT CCC GIC GG GT CTT Lys Ala Leu Pro Ala Pro Ile Glu Lys Thr Ile Ser Lys Ala Lys Gly Gln Pro Arg Glu>

Fig.15C.

 1090 1100 1110 1120 1130 1140 CCA CAG GIG TAC ACC CTG CCC CCA TCC CGG GAT GAG CTG ACC AAG AAC CAG GTC AGC CTIG GGT GTC CAC ATG TGS GAC GGG GGT AGG GOC CTA CTC GAC TGG TIC TIG Gic CAG TOG GAC Pro Gln Val Tyr Thr Leu Pro Pro Ser Arg Asp Glu Leu Thr Lys Asn Gln Val Ser Leu> ¹¹⁵⁰ ¹¹⁶⁰ ¹¹⁷⁰ ¹¹⁸⁰ ¹¹⁹⁰ ¹²⁰⁰ * * * * * * * * od ^s ACC TGC CTG GTC AAA GGC TIC TAT CCC AGC GAC ATC GCC GTG GAG TGG GAG AGC AAT GGG TGG ACG GAC CAG TIT CCG AAG ATA GGG TCG CTG TAG CGG CAC Cie acc CTC TCG TTA CCC Thr Cys Leu Val Lys Gly Phe Tyr Pro Ser Asp Ile Ala Val Glu Trp Glu Ser Asn Gly> $*$ 1160 1210 1220 1230 1240 1250 1260 CAG CCG GAG AAC AAC TAC AAG ACC ACG CCT CCC GIG CTG GAC TCC GAC GGC TCC TIC TIC GTC GGC Crc TIG TIG ATG TIC TGG TGC GGA GGG CAC GAC CTG AGG CTG COG AGG AAG AAG Gin Pro Glu Asn Asn Tyr Lys Thr Thr Pro Pro Val Leu Asp Ser Asp Gly Ser Phe Phe> 1270 1280 1290 1300 1310 1320
* * * * * * * * * * * * * CTC TAC AGC AAG CTC ACC GTG GAC AAG AGC AGG TGG CAG CAG GGG AAC GTC TTC TCA TGC GAG ATG TOG TTC GAG IGG CAC CIG TIC 1G TCC ACC GTC GTC CCO TIG CAG AAG AGT ACG Leu Tyr Ser Lys Leu Thr Val Asp Lys Ser Arg Trp Gln Gin Gly Asn Val Phe Ser Cys> 1330 1340 1350 1360 1370 1380 $*$ * * * * * * * TCC GTG ATG CAT GAG GCT CTG Cac AAC CAC TAC ACG CAG AAG AGC CIC TCC CTG TCT CCG AGG CAC TAC GTA CTC CGA GAC GTG TIG GTG ATG TGC GTC TIC TCS GAG AGG GAC AGA GCC Ser Val Met His Glu Ala Leu His Asn His Tyr Thr Gln Lys Ser Leu Ser Leu Ser Pro> *

GGT AAA TGA CCA TIT ACT Gly Lys ***> \sim \sim

Fig.16A.

Fig. 16B.

590 600 580 550 560 570 ٠ \star \bullet \star GGA AAA CGC ATA ATC TGG GAC AGT AGA AAG GGC TTC ATC ATA TCA AAT GCA ACG TAC AAA CCT TTT GCG TAT TAG ACC CTG TCA TCT TTC CCG AAG TAG TAT AGT TTA CGT TGC ATG TTT Gly Lys Arg Ile Ile Trp Asp Ser Arg Lys Gly Phe Ile Ile Ser Asn Ala Thr Tyr Lys>

640 650 660 610 620 630 \star \bullet \star \star \star \star \star GAA ATA GGG CTT CTG ACC TGT GAA GCA ACA GTC AAT GGG CAT TTG TAT AAG ACA AAC TAT CTT TAT CCC GAA GAC TGG ACA CTT CGT TGT CAG TTA CCC GTA AAC ATA TTC TGT TTG ATA Glu Ile Gly Leu Leu Thr Cys Glu Ala Thr Val Asn Gly His Leu Tyr Lys Thr Asn Tyr>

720 670 680 690 700 710 CTC ACA CAT CGA CAA ACC AAT ACA ATC ATR GAT GTC CAA ATA AGC ACA CCA CGC CCA GTC GAG TGT GTA GCT GTT TGG TTA TGT TAG TAT CTA CAG GTT TAT TCG TGT GGT GCG GGT CAG Leu Thr His Arg Gln Thr Asn Thr Ile Ile Asp Val Gln Ile Ser Thr Pro Arg Pro Val>

760 770 780 750 730 740 \star ٠ \bullet \star \cdot \cdot AAA TTA CTT AGA GGC CAT ACT CTT GTC CTC AAT TGT ACT GCT ACC ACT CCC TTG AAC ACG TTT AAT GAA TCT CCG GTA TGA GAA CAG GAG TTA ACA TGA CGA TGG TGA GGG AAC TTG TGC Lys Leu Leu Arg Gly His Thr Leu Val Leu Asn Cys Thr Ala Thr Thr Pro Leu Asn Thr>

840 820 830 790 800 810 AGA GTT CAA ATG ACC TGG AGT TAC CCT GAT GAA AAA AAT AAG AAC GCT TCC GTA AGG CGA TCT CAA GTT TAC TGG ACC TCA ATG GGA CTA CTT TTT TTA TTC TTG CGA AGG CAT TCC GCT Arg Val Gln Met Thr Trp Ser Tyr Pro Asp Glu Lys Asn Lys Asn Ala Ser Val Arg Arg>

900 880 890 870 850 860 \star \star . . CGA ATT GAC CAA AGC AAT TCC CAT GCC AAC ATA TTC TAC AGT GTT CTT ACT ATT GAC AAA GCT TAA CTG GTT TCG TTA AGG GTA CGG TTG TAT AAG ATG TCA CAA GAA TGA TAA CTG TTT Arg Ile Asp Gln Ser Asn Ser His Ala Asn Ile Phe Tyr Ser Val Leu Thr Ile Asp Lys>

910 920 930 940 950 960 \bullet \star \bullet \star \star \star \star \star ATG CAG AAC AAA GAC AAA GGA CTT TAT ACT TGT CGT GTA AGG AGT GGA CCA TCA TTC AAA TAC GTC TTG TTT CTG TTT CCT GAA ATA TGA ACA GCA CAT TCC TCA CCT GGT AGT AAG TTT Met Gln Asn Lys Asp Lys Gly Leu Tyr Thr Cys Arg Val Arg Ser Gly Pro Ser Phe Lys>

1000 1010 1020 990 970 980 TCT GTT AAC ACC TCA GTG CAT ATA TAT GAT AAA GCA GGC CCG GGC GAG CCC AAA TCT TGT AGA CAA TTG TGG AGT CAC GTA TAT ATA CTA TTT CGT CCG GGC CCG CTC GGG TTT AGA ACA Ser Val Asn Thr Ser Val His Ile Tyr Asp Lys Ala Gly Pro Gly Glu Pro Lys Ser Cys>

1050 1060 1070 1080 1030 1040 \star \star \bullet \cdot GAC AAA ACT CAC ACA TGC CCA CCG TGC CCA GCA CCT GAA CTC CTG GGG GGA CCG TCA GTC CTG TTT TGA GTG TGT ACG GGT GGC ACG GGT CGT GGA CTT GAG GAC CCC CCT GGC AGT CAG Asp Lys Thr His Thr Cys Pro Pro Cys Pro Ala Pro Glu Leu Leu Gly Gly Pro Ser Val>

Fig.16C.

Fig.16D.

1630 1640 1650 1660 1670 1680 * * * * * * * * * *® * * AAC GTC TTC TCA TGC TCC GTG ATG CAT GAG GCT CTG CAC AAC CAC TAC ACG CAG AAG AGC TTG CAG AAG AGT ACG AGG CAC TAC GTA CTC CGA GAC GTG TTG GTG ATG TGC GTC TTC TCG Asn Val Phe Ser Cys Ser Val Met His Glu Ala Leu His Asn His Tyr Thr Gin Lys Ser>

 1690 1700 crc TCC CIG TCT CCG GGT AAA TGA GAG AGG GAC AGA GGC CCA TTT ACT Leu Ser Leu Ser Pro Gly Lys ***>

Fig.21B.

Fig.21C.

 $\overline{}$

÷,

Fig.22B.

Fig.22C.

 \mathbf{CCGC} GGCG

..

Fig.25A. DME -Challenge + FitiD2VEGFR3D3.FcAC1(a) 1.5 Fold Modified
Fit1 Receptor + FitiD2FIk1D3.FcAC1(a) $+R\rightarrow C$ $+$ NAS $+\Delta$ B2 $+$ A40 + FIt1 (1-3) Fe VEGF 165 $VEGF - R2 -$ Fig.25B. DME -Challenge + FittD2VEGFR3D3.FcAC1(a) + FitiD2FIk1D3.FcAC1(a) lified
tor +R-OC 3 8 $+$ NAS
 $+$ NAS $\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}$

chiometry of hVEGF165 to Fit1D2Fik1D3.FcAC1(a) & VEGFR1R2-FcAC1(a) Binding Stoi	VEGF/VEGFR1R2-FcAC1(a)	86 0.9	0.94	0.99	0.97 ± 0.02	
	EGF/FIt1D2FK1D3.FcAC1(a)	0.93	0.97		0.96 ± 0.03	
	hVEGF165 (nM) V		₽	5	Average ± StDev	

Fig.28.

Fig.29. 1E-09 D2FIk1D3.FcAC1 (M)a)Freeiti 1E-09 Y Intercept = 0.989 nM X Intercept = 0.936 nM 8E-10 $Slope = -1.06$ 5E-10 0E+00 $(2E-10)$ OE+00 5E-10 1E-09 2E-09 2E-09 3E-09 3E-09 Total VEGF Added (M) Fig.30. 1E-09 Free VEGFR1R2-FcAC1(a) (M) $1E-09$ Y Intercept = 0.988 nM X Intercept = 0.926 nM $Slope = -1.07$ 8E-10 5E-10 3E-10 0E+00 — (2E-10)
0E+00 0E+00 5E-10 1E-09 2E-09 2E-09 3E-09 3E-09 Total VEGF Added (M)

MODIFIED CHIMERIC POLYPEPTIDES WITH IMPROVED PHARMACOKINETIC PROPERTIES

This application is a divisional of U.S. patent application 5 Ser. No. 10/009,852, filed Dec. 6, 2001, now U.S. Pat. No. 7,070,959, which is a national stage application of International Application No. PCT/US00/14142, filed May 23, 2000, which claims priority of U.S. Provisional Application No. 60/138,133, filed Jun. 8, 1999. The disclosures of these 10 applications are herein specifically incorporated by reference in their entirety.

INTRODUCTION

The field of this invention is modified polypeptides with improved pharmacokinetics. Specifically, the field of this invention relates to Flt] receptor polypeptides that have been modified in such a way as to improve their pharmacokinetic profile. The field of this invention also relates to 20 methods of making and using the modified polypeptides including but not limited to using the modified polypeptides to decrease or inhibit plasma leakage and/or vascular permeability in a mammal.

BACKGROUND

The ability of polypeptide ligands to bind to cells and thereby elicit a phenotypic response such as cell growth, survival, cell product secretion, or differentiation is often 30 mediated through transmembrane receptors on the cells. The extracellular domain of such receptors (i.e. that portion of the receptor that is displayed on the surface of the cell) is generally the most distinctive portion of the molecule, as it provides the protein with its ligand binding characteristic. 35 Binding of a ligand to the extracellular domain generally results in signal transduction which transmits a biological signal to intracellular targets. Often, this signal transduction acts via a catalytic intracellular domain. The particular array of sequence motifs of this catalytic intracellular domain 40 determines its access to potential kinase substrates (Mohammadi, et al.,1990, Mol. Cell. Biol. 11:5068-5078; Fantl, et al., 1992, Cell 69:413-413). Examples of receptors that transduce signals via catalytic intracellular domains include the receptor tyrosine kinases (RTKs) such as the Trk family of receptors which are generally limited to cells of the nervous system, the cytokine family of receptors including the tripartate CNTF receptor complex (Stahl & Yancopoulos, 1994, J. Neurobio. 25:1454-1466) which is also generally limited to the cells of the nervous system, G-protein ⁵ coupled receptors such as the β_2 -adrenergic receptor found on, for instance, cardiac muscle cells, and the multimeric IgE high affinity receptor FceRI which is localized, for the most part, on mast cells and basophils (Sutton & Gould, 1993, Nature 366:421-428). **LS 7.374.757 Q2**
 AUGUSTER CONTEXTING CONTEXTING CONT

All receptors identified so far appear to undergo dimerization, multimerization, or some related conformational change following ligand binding (Schlessinger, J., 1988, Trend Biochem. Sci. 13:443-447; Ullrich & Schlessinger, 1990, Cell 61:203-212; Schlessinger & Ullrich, 1992, Neu-60 ron 9:383-391) and molecular interactions between dimerizing intracellular domains lead to activation of catalytic function. In some instances, such as platelet-derived growth factor (PDGF), the ligand is a dimer that binds two receptor molecules (Hart, et al., 1988, Science, 240:1529-1531; Heldin, 1989, J. Biol. Chem. 264:8905-8912) while, for example, in the case of epidermal growth factor (EGF), the

ligand is a monomer (Weber, et al., 1984, J. Biol. Chem. 259:14631-14636). In the case of the FceRI receptor, the ligand, IgE, exists bound to FceRI in a monomeric fashion and only becomes activated when antigen binds to the IgE/FeeRI complex and cross-links adjacent IgE molecules (Sutton & Gould, 1993, Nature 366:421-428).

Often, the tissue distribution of a particular receptor within higher organisms provides insight into the biological function of the receptor.

The RTKs for some growth and differentiation factors, such as fibroblast growth factor (FGF), are widely expressed and therefore appear to play some general role in tissue growth and maintenance. Members of the Trk RTK family (Glass & Yancopoulos, 1993, Trends in Cell Biol. 3:262- 268) of receptors are more generally limited to cells of the nervous system, and the Nerve Growth Factor family consisting of nerve growth factor (NGF), brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF), neurotrophin-3 (NT-3) and neurotrophin-4/5 (NT-4/5), which bind the Trk RTK family receptors, promote the differentiation of diverse groups of neurons in the brain and periphery (Lindsay, R. M, 1993, in Neurotrophic Factors, S. E. Loughlin & J. H. Fallon, eds., pp. 257-284, San Diego, Calif., Academic Press). FceRI is localized to a very limited number of types of cells such as ⁵ mast cells and basophils. Mast cells derive from bone marrow pluripotent hematopoietic stem cell lineage, but complete their maturation in the tissue following migration from the blood stream (See Janeway & Travers, 1996, in Immunobiology. 2d. Edition, M. Robertson & E. Lawrence, eds., pp. 1:3-1:4, Current Biology Ltd., London, UK, Publisher) and are involved in the allergic response.

Manystudies have demonstrated that the extracellular domain of a receptor provides the specific ligand binding characteristic.

Furthermore, the cellular environment in which a receptor is expressed may influence the biological response exhibited upon binding of a ligand to the receptor. For example, when a neuronal cell expressing a Trk receptor is exposed to a neurotrophin which binds to that receptor, neuronal survival and differentiation results. When the same receptor is expressed by a fibroblast, exposure to the neurotrophin results in proliferation of the fibroblast (Glass, et al., 1991, Cell 66:405-413).

A class of cell-derived dimeric mitogens with selectivity for vascular endothelial cells has been identified and designated vascular endothelial cell growth factor (VEGF). VEGF has been purified from conditioned growth media of rat glioma cells [Conn et al., (1990), Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USS.A., 87. pp 2628-2632]; and conditioned growth media of bovine pituitary follicle stellate cells [Ferrara and Henzel, (1989), Biochem. Biophys. Res. Comm., 161, pp. 851-858; Gozpadorowiczet al., (1989), Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A., 86, pp. 7311-7315] and conditioned growth medium from human U937 cells [Connolly, D. T. et al. (1989), Science, 246, pp. 1309-1312]. VEGF is a dimer with an apparent molecular mass of about 46 kDa with each subunit having an apparent molecular mass of about 23 kDa. VEGF has some structural similarities to platelet derived growth factor (PDGF), which is a mitogen for connective tissue cells but not mitogenic for vascular endothelial cells from large vessels.

The membrane-bound tyrosine kinase receptor, known as Flt, was shown to be a VEGF receptor [DeVries, C. et al., (1992), Science, 255, pp.989-991]. The Flt receptor specifically binds VEGF which induces mitogenesis. Another form of the VEGF receptor, designated KDR, is also known to bind VEGF and induce mitogenesis. The partial cDNA

2

sequence and nearly full length protein sequence of KDR is known as well [Terman, B. I. et al., (1991) Oncogene 6, pp. 1677-1683; Terman, B. I. et al., (1992) Biochem. Biophys. Res. Comm. 187, pp. 1579-1586].

Persistent angiogenesis may cause or exacerbate certain 5 diseases such as psoriasis, rheumatoid arthritis, hemangiomas, angiofibromas, diabetic retinopathy and neovascular glaucoma. An inhibitor of VEGF activity would be useful as a treatment for such diseases and other VEGF-induced pathological angiogenesis and vascular permeability condi-0 tions, such as tumor vascularization. The present invention relates to a VEGF inhibitor that is based on the VEGF receptor Flt].

Plasma leakage, a key component of inflammation, occurs in a distinct subset of microvessels. In particular, in most 5 organs plasma leakage occurs specifically in the venules. Unlike arterioles and capillaries, venules become leaky in response to numerous inflammatory mediators including histamine, bradykinin, and serotonin. One characteristic of inflammation is the plasma leakage that results from inter-20 cellular gaps that form in the endothelium of venules. Most experimental models of inflammation indicate that these intercellular gaps occur between the endothelial cells of postcapillary and collecting venules (Baluk, P., et al.,Am.J. Pathol. 1998 152:1463-76). It has been shown that certain 25 lectins may be used to reveal features of focal sites of plasma leakage, endothelial gaps, and finger-like processes at endothelial cell borders in inflamed venules (Thurston, G., et al., Am. J. Physiol, 1996, 271: H2547-62). In particular, plant lectins have been used to visualize morphological changes at 30 endothelial cell borders in inflamed venules of, for example, the rat trachea. Lectins, such as conconavalin A and ricin, that bind focally to inflamed venules reveal regions of the subendothelial vessel wall exposed by gaps that correspond to sites of plasma leakage (Thurston, G., et al., Am J Physiol, 35 1996, 271: H2547-62).

The properties of the microvessels are dynamic. Chronic inflammatory diseases, for example, are associated with microvascular remodeling, including angiogenesis and microvessel enlargement. Microvessels can also remodel by 40 acquiring abnormal phenotypic properties. In a murine model of chronic airway inflammation, airway capillaries acquire properties of venules, including widened vessel diameter, increased immunoreactivity for von Willebrand factor, and increased immunoreactivity for P-selectin. In 45 addition, these remodeled vessels leak in response to inflammatory mediators, whereas vessels in the same position in

Certain substances have been shown to decrease or inhibit vascular permeability and/or plasma leakage. For example, ⁵ mystixins are synthetic polypeptides that have been reported to inhibit plasma leakage without blocking endothelial gap formation (Baluk, P., et al., J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther., 1998, 284: 693-9). Also, the beta 2-adrenergic receptor agonist formoterol reduces microvascular leakage by inhibiting 55 endothelial gap formation (Baluk, P. and McDonald, D. M., Am, J.

Physiol., 1994, 266:L461-8).

The angiopoietins and members of the vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) family are the only growth factors 60 thought to be largely specific for vascular endothelial cells. Targeted gene inactivation studies in mice have shown that VEGF is necessary for the early stages of vascular development and that Ang-1 is required for later stages of vascular remodeling.

U.S. Pat. No. 6,011,003, issued Jan. 4, 2000, in the name of Metris Therapeutics Limited, discloses an altered, soluble

form of FLT polypeptide being capable of binding to VEGF and thereby exerting an inhibitory effect thereon, the polypeptide comprising five or fewer complete immunoglobulin domains.

U.S. Pat. No. 5,712,380, issued Jan. 27, 1998 and assigned to Merck & Co., discloses vascular endothelial cell growth factor (VEGF) inhibitors that are naturally occurring or recombinantly engineered soluble forms with or without a C-terminal transmembrane region of the receptor for VEGF.

Also assigned to Merck & Co. is PCT Publication No. WO 98/13071, published Apr. 2, 1998, which discloses gene therapy methodology for inhibition of primary tumor growth and metastasis by gene transfer of a nucleotide sequence encoding a soluble receptor protein which binds to VEGF.

PCT Publication No. WO 97/44453, published Nov. 27, 1997, in the name of Genentech, Inc., discloses novel chimeric VEGF receptor proteins comprising amino acid sequences derived from the vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) receptors Flt1 and KDR, including the murine homologue to the human KDR receptor FLK1, wherein said chimeric VEGF receptor proteins bind to VEGF and antagonize the endothelial cell proliferative and angiogenic activity thereof.

PCT Publication No. WO 97/13787, published Apr. 17, 1997, in the name of Toa Gosei Co., LTD., discloses a low molecular weight VEGF inhibitor usable in the treatment of diseases accompanied by neovascularization such as solid tumors. A polypeptide containing the first immunoglobulinlike domain and the second immunoglobulin-like domain in the extracellular region of a VEGF receptor FLT but not containing the sixth immunoglobulin-like domain and the seventh immunoglobulin-like domain thereof shows a VEGF inhibitory activity.

Sharifi, J. et al., 1998, The Quarterly Jour. of Nucl. Med. 42:242-249, disclose that because monoclonal antibodies (MAbs) are basic, positively charged proteins, and mammalian cells are negatively charged, the electrostatic interactions between the two can create higher levels of background binding resulting in low tumor to normal organ ratios. To overcome this effect, the investigators attempted to improve MAb clearance by using various methods such as secondary agents as well as chemical and charge modifications of the MAb itself.

Jensen-Pippo, et al., 1996, Pharmaceutical Research 13:102-107, disclose that pegylation of a therapeutic protein, recombinant human granulocyte colony stimulating factor (PEG-G-CSF), results in an increase in stability and in retention of in vivo bioactivity when administered by the intraduodenal route.

Tsutsumi, et al., 1997, Thromb Haemost. 77:168-73, disclose experiments wherein the in vivo thrombopoietic activity of polyethylene glycol-modified interleukin-6 (MPEG-IL-6), in which 54% of the 14 lysine amino groups of IL-6 were coupled with PEG, was compared to that of native IL-6,

Yang, et al., 1995, Cancer 76:687-94, disclose that conjugation of polyethylene glycol to recombinant human interleukin-2 (IL-2) results in a compound, polyethylene glycolmodified IL-2 (PEG-IL-2) that retains the in vitro and in vivo activity of IL-2, but exhibits a markedly prolonged circulating half-life.

65 half-life ofasparaginase by conjugating polyethylene glycol. R. Duncan and F. Spreafico, Clin. Pharmacokinet. 27: 290-306, 296 (1994) review efforts to improve the plasma

PCT International Publication No. WO 99/03996 published Jan. 28, 1999 in the name of Regeneron Pharmaceu-

 55

ticals, Inc. and The Regents of The University of California describes modified human noggin polypeptides having deletions of regions of basic amino acids. The modified human noggin polypeptides are described as retaining biological activity while having reduced affinity for heparin and superior pharmacokinetics in animal sera as compared to the unmodified human noggin.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

The present invention is directed to VEGF antagonists with improved pharmacokinetic properties. A preferred embodiment is an isolated nucleic acid molecule encoding a fusion polypeptide capable of binding a VEGF polypeptide comprising (a) a nucleotide sequence encoding a VEGF 15 receptor component operatively linked to (b) a nucleotide sequence encoding a multimerizing component, wherein the VEGF receptor component is the only VEGF receptor component of the fusion polypeptide and wherein the nucleotide sequence of (a) consists essentially of a nucleotide sequence encoding the amino acid sequence of Ig domain 2 of the extracellular domain of a first VEGF receptor and a nucleotide sequence encoding the amino acid sequence of Ig domain 3 of the extracellular domain of a second VEGF receptor.

In a further embodiment, the isolated nucleic acid of the first VEGF receptor is Fit].

In a further embodiment, the isolated nucleic acid of the second VEGF receptor is Flk1.

In yet another embodiment, the isolated nucleic acid of 30 the second VEGF receptor is Flt4.

In another preferred embodiment, the nucleotide sequence encoding Ig domain 2 of the extracellular domain of the first VEGF receptor is upstream of the nucleotide sequence encoding Ig domain 3 of the extracellular domain of the 35 second VEGF receptor.

In still another preferred embodiment, the nucleotide sequence encoding Ig domain 2 of the extracellular domain of the first VEGF receptor is downstream of the nucleotide sequence encoding Ig domain $\frac{3}{5}$ of the extracellular domain $\frac{40}{5}$ of the extracellular domain $\frac{40}{5}$ of the second VEGF receptor.

In a preferred embodiment of the invention, the multimerizing component comprises an immunoglobulin domain.

In another embodiment, the immunoglobulin domain is selected from the group consisting of the Fc domain of IgG, 45 the heavy chain of IgG, and the light chain of IgG.

Preferred embodiments include an isolated nucleic acid molecule comprising a nucleotide sequence encoding a modified Flt] receptor fusion polypeptide, wherein the coding region of the nucleic acid molecule consists of a nucle- 50 otide sequence selected from the group consisting of

- (a) the nucleotide sequence set forth in FIG. 13A-13D $(SEO ID NO:3);$
- (b) the nucleotide sequence set forth in FIG. 14A-14C (SEQ ID NO:5);
- (c) the nucleotide sequence set forth in FIG. 15A-15C $(SEO ID NO:7);$
- (d) the nucleotide sequence set forth in FIG. 16A-16D (SEQ ID NO:9);
- (e) the nucleotide sequence set forth in FIG. $21A-21C$ 60 (SEQ ID NO:11):
- (f) the nucleotide sequence set forth in FIG. 22A-22C (SEQ ID NO:13),
- (g) the nucleotide sequence set forth in FIG. 24A-24C $(SEQ ID NO:15);$ and
- (h) a nucleotide sequence which, as a result of the degeneracy of the genetic code, differs from the nucle-

otide sequence of (a) , (b) , (c) , (d) , (e) , (f) , or (g) and which encodes a fusion polypeptide molecule having the biological activity of the modified Flt] receptor fusion polypeptide.

In a further embodiment of the invention, a fusion polypeptide is encoded by the isolated nucleic acid molecules described above.

A preferred embodiment is a composition capable of binding a VEGF molecule to form a nonfunctional complex 10 comprising a multimer of the fusion polypeptide.

Also preferred is a composition wherein the multimer is a dimer.

In yet another embodiment, the composition is in a carrier. Another embodiment is a vector which comprises the nucleic acid molecules described above, including an expression vector comprising a the nucleic acid molecules described wherein the nucleic acid molecule is operatively linked to an expression control sequence.

Other included embodiments are a host-vector system for the production of a fusion polypeptide which comprises the expression vector, in a suitable host cell; the host-vector system wherein the suitable host cell is a bacterial cell, yeast cell, insect cell, or mammalian cell; the host-vector system wherein the suitable host cell is $E.$ Coli: the host-vector system wherein the suitable host cell is a COS cell; the host-vector system wherein the suitable host cell is a CHO cell.

Another embodiment of the invention is a method of producing a fusion polypeptide which comprises growing cells of the host-vector system under conditions permitting production of the fusion polypeptide and recovering the fusion polypeptide so produced.

Additional embodiments include a fusion polypeptide encoded by the nucleic acid sequence set forth in FIG. 10A-10D (SEQ ID NO:1) or FIG. 24A-24G (SEQ ID NO:15), which has been modified by acetylation or pegylation wherein the acetylation is accomplished with at least about a 100 fold molar excess of acetylation reagent or wherein acetylation is accomplished with a molar excess of acetylation reagent ranging from at least about a 10 fold molar excess to about a 100 fold molar excess or wherein the pegylation is 10K or 20K PEG.

A preferred embodiment includes a method of decreasing or inhibiting plasma leakage in a mammal comprising administering to the mammal the fusion polypeptide described above, including embodiments wherein the mammal is a human, the fusion polypeptide is acetylated or the fusion polypeptide is pegylated.

A further embodiments is a fusion polypeptide which specifically binds the VEGF receptor ligand VEGF.

A preferred embodiment of the invention is a method of blocking blood vessel growth in a human comprising administering an effective amount of the fusion polypeptide described above.

Also preferred is a method of inhibiting VEGF receptor ligand activity in a mammal comprising administering to the mammal aneffective amount of the fusion polypeptide described above.

Preferred embodiments of these methods are wherein the mammal is a human.

65 especially wherein the edema is brain edema; attenuation or
specially wherein the edemais brain edema; attenuation or Further embodiments of the methods of the invention include attenuation or prevention of tumor growth in a human; attenuation or prevention of edema in a human, prevention of ascites formation in a human, especially wherein the ascites is ovarian cancer-associated ascites.

Preferred embodiments of the invention include a fusion polypeptide capable of binding a VEGF polypeptide comprising (a) a VEGF receptor component operatively linked to (b) a multimerizing component, wherein the VEGF receptor component is the only VEGF receptor component in the fusion polypeptide and consists essentially of the amino acid sequence of Ig domain 2 of the extracellular domain of a first VEGF receptor and the amino acid sequence of Ig domain 3 of the extracellular domain of a second VEGF receptor.

In a further embodiment of the fusion polypeptide, the 10 first VEGF receptor is FIt1.

In yet a further embodiment of the fusion polypeptide, the second VEGF receptor is Flk1.

Still another embodiment of the fusion polypeptide is one in which the second VEGF receptor is Flt4.

Preferred embodiments include a fusion polypeptide wherein amino acid sequence of Ig domain 2 of the extracellular domain of the first VEGF receptor is upstream of the amino acid sequence of Ig domain 3 of the extracellular domain of the second VEGF receptor and a fusion polypep- 20 tide wherein the amino acid sequence of Ig domain 2 of the extracellular domain of the first VEGF receptor is downstream of the amino acid sequence of Ig domain 3 of the extracellular domain of the second VEGF receptor.

In yet another embodiment, the fusion polypeptide mul- 25 timerizing component comprises an immunoglobulin domain including an embodiment wherein the immunoglobulin domain is selected from the group consisting of the Fe domain of IgG, the heavy chain of IgG, and the light chain of IgG.

Preferred embodiments include a fusion polypeptide comprising an amino acid sequence of a modified Flt1 receptor, wherein the amino acid sequence selected from the group consisting of (a) the amino acid sequence set forth in FIG. 13A-13D (SEQ ID NO:4); (b) the amino acid sequence set 35 forth in FIG. 14A-14D (SEQ ID NO:6); (c) the amino acid sequence set forth in FIG. 15A-15C (SEQ ID NO:8); (d) the amino acid sequence set forth in FIG. 16A-16D (SEQ ID NO:10); (e) the amino acid sequence set forth in FIG. 21A-21D (SEQ ID NO:12); (f) the amino acid sequence set 40 forth in FIG. $22A-22C$ (SEQ ID NO:14); and (g) the amino acid sequence set forth in FIG. 24A-24C (SEQ ID NO:16).

Another preferred embodiment is a method of decreasing or inhibiting plasma leakage in a mammal comprising administering to the mammal the fusion polypeptide described above.

An alternative preferred embodiment is a method of inhibiting VEGF receptor ligand activity in a mammal comprising administering to the mammal an effective amount of the fusion polypeptide described above.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE FIGURES

FIG. 1. IEF gel analysis of unmodified and acetylated Flt1(1-3)-Fe proteins. Unmodified Flt1(1-3)-Fe protein is 55 unable to enter the gel due to its >9.3 pl, whereas acetylated $Flt1(1-3)$ -Fc is able to enter the gel and equilibrate at pl 5.2.

FIG, 2. Binding of unmodified FIt1(1-3)-Fe and acetylated Flt1(1-3)-Fc proteins to MATRIGEL® coated plates. Unmodified Flt1(1-3)-Fe proteins binds extensive to extra-60 cellular matrix components in Matrigel.RTM., whereas acetylated Flt1(1-3)-Fe does not bind.

FIG. 3. Binding of unmodified FIti(1-3)-Fe, acetylated FItl(1-3)-Fe, and pegylated Fit1(1-3)-Fe ina BIACORE™ based assay. Acetylated (columns 13-16), pegylated (columns 17-20), and heparin-treated F1t1(1-3)-Fe (columns 21-24) are each able to completely compete 25 with the

BIACORE™ chip-bound Flt1(1-3)-Fe for VEGF binding as compared to contro] (columns 1-4) and irrelevant protein (columns 5-8). Unmodified FItl(1-3)-Fe (columns 5-6) appears to only partially compete with BIACORE™ chipbound $Flt1(1-3)$ -Fe for VEGF binding. However, washing the bound samples with 0.5M NaCl (columns 7-8) results in a binding profile similar to the modified forms of $F1t1(1-3)$ -Fe, indicating that the unmodified protein is exhibiting non-specific binding to the chip that can be eliminated by the salt wash.

FIG. 4. Binding of unmodified Fltl(1-3)-Fe, acetylated Flt1(1-3)-Fc, and pegylated Flt1(1-3)-Fc to VEGF in an ELISA-based assay. Both pegylated and acetylated Flt1(1- 3)-Fe proteins bind to VEGF with affinities approaching that of unmodified Fltl(1-3)-Fe.

FIG. 5. Pharmacokinetic profiles of unmodified FItl(1- 3)-Fe, acetylated Flt1(1-3)-Fe, and pegylated Flt1(1-3)-Fe. Balb/c mice (23-28 g) were injected subcutaneously with 4 mg/kg of unmodified, acetylated, or pegylated Flt1(1-3)-Fe. The mice were tail bled at $1, 2, 4, 6, 24$ hours, 2 days, and ³ daysafter injection of protein and the sera were assayed in a standard ELISA-based assay designed to detect Flt1(1-3)-Fc protein. The T_{max} for all of the Flt1(1-3)-Fc proteins was between the 6 hour and 24 hour time points. The C_{max} for the different proteins was as follows: Unmodified: $0.06 \mu g/ml$ -0.15 pg/ml; acetylated: 1.5 ug/ml-4.0 ug/ml; and pegylated: approximately $5 \mu g/ml$.

FIG, 6A-6B. IEF gel analysis of unmodified and stepacetylated Fltl(1-3)-Fe proteins. Unmodified Flt1(1-3)-Fe protein is unable to enter the gel due to its >9.3 pl, whereas most of the step-acetylated Fltl(1-3)-Fe samples (30-100 fold excess samples) were able to migrate into the gel and equilibrate at pls ranging between 4.55-8.43, depending on the degree of acetylation.

FIG. 7. Binding of unmodified Fltl(1-3)-Fe and stepacetylated Flt1(1-3)-Fc proteins to MATRIGEL® coated plates. As with the irrelevant control protein, rTie2-Fe, step-acetylated FItl(1-3)-Fe (20 and 30 fold excess samples) does not exhibit any binding to the Matrigel coated plate, whereas the non-acetylated Fitl(1-3)-Fe protein exhibits significant binding. The 10 fold excess sample shows reduced binding, but the degree of acetylation is not enough to completely block binding to extracellular matrix compo- nents.

50 or step-acetylated) in the solution to completely bind the FIG. 8. Binding of unmodified Flti(1-3)-Fe and stepacetylated Flt! (1-3)-Fe ina BLACORE™-based assay. At a sub-stoichiometric ratio $(0.5 \text{ µg/ml of either unmodified})$ Flt1(1-3) or step-acetylated Flt1(1-3)-Fc vs. $0.2 \mu g/ml$ VEGF), there is not enough Flit1(1-3)-Fe (either unmodified VEGF. At 1.0 ug/ml, which approximates a 1:1 stoichiometric ratio, the both unmodified and step-acetylated Fit! $(1-3)$ -Fe are better able to compete for VEGF binding, but there is still insufficient Flt1(1-3)-Pe protein (either unmodified or step-acetylated) to completely saturate the available VEGF. However, at $5.0 \,\mathrm{\upmu g/ml}$, which is several times greater than a 1:1 stoichiometric ratio, both the Flt](1-3)-Fe and the step-acetylated Fltl(1-3)-Fe proteins are able to saturate the VEGF, regardless of the degree of acetylation.

FIG. 9. Pharmacokinetic profiles of unmodified Fltl(1- 3)-Fe and step-acetylated Fltl(1-3)-Fe. Balb/c mice (23-28 2) were injected subcutaneously with 4 mg/kg of unmodified or 10, 20, 40, 60 and 100 fold excess samples of stepacetylated F1t1(1-3)-Fe (3 mice for unmodified, 10, 20 and 40 fold excess samples and 2 mice for 60 and 100 fold excess samples). The mice were tail bled at 1, 2, 4, 6, 24 hours, 2 days and 3 days after injection. The sera were

assayed in an ELISA-based assay designed to detect Flt1 (1-3)-Fe. The T_{max} for all of the Flt1(1-3)-Fe proteins tested was at the 6 hour time point but the C_{max} was as follows:
Unmodified Fitl(1-3)-Fe: 0.06 μ g/ml; 10 fold excess sample: - 0.7 µg/ml, 20 fold excess sample - 2 µg/ml, 40 fold excess sample—4 ig/ml, 60 fold excess sample—2 ug/ml. 100 fold excess sample—1 pg/ml.

FIG. 10A-10D. Nucleic acid (SEQ ID NO:1) and deduced amino acid sequence (SEQ ID NO:2) of Fltl(1-3)-Fe.

FIG. 11. Schematic diagram of the structure of Flt1. FIGS. 12A and 12B. Hydrophilicity analysis of the amino

acid sequences of Ig domain 2 and Ig domain 3 of Flt1.

FIG. 13A-13D. Nucleic acid (SEQ ID NO:3) and deduced amino acid sequence (SEQ ID NO:4) of Mut1: FIt1(1-3 $_{\Delta}$ ^g)-
Fe.

FIG. 14A-14D. Nucleic acid (SEQ ID NO:5) and deduced amino acid sequence (SEQ ID NO:6) of Mut2-Flt1(2-3 $_A$ 8)-Fe.

FIG, 15A-15C. Nucleic acid (SEQ ID NO:7) and deduced amino acid sequence $(SEQID NO.8)$ of Mut3: Flt1 $(2-3)$ -Fe. 20

FIG. 16A-16D. Nucleic acid (SEQ ID NO:9) and deduced amino acid sequence (SEQ ID NO:10) of Mut4: Fitl $(1-3_{R\to N})$ -Fe.

FIG. 17. Binding ofunmodified Fit1(1-3)-Fc, basic region deletion mutant Flt1(1-3)-Fc, and Flt1(1-3) $_{R\rightarrow N}$ mutant pro- 25 teins in a BLACORE™-based assay. At the sub-stoichiometric ratio (0.25 μ g/ml Flt1(1-3)-Fe of unmodified, acetylated or genetically modified samples vs. 01. ug/ml VEGF), there is insufficient $Flt1(1-3)$ -Fe protein to block binding of VEGF to the Flt1(1-3)-Fe immobilized on the BIACORE™ chip. At 0.5 μg/ml of unmodified, acetylated or genetically modified Flt1(1-3)-Fe proteins, the stoichiometric ratio approximates 1:1 and there is an increased ability to block VEGF binding to the BIACORETM chip. At 1.0 μ g/ml of unmodified, acetylated or genetically modified Flt1(1-3)-Fe pro- 35 is complete binding of VEGF165 ligand during the preinteins, which is approximately a 10:1 stoichiometric ratio, the F]t1(1-3)-Fe proteins are able to block binding of VEGF to the BIACORE™ chip, but they are not equivalent. Unmodified, acetylated, and Mut1: Flt1 $(1-3_{AB})$ -Fc are essentially equal in their ability to block VEGF binding, whereas Mut4: 40 Flt1(1-3_{R->N})-Fe is somewhat less efficient at blocking binding. 17. 2011 α is a state of the state o 30 117. $\frac{1}{2}$ (b) $\frac{1}{2}$ (c) $\frac{1}{$

FIG. 18. Binding of unmodified Fltl(1-3)-Fe, Mut1: Flt] $(1-3_{AB})$ -Fc, Mut2: Flt1(2-3_{ΔB})-Fc, and Flt1(2-3) mutant proteins to Matrigel® coated plates. Unmodified FIt1(1-3)-Fe 45 protein binds avidly to these wells, the Mut3: FIt1(2-3)-Fe protein binds somewhat more weakly, the Mutl: Fitl(1- $3_{\Delta B}$)-Fe protein binds more weakly still, and the Mut2: $Flt1(2-3_{AB})$ -Fe protein shows the best profile, binding more weakly than any of the other mutant proteins. The Mut4: 50 Flt1(1-3_{R->N})-Fe glycosylation mutant protein shows only marginal benefit on the Matrigel assay.

FIG. 19. Binding of unmodified F]t1(1-3)-Fe, Mutl: Flt] $(1-3_{AB})$ -Fc, Mut2: Flt1(2-3_{Δ B})-Fc, and Flt1(2-3) mutant proteins in an ELISA-based assay. At the concentrations tested, 55 unmodified Flt1(1-3)-Fc, Mut1: Flt1(1-3_{ΔB})-Fc, Mut2: Flt1 $(2-3_{AB})$ -Fc, and Flt1(2-3) mutant proteins bind VEGF similarly.

FIG. 20. Pharmacokinetic profiles of unmodified Fltl(1- 3)-Fe, Mutl: Flt1(1-3_{ΔB})-FC, Mut2: F6ti (2-3 $_{\Delta B}$)-Fe, and 60 Flt1(2-3) mutant proteins. the Cmax for these reagents was as follows: Unmodified Fltl(1-3)-Fe-0.15 ug/ml: 40 fold molar excess acetylated Flt1(1-3)-Fc-1.5 µg/ml; and Mut1: Flt1(1-3 $_{\Delta B}$)-Fc-0.7 µg/ml.

FIG. 21A-21D. Nucleotide (SEQ ID NO:11) and deduced 65 amino acid sequence (SEQ ID NO:12) of the modified Flt1 receptor termed Flt1D2.Flk1D3.Fc $\Delta C1(a)$.

FIG. 22A-22C. Nucleotide (SEQ ID NO:13) and deduced amino acid sequence (SEQ ID NO:14) of the modified Flt1 receptor termed FIt!D2.VEGFR3D3.FcAC1(a).

PIG. 23. Extracellular Matrix (ECM) Assay. The results of this assay demonstrate that the FIt1D2.FIk1D3.Fc Δ C1(a) and FltlD2.VEGFR3D3.FcACI(a) proteins are considerably less sticky to the ECM as compared to the FIt1(1-3)-Pe protein.

FIG, 24A-24C. Nucleotide (SEQ ID NO:15) and deduced 10 amino acid sequence (SEQ ID NO:16) of the modified Flt1 receptor termed VEGFR1R2-Fc Δ C1(a).

FIG, 25A-25C. Phosphorylation assay. At a 1.5 molar excess of either Flt] (1-3)-Fe, Flt1(1-3)-Fe (A40) or transient Flt1D2FIk1D3.Fc Δ C1(a) there is complete blockage of 15 receptor stimulation by these three modified Flt1 receptors as compared to control media challenge. In contrast, transient Flt1D2VEGFR3D3.Fc Δ C1(a) does not show significant blockage at this molar excess, as compared with VEGF positive control challenge. Similar results are seen in FIG. 25B, where the modified Fit receptors are in a 3-fold molar excess to VEGF165 ligand. In FIG. 25C, where the modified Flt] receptors are in a 6-fold molar excess to VEGF165 ligand, transient Flt1D2VEGFR3D3.FcΔC1(a) can now be shown to be partially blocking VEGF165-induced stimulation of cell-surface receptors.

FIG. 26A-26B. Phosphorylation assay. Detection by Western blot of tyrosine phosphorylated VEGFR2(Flk1) by VEGF165 ligand stimulation shows that cell-surface receptors are not phosphorylated by challenge samples which have VEGF165 preincubated with 1 and 2 fold molar excess (FIG. 26A) or 3 and 4 fold molar excess (FIG. 26B) of either transient FIt1D2FIk1D3.Fc $\Delta C1(a)$, stable Flt1D2Flk1D3.Fe Δ C1(a), Flt1D2FIk1D3.FeAC I(a), or transient VEGFR1R2-FcAC1 (a). At all modified Flt] receptor concentrations tested there cubation, resulting in no detectable stimulation of cellsurface receptors by unbound VEGF165 as compared to control media challenge.

FIG, 27. MG/R2 Cell proliferation assay. The following modified Fit receptors Flt](1-3)-Fe, Flt!1D2.FIk1D3.FeAC1 (a) and FItlD2.VEGFR3D3.FcACl(a), plus an irrelevant receptor termed Tie2-Fc as a negative control, were titrated from 40 nM to 20 pM and incubated on the cells for 1 hr at 37° C. Human recombinant VEGF 165 in defined media was then added to all the wells at a concentration of 1.56 nM. The negative control receptor Tie2-Fe does not block VEGF 165 induced cell proliferation at any concentration whereas Flt1D2.Flk1D3.FcΔC1(a) blocks 1.56 nM VEGF165 with a half maximal dose of 0.8 nM. Flt1(1-3)-Fc and Flt1D2.VEGPR3D3.FcAC1 (a) are less effective in blocking VEGF165 in this assay with a half maximal dose of \sim 2 nM. VEGF ¹⁶⁵ alone gives ^a reading of 1.2 absorbance units and the background is 0.38 absorbance units.

FIG. 28. BIACORE™ analysis of Binding Stoichiometry. Binding 20 stoichiometry was calculated as a molar ratio of bound VEGF165 to the immobilized Flt1D2Flk1D3.Fc.AC1 (a) or VEGFR1R2-Fc Δ C1(a), using the conversion factor of 1000 RU equivalent to ¹ ng/ml. The results indicated binding stoichiometry of one VEGF165 dimeric molecule per one Flt1D2Flk1D3.FcΔC1(a) or VEGFR1R2-FcΔC1(a) molecule.

FIG. 29 and FIG. 30. Size Exclusion Chromatography Stoichiometry. Flt1D2Flk1D3.FcAC1(a) or VEGFR1R2- $Fc\Delta C1(a)$ at a concentration of 1 nM (estimated to be 1000 times higher than the KD of the Flt1D2Flk1D3.Fc Δ C1(a) or VEGFRIR2-FeAC1(a)/VEGP165 interaction) were mixed with varied concentrations of VEGF165. After incubation,

concentrations of the free Flt1D2Flk1D3.Fc Δ C1(a) in solution were measured. The data shows that the addition of 1 nM VEGF165 into the FIt!D2FIk1D3.FeAC1(a) solution completely blocks Flt1D2Flk1D3.Fc Δ C1(a) binding to the VEGF165 surface. This result suggested the binding stoichiometry of one VEGF165 molecule per one FIt1D2F1k1D3.FcAC1(a) molecule.

FIG. 31. Size Exclusion Chromatography (SEC) under
native conditions. Peak #1 represents the Flt1D2Flk1D3.FcAC1(a)/VEGF165 complex and peak #2 10 represents unbound VEGF165. Fractions eluted between 1.1 and 1.2 ml were combined and guanidinium hydrochloride (GuHCl)was added to a final concentration 4.5M to dissociate the complex.

dissociative conditions. To separate the components of the receptor-ligand complex and to determine their molar ratio, 50.mu.1 of dissociated complex was loaded onto a SUPER-OSE™ 12 PC 3.2/30 equilibrated in 6M GuHCl and eluted. Peak#1 represents F1t1D2F1k1D3.Fc $\Delta C1$ (a) and peak#2 rep- 20 resents VEGF165.

FIG. 33, FIG. 34 and FIG, 35. Size Exclusion Chromatography (SEC) With On-Line Light Scattering. Size exclusion chromatography column with a MiniDawn on-line light scattering detector (Wyatt Technology, Santa Barbara, Calif.) and refractive index (RI) detectors (Shimadzu, Kyoto, Japan) was used to determine the molecular weight (MW) of the receptor-ligand complex. As shown in FIG, 33 , the elution profile shows two peaks. Peak #1 represents the receptor-ligand complex and peak #2 represents the 30 unbound VEGF165. MW was calculated from LS and RI signals. The same procedure was used to determine MW of the individual components of the receptor-ligand complex. The results of these determinations are as follows:

MW of the Fit1D2FIkID3.Fc α C1(a)NEGF165 complex 35
the neek resition is 157.300 (EG 22), the MW of at the peak position is 157 300 (FIG. 33), the MW of VEGF165 at the peak position is 44 390 (FIG. 34) and the MW of R1R2 at the peak is 113 300 (FIG. 35).

FIG. 36. Peptide mapping and glycosylation analysis. The disulfide structures and glycosylation sites in FIt1D2.FIk1D3.FeACI(a) (SEQ ID NO:12) were determined by a peptide mapping method. There are a total of ten cysteines in $Flt1D2.Flk1D3.FcAC1(a)$; six of them belong to 12. $(3,3,4,5,7,12)$

12. $(3,3,4,5,7,12)$

2. $(3,3,4,5,7,12)$

3. $(3,3,4,5,7,12)$

3. $(3,3,$ the Fe region. Cys27 is disulfide bonded to Cys76. Cys121 is disulfide bonded to Cys 182. The first two cysteines in the 45 Fe region (Cys211 and Cys214) form an intermolecular disulfide bond with the same two cysteines in another Fc chain. However, it can not be determined whether disulfide bonding is occurring between same cysteines (Cys211 to Cys211, for example) or between Cys211 and Cys211. ^s Cys216 is disulfide bonded to Cys306. Cys 352 is disulfide bonded to Cys410. αναφούν το περιμείο της πολιτικον τ ©—FItI1D2.FIkID3.FeACI(a), CHO stably expressed **15.** $25.374,579$ R2

somewheat the fit and the state of the sta

There are five possible N-linked glycosylation sites in Flt1D2.Flk1D3.FeAC1(a) (SEQ ID NO:12) and are found to be glycosylated to varying degrees. Complete glycosylation 55 is observed at Asn33, Asn193, and Asn282. Partial glycosylation is observed on Asn65 and Asn120. Sites of glycosylation are highlighted by underline in the FIG.

FIG. 37. Pharmacokinetics of FItl(1-3)-Fe (A40), Flt1D2.Flk1D3.Fc Δ C1(a) and VEGFR1 R2-Fe Δ C1(a). 60 Balb/c mice were injected subcutaneously with 4 mg/kg of Flt1(1-3)-Fc (A40), CHO transiently expressed Flt1D2.Flk1D3.Fc Δ C1(a), and CHO transiently expressed VEGFR1R2-Fc Δ C1(a). The mice were tail bled at 1, 2, 4, 6, 65 ²⁴ hrs, ² days, ³ days and ⁶ daysafter injection. The sera were assayed in an ELISA designed to detect FItl(1-3)-Fe

(A40), Flt1D2.Flk1D3.Fc Δ C1(a) or VEGFR1R2-Fc Δ C1(a). The Tmax for Fltl(1-3)-Fe (A40) was at 6 hrs while the Tmax for the transient and stable Flt1D2.Flk1D3.Fc Δ C1(a) and the transient VEGFRIR2-FcACI(a) was 24 hrs. The Cmax for FItl(1-3)-Fe (A40) was 8 ug/ml, For both transients (FItlD2.FIk1D3.FcAC1(a) and VEGFRIR2-FeAC1 (a)) the Cmax was $18 \mu g/ml$ and the Cmax for the stable $VEGFRIR2-Fe\Delta C1(a)$ was 30 µg/ml.

US 7,374,757 B2

US 7,374,757 B2

concentrations of the free FHIDEFIED 3-FeAC1(a) in solution (440), FHIDEFIED 2-FeAC1(a) or VEGTRIR2-FeAC1(a)

into WeEPIES into the HILD 2PHIDE DEA for the first of the the transitional V FIG. 32. Size Exclusion Chromatography (SEC) under 15 2, 5, 6, 7, 8, 12, 15 and 20 days after injection. The sera were FIG. 38. Pharmacokinetics of Flt1(1-3)-Fc (A40), Flt1D2.FIkID3.PeAC1(a) and FItlD2.VEGFR3D3.FcAC1 (a). Balb/c mice were injected subcutaneously with 4 mg/kg of $Flt1(1-3)$ -Fe $(A40)$, CHO 5 transiently expressed Flt1D2.Flk1D3.FcAC1(a) and CHO transiently expressed Flt1D2.VEGFR3D3.Fc Δ C1(a). The mice were tail bled at 1, assayed in an ELISA designed to detect Fitl(1-3)-Fe, Flt1D2.Flk1D3.Fc Δ C1(a) and Flt1D2.VEGFR3D3.Fc Δ C1 (a). Pitl(1-3)-Fe (A40) could no longer be detected in the serum after day 5 whereas Flt1D2.Flk1D3.Fc Δ C1(a) and Flt1D2.VEGFR3D3.Fc Δ C1(a) were detectable for 15 days or more.

> FIG. 39. The Ability of Flt1D2.Flk1D3.Fe Δ C1(a) to Inhibit HT-1080 Fibrosarcoma 'Tumor Growth In Vivo. Every other day or 2 times per week treatment of SCID mice with Flt1D2.Flk1D3.Fc Δ C1(a) at 25 mg/Kg significantly decreases the growth of subcutaneous HT-1080 fibrosarcoma tumors.

> FIG. 40. The Ability of Flt1D2.Flk1D3.Fc Δ C1(a) to Inhibit C6 Glioma Tumor Growth In Vivo. Every other day or 2 times a week treatment of SCID mice with of subcutaneous C6 glioma tumors at doses as low as 2.5 mg/Kg.

> FIG. 41. VEGF-Induced Uterine Hyperpermeability. PMSG injected subcutaneously (5 IU) to induce ovulation in prepubertal female rats results in a surge of estradiol after 2 days which in tum causes an induction of VEGF in the uterus. This induction results in hyperpermeability of the uterus and an increase in uterine wet. Subcutaneous injection of Flt1(1-3)-Fe (A40), Flt1D2.Flk1D3.Fe Δ C1(a) and Flt1D2.VEGFR3D3.Fc Δ C1(a) at 25 mg/kg at 1 hr after PMSG injection results in about a 50% inhibition of the increase in uterine wet weight.

> FIG. 42A-42B. Assessment of Corpus Luteum Angiogenesis Using Progesterone as a Readout. PMSG was injected subcutaneously (5 IU) to induce ovulation in prepubertal female rats, resulting in a fully functioning corpus luteum containing a dense network of blood vessels that secretes progesterone into the blood stream to prepare the uterus for implantation. The induction of angiogenesis in the corpus luteum requires VEGF. Resting levels of progesterone are about 5 ng/ml and can be induced to 25-40 ng/ml after PMSG. Subcutaneous injection of FItl(1-3)-Fe (A40) or Flt1D2.FIk1D3.PcAC 1(a) at 25 mg/kg or 5 mg/kg at ¹ hr. after PMSG injection resulted in a complete inhibition of the progesterone induction on day 4.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

It has been ^a long standing problemin the art to produce a receptor based VEGF antagonist that has a pharmacokinetic profile that is appropriate for consideration of the antagonist as a therapeutic candidate. Applicants describe herein, for the first time, a chimeric polypeptide molecule, capable of antagonizing VEGF activity, that exhibits improved pharmacokinetic properties as compared to other

known receptor-based VEGF antagonists. The chimeric polypeptide molecules described herein thus provide for the first time appropriate molecules for use in therapies in which antagonism of VEGF is a desired result.

The present invention provides for novel chimeric 5 polypeptide molecules formed by fusing a modified extracellular ligand binding domain of the Flt1 receptor to the Fc region of IgG.

The extracellular ligand binding domain is defined as the portion of a receptor that, in its native conformation in the 0 cell membrane, is oriented extracellularly where it can contact with its cognate ligand. The extracellular ligand binding domain does not include the hydrophobic amino acids associated with the receptor's transmembrane domain or any amino acids associated with the receptor's intracellular domain. Generally, the intracellular or cytoplasmic domain of a receptor is usually composed of positively charged or polar amino acids (i.e. lysine, arginine, histidine, glutamic acid, aspartic acid). The preceding 15-30, predominantly hydrophobic or apolar amino acids (i.e. leucine, 20 valine, isoleucine, and phenylalanine) comprise the transmembrane domain. The extracellular domain comprises the amino acids that precede the hydrophobic transmembrane stretch of amino acids. Usually the transmembrane domain is flanked by positively charged or polar amino acids such as 25 lysine or arginine. von Heijne has published detailed rules that are commonly referred to by skilled artisans when determining which amino acids of a given receptor belong to the extracellular, transmembrane, or intracellular domains (See von Heijne, 1995, BioEssays 17:25-30). Alternatively, websites on the Internet have become available to provide protein chemists with information about making predictions about protein domains.

The present invention provides for the construction of nucleic acid molecules encoding chimeric polypeptide molecules that are inserted into a vector that is able to express the chimeric polypeptide molecules when introduced into an appropriate host cell. Appropriate host cells include, but are not limited to, bacterial cells, yeast cells, insect cells, and mammalian cells. Any of the methods known to one skilled 40 in the art for the insertion of DNA fragments into a vector may be used to construct expression vectors encoding the chimeric polypeptide molecules under control of transcriptional/translational control signals. These methods may include in vitro recombinant DNA and synthetic techniques 45 and in vivo recombinations (genetic recombination) (See Sambrook, et al., Molecular Cloning, A Laboratory Manual, Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory; Current Protocols in Molecular Biology, Eds. Ausubel, et al., Greene Publ. Assoc., Wiley-Interscience, NY). 50

Expression of nucleic acid molecules encoding the chimeric polypeptide molecules maybe regulated by ^a second nucleic acid sequence so that the chimeric polypeptide molecule is expressed in a host transformed with the recombinant DNA molecule. For example, expression of the 55 chimeric polypeptide molecules described herein may be controlled by any promoter/enhancer element knownin the art. Promoters which may be used to control expression of the chimeric polypeptide molecules include, but are not limited to, the long terminal repeat as described in Squinto 60 et al., (1991, Cell 65:1-20); the SV40 early promoter region (Bernoist and Chambon, 1981, Nature 290:304-310), the CMV promoter, the M-MuLV 5' terminal repeat the promoter contained in the 3' long terminal repeat of Rous sarcoma virus (Yamamoto, et al., 1980, Cell 22:787-797), the herpes thymidine kinase promoter (Wagner et al., 1981, Proc, Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 78:144-1445), the regulatory

14

sequences of the metallothionine gene (Brinster et al., 1982, Nature 296:39-42); prokaryotic expression vectors such as the β-lactamase promoter (Villa-Kamaroff, et al., 1978, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 75:3727-3731), or the tac promoter (DeBoer, et al., 1983, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 80:21-25, see also "Useful proteins from recombinant bacteria" in Scientific American, 1980, 242:74-94): promoter elements from yeast or other fungi such as the Gal 4 promoter, the ADH (alcohol dehydrogenase) promoter, PGK (phosphoglycerol kinase) promoter, alkaline phosphatase promoter, and the following animal transcriptional control regions, which exhibit tissue specificity and have been utilized in transgenic animals: elastase ^I gene control region which is active in pancreatic acinar cells (Swift et al., 1984, Cell 38:639-646; Ornitz et al., 1986, Cold Spring Harbor Symp. Quant. Biol. 50:399-409; MacDonald, 1987, Hepatology 7:425-515): insulin gene control region which is active in pancreatic beta cells (Hanahan, 1985, Nature 315:115-122), immunoglobulin gene control region which is active in lymphoid cells (Grosschedl et al., 1984, Cell 38:647-658; Adames et al., 1985, Nature 318:533-538; Alexander et al., 1987, Mol. Cell. Biol. 7:1436-1444), mouse mammary tumor virus control region which is active in testicular, breast, lymphoid and mast cells (Leder et al., ⁵ 1986, Cell 45:485-495), albumin gene control region which is active in liver (Pinkert et al., 1987, Genes and Devel. 1:268-276), alpha-fetoprotein gene control region which is active in liver (Krumlauf et al., 1985, Mol. Cell. Biol. §:1639-1648: Hammer et al., 1987, Science 235:53-58); alpha 1-antitrypsin gene control region which is active in the liver (Kelsey et al, 1987, Genes and Devel. 1:161-171), beta-globin gene control region which is active in myeloid cells (Mogramet al., 1985, Nature 315:338-340; Kollias et al., 1986, Cell 46:89-94); myelin basic protein gene control region which is active in oligodendrocyte cells in the brain (Readhead et al., 1987, Cell 48:703-712); myosin light chain-2 gene control region which is active in skeletal muscle (Shani, 1985, Nature 314:283-286), and gonadotropic releasing hormone gene control region which is active in the hypothalamus (Mason et al., 1986, Science 234:1372-1378).

Thus, according to the invention, expression vectors capable of being replicated in a bacterial or eukaryotic host comprising chimeric polypeptide molecule-encoding nucleic acid as described herein, are used to transfect the host and thereby direct expression of such nucleic acids to produce the chimeric polypeptide molecules, which may then be recovered in a biologically active form. As used herein, a biologically active form includes a form capable of binding to VEGF.

Expression vectors containing the chimeric nucleic acid molecules described herein can be identified by three general approaches: (a) DNA-DNA hybridization, (b) presence or absence of "marker" gene functions, and (c) expression of inserted sequences. In the first approach, the presence of a foreign gene inserted in an expression vector can be detected by DNA-DNA hybridization using probes comprising sequences that are homologous to the inserted chimeric polypeptide molecule sequences. In the second approach, the recombinant vector/host system can be identified and selected based upon the presence or absence of certain "marker" gene functions (e.g., thymidine kinase activity, resistance to antibiotics, transformation phenotype, occlusion body formation in baculovirus, etc.) caused by the insertion of foreign genes in the vector. For example, if the chimeric polypeptide molecule DNA sequence is inserted within the marker gene sequence of the vector, recombinants

containing the insert can be identified by the absence of the marker gene function. In the third approach, recombinant expression vectors can be identified by assaying the foreign gene product expressed by the recombinant. Such assays can be based, for example, on the physical or functional properties of the chimeric polypeptide molecules.

Cells of the present invention may transiently or, preferably, constitutively and permanently express the chimeric polypeptide molecules.

The chimeric polypeptide molecules may be purified by 10 any technique which allows for the subsequent formation of a stable. biologically active chimeric polypeptide molecule. For example, and not by way of limitation, the factors may be recovered from cells either as soluble proteins or as inclusion bodies, from which they may be extracted quan-5 titatively by 8M guanidinium hydrochloride and dialysis (see, for example, Builder, et al., U.S. Pat. No. 5,663,304). In order to further purify the factors, conventional ion exchange chromatography, hydrophobic interaction chromatography, reverse phase chromatography or gel filtration 20 may be used.

In one embodiment of the invention, the nucleotide sequence encoding the first component is upstream of the nucleotide sequence encoding the second component. In another embodiment of the invention, the nucleotide sequence encoding the first component is downstream of the nucleotide sequence encoding the second component. Further embodiments of the invention may be prepared in which the order of the first, second and third fusion polypeptide components are rearranged. For example, if the nucleotide sequence encoding the first component is designated 1, the nucleotide sequence encoding the second component is designated 2, and the nucleotide sequence of the third component is designated 3, then the order of the components to 3' may be any of the following six combinations: 1,2,3; 1,3,2; 2,1,3; 23.1; 3,1,2; or 3.2.1. in the isolated nucleic acid of the invention as read from 5' 35

The present invention also has diagnostic and therapeutic utilities. In particular embodiments of the invention, methods of detecting aberrancies in the function or expression of 40 the chimeric polypeptide molecules described herein may be used in the diagnosis of disorders. In other embodiments, manipulation of the chimeric polypeptide molecules or agonists or antagonists which bind the chimeric polypeptide molecules may be used in the treatment of diseases. In 45 further embodiments, the chimeric polypeptide molecule is utilized as an agent to block the binding of a binding agent to its target.

By way of example, but not limitation, the method of the invention may be useful in treating clinical conditions that 50 are characterized by vascular permeability, edema or inflammation such as brain edema associated with injury, stroke or tumor; edema associated with inflammatory disorders such as psoriasis or arthritis, including rheumatoid arthritis; asthma; generalized edema associated with burns: ascites 55 and pleural effusion associated with tumors, inflammation or trauma; chronic airway inflammation; capillary leak syndrome; sepsis; kidney disease associated with increased leakage of protein; and eye disorders such as age related macular degeneration and diabetic retinopathy. 60

An amino acid sequence analysis of $Flt1(1-3)$ -Fe revealed the presence of an unusually high number (46) of the basic amino acid residue lysine.

An IEF analysis of $Flt1(1-3)$ -Fe showed that this protein has pl greater than 9.3, confirming the prediction that the 65 protein is very basic. It was hypothesized that the basic nature of $Fltl(1-3)$ -Fc protein was causing it to bind to

extracellular matrix components and that this interaction might be the cause of the extremely short detectable circulating serum half-life exhibited by FItl(1-3)-Fe when injected into mice. In order to test this hypothesis, Fit1(1- 3)-Fe protein was acetylated at the lysine residues to reduce the basic charge. Acetylated FItl(1-3)-Fc was then tested in the assays described infra.

The following examples are offered by way of illustration and not by way of limitation.

EXAMPLES

Example ¹

Expression of $Flt1(1-3)$ -Fc Protein in CHO K1 Cells

Using standard molecular biology techniques (see e.g., Molecular Cloning, A Laboratory Manual (Sambrook, et al., Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory), Current Protocols in Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory), Current Protocols in Molecular Biology (Eds. Ausubel, et al., Greene Publ. Assoc., Wiley-Interscience, NY), the gene encoding Fit1(1- 3)-Fe was inserted into the expression vector pEE14.1 (Lonza Biologics, plc) at a multiple cloning site downstream of the CMV promoter. CHO K1 cells were transfected with the pEE14.1/FItl(1-3)-Fe DNA construct using lipofectamine (Gaithersburg, Md.). The transfected CHO K1 cells were grown in glutamine-free DMEM (JRH, Kansas City, Mo.) containing 25 uM methionine sulfoximine (MSX) from Sigma Inc., St. Louis, Mo., and high recombinant protein expressors were obtained by screening the CHO K1 cell supernatants from over 100 hand-picked colony isolates using a standard immunoassay which captures and detects human Fe. The selected hand-picked clone was amplified in the presence of 100 μ M MSX followed by a second round of screening of the amplified clones. The highest producing clone had a specific productivity of recombinant Flt1(1-3)- Fe protein of 55 pg/cell/day.

The selected clone was expanded in 225 cm^2 T-flasks (Corning, Acton, culture media described supra. Cells were removed from the roller bottles by standard trypsinization and put into 3.5L of suspension medium. The suspension medium is comprised of glutamine-free ISCHO medium (Irvine Scientific, Santa Ana, Calif.) containing 5% fetal bovine serum (FBS from Hyclone Labs, Logan, Utah), 100 uM MSX and GS supplement (JRH Scientific, Kansas City, Mo.) in a 5L Celligen bioreactor (New Brunswick Scientific, New Brunswick, N.J.) at a density of 0.3x10° cells/mL. After the cells reached a density of $3.6\times10^6/\text{mL}$ and were adapted to suspension they were transferred to a 60L bioreactor (ABEC, Allentown, Pa.) at a density of 0.5×10^6 cells/mL in 20L of ISCHO medium with 5% fetal bovine serum. After two days an additional 20L of ISCHO+5% fetal bovine serum was added to the bioreactor. The cells were allowed to grow for an additional two days reaching a final density of 3.1×10^6 cells/mL, and a final Flt1(1-3)-Fe concentration at harvest was 95 mg/L. At harvest the cells were removed bytangential flow filtration using 0.45 um Prostak Filters (Millipore, Inc., Bedford, Mass.).

Example 2

Purification of Fltl(1-3)-Fe Protein Obtained from CHO K1 Cells

 $Flt1(1-3)$ -Fe protein was initially purified by affinity chromatography. A Protein A column was used to bind, with high specificity, the Fe portion of the molecule. This aflinitypurified protein was then concentrated and passed over a SEC column. The protein was then eluted into the formulation buffer. The following describes these procedures in detail.

Materials and Methods

All chemicals were obtained from J. T. Baker, Phillipsburg, N.J. with the exception of PBS, which was obtained as a 10.times. concentrate from Life Technologies, Gaithersburg, Md. Protein A Fast Flow and SUPERDEX™ 200 preparation grade resins were obtained from Pharmacia, Piscataway, N.J. Equipment and membranes for protein concentration were obtained from Millipore, Bedford, Mass.

Approximately 40L of 0.45 um-filtered CHO conditioned 15 media containing Fltl(1-3)-Fc protein was applied to a 290 mL Protein A Fast Flow column (10 cm diameter) that had been equilibrated with PBS. The column was washed with PBS containing 350 mM NaCl and 0.02% CHAPS and the bound protein was eluted with 20 mM Citric Acid containing 20 10 mM Na_2HPO_4 . The single peak in the elution was collected and its pH was raised to neutrality with 1M NaOH. The eluate fractions was concentrated to approximately 9 mg/mL using 10K regenerated cellulose membranes by both tangential flow filtration and by stirred cell concentration. To remove aggregates and other contaminants, the concentrated protein was applied to a column packed with Superdex 200 preparation grade resin (10 cmx55 cm) and run in PBS containing 5% glycerol. The main peak fractions were pooled, sterile filtered. aliquoted and stored at -80° C.

Example 3

Acetylation of Fitl(1-3)-Fe Protein

Two milligrams of Flt1 (1-3)-Fe protein were acetylated ³⁵ as described in the instruction manual provided with the sulfo-NHS-acetate modification kit (Pierce Chemical Co., Rockford, Tll., Cat.#26777).

Example 4

Characterization of Acetylated Flt1(1-3)-Fe Protein

(a)IEF analysis: Flt1(1-3)-Fc and acetylated Flt1(1-3)-Fc were analyzed by standard IEF analysis. As shown in FIG. 1, FIt1(1-3)-Fe protein is not able to migrate into the gel and therefore must have a pl greater than 9.3 , the highest pi in the standard. However, acetylated Fltl(1-3)-Fe is able to migrate into the gel and equilibrate at a pl of approximately 5.2. This result demonstrates that acetylation reduces the net positive charge of the protein and therefore its pl considerably. 45

b. Binding to Extracellular Matrix Components

'To test for binding to extracellular matrix components, 35 Fitl(1-3)-Fe and acetylated Fltl(1-3)-Pe where tested in an assay designed to mimic the interaction with extracellular matrix components. In this assay, 96-well tissue culture plates are coated with Matrigel (Biocoat MATRIGEL® matrix thin layer 96 well plate, Catalog #40607, Becton Dickinson Labware, Bedford, Mass.). The plates are incubated with varying concentrations of either FlItl(1-3)-Fe, acetylated FItl(1-3)-Fe, or rTie2-Fe (an irrelevant control) protein are added to the wells. The plates are incubated for 1-2 hours at either room temperature or 37° C. degrees and then detection of bound proteins is accomplished by adding a secondary alkaline phosphatase-conjugated anti-human Fc 65

antibody to the wells. Finally, alkaline phosphatase substrate is added to the wells and optical density is measured. FIG. 2 shows the results of this assay. Like the irrelevant control protein rTie2-Fc, acetylated Fltl(1-3)-Fe does not exhibit any binding to the Matrigel coated plate, whereas the non-acetylated Fitl(1-3)-Fe protein exhibits significant binding. This result indicates that acetylation of basic amino acid residues is an effective way to interfere with the charge interactions that exist between positively charged proteins and the negatively charged extracellular matrix components they are exposed to in vivo.

Example 5

Pegylation of Fltl(1-3)-Fe Protein

 30 the pi of FIt1(1-3)-Fc, but rather by physically shielding the Although pegylation (polyethylene glycol—PEG) of proteins has been shown to increase their in vivo potency by enhancing stability and bioavailability while minimizing immunogenicity (see references cited supra), it is counterintuitive that pegylating molecules that are too large to be filtered by the kidney glomeruli would improve their pharmacokinetic properties. Without being bound by theory, Applicants postulated that pegylation of the FItl(1-3)-Pe molecules could improve the pharmacokinetic properties, possibly not by altering the positive charge or by decreasing positive charges from interacting with the extracellular matrix. Applicants decided to attempt to improve the pharmacokinetic properties of Flt1(1-3)-Fe molecules by attaching strands of 20K PEGs as described infra.

Materials and Methods

50

Purified Flt1(1-3)-Fe derived from CHO cells (see supra) was used in the following pegylation experiments. Functionalized PEGs were obtained from Shearwater Polymers, Huntsville, Ala.; Bicine from Sigma, St Louis, Mo.; Superose 6 column from Pharmacia, Piscataway, N.J.; PBS as a 10x concentrate from Life Technologies, Gaithersburg, Md.:; Glycerol from J. T. Baker, Phillipsburg, N.J.; and Bis-Tris precast gels from Novex, Calif.

20K PEG strands functionalized with amine-specific terminal moieties were used in small-scale reaction studies that were set-up to evaluate different reaction conditions in which the PEG:protein stoichiometry was varied. Based on these reactions and the analyses of samples on standard SDS-PAGE, Flt1(1-3)-Fe at a concentration of 1.5 mg/mL was reacted at pH 8.1 with 20K SPA-PEG (PEG succinimidyl propionate) molecules at a PEG-to-Fitl(1-3)-Fe monomer molar ratio of 1:6. The reaction was allowed to proceed at 8° C. overnight. For initial purification, the reaction products were applied to a 10 mmx30 cm Superose 6 column equilibrated with PBS containing 5% Glycerol. The column appeared to separate pegylated Flt1(1-3)-Fe molecules based on the extent of pegylation. Fractions corresponding to what appeared to be primarily mono-pegylated and di-pegylated dimeric FIt1(1-3)-Fe, as judged by banding patterns on reducing and non-reducing SDS-PAGE gels were pooled. The protein concentration was determined by measuring absorbance at 280 nm. The pegylated Flt1(1-3)- Fc protein was sterile filtered, aliquoted and stored at -40° C_{i}

Example 6

Binding of Unmodified, Acetylated, and Pegylated Fitl(1-3)-Fe in a BLACORE™-Based Assay

Unmodified, acetylated, and pegylated FItl(1-3)-Fe proteins were tested in a BIACORE™-based assay to evaluate their ability to bind to the Flt1 ligand, VEGF. In this assay, unmodified Fltl(1-3)-Fe protein was immobilized on the surface of a BIACORE™ chip (see BIACORE™ Instruction 10 Manual, Pharmacia, Inc., Piscataway, N.J., for standard procedures) and a sample containing 0.2 ug/ml VEGF and either unmodified Flt1(1-3)-Fe, acetylated Fltl(1-3)-Fe or pegylated Flt1(1-3)-Fc (each at 25 μ g/ml) was passed over the $F1t1(1-3)$ -Fe-coated chip. To minimize the effects of 15 non-specific binding, the bound samples were washed with ^a 0.5M NaCl wash.In one sample, unmodified FIt1(1-3)-Fe was mixed with heparin. Heparin is a negatively charged molecule and the Flt1(1-3)-Fe protein is a positively charged molecule, so when the two molecules are mixed together, 20 they should interact through their respective charges. This essentially neutralizes FItl(1-3)-Fe's inherent positive charge making the molecule behave as if it has been chemically or genetically modified so as to reduce its charge and its tendency to bind via charge interactions. As shown in 25 FIG, 3, acetylated (columns 13-16), pegylated (columns 17-20), and heparin-treated FItl(1-3)-Fe (columns 21-24) are each able to completely compete with the BLACORE™ chip-bound FIt1(1-3)-Fe for VEGF binding as compared to contro] (columns 1-4) and irrelevant protein (columns 5-8). 30 Unmodified Flt1(1-3)-Fe (columns 5-6) appeared to only partially compete with BIACORE™ chip-bound Flt1(1-3)-Fe for VEGF binding. However, washing the bound samples with 0.5M NaCl (columns 7-8) resulted in a binding profile similar to the modified forms of $Flt1(1-3)$ -Fe, indicating that 35 the unmodified protein was exhibiting non-specific binding to the chip that could be eliminated by the salt wash.

Example 7

Binding of Unmodified, Acetylated, and Pegylated Fitl(1-3)-Pe in an ELISA-Based Assay

Unmodified, acetylated, and pegylated FItl(1-3)-Fe proteins were tested in a standard ELISA-based assay to evaluate their ability to bind the Flt] receptor ligand VEGF. As shown in FIG. 4, both pegylated and acetylated Flt1(1-3)-Fc proteins are capable of binding to VEGF, demonstrating that modifying the protein either by pegylation or acetylation does not destroy its ability to bind its ligand.

Example 8

Pharmacokinetic Analysis of Unmodified Flt1(1-3)- Fc, Acetylated Flt1(1-3)-Fc, and Pegylated Flt1(1-3)-Fe

In vivo experiments were designed to assess the pharmacokinetic profiles of unmodified Fltl(1-3)-Fe, acetylated Fitl(1-3)-Fe, and pegylated FItl(1-3)-Fe protein. Balb/c mice (23-28 g; 3 mice/group) were injected subcutaneously with 4 mg/kg of unmodified, acetylated, or pegylated Flt] (1-3)-Fe. The mice were tail bled at 1, 2, 4, 6, 24 hours, 2 days, and 3 days after injection of protein. The sera were assayed in a standard ELISA-based assay designed to detect Fltl(1-3)-Fe protein. Briefly, the assay involves coating an ELISA plate with VEGF, binding the unmodified, acety-

lated, or pegylated FIt1(1-3)-Fe-containing sera, and reporting with an anti-Fe antibody linked to alkaline phosphatase. As shown in FIG. 5, the Tmax for all of the Fltl(1-3)-Fe proteins was between the 6 hour and 24 hour time points. The Cmax for the different proteins was as follows: Unmodified: $0.06 \text{ }\mu\text{/m1-0.15 }\mu\text{g/ml};$ acetylated: $1.5 \text{ }\mu\text{g/ml-4.0 }\mu\text{g/ml};$ and pegylated: approximately 5 µg/ml.

Example 9

Step-Acetylation of Flt1(1-3)-Fe

To determine what minimal amount of acetylation is necessary to eliminate binding to extracellular matrix components, an experiment was designed that acetylated the Flt1(1-3)-Fe protein in a step-wise fashion by using increasing amounts of molar excess of acetylation reagent in the acetylation reaction mixture. The range of molar excess was as follows: 0, 10, 20, 30, 40, 50, 60, 70, 80, 90, and 100 moles of acetylation reagent per ¹ mole of Fitl(1-3)-Fe monomer. The reactions were performed as detailed in the instruction manual provided with the sulfo-NHS-Acetate modification kit (Pierce Chemical Co., Rockford, Il, Cat.#26777).

Example 10

Characterization of Step-Acetylated Flt1(1-3)-Fe

(a IEF analysis Unmodified Fltl(1-3)-Fe and step-acetylated Fitl(1-3)-Fe proteins were analyzed by standard IEF analysis. As shown in FIG. 6A-6B, unmodified Flt1(1-3)-Fe protein was not able to migrate into the gel due to its extremely high pl (greater than 9.3). However, most of the > step-acetylated Fltl(1-3)-Fe samples (30-100 fold molar excess samples) were able to migrate into the gel and equilibrate at pls ranging between 4.55-8.43, depending on the degree of acetylation of the protein. This result demonstrates that acetylation can change the positive charge of the protein in a dose-dependent manner and that reduction of the

pl can be controlled by controlling the degree of acetylation.

(b.) Binding of Step-Acetylated Flt1(1-3)-Fe to Extracellular Matrix Components

50 lated FItl(1-3)-Fe (10, 20, and 30 fold molar excess To test for binding to extracellular matrix components, Flt1(1-3)-Fe and step-acetylated Fltl(1-3)-Fe where tested in the above-described assay designed to mimic the interaction with extracellular matrix components. Varying concentrations of either unmodified Flt1(1-3)-Fc, step-acetysamples), or rTie2-Fe (an irrelevant control) protein were added to the wells. The plates were incubated for 1-2 hours at room temperature or 37° C. and then detection of bound proteins-was accomplished by adding a secondary alkaline phosphatase-conjugated anti-human Fe antibody to the wells. Alkaline phosphatase substrate was subsequently added to the wells and optical density measured. FIG. 7 shows the results of this assay. Like the irrelevant control protein rTie2-Fe, step-acetylated F]tl(1-3)-Fe (20 and 30 fold molar excess samples) did not exhibit any significant binding to the Matrigel coated plate, whereas the nonacetylated FItl(1-3)-Fe protein exhibited significant binding. The binding is saturable, indicating that the $Flt1(1-3)$ -Fe protein may be binding to specific sites, rather than a more general charge-mediated interaction that might not be saturable. The 10 fold molar excess sample showed reduced binding, but the degree of acetylation was not enough to

30

65

60

completely block binding to extracellular matrix components. The 20 fold molar excess and higher samples displayed no detectable binding, despite the fact that by IEF analysis (FIGS. 6A and 6B) the lower molar excess samples still had a large net positive charge. This result demonstrates that it is not necessary to completely acetylate all available basic amino acids in order to eliminate binding to extracellular matrix components.

(c.) Binding of Step-Acetylated Ftll(1-3)-Fe in a BIA- 10 CORE™-Based Assay

Unmodified and step-acetylated Flt1(1-3)-Fe proteins where tested in a BIACORE™-based assay to evaluate their ability to bind to the Fltl ligand, VEGF. In this assay, unmodified Flt1(1-3)-Fe protein (0.5, 1.0, or 5.0 μ g/ml) was immobilized on the surface of a BLACORE™ chip (see BIACORE™ Instruction Manual, Pharmacia, Inc., Piscataway, N.J., for standard procedures) and a solution containing 0.2μ g/ml VEGF and either unmodified Flt1(1-3)-Fc (at either 0.5, 1.0, or 5.0 ug/ml) or 10 different step-acetylated Flt1(1-3)-Fc samples (at 0.5, 1.0, or 5.0 μ g/ml each) were passed over the Flt] (1-3)-Fe-coated chip. As shown in FIG. 8, at a sub-stoichiometric ratio (0.5 μ g/ml of either unmodified Flt1(1-3) or step-acetylated Flt1(1-3)-Fc vs. 0.2 1 μ g/ml VEGF), there is not enough FIt](1-3)-Fe (either unmodified or step-acetylated) in the solution to completely bind the VEGF. At 1.0 µg/ml, which approximates a 1:1 stoichiometric ratio, both unmodified and step-acetylated Fltl(1-3)- Fc are better able to compete for VEGF binding, but there is still insufficient Flt1(1-3)-Fc protein (either unmodified or step-acetylated) to completely bind the available VEGF. However, at 5.0 ug/ml, which is several times greater than a 1:1 stoichiometric ratio, both the FItl(1-3)-Fe and the step-acetylated Fltl(1-3)-Fe proteins are able to bind the VEGF, regardless of the degree of acetylation. This clearly demonstrates that acetylation does not alter FItl(1-3)-Fe's ability to bind VEGF.

(d.) Pharmacokinetic Analysis of Step-Acetylated FItl(1-3)- Fe

In vivo experiments were designed to assess the pharmacokinetic profiles of unmodified Fltl(1-3)-Fe and stepacetylated Fltl(1-3)-Fe protein. Balb/e mice (23-28 g) were injected subcutaneously with 4 mg/kg of unmodified or 10, 20, 40, 60 and 100 fold molar excess samples of stepacetylated Flt1(1-3)-Fe (3 mice for unmodified, 10, 20 and 45 40 fold molar excess samples and 2 mice for 60 and 100 fold molar excess samples). The mice were tail bled at 1, 2, 4, 6, 24 hours, 2 days and 3 days after injection. The sera were assayed in an ELISA-based assay designed to detect Flt1 (1-3)-Pe (described supra). FIG. 9 details the results ofthis 50 study. The Tmax for all of the Fitl(1-3)-Fe proteins tested was at the 6 hour time point but the Cmax was as follows: Unmodified Flt1(1-3)-Fc: $0.06 \mu g/ml$; 10 fold molar excess sample: - 0.71 µg/ml, 20 fold molar excess sample-2 ug/ml, 40 fold molar excess sample—4 pg/ml, 60 fold molar 35 excess sample—2 μ g/ml, 100 fold molar excess sample—1 ug/ml. This results demonstrates that acetylation or pegylation of FItl(1-3)-Fe significantly improves its pharmacokinetic profile.

Example ¹¹

Construction of Flt1(1-3)-Fe Basic Region Deletion Mutant Designated Mut1: Flt1(1-3 $_{AB}$)-Fe

Based on the observation that acetylated FItl(1-3)-Fe, which has a pl below 6, has much better pharmacokinetics 22

than the highly positive unmodified $Flt1(1-3)$ -Fc (pl>9.3), it was asked whether the difference in pharmacokinetics could be attributed to the net charge of the protein, Which made it stick to negatively charged extracellular matrix components, or whether there were perhaps specific locations on the surface of the Flt1(1-3)-Fe protein that constituted specific binding sites for extracellular matrix components. For example, many proteins are known to have heparin binding sites, often consisting of a cluster of basic residues. Sometimes these residues are found in a cluster on the primary sequence of the protein; some of the literature has identified "consensus sequences" for such heparin binding sites (see for example Hileman, et al., 1998, Bioessays 20(2):156-67). In other cases, the known crystal structure of a protein reveals a cluster of positively charged residues on the surface of a protein, but the residues come from different regions of the primary sequence and are only brought together when the protein folds into its tertiary structure. Thus it is difficult to deduce whether an isolated amino acid residue forms part of a cluster of basic residues on the surface of the protein. However, if there is a cluster of positively charged amino acid residues in the primary sequence, it is not unreasonable to surmise that the residues are spatially close to one another and might therefore be part ⁵ of an extracellular matrix component binding site. FItl receptor has been studied extensively and various domains have been described (see for example Tanaka et al., 1997, Jpn. J. Cancer Res 88:867-876). Referring to the nucleic acid and amino acid sequence set forth in FIG. 10A-10D of this application, one canidentify the signal sequence for secretion which is located at the beginning of the sequence and extends to the glycine coded for by nucleotides 76-78. The mature protein begins with Ser-Lys-Leu-Lys, starting at nucleotide 79 of the nucleic acid sequence. Flt1 Ig domain ⁵ ¹ extends fromnucleotide 79 to 393, ending with the amino acids Ser-Asp-Thr. Flt! 1g domain 2 extends from nucleotide 394 to 687 (encoding Gly-Arg-Pro to Asn-Thr-Ile), and Fltl Ig domain 3 extends from nucleotides 688 to 996 (encoding Ile-Asp-Val to Asp-Lys-Ala). There is a bridging amino acid sequence, Gly-Pro-Gly, encoded by nucleotides 997-1005, followed by the nucleotide sequence encoding human Fe (nucleotides 1006-1701 or amino acids Glu-Pro-Lys to Pro-Gly-Lys-stop).

A more detailed analysis of the Flt1 amino acid sequence reveals that there is a cluster, namely, amino acid residues 272-281 (KNKRASVRR) of FIG. 10A-10D, in which 6 out of 10 amino acid residues are basic. This sequence is located in Flt1 Ig domain 3 of the receptor (see FIG. 11), which is not itself essential for binding of VEGF ligand, but which confers a higher aflinity binding to ligand. An alignment of the sequence of Ig domain 3 with that of Ig domain 2 reveals that in this region, there is very poor alignment between the two Ig domains, and that there are about 10 additional amino acids in Ig domain 3. An analysis of the hydrophilicity profiles (MaeVaster MACVECTOR™ computer software) of these two domains clearly indicates the presence of a hydrophilic region in the protein (FIG. 12A-12B). These observations raised the possibility that the actual three dimensional conformation of Flt1 Ig domain 3 allowed for some type of protrusion that is not in Flt1 Ig domain 2. To test this hypothesis, the 10 additional amino acids were deleted and the resulting protein was tested to see whether the deletion would affect the pharmacokinetics favorably without seriously compromising the aflinity of the receptor for VEGF. This DNA construct, which was constructed using standard molecular biology techniques (see e.g.. Molecular Cloning, A Laboratory Manual (Sambrook, F et

 10

 45

al., Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory), Current Protocols in Molecular Biology (Eds. Ausubel, et al., Greene Publ. Assoc., Wiley-Interscience, N.Y.) in the mammalian expression vector pMT21, (Genetics Institute, Inc., Cambridge, Mass.), is referred to as Mutl: Flt1(1-3_{ΔB})-Fc. The Mutl: 5 Flt1(1-3_{AB})-Fe constructwas derived from Flt1(1-3)-Fe by deletion of nucleotides 814-843 (set forth in FIG. 10A-10D), which deletes the highly basic 10-amino acid residue sequence Lys-Asn-Lys-Arg-Ala-Ser-Val-Arg-Arg-Arg from Flt1 Ig domain 3.

The final DNA construct was sequence-verified using an ABI 373A DNA sequencer and Taq Dideoxy Terminator Cycle Sequencing Kit (Applied Biosystems, Inc., Foster City, Calif.). The sequence of Mutl: $Flt1(1-3_{\Delta B})-Fc$ is set forth in FIG. 13A-13D.

Example 12

Construction of FItl(1-3)-Fe Basic region Deletion Mutant Designated Mut2: Flt1(2-3 $_{\Delta B) \text{-} F_C}$

A second deletion mutant construct, designated Mut2: Flt1 (2-3_{Δ B})-Fc, was derived from the Mut1: Flt1(1-3 $_{\Delta$ B)-Fc construct by deletion of Flt1 Ig domain 1 encoded by nucleotides 79-393 (see FIG. 10A-10D); for convenience, nucleotides 73-78 (TCA GGT) were changed to TCC GGA. This introduced a restriction site (BspE1) without altering the associated amino acid sequence, Ser-Gly. This DNA construct, which was constructed using standard molecular biology techniques (see e.g., Molecular Cloning, A Labora-30 tory Manual (Sambrook, et al., Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory), Current Protocols in Molecular Biology (Eds. Ausubel, et al., Greene Publ. Assoc., Wiley-Interscience, NY) in the mammalian expression vector pMT21 (Genetics Institute, Inc., Cambridge, Mass.), was also sequence-verified using an ABI 373A DNA sequencer and Taq Dideoxy Terminator Cycle Sequencing Kit (Applied Biosystems, Inc., Foster City, Calif.). The sequence of Mut2: Flt1(2-3 $_{AB}$)-Fe is set forth in FIG. 14A-14C.

Example 13

Construction of Flt](1-3)-Fe Deletion Mutant Designated Mut3: Flt1(2-3)-Fe

A third deletion mutate construct, designated Mut3: Fit] (2-3)-Fe, was constructed the same way as the Mut2: Fit1 $(2-3_{AB})$ -Fe construct, except that Flt1 Ig domain 3 was left intact (the basic region amino acids were not deleted). The construct was constructed using standard molecular biology ⁵ techniques and the final construct was sequence-verified verified as described supra. The sequence of Mut3: FItl(2- 3)-Fe is set forth in FIG. 15A-15C.

Example 14

Construction of FJt(1-3)-Fe Basic Region N-Glycosylation Mutant Designated Mut4: Flt1(1-3 $_{R\rightarrow N}$)-Fe

A final construct was made in which a N-glycosylation 60 site was introduced into the middle of the basic region of Flt1 Ig domain 3. This construct was designated Mut4: Flt1(1-3_{R->N})-Fe and was made by changing nucleotides 824-825 from GAto AC, consequently changing the coded Arg residue (AGA) into an Asn residue (AAC) (see FIG, 10A-10). The resulting amino acid sequence is therefore changed from Arg-Ala-Ser to Asn-Ala-Ser, which matches

the canonical signal (Asn-Xxx-Ser/Thr) for the addition of a N-glycosylation site at the Asn residue. The sequence of Mut4: Flt1(1-3_{R--N})-Fe is set forth in FIG. 16A-16D.

Example 15

Characterization of Acetylated Fitl(1-3)-Fe, Mut1: Flt1(1-3_{AB})-Fe, and Mut4: Flt1(1-3_{R->N})-Fe Mutants

(a.) Binding to Extracellular Matrix Components

To determine whether the three modified proteins were more or less likely to have improved pharmacokinetic properties, Matrigel coated 96-well dishes (as described supra) were incubated with varying concentrations of the mutant proteins and detected with anti-human Fe/alkalinephosphatase conjugated antibodies. As shown in FIG. 18, this experiment showed that while the unmodified Flt1(1- 3)-Fe protein could bind avidly to these wells, the Mut3: Flt1(2-3)-Fe protein bound somewhat more weakly, the Mut1: Flt1(1-3 $_{\Delta B}$)-Fe protein bound more weakly still, and the Mut2: Flt1(2-3_{AB})-Fc protein showed the best profile, binding more weakly than any of the other mutant proteins. ⁵ The Mut4: FIt1(1-3_{R->N})-Fe glycosylation mutant protein showed only marginal benefit on the Matrigel assay. These results confirm the hypothesis that a linear sequence of positive amino acids can be deleted from the primary sequence resulting in a decrease in charge interaction with extracellular matrix components.

(b.) Binding of Mut1: Flt1(1- 3_{AB})-Fe and Mut4: Flt1(1- $3_{R\rightarrow N}$) Fc in a BIACORE™-Based Assay.

Unmodified and acetylated Flt] (1-3)-Fe and genetically modified Mut1; Flt1(1-3_{Δ B})-Fe and Mut4: Flt1(1-3_{R- \rightarrow N})-Fe proteins where tested in a BIACORE™-based assay to evaluate their ability to bind to the Flt1 ligand, VEGF. In this assay, unmodified Fltl(1-3)-Fe protein (0.25, 0.5, or 1.0 ug/ml) was immobilized on the surface of a BLACORE™ chip (see BLACORE™Instruction Manual, Pharmacia, Inc.,

35 unmodified acetylated or genetically modified Fltl(1-3)-Fe Piscataway, N.J., for standard procedures) and a solution containing 0.1 μ g/ml VEGF and either purified or COS cell supernatant containing unmodified Fltl(1-3)-Fe (at approximately (0.25, 0.5, or 1.0 μ g/ml), purified acetylated Flt1(1-3)-Fc (at (0.25, 0.5, or 1.0 µg/ml), COS cell supernatant containing Mutl: Flt1 (1-3 $_{\Delta B}$)-Fe. (at approximately (0.25, 0.5 , or $1.0 \,\mathrm{\upmu g/ml}$, or COS cell supernatant containing Mut4: Flt1(1-3_{R->N})-Fe (at approximately (0.25, 0.5, or 1.0 µg/ml) were passed over the Flt1(1-3)-Fe-coated chip. As shown in FIG. 17, at the sub-stoichiometric ratio $(0.25 \text{ µg/ml Flt1}(1-$ 3)-Fe of unmodified, acetylated or genetically modified samples vs. 01. μ g/ml VEGF), there is insufficient Flt1(1-3)-Fe protein to block binding of VEGF to the $Flt1(1-3)$ -Fe immobilized on the BIACORE™chip. At 0.5 pg/ml of proteins, the stoichiometric ratio approximates 1:1 and there is an increased ability to block VEGF binding to the BIA-CORE™ chip At 1.0 µg/ml of unmodified, acetylated or genetically modified Fltl(1-3)-Fe proteins, which is approximately a 10:1 stoichiometric ratio, the Flt1(1-3)-Fe proteins are able to block binding of VEGF to the BIA-CORE™ chip, but they are not equivalent. Unmodified, acetylated, and Mut1: Flt1(1-3_{α B})-Fc are essentially equal in their ability to block VEGF binding. whereas Mut4: Flt1(1- $3_{R\rightarrow N}$)-Fe is somewhat less efficient at blocking binding. These results confirm the hypothesis that it is possible to reduce the non-specific binding of a positively charged

molecule by genetically removing a linear sequence of predominantly negatively charged amino acids.

(c.) Binding of Mut1: Flt1(1-3_{Δ B})-Fe, Mut2: Flt1(2-3 $_{\Delta B}$)-Fe, Mut3: Flt1(2-3)-Fe, and in an ELISA-Based Assay.

To determine whether the three mutant proteins could bind the Flt1 ligand VEGF, binding experiments were done in which 96-well plates coated with VEGF were incubated with varying concentrations of the respective mutant protein, and after washing, the amount bound was detected by incubating with an alkaline phosphatase conjugated antihuman Fe antibody and quantitated colorimetrically by the addition of an appropriate alkaline phosphatase substrate. As shown in FIG. 19, this experiment showed that all the mutant proteins could bind VEGF similarly, at the concentrations 15 tested.

Example 16

Pharmacokinetic Analysis of Acetylated Fltl(1-3)- Fc, Mut1: Flt1(1-3_{Δ B})-Fc, and Unmodified Flt1(1-3)-Fe

In vivo experiments were designed to assess the pharmacokinetic profiles of unmodified Flt1(1-3)-Fc, Mut1: Flt1(1- $_{25}$ 3_{AB} -Fc, and 40 fold molar excess acetylated Flt1(1-3)-Fc protein. Balb/c mice (25-30 g) were injected subcutaneously with 4 mg/kg of unmodified FItl(1-3)-Fe, 40 fold molar excess acetylated Flt1(1-3)-Fc, and Mut1: Flt1(1-3 $_{AB}$)-Fc proteins (4 mice each). These mice were tail bled at $1, 2, 4, 30$ 6, 24 hours, 2 days, 3 days, and 5 days after injection. The sera were assayed in an ELISA designed to detect Fltl(1- 3)-Fe protein which involves coating an ELISA plate with VEGF, binding the $Flt1(1-3)$ -Fe and reporting with an anti-Fe antibody linked to alkaline phosphatase. As shown in 35 FIG. 20, the Cmax for these reagents was as follows: Unmodified Flt1(1-3)-Fc $-0.15 \,\mu$ g/ml; 40 fold molar excess acetylated Flt1(1-3)-Fc—1.5 µg/ml; and Mut1: Flt1(1-3 $_{\Delta B}$)- Fe —0.7 µg/ml.

Example 17

Modified Fit] Receptor Vector Construction

The rationale for constructing modified versions of the 45 Flt1 receptor (also known as VEGFR1) was based on the observation that the protein sequence of Flitl was highly basic, and was therefore likely to stick to extracellular matrix (ECM). The highly basic nature of Fltl probably explains why unmodified Flt1(1-3)-Fe (described supra) has 50 poor pharmacokinetics that make it difficult to use as a therapeutic agent. As described supra, the chemically modified form of 40 fold molar excess acetylated FIt1(1-3)-Fe, hereinafter termed A40, exhibited a greatly improved pharmacokinetic (PK) profile over the non-acetylated FItl(1-3)- 55 Fe. Therefore, attempts were made to engineer DNA molecules that could be used to recombinantly express modified forms of a Flt] receptor molecule that would possess the improved PK profile exhibited by A40 and still maintain the ability to bind tightly to VEGF. 60

It is known in the literature that the first Ig domain of Flt1 (which has a net charge of $+5$ at neutral pH) is not essential for tight binding to VEGF, so this domain was deleted. The third Ig domain (having ^a net charge of +11) is not essential for binding, but confers higher affinity for VEGF than the 65 second Ig domain, so instead of deleting it entirely, it was replaced with the equivalent domains of the Flt] receptor

relatives Flkl (also known as VEGFR2) and FIlt4 (also known as VEGFR3). These chimeric molecules (denoted R1R2 (FItl.D2.FIk1D3.FeAC1(a) and VEGFR1R2-FeAC1
(a) and R1R3 (FItlD2.VEGFR3D3-FeAC1(a) and and R1R3 $(Flt1D2.VEGFR3D3-Fc\Delta C1(a)$ VEGFR,R3-FcACI(a) respectively, wherein R1 and Flt1D2=lg domain 2 of Fit] (VEGFR1); R2 and Flk1D3=Ig domain 3 of FIkl (VEGFR2); and R3 and VEGFR3D3=lg domain 3 of Flt4 (VEGFR3)) were much less sticky to ECM, as judged by an in vitro ECM binding assay as described infra, had greatly improved PK as described infra. In addition, these molecules were able to bind VEGF tightly as described infra and block phosphorylation of the native Flk1 receptor expressed in endothelial cells as described infra.

(a) Construction of the Expression Plasmid $pF11D2.F1k1D3.Fc\Delta C1(a)$ Expression

Expression plasmids pMT21.FIt1(1-3).F¢ (6519 bp) and pMT21.Flk-1(1-3).Fe (5230 bp) are plasmids that encode ampicillin resistance and Fc-tagged versions of Ig domains 1-3 of human Flt1 and human Flk1, respectively. These plasmids were used to construct a DNA fragment consisting of a fusion of Ig domain 2 of Flt1 with Ig domain 3 of Flk1, using PCR amplification of the respective Ig domains followed by further rounds of PCR to achieve fusion of the two domains into a single fragment. For Ig domain 2 of Flt1, the S' and 3' amplification primers were as follows:

```
5': bep/flt1p2 (5! -GACTAGCAGTCCGGAGGTAGACCTTTCGTAGAGATG-3 ')
```

```
3': P1t1D2-P1k1D3.as
(5' -CGGACTCAGAACCACATCTATGATTGTATTGGT-3' )
```
The S' amplification primer encodes a BspE1 restriction enzyme site upstream of Ig domain 2 of Flt1, defined by the amino acid sequence GRPFVEM (corresponding to amino acids 27-33 of FIG. 21A-21C). The 3' primer encodes the reverse complement of the 3' end of Flt1 Ig domain 2 fused directly to the 5' beginning of Flk1 Ig domain 3, with the fusion point defined as TIID of Flt1 (corresponding to amino acids 123-126 of FIG. 21A-21C) and continuing into VVLS

(corresponding to amino acids 127-130 of FIG. 21A-21C) of Fikl.

For Ig domain 3 of Fikl, the 5' and 3' amplification primers were as follows:

3': F1k1D3/apa/srf.as
(5'-GATAATGCCCGGGCCCTTTTCATGGACCCTGACAAATG-3')

The $5'$ amplification primer encodes the end of FIt1 Ig domain 2 fused directly to the beginning of Flk1 Ig domain 3, as described above. The 3' amplification primer encodes the end of Flkl Ig domain 3, defined by the amino acids VRVHEK(corresponding-to amino acids 223-228 of FIG. 21A-21C), followed by a bridging sequence that includes a recognition sequence for the restriction enzyme Srfl, and encodes the amino acids GPG. The bridging sequence corresponds to amino acids 229-231 of FIG. 21A-21C.

After a round of PCR amplification to produce the individual domains, the products were combined in a tube and subjected to a further round of PCR with the primers bsp/flt1D2 and F1k1D3/apa/srf.as (described supra) to produce the fusion product. This PCR product was subsequently digested with the restriction enzymes BspEl and Smal and the resulting 614 bp fragment was subcloned into the BspE]

^{5&#}x27;: F1lt1D2-F1k1D3.s

^{(5&#}x27; -ACAATCATAGATGTGGTTCTGAGTCCGTCTCATGG- 3" }

to Srfl restriction sites of the vector pMT21/AB2.Fe, to create the plasmid pMT21/F1lt1D2.FIk1D3.Fc. The nucleotide sequence of the Flt1D2-Flk1D3 gene fusion insert was verified by standard sequence analysis. This plasmid was then digested with the restriction enzymes EcoRI and Srfl and the resulting 702 bp fragment was transferred into the EcoRI to SrfI restriction sites of the plasmid pFlt1(1-3)B2-Fe Δ C1(a) to produce the plasmid pFlt1D2.Flk1D3.Fc Δ C1 (a). The complete DNA and deduced amino acid sequences of the Flt!D2.FIk1D3.FeAC1(a) chimeric molecule is set 0 forth in FIG. 21A-21C.

Expression Plasmid

The expression plasmid pMT21.Flt1(1-3).Fe (6519 bp) encodes ampicillin resistance and an Fc-tagged version of Ig domains 1-3 of human Flt1 receptor. This plasmid was used to produce a DNA fragment containing Ig domain 2 of Flt1 by PCR. RNA from the cell line HEL921.7 was used to produce Ig domain 3 of FIkl, using standard RI-PCR methodology. A further round of PCR amplification was used to achieve fusion of the two Ig domains into a single fused fragment. For Ig domain 2 of Fitl, the 5' and 3' amplification primers were as follows:

5': bsp/flt1D2
(5'-GACTAGCAGTCCGGAGGTAGACCTTTCGTAGAGATG-3')

3': FltiD2.VEGFR3D3.as (TTCCTGGGCAACAGCTGGATATCTATGATTGTATTGGT)

The 5' amplification primer encodes a BspEI restriction site upstream of Ig domain 2 of Fltl, defined by the amino acid sequence GRPFVEM (corresponding to amino acids 27-33 of FIG. 22A-22C). The 3' amplification primer encodes the reverse complement of the end of Fitl Ig domain 2 fused directly to the beginning of VEGFR3 Ig domain 3, with the fusion point defined as THD of Fitl (corresponding to amino acids 123-126 of FIG. 22A-22C) and continuing into IQLL of VEGFR3 (corresponding to amino acids 127-130 of FIG. 22A-22C).

For Ig domain 3 of VEGFR3, the S' and 3' primers used for RT-PCR were as follows:

5': R3D3.8 {ATCCAGCTGTTGCCCAGGAAGTCGCTGGAGCTGCTGGTA}

3': R3D3.as {ATTTTCATGCACAATGACCTCGGTGCTCTCCCGAAATCG}

Both the $5'$ and $3'$ amplification primers match the 50 sequence of VEGFR3. The 296 bp amplification product of this RT-PCR reaction was isolated by standard techniques and subjected to a second round of PCR to add suitable sequences to allow for fusion of the Flt1D2 with the Flk1D3 domains and fusion of the Flk1D3 and Fc domains via a ⁵⁵ GPG bridge (see below). The amplification primers were as follows:

5': FltlD2.VEGFR3D3.s (TCATAGATATCCAGCTGTTGCCCAGGAAGTCGCTGGAG)

3': VEGPR3D3/srf.as (GATAATGCCCGGGCCATTT TCATGCACAATGACCTCGGT)

The 5' amplification primer encodes the 3' end of Flt1 Ig 65 domain 2 fused directly to the beginning (5' end) of VEGFR3 Ig domain 3, as described above. The 3' amplifi-

cation primer encodes the 3' end of VEGFR3 Ig domain 3, defined by the amino acids VIVHEN (corresponding to amino acids 221-226 of FIG. 22A-22C), followed by a bridging sequence that includes a recognition sequence for Srfl, and encodes the amino acids GPG. The bridging sequence corresponds to amino acids 227-229 of FIG. 22A-22C.

EXECUTE: EXECUTE: EXECUTE: 10 1973 207 11 $\frac{1}{2}$ 208 11 $\$ After one round (for Flt1 Ig domain 2) or two rounds (for Flt4 Ig domain 3) of PCR to produce the individual Ig domains, the PCR products were combined in a tube and subjected to a further round of PCR amplification with the amplification primers bsp/flt1D2 and VEGFR3D3/srf.as described supra, to produce the fusion product. This PCR product was subsequently digested with the restriction enzymes BspEI and SmaI and the resulting 625 bp fragment was subcloned into the BspEI to Srfl restriction sites of the vector pMT21/FItlAB2.Fe (described supra), to create the plasmid pMT21/FIt1D2.VEGFR3D3.Fc. The sequence of the Flt]D2-VEGFR3D3 gene fusion insert was verified by standard sequence analysis. This plasmid was then digested with the restriction enzymes. EcoRI and Srfl and the resulting 693 bp fragment was subcloned into the EcoRI to Srfl restriction sites of the plasmid pFlt1(1-3) Δ B2-Fc Δ C1(a) to produce the plasmid designated produce the plasmid designated ⁵ pFltID2.VEGFR3D3.FcACI(a). The complete DNA deduced amino acid sequence of the FltiID2.VEGFR3D3.FcACI(a) chimeric molecule is set forth in FIG. 22A-22C. 1 S $2.334,573$ E2

1 S $2.334,573$ E2

1 S $2.334,573$ E2

1 of the starting at the starting

Example 18

Extracellular Matrix Binding (ECM) Binding Assay

45 hr. at room temperature. The plates were then washed 4 ECM-coated plates (Becton Dickinson catalog #35-4607) ⁵ were rehydrated with warm DME supplemented with glutamine (2 mM), 100 U penicillin, 100 U streptomycin, and 10% BCS for at least 1 hr. before adding samples. The plates were then incubated for ¹ hr. at room temperature with varying concentrations of FItlD2.FIk1D3.Fc Δ C1(a) and FItlD2.VEGFR3D3.Fc Δ C1(a) starting at 10 nM with subsequent 2-fold dilutions in PBS plus 10% BCS. The plates were then washed 3 times with PBS plus 0.1% Triton-X and incubated with alkaline phosphatase-conjugated anti-human Fc antibody (Promega, $1:4000$ in PBS plus 10% BCS) for 1 times with PBS 0.1% Triton-X and alkaline phosphatase buffer/pNPP solution (Sigma) was added for color development. Plates were read at $I=405-570$ nm. The results of this experiment are shown in FIG. 23 and demonstrate that the Flt1D2.PIKID3.PeAC](a) and FItlD2.VEGFR3D3.PeAC1 (a) proteins are considerably less sticky to the ECM as compared to the FItl(1-3)-Fe protein.

Example 19

Transient Expression of pFlt1D2.Flk1D3.FcAC1(a) in CHO-K1 (E1A) Cells

A large scale $(2 L)$ culture of E. coli DH1B cells carrying 60 the pFIt1D2.FIk1D3.Fc Δ C1(a) plasmid described supra in Example 17(a) was grown overnight in Terrific Broth (TB) plus 100 ug/ml ampicillin. The next day, the plasmid DNA was extracted using a QIAgen ENDOFREE™ Megaprep kit following the manufacturer's protocol. The concentration of the purified plasmid DNA was determined by standard techniques using a UV spectrophotometer and fluorometer. The plasmid DNA was verified by standard restriction

enzyme digestion of aliquots using the restriction enzymes EcoRI plus Notl and Asel. All restriction enzyme digest fragments corresponded to the predicted sizes when analyzed on a 1% agarose gel.

Forty 15 cm petri plates were seeded with CHO-K1/E1A 5 cells at a density of 4×10^6 cells/plate. Plating media was Gibco Ham's F-12 supplemented with 10% HYCLONE™ Fetal Bovine Serum (EBS), 100 U penicillin/100 U streptomycin and glutamine (2 mM). The following day each plate of cells was transfected with 6 ug of the 10 pFlt1D2.Flk1D3.FeAC1(a) plasmid DNA using Gibco Optimemand Gibco Lipofectamine in ¹² ml volume, following the manufacturer's protocol. Four hours after adding, the transfection mix to the cells, 12 ml/plate of Optimem supplemented with 10% FBS was added. Plates were incu-15 bated at 37° C, in a 5% CO, incubator overnight. The following day the media was removed from each plate and 25 ml expression media (Gibco CHO-S-SFM II supplemented with glutamine (2 mM) and 1 mM sodium butyrate) was added. The plates were incubated at 37° C. for 3 days. 20 After 3 days of incubation, the media was aspirated from each plate and centrifuged at 400 rpm in a swinging bucket rotor to pellet cells. The supernatant was decanted into sterile 1L bottles and purification of the expressed protein was performed as described infra. abrane. The protocol is a straiged set of the concentral interaction of the protocol interaction of the proto

Example 20

Construction pVEGFR1R2-FcAC1{a) Expression Vector

The pVEGFRIR2.FcAC1(a) expression plasmid was constructed by insertion of DNA encoding amino acids SDT (corresponding to amino acids 27-29 of FIG. 24A-24C) between Flt1d2-Flk1d3-Fc Δ C1(a) amino acids 26 and 27 of 35 FIG, 21A-21C (GG) and removal of DNA encoding amino acids GPG corresponding to amino acids 229-231 of Figure.
The SDT amino acid sequence is native to the Flt1 receptor and was added back in to decrease the likelihood of heterogeneous N-terminal processing. The GPG (bridging sequence) was removed so that the Flt1 and Flk1 Ig domains were fused directly to one another. The complete DNA and deduced amino acid sequences of the pVEGFRIR2.FcAC1 (a) chimeric molecule is set forth in FIG. 24A-24C.

Example 21

Cell Culture Process Used to Produce Modified Fltl Receptors

(a) Cell Culture FIt1D2.FIk1 D3.FeACI(a) Process Used to Produce

The process for production of Flt1D2.Flk1D3.Fc $\Delta C1(a)$
protein using the expression plasmid protein using the expression plasmid pFlt!D2.FIk1D3.FeAC1(a) described supra in Example ¹ involves suspension culture of recombinant Chinese hamster ovary (CHO K1/E1A) cells which constitutively express the protein product. The cells are grown in bioreactors and the protein product is isolated and purified by aflinity and size exclusion chromatography. The process is provided in greater detail below. 55

Cell Expansion

Two confluent T-225 cm? flasks containing the FitlD2.FIk1D3.FeACI(a) expressing cell line were 65 expanded by passaging cells into eight T-225 cm² flasks in medium (GMEM+10% serum, GIBCO) and incubated at

 37° C. and 5% CO₂. When the flasks approached confluence (approximately 3 to 4 days) the cells were detached using trypsin. Fresh medium was added to protect the cells from further exposure to the trypsin. The cells were centrifuged and resuspended in fresh medium then transferred to eight 850 cm² roller bottles and incubated at 37° C. and 5% CO₂ until confluent.

Suspension Culture in Bioreactors

Cells grown in roller bottles were trypsinized to detach them from the surface and washed with suspension culture medium. The cells are aseptically transferred to a SL bioreactor (New Brunswick Celligen Plus) where the cells are grown in 3.5L of suspension culture. The suspension culture medium was a glutamine-free low glucose modification of IS-CHO(Irvine Scientific) to which 5% fetal bovine serum (Hyclone), GS supplement (Life Technologies) and 25 uM methionine sulfoximine (Sigma) was added. The pH was controlled at 7.2 by addition of carbon dioxide to the inlet gas or by addition of a liquid solution of sodium carbonate to the bioreactor. Dissolved oxygen level was maintained at 30% of saturation by addition of oxygen or nitrogen to the inlet gas and temperature controlled at 37° C. When a density of 4×10^6 cells/mL was reached the cells were transferred to a 40L bioreactor containing the same medium and setpoints for controlling the bioreactor. The temperature setpoint was reduced to 34° C. to slow cell growth and increase the relative rate of protein expression.

(b) Cell Culture Process Used
30 Flt1D2.VEGFR3D3.FcAC1(a) to Produce

The same methodologies as described supra for
t1D2.Flk1D3.FcAC1(a) were used to produce $Flt1D2.Flk1D3.Fc\Delta C1(a)$ were Flt1D2.VEGFR3D3.Fc Δ C1(a).

Example 22

Harvest and Purification of Modified Flt! Receptors

(a) Harvest and Purification of Flt1D2.Flk1D3.Fc Δ C1(a)

45 Approximately 40L of harvest filtrate was then loaded onto 50 contaminating proteins. Flt] D2.FIk1D3.FcACI(a) protein The product protein was aseptically harvested from the bioreactor while retaining cells using Millipore Prostak tangential-flow filtration modules and a low-shear mechanical pump (Fristam). Fresh medium was added to the bioreactor to replace that removed during the harvest filtration. a 400 mL column containing Protein A SEPHAROSE™ resin (Amersham Pharmacia). After loading the resin was washed with buffer containing 10 mM sodium phosphate, 500 mM sodium chloride, pH 7.2 to remove any unbound was eluted with ^a pH 3.0 citrate buffer. The eluted protein was neutralized by addition of Tris base and frozen at -20° C .

Several frozen lots of Flt1D2.Flk1D3.Fc Δ C1(a) protein from the Protein A step above were thawed, pooled and concentrated using a Millipore 30 kD nominal molecular weight cutoff (NMWCO) tangential flow filtration mem-
brane. The protein was transferred to a stirred cell concentrator (Millipore) and further concentrated to 30 mg/mL using a 30 kD NMWCO membrane. The concentrated protein was loaded onto a size exclusion column packed with Superdex 200 resin (Amersham Pharmacia) that was equilibrated with phosphate buffered saline plus 5% glycerol. The same buffer was used to run the column. The fractions corresponding to Flt1D2.Flk1D3.Fc Δ C1(a) dimer were pooled, sterile filtered through a 0.22 micron filter, aliquoted and frozen.

we

(b) Harvest and Purification of Flt1D2.VEGFR3D3.Fc Δ C1 (a)

The same methodologies as described supra for Flt1D2.Flk1D3.Fc Δ C1(a) were used to harvest and purify Flt1D2.VEGFR3D3.Fc Δ C1(a).

Example 23

Phosphorylation Assay for Transiently Expressed VEGFR2

1 US 7,374,757 B2

1 US 7,374,757 B2

1 20 19

1 2 Primary human umbilical vein endothelial cells (HU-VECs), passage 4-6, were starved for 2 hrs in serum-free DME high glucose media. Samples containing 40 ng/ml (1) nM) human VEGF165, which is a ligand for the VEGF receptors Flt1, Flk1 and Flt4(VEGFR3) were prepared and were preincubated for 1 hr. at room temperature with varying amounts of the modified Flt] receptors FIt1(1-3)-Fe, $Flt1(1-3)-Fc$ (A40), $Flt1D2Flk1D3.Fc\Delta C1(a)$ and $Flt1$ D2VEGFR3D3.FcAC1(a) in serum-free DME-high glucose media containing 0.1% BSA. Cells were challenged for minutes with the samples prepared above \pm VEGF165, followed by whole cell lysis using complete lysis buffer. Cell lysates were immunoprecipitated with an antibody directed against the C-terminus of VEGFR2 receptor. The immunoprecipitated lysates were loaded onto 4-12% SDS-PAGE Novex gel and then transferred to PVDF membrane using standard transfer methodologies. Detection of phosphory- 30 lated VEGFR2 was done by immunoblotting with the antiphospho Tyrosine mAb called 4G10 (UBI) and developed using ECL-reagent (Amersham).

FIGS. 25A-25C and 26A-26B show the results of this experiment. FIG. $25A-25C$ reveals that detection by Western 35 blot of tyrosine phosphorylated WEGFR2(FIk1) by VEGF165 ligand stimulation shows that cell-surface receptors are phosphorylated to varying levels depending on which modified Flt1 receptor is used during the preincuba-40 tions with VEGF. As is seen in FIG. 25A, at a 1.5 molar excess of either Flt1(1-3)-Fc, Flt1(1-3)-Fc (A40) or transient FIt1D2P1k1D3.FcACI(a) there is complete blockage of receptor stimulation by these three modified Flt] receptors as compared to control media challenge. In contrast, transient Flt1D2VEGFR3D3.Fc Δ C1(a) does not show significant blockage at this molar excess, as compared with VEGF positive control challenge. Similar results are seen in FIG. 25B, where the modified Flt receptors are in a 3-fold molar excess to VEGF165 ligand. In FIG. 25C, where the modified 50 Fltl receptors are in a 6-fold molar excess to VEGF165 ligand, transient F1tlD2VEGFR3D3.FcAC l(a) can now be shown to be partially blocking, VEGF165-induced stimulation of cell-surface receptors. 55

In FIG. 26A-26B, detection by Western blot of tyrosine phosphorylated VEGFR2(Flk1) by VEGF165 ligand stimulation shows that cell-surface receptors are not phosphorylated by challenge samples which have VEGF165 preincubated with ¹ and 2 fold molar excess (FIG. 26A) or 3 and 4 60 fold molar excess (FIG. 26B) of either transient FIt1D2F1k1D3.FcACI(a), stable FltlD2FIk1D3.FeAC1(a), or transient VEGFR1R2-FcAC1(a). At all modified Flt1 receptor concentrations tested there is complete binding of VEGF165 ligand during the preincubation, resulting in no 65 detectable stimulation of cell surface receptors by unbound VEGF1-65 as compared to control media challenge.

Example 24

Cell Proliferation Bioassay

The test cell population is MG87 cells that have been stably transfected with a expression plasmid that contains a DNA insert encoding the VEGFR2(Flk1) extracellular domain fused to the TrkB intracellular kinase domain, thus producing a chimeric molecule. The reason the TrkB intracellular kinase domain was used rather than the native VEGFR2FIk1) intracellular kinase domain is that the intracellular kinase domain of VEGFR2FIk1) does not cause a strong proliferative response when stimulated by VEGF165 in these cells. It is known that MG87 cells containing full length TrkB receptor give a robust proliferative response when stimulated with BDNF, so the TrkB intracellular kinase domain was engineered to replace the intracellular kinase domain of VEGFR2FIk1) to take advantage of this proliferative response capability.

 5×10^3 cells/well were plated in a 96 well plate and allowed to settle for 2 hrs at 37° C. The following modified Flt receptors Flt1(1-3)-Fc, Flt1D2.Flk1D3.FcAC1(a) and Flt] D2.VEGFR3D3.FcAC1(a), plus an irrelevant receptor termed Tie2-Fc as a negative control, were titrated from 40 nM to 20 pM and incubated on the cells for 1 hr at 37° C. Human recombinant VEGF165 in defined media was then added to all the wells at a concentration of 1.56 nM. The plates were incubated for 72 hrs at 37° C. and then MTS (Owen's reagent, Promega) added and the plates were incubated for an additional for 4 hrs. Finally, the plates were read on a spectrophotometer at 450/570 nm. The results of this experiment are shown in FIG. 27. The control receptor Tie2-Fe does not block VEGF 165-induced cell proliferation at any concentration whereas $F1t1D2.F1k1D3.Fc\Delta C1(a)$ ⁵ blocks 1.56nM VEGF165 with a half maximal dose of 0.8 nM. Flt1(1-3)-Fe and Flt1D2.VEGFR3D3.Fc Δ C1(a) are less effective in blocking VEGF165 in this assay with a half maximal dose of ~2nM. VEGF165 alone gives a reading of 1.2 absorbance units and the background is 0.38 absorbance units.

Example 25

Binding Stoichiometry of Modified Flt Receptors to VEGF165

(a) BLACORE™Analysis

The stoichiometry of Flt1D2Flk1D3.Fc Δ C1(a) and VEGFRIR2-FcACl(a) interaction with human VEGF165 was determined by measuring either the level of VEGF saturation binding to the FIt1D2FIk1D3.Fc Δ C1(a) or $VEGFR1R2-Fc\Delta C1(a)$ surfaces or measuring concentration of VEGF165 needed to completely prevent binding of Flt1D2FIk1D3.FeC1(a) or VEGFR1R2-FeAC 1(a) to VEGF BIACORE™chip surface.

Modified Flt receptors Flt1D2Flk1D3.Fc Δ C1(a) and VEGFR1R2-Fc Δ C1(a), were captured with an anti-Fc specific antibody that was first immobilized on a BIACORETM chip using amine-coupling chemistry. A blank antibody surface was used as a negative control. VEGF165 was injected at a concentration of ¹ nM, 10 nM, and 50 nM overthe Flt1D2Flk1D3.Fc Δ C1(a) and VEGFR1R2-Fc Δ C1 (a) surfaces at 10μ l/mm for one hour. A real-time binding signal was recorded and saturation binding was achieved at the end of each injection. Binding stoichiometry was calculated as a molar ratio of bound VEGF165 to the immobilized
65

FIt1D2F1k1D3.FcACI(a) or VEGFR1R2-FeACl(a), using the conversion factor of 1000 RU equivalent to 1 ng/ml. The results indicated binding stoichiometry of one VEGF165 dimeric molecule per one Fit] D2FIk1D3.FeACI(a) or VEGFRIR2-FcAC1(a) molecule (FIG. 28).

In solution, FItlD2FIkID3.FeAC1(a) or VEGFRIR2- $Fc\Delta C1(a)$ at a concentration of 1 nM (estimated to be 1000 times higher than the KD of the Flt1 D2Flk1 D3. $Fc\Delta C1(a)$ or VEGFR1 R2-Fc Δ C1(a)NEGF165 interaction) were mixed with varied concentrations of VEGF165. After one 10 hour incubation, concentrations of the free FItlD2FIk1D3.FcACl(a) in solution were measured as a binding signal to an amine-coupled VEGF165 surface. A calibration curve was used to convert the convert FIt1D2FIk1D3.Fe∆C1(a) BIACORE™ binding signal to its 15 molar concentration. The data showed that the addition of 1 nM VEGF165 into the FltlD2FIk1D3.FeAC I(a) solution completely blocked Flt1D2F1k1D3.Fc Δ C1(a) binding to the VEGF165 surface. This result suggested the binding stoichiometry of one VEGF165 molecule per one
FIt1D2FIk1D3.Fc Δ C1(a) molecule (FIG. 29 and FIG. 30). When the concentration of FIt1D2FIk1D3.FcAC1(a) was plotted as a function of added concentration of VEGF165, the slope of the linear portion was 1.06 for Flt1D2Flk1D3.Fc Δ C1(a) and -1,07 for VEGFR1R2-Fc Δ C1 25 (a). The magnitude of the slope, very close to negative one, was indicative that one molecule of VEGF165 bound to one molecule of either Flt1D2Flk1D3.Fc Δ C1(a) or VEGFR1 $R2$ -Fc Δ C1(a). L. G. 70.747-7573 12.

The Complete Compl 20 **11.13718 and 12.5 and 12.6 and 12.5 and 12.6 and**

(b) Size Exclusion Chromatography

Flt1D2Flk1D3.Fc Δ C1(a) was mixed with a 3-fold excess of VEGF165 and the receptor-ligand complex was purified using ^a Pharmacia SUPEROSE™6 size exclusion chromatography column. The receptor-ligand complex was then incubated in a buffer containing 6M guanidine hydrochloride in order to dissociate it into its component proteins. Flt1D2Flk1D3.Fc Δ C1(a) was separated from VEGF165 using SUPEROSE™ 6 size exclusion chromatography column run in 6M guanidium chloride. In order to determine
complex stoichiometry, several injections of Flt1D2Flk1D3.Fc Δ C1(a) and VEGF165 were made and peak height or peak integrated intensity was plotted as a function of the concentration of injected protein. The calibration was done under condition identical to one used in separating components of Flt1D2Flk1D3.FcAC1(a)VEGF complex. Quantification of the Flt1D2Flk1D3.Fc Δ C1(a)/ VEGF complex composition was based on the calibration curves. The results of this experiment are set forth in FIG.
28. which shows the ratio of VEGF165 to which shows the ratio of VEGF165 $F1t1D2F1k1D3.Fc\Delta C1(a)$ in a complex to be 1:1. 50

Example 26

Determination of the Binding Stoichiometry of Flt1D2Flk1D3.Fe Δ C1(a)/VEGF165 Complex by Size Exclusion Chromatography

Flt1D2Flk1D3.FcAC1(a)/VEGF165 Complex Preparation

VEGF165 (concentration=3.61 mg/ml) was mixed with CHO cell transiently expressed Flt1D2.Flk1D3.FcAC1(a) (concentration $=0.9$ mg/ml) in molar ratio of 3:1 (VEGF165: FIt1D2.FIk1D3.FcAC1(a)) and incubated overnight at 4° C.

(a) Size Exclusion Chromatography (SEC) Under Native Conditions

'To separate the complex from excess of unbound VEGF165, 50 μ l of the complex was loaded on a Pharmacia

SUPEROSE™12 PC 3.2/30 which was equilibrated in PBS buffer. The sample was eluted with the same buffer at flow rate 40μ l/min. at room temperature. The results of this SEC are shown in FIG. 31. Peak #1 represents the complex and peak #2 represents unbound VEGF165. Fractions eluted between 1.1 and 1.2 ml were combined and guanidinium hydrochloride (GuHCl)was added to a final concentration 4.5M to dissociate the complex.

(b) Size Exclusion Chromatography (SEC) Under Dissociative Conditions

To separate the components of the receptor-ligand complex and to determine their molar ratio, 50 µl of dissociated complex as described supra was loaded onto a SUPER-OSE™ 12 PC 3.2/30 equilibrated in 6M GuHCl and eluted with the same solution at a flow rate $40 \mu/m$ in. at room temperature. The results of this SEC are shown in FIG. 32.

(c) Calculation of Flt!D2FIk1D3.FeAC 1(a):VEGF 165 Complex Stoichiometry

The stoichiometry of the receptorligand complex was determined from the peak area or the peak height of the components. Concentrations of VEGF165 and $Flt1D2Flk1D3.Fc\Delta C1(a)$ corresponding to the peak height or peak area, respectively, were obtained fromthe standard ; curves for VEGF165 and FitlD2FIk1D3.FcAC1(a). To obtain a standard curve, four different concentrations (0.04 mg/ml-0.3 mg/ml) of either component were injected onto a Pharmacia SEPHAROSE™ 12 PC 3.2/30 column equilibrated in 6M guanidinium chloride and eluted with the same solution at flow rate 40 μ l/min. at room temperature. The standard curve was obtained by plotting peak area or peak height vs protein concentration. The molar ratio of VEGF 165:F1t1D2FIk1D3.PcAC1(a) determined from the peak area of the components was 1.16. The molar ratio of $\overline{35}$ VEGF165:Flt1D2Flk1D3.Fc Δ C1(a) determined from the peak height of the components was 1.10.

Example 27

Determination of the Stoichiometry of the Fit] D2FIk1D3.FeAC l(a//VEGF165 Complex by Size Exclusion Chromatography with On-Line Light Scattering

45 Complex Preparation

VEGF165 was mixed with CHO transiently expressed Flt1D2.FIk1D3.FcACI(a) protein in molar ratio of 3:1 (VEGF 165:Flt1 D2F1k1D3.FcAC1(a)) and incubated overnight at 4° C.

(a) Size Exclusion Chromatography (SEC) with On-Line Light Scattering

35 Barbara, Calif.) and refractive index (RI) detectors (Shi-Size exclusion chromatography column with a MiniDawn on-line light scattering detector (Wyatt Technology, Santa madzu, Kyoto, Japan) was used to determine the molecular weight (MW) of the receptor-ligand complex. Samples were injected onto ^a SUPEROSE™12 HR 10/30 column (Pharmacia) equilibrated in PBS buffer and eluted with the same buffer at flow rate 0.5 ml/mm. at room temperature. As shown in FIG. 33, the elution profile shows two peaks. Peak #1 represents the receptor-ligand complex and peak #2 represents the unbound VEGF165. MW was calculated from LS and RI signals. The same procedure was used to determine MW of the individual components of the receptorligand complex. The results of these determinations are as follows: MW of the Flt1D2Flk1D3.FcAC1(a)/VEGF-165

complex at the peak position is 157 300 (FIG. 33), the MW of VEGF165at the peak position is .44 ³⁹⁰ (FIG. 34) and the MW of RIR2 at the peak is 113 300 (FIG. 35).

These data indicated that the stoichiometry of the Flt1D2Flk1D3.Fc Δ C1(a)NEGF complex is 1:1 as its corre-5 sponds to the sum of molecular weights for FIt1D2F1k1D3.Fc Δ C1(a) and VEGF165. Importantly, this method conclusively proved that the Flt]D2FIk1D3.FcAC1 (a)/VEGF165 complex was indeed composed of only one molecule of VEGF165 ligand and only one molecule of the 10 FcAC1(a) was 30 µg/ml.
Financial and F 1616 FIt1D2FIk1D3.FcAC1 (a).

Example 28

Peptide Mapping of Flt1D2.Flk1D3.Fc Δ C1(a)

The disulfide structures and glycosylation sites in FIt1D2.FIk1D3.FcAC1(a) were determined by a peptide mapping method. In this method, the protein was first cleaved with trypsin. Tryptic fragments were analyzed and 20 identified by HPLC coupled with mass spectrometry, in addition to an N-terminal sequencing technique. Reduction of the tryptic digest was employed to help identify disulfidebond-containing fragments. Treatment of the tryptic digest with PNGase F (Glyko, Novato, Calif.) was employed to ²⁵ help identify fragments with N-linked glycosylation sites. The results are summarized in the accompanying FIG. 36.
There are a total of ten cysteines in

There are a total of ten FIt1D2.FIk1D3.FeACI(a); six of them belong to-the Fe region. Cys127 has been confirmed to be disulfide bonded to 30
Cys27. Cys121 is peen confirmed to be disulfide bonded to 50 Cys76. Cys121 is confirmed to be disulfide bonded to Cys 182. The first two cysteines in the Fe region (Cys211 and Cys214) form an intermolecular disulfide bond with the same two cysteines in another Fe chain. However, because these two cysteines can not be separated enzymatically from ³⁵ each other, it can not be determined whether disulfide bonding is occurring between same cysteines (Cys211 to Cys211, for example) or between Cys211 and Cys214. Cys216 is confirmed to be disulfide bonded to Cys306. Cys 352 is confirmed to be disulfide bonded to Cys410.

There are five possible N-linked glycosylation sites in FIt1D2.Flk1D3.FcAC1 (a). All five of them are found to be glycosylated to varying degrees. Complete glycosylation was observed at Asn33 (amino acid sequence NIT), Asn193 (amino acid sequence NST), and Asn282 (amino acid sequence NST). In addition, partial glycosylation is observed on Asn65 and Asn120. Sites of glycosylation are highlighted by underline in the FIG. 36.

Example 29

Pharmacokinetic Analysis of Modified Flt Receptors

(a) Pharmacokinetic Analysis of Fltl(1-3)-Fe (A40), Flt1D2.Flk1D3.Fc Δ C1(a) and VEGFR1R2-Fe Δ C1(a)

Balb/c mice (25-30 g) were injected subcutaneously with 4 mg/kg of Flt1(1-3)-Fc (A40), CHO transiently expressed
Flt1D2.Flk1D3.Fc Δ C1(a), CHO stably expressed 60 Flt1D2.Flk1D3.Fc Δ C1(a), and CHO transiently expressed VEGFR1R2-Fc Δ C1(a). The mice were tail bled at 1, 2, 4, 6, ²⁴ hrs. ² days, ³ days and ⁶ daysafter injection. The sera were assayed in an ELISA designed to detect FItl(1-3)-Fe (A40), Flt1D2.FIk1D3.FeAC l(a) or VEGFR1R2-FeAC 1(a). 65 The ELISA involves coating an ELISA plate with VEGF165, binding the detect Fitl(1-3)-Fe (A40),

Flt1D2.FIkI1D3.FcACI(a) or VEGFRIR2-FcACI(a) and reporting with an anti-Fe antibody linked to horse radish peroxidase. The results of this experiments are shown in FIG. 37. The T_{max} for Flt1 (1-3)-Fe (A40) was at 6 hrs while the T_{max} for the transient and stable Flt1D2.Flk1D3.Fc Δ C1 (a) and the transient VEGFR1R2-Fc Δ C1(a) was 24 hrs. The C_{max} for Flt1(1-3)-Fc (A40) was 8 µg/ml. For both transients $(FItID2.Flk1D3.Fc\Delta C1(a)$ and VEGFR1R2-Fc $\Delta C1(a)$) the C_{max} was 18 µg/ml and the C_{max} for the stable VEGFR1R2-

(b) Pharmacokinetic Analysis of FItl(1-3)-Fe (A40), Flt1D2.Flk1D3.FcAC1(a) and Flt1 D2.VEGFR3D3.FcAC1 (a)

Balb/c mice (25-30 g) were injected subcutaneously with 4 mg/kg of Flt1(1-3)-Fc (A40), CHO transiently expressed Flt1D2.Flk1D3.FcAC1(a) and CHO transiently expressed Flt1D2.VEGFR3D3.Fc Δ C1(a). The mice were tail bled at 1, 2,5, 6, 7, 8, 12, ¹⁵ and ²⁰ daysafter injection. The sera were assayed in an ELISA designed to detect Fltl(1-3)-Fe, Flt1D2.FIk1D3.PeAC I(a) and Fit] D2.VEGFR3D3.PeAC1 (a). The ELISA involves coating an ELISA plate with 165, binding the Fitl(1-3)-Fe, FitlD2.Flk1D3.FeACI(a) or Flt1D2.VEGFR3D3.Fc Δ C1(a) and reporting with an anti-Fc antibody linked to horse radish peroxidase. $F1(1-3)-Fc$ (A40) could no longer be detected in the serum after day 5
whereas $F[t1D2.Flk1D3.Fc\Delta C1(a)$ and whereas $F1t1D2.F1k1D3.Fc\Delta C1(a)$ and Flt1D2.VEGFR3D3.FcAC1(a) were detectable for 15 days or more. The results of this experiment are shown in FIG. 38.

Example 30

Evaluation of the Ability of Flt1D2.Flk1D3.Fe Δ C1(a) to Inhibit Tumor Growth In Vivo

Consider a set of \mathbb{R} and \mathbb{R} 50 vehicle either every other day (EOD) or two times per week To evaluate the ability of FltlD2.FIkI1D3.FcAC1(a) to inhibit tumor growth in vivo a model in which tumor cell suspensions are implanted subcutaneously on the right flank of male severe combined immunodeficiency (SCID) mice was employed. Two cell lines, the human HT-1080 fibrosarcoma cell line (ATCC accession no. CCL-121) and the rat C6 gliomacell line (ATCC accession no. CCL-107), each of which exhibit distinctly different morphologies and growth characteristics, were used in the assay. The first dose of Flt1D2.Flk1D3.FcΔC1(a) (at 25 mg/Kg or as indicated in FIGS. 39 and 40) was given on the day of tumor implantation. Animals subsequently received subcutaneous injections of Flt1(1-3)-Fe (A40), Flt1D2.Flk1D3.Fc Δ C1(a) or (2x/wk) for a period of 2 weeks. After ² weeks, animals were perfused with fixative, tumors were removed and samples were blinded. Tumor volume was determined by measuring the length and width of visible subcutaneous
tumors. Both of Flt1(1-3)-Fc (A40) and tumors. Both of $Flt1(1-3)-Fc$ (A40) and Flt1D2.FIk1D3.FcAC ¹ (a) significantly reduced the growth of tumors formed by HT-1080 and C6 cells. The results of these experiments are shown in FIG. ³⁹ and FIG. 40.

Example 31

The Effect of VEGF165 and Modified Flt Receptors in Female Reproductive System

The stereotypic pattern of vascular remodeling which occur in the uterus and ovary over the course of the reproductive cycle has been well characterized, making

these tissues particularly well suited to the study of mechanisms which regulate angiogenesis, vascular remodeling and vascular regression. Indeed, in situ hybridization studies in the reproductive tissues provided the first clear evidence that VEGF acts as a mediator of physiological angiogenesis in 5 mature rodents, as well as humans and non-human primates (Phillips et al, 1990; Ravindranathet al, 1992; Shweiki et al, 1993; Kamat et al, 1995), As cyclic angiogenesis and vascular remodeling are prominent features of the normal ovary and uterus, it is not surprising that abnormal blood vessel growth and/or vascular dysfunction have been found to characterize many pathological conditions which affect these organs. Furthermore, these pathogenic vascular abnormalities are thought to be caused or perpetuated by the dysregulated expression of one or more angiogenic or anti-5 angiogenic factors, most prominently VEGF.

For example, abnormal angiogenesis is characteristic of polycystic ovary disease, endometriosis and endometrial carcinoma, and in each case VEGF is over expressed in the affected tissue (Kamatet al, 1995; Shifren et al, 1996; Guidi et al, 1996; Donnez et al, 1998). Overexpression of VEGF is also thought to play a pathogenic role in the establishment of systemic vascular hyperpermeability in ovarian hyperstimulation syndrome (McClure et al, 1994; Levin, et al, 1998) and preeclampsia (Baker et al, 1995; Sharkey et al, 1996). In addition, VEGF has been implicated as the permeability factor responsible for the production of ascites associated with ovarian carcinoma and other tumors (Senger et al, 1983; Boocock et al, 1995). Agents which effectively neutralize the biological actions of VEGF can reasonably be 30 anticipated to be of therapeutic benefit in the above and related conditions.

Angiogenesis and vascular remodeling are also hallmarks of blastocyst implantation and placental development (Findlay, 1986). VEGF is strongly expressed both in the maternal 35 decidua and in embryonic trophoblasts, where it is thought to first stimulate expansion and hyperpermeability of the uterine vasculature during the peri-implantation period and subsequently mediate formation of both the maternal and embryonic components of the placental vasculature (Sh-40 weiki et al, 1993: Cullinan-Bove and Koos, 1993; Chakraborty et al, 1995; Das et al, 1997), VEGF is also required for luteal angiogenesis and associated progesterone secretion necessary to prepare the uterus for implantation (Ferrara et al, 1998). Thus, agents which inhibit the biological actions of VEGF may prove to be useful as contraceptive agents (by preventing implantation), or as an abortifacients in the early stages of gestation. The latter application might find particular use as a non-surgical intervention for the termination of ectopic pregnancies. 50

While the expression of VEGF receptors is largely confined to the vascular endothelium in normal reproductive tissues, Flt1 is also expressed by trophoblasts in the placenta in both humans and animals (Clark et al, 1996; He et al, 1999) where it has been proposed to play a role in tropho- 55 kD PEG and tested in balb/c mice for their pharmacokinetic blast invasion. Interestingly, both Flt1 and KDR Flk1) are expressed by choriocarcinoma cell line BeWo (Charnock-Jones et al, 1994), and VEGF has been shown to promote DNA synthesis and tyrosine phosphorylation of MAP kinase in these cells. Furthermore, primary and metastatic ovarian carcinomas not only to express high levels of VEGF, but-in addition to the vascular endothelium—the tumor cells themselves express KDR and/or Flt1 (Boocock et al, 1995). These findings suggest that VEGF maynotonly be critically involved in the generation and maintenance of tumor vasculature, but that at least in some tumors of reproductive origin VEGF may subserve an autocrine role, directly sup-

porting the survival and proliferation of the tumor cells. Thus agents which block the actions of VEGF may have particularly beneficial applications to the treatment of tumors of reproductive origin.

Methods and Results

(a) Assessment of VEGF-Induced Uterine Hyperpermeability

Pregnant mare'serum gonadotrophin (PMSG) was injected subcutaneously (5 TU) to induce ovulation in prepubertal female rats. This results in a surge of estradiol after 2 days which in turn causes an induction of VEGF in the uterus. It is reported that this induction results in hyperpermeability of the uterus and an increase in uterine wet weight 6 hrs. later and, therefore, could potentially be blocked by the modified F_{It} receptors FIt1(1-3)-Fc (A40). $model$ Flt receptors Flt1(1-3)-Fe Flt1D2.FIk1D3.Fc Δ C1(a) and Flt1D2.VEGFR3D3.Fc Δ C1 (a). In this in vivo model, the normal weight of the rat uterus is about 50 mg and this can be induced to 300-350 mg by PMSG. Desiccation of the tissue reveals that this is all water weight. Subcutaneous injection of FItl(1-3)-Fe (A40), Flt1D2.FIK1D3.FcAC1(a) and Fit] D2.VEGFR3D3.FeAC1 (a) at 25 mg/kg at 1 hr. after PMSG injection results in about a 50% inhibition of the increase in uterine wet weight. Increasing the dose of modified Flt receptor does not further reduce the increase in wet weight suggesting that there is a VEGF-independent component to this model. The results of this experiment are shown in FIG. 41.

(a) Assessment of Corpus Luteum Angiogenesis Using Progesterone as a Readout

Pregnant mare's serum gonadotrophin (PMSG) is injected subcutaneously (5 IU) to induce ovulation in prepubertal female rats. This results in a fully functioning corpus luteum ⁵ containing a dense network of blood vessels after 4 days that allows for the secretion of progesterone into the blood stream in order to prepare the uterus for implantation. The induction of angiogenesis in the corpus luteum requires VEGF; therefore, blocking VEGF would result in a lack of new blood vessels and thus a lack of progesterone secreted into the blood stream. In this in vivo model, resting levels of progesterone are about 5 ng/ml and this can be induced to a level of 25-40 ng/ml after PMSG. Subcutaneous injection of Flt1(1-3)-Fc (A40) or Flt1D2.Flk1D3.Fc Δ C1(a) at 25 mg/kg or 5 mg/kg at ¹ hr. after PMSG injection results in a complete inhibition of the progesterone induction on day 4. The results of this experiment are shown in FIG. 42A-42B.

Example 33

Pharmacokinetic Analysis of Fltl(1-3)-Fe (A40) and Pegylated Flt1(1-3)-Fe

Fit] (1-3)-Fe was PEGylated with either 10 kD PEG or 20 profile. Both PEGylated forms of Flt1(1-3)-Fe were found to have much better PK profiles than FItl(1-3)-Fe (A40), with the Tmax occurring at 24 hrs. for the PEGylated molecules as opposed to 6 hrs. for $Flt1(1-3)$ -Fc $(A40)$.

Example 34

VEGF165 ELISA to Test Affinity of Modified Fltl Receptor Variants

10 pM of VEGF165 was incubated overnight at room temperature with modified Flt1 receptor variants ranging from 160 pM to 0.1 pM. The modified Flt] receptor variants used in this experiment were FItl(1-3)-Fe, FItl(1-3)-Fe (A40), transiently expressed Flt1D2FIk1D3.FcAC1(a), transiently expressed Flt1D2VEFGFR3D3-FcAC1(a), siently expressed Flt1D2VEFGFR3D3-FcAC1(a), FItl- $(1-3)$ _{NAS})-Fe, FItl(1-3_{R→c})-Fe and Tie2-Fe. FItl(1-5 3_{N4S})-Fe is a modified version of Flt1(1-3)-Fe in which the highly basic amino acid sequence KNKRASVRRR is replaced by NASVNGSR, resulting in the incorporation of two new glycosylation sites and a net reduction of five positive charges, both with the purpose of reducing the 10 unfavorable effects of this sequence on PK. Flt1(1-3 $_{R\rightarrow C}$)-Fe is a modification in which a single arginine (R) residue within the same basic amino acid sequence is changed to a

40

cysteine (C) (KNKRASVRRR->KNKCASVRRR) to allow for pegylation at that residue, which could then shield the basic region from exerting its unfavorable effects on PK. After incubation the solution was transferred to a plate containing a capture antibody for VEGF165 (R&D). The amount of free VEGF165 was then determined using an antibody to report free VEGF165. This showed that the modified Flt] receptor variant with the highest affinity for VEGF165 (determined as the lowest amount of free VEGF165) was FltID2FIk1D3.FcAC1(a), followed by Fltl (1-3)-Fe and Flt1(1-3)-Fe (A40) and then by Flt1(1-3_{$R\rightarrow C$})-Fc, Flt1(1-3 $_{NAS}$)-Fc and Flt1D2VEFGFR3D3-Fc Δ C1(a). Tie2Fe has no affinity for VEGF165.

SEQUENCE LISTING

US 7,374,757 B2

41

-continued

-continued

-continued

-continued

Ser Asp 130 Thr Gly Arg Pro Phe 135 Val Glu Met Tyr Ser 140 Glu Ile Pro Glu Ile Ile His Met Thr Glu Gly Arg Glu Leu Val Ile Pro Cys Arg Val
160 - 160 - 150 - 150 - 150 - 155 - 155 - 160 - 160 Thr Ser Pro Asn Ile Thr Val Thr Leu Lys Lys Phe Pro Leu Asp Thr
175 - 175 165 170 171 171 171 175 Leu Tle Pro Asp 180 Gly Lys Arg Ile Ile Trp Asp Ser Arg Lys 190 Gly Phe Ile Ile Ser Asn Ala Thr Tyr Lys Glu Ile Gly Leu Leu Thr Cys Glu
2003 - 205 .
Ala Thr Val Asn Gly His Leu Tyr Lys Thr Asn Tyr Leu Thr His Arg Gln Thr Asn Thr Ile Ile Asp Val Gln Ile Ser Thr Pro Arg Pro Val
225 - 230 - 230 - 230 - 235 - 235 - 240 Lys Leu Leu Arg Gly His Thr Leu Val Leu Asn Cys Thr Ala Thr Thr Pro Leu Asn Thr Arg Val Gln Met Thr Trp Ser Tyr Pro Asp Glu Ile
260 - 260 265 265 265 270 Asp Gln Ser Asn Ser His Ala Asn Ile Phe Tyr Ser Val Leu Thr Ile
2000 - 2000 2000 Asp Lys Met Gln Asn Lys Asp Lys Gly Leu Tyr Thr Cys Arg Val Arg
290 295 295 295 295 Ser 305 Gly Pro Ser Phe Lys 310 Ser Val Asn Thr Ser 315 Val His Tle Tyr Asp ³²⁰ Lys Ala Gly Pro Gly Glu Pro Lys Ser Cys Asp Lys Thr His Thr
335 - 330 - 335 .
Pro Pro Cys Pro Ala Pro Glu Leu Leu Gly Gly Pro Ser Val Phe Leu Phe Pro Pro Lys Pro Lys Asp Thr Leu Met Ile Ser Arg Thr Pro Glu
260 165 Val Thr Cys Val Val Val Asp Val Ser His Glu Asp Pro Glu Val Lys
270 – 275 – 275 – 280 – 280 – 280 – 280 – 280 – 280 – 280 – 280 – 280 – 280 – 280 – 280 – 280 – 280 – 280 – 28 Phe Asn Trp Tyr Val Asp Gly Val Glu Val His Asn Ala Lys Thr Lys
2005 - 2000 2000 2000 2005 200 Pro Arg Glu Glu Gln Tyr Asn Ser Thr Tyr Arg Val Val Ser Val Leu
ADS 410 405 410 410 415 Thr Val Leu His Gln Asp Trp Leu Asn Gly Lys Glu Tyr Lys Cys Lys
 $\frac{420}{430}$ val Ser Asn Lys Ala Leu Pro Ala Pro Ile Glu Lys Thr Ile Ser Lys
145 - Alan Ala Ala Ala Ala Ala Ala Ala Arg Asp Glu Leu Thr Lys Asn Gln Val Ser Leu Thr Cys Leu Val Lys
430 - 470 - 470 - 475 - 475 - 480 - 480 Gly Phe Tyr Pro Ser Asp Ile Ala Val Glu Trp Glu Ser Asn Gly Gln
Asp Asp 100 105 Pro Glu Asn Asn Tyr Lys Thr Thr Pro Pro Val Leu Asp Ser Asp Gly
500 500 500 505 Ser Phe Phe Leu Tyr Ser Lys Leu Thr Val Asp Lys Ser Arg Trp Gln
ESO ROB Gln Gly Asn Val Phe Ser Cys Ser Val Met His Glu Ala Leu His Asn
E30 – 530 – 535 – 535 – 540 – 540 – 540 – 540 – 540 – 540 – 540 – 540 – 540 – 540 – 540 – 540 – 540 – 540 – 540 210 370 Lys 450 Gly Gln Pro Arg Glu Pro Gln Val Thr Leu Pro Pro Ser 340 215 455 135 345 505 220 460 540 350 Thr Cy<mark>s</mark>
ววร

-continued

-continued

atg gte age tac tgg gac ace ggg gte ctg ctg tge geg ctg cte age 48

-continued

-continued

-continued

Pro Thr Ser Lys Lys Glu Thr Glu Ser Ala Ile Tyr Ile Phe Ile
120 125 115 Ser Asp Thr Gly Arg Pro Phe Val Glu Met Tyr Ser Glu Ile Pro Glu
130 - 130 - 135 - 140 - 140 - 140 - 140 - 140 - 140 - 140 - 140 - 140 - 140 - 140 - 140 - 140 - 140 - 140 - 14 Ile Ile His Met Thr Glu Gly Arg Glu Leu Val Ile Pro Cys Arg Val
160 - الجماعة التي توليد المعدد التي توليد التي توليد التي توليد Thr Ser Pro Asn Ile Thr Val Thr Leu Lys Lys Phe Pro Leu Asp Thr
175 - 175 165 170 171 171 175 Leu Ile Pro Asp 180 Gly Lys Arg Ile lle 185 Trp Asp Ser Arg Lys 190 Gly Phe Ile Ile Ser Asn Ala Thr Tyr Lys Glu Ile Gly Leu Leu Thr Cys Glu
2003 - 2005 Ala Thr Val Asn Gly His Leu Tyr Lys Thr Asn Tyr Leu Thr His Arg
210 - 215 - 220 - 215 - 220 - 220 - 220 - 220 - 220 - 220 - 220 - 220 - 220 - 220 - 220 - 220 - 220 - 220 - 22 Gln Thr Asn Thr Ile Ile Asp Val Gln Ile Ser Thr Pro Arg Pro Val
230 - 230 - 230 - 230 - 235 - 235 - 236 - 236 Lys Leu Leu Arg Gly His Thr Leu Val Leu Asn Cys Thr Ala Thr Thr Pro Leu Asn Thr Arg Val Gln Met Thr Trp Ser Tyr Pro Asp Glu Lys Asn Lys Asn Ala Ser Val Arg Arg Arg Ile Asp Gln Ser Asn Ser His
280 - 280 - 280 - 280 - 280 ala Asn Ile Phe Tyr Ser Val Leu Thr Ile Asp Lys Met Gln Asn Lys
290 - 290 - 295 - 295 - 205 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 20 Asp Lys Gly Leu Tyr Thr
310 Ser Val Asn Thr Ser Val His Ile Tyr Asp Lys Ala Gly Pro Gly Glu
236 - 235 - 235 - 236 - 237 - 238 - 235 - 235 - 235 - 235 - 235 - 235 - 235 - 235 - 235 - 235 - 235 - 235 - 23 Pro Lys Ser Cys Asp Lys Thr His Thr Cys Pro Pro Cys Pro Ala Pro
340 - 340 - 340 - 345 - 345 - 350 - 350 - 350 - 350 - 350 - 350 - 350 - 350 - 350 - 350 - 350 - 350 - 350 - 35 .
Glu Leu Leu Gly Gly Pro Ser Val Phe Leu Phe Pro Pro Lys Pro Lys Asp Thr Leu Met Ile Ser Arg Thr Pro Glu Val Thr Cys Val Val Val
270 - 275 - 275 - 280 - 280 - 280 - 280 - 280 - 280 - 280 - 280 - 280 - 280 - 280 - 280 - 280 - 280 - 280 - 2 Asp Val Ser His Glu Asp Pro Glu Val Lys Phe Asn Trp Tyr Val Asp
395 - 290 - 295 - 295 - 205 - 400 Gly Val Glu Val His Asn Ala Lys Thr Lys Pro Arg Glu Glu Gln
416 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 Asn Ser Thr Tyr Arg Val Val Ser Val Leu Thr Val Leu His Gln Asp Trp Leu Asn Gly Lys Glu Tyr Lys Cys Lys Val Ser Asn Lys Ala Leu

440 145 Pro Ala 450 Pro Ile Glu Lys Thr 455 Ile Ser Lys Lys 460 Gly Glin Pro Arg Glu Pro Gln Val Tyr Thr Leu Pro Pro Ser Arg Asp Glu Leu Thr Lys
450 - 470 - 470 - 475 - 475 - 480 - 490 Ile Ala Val Glu Trp Glu Ser Asn Gly Gln Pro Glu Asn Asn Tyr Lys
500 505 505 505 505 505 505 Thr Thr Pro Pro Val Leu Asp ser Asp Gly Ser Phe Phe Leu Tyr ser Gln Val Ser Leu Thr Cys Leu Val Lys Gly Phe Tyr Pro Ser Asp 355 515 325 310 Cys Arg Val Arg Ser Gly Pro Ser Phe Lys 320 135 215 360 520 330 315 205 365 525

-continued

-continued

-continued

Lys Lys Phe Pro Leu Asp Thr Leu Ile Pro Asp Gly Lys Arg Ile Ile
AR Arg Ile Ile Thr Leu Ile Pro Asp Gly Lys Arg Ile Ile Trp Asp Ser Arg Lys 85 Gly Phe Ile Tle Ser 90 Asn Ala Thr Tyr Lys 95 Glu Ile Gly Leu Leu 100 Thr cys Glu Ala Thr 105 Val Asn Gly His Leu 110 Tyr Lys Thr Asn Tyr Leu Thr His Arg Gln Thr Asn Thr Ile Ile Asp Val Val
120 125 Leu Ser 130 Pro Ser His Gly Ile Glu Leu Ser Val Gly 140 Glu Lys Leu Val Leu Asn Cys Thr Ala Arg Thr Glu Leu Asn Val Gly Ile Asp Phe Asn
150 - 150 - 150 - 150 - 150 - 150 - 150 - 160 145 .
Trp Glu Tyr Pro Ser Ser Lys His Gln His Lys Lys Leu Val Asn Arg Asp Leu Lys Thr Gln Ser Gly Ser Glu Met Lys Lys Phe Leu Ser Thr Leu Thr Ile Asp Gly Val Thr Arg Ser Asp Gln Gly Leu Tyr Thr .
Ala Ala Ser Ser Gly Leu Met Thr Lys Lys Asn Ser Thr Phe Val Arg Val His Glu Lys Gly Pro Gly Asp Lys Thr His Thr Cys Pro Pro Cys
236 - 230 - 230 - 230 - 235 - 235 - 236 - 236 Pro Ala Pro Glu Leu Leu Gly Gly Pro Ser Val Phe Leu Phe Pro Pro
250 215 215 250 2015 Lys Pro Lys Asp Thr Leu Met Ile Ser Arg Thr Pro Glu Val Thr Val Val Val Asp Val Ser His Glu Asp Pro Glu Val Lys Phe Asn Trp
2005 Phe Asn Trp Glu 305 Gln Tyr Asn ser Thr 310 Tyr arg val val Ser 315 val Leu Thr val Leu 320 His Gln Asp Trp Leu Asn Gly Lys Glu Tyr Lys Cys Lys Val Ser Asn
230 335 Lys Ala Leu Pro Ala Pro Ile Glu Lys Thr Ile Ser Lys Ala Lys Gly
245 - 240 - 245 - 245 - 245 - 256 - 256 Gln Pro Arg Glu Pro Gln Val Tyr Thr Leu Pro Pro Ser Arg Asp Glu
260 - 260 - 260 - 260 - 260 - 261 - 265 - 265 - 265 - 265 - 265 - 265 - 265 - 266 - 2010 Leu Thr Lys Asn Gln Val Ser Leu Thr Cys Leu Val Lys Gly Phe Tyr Pro Ser Asp Ile Ala Val Glu Trp Glu Ser Asn Gly Gln Pro Glu Asn
395 - 290 - 290 - 295 - 295 - 295 - 405 - 400 Leu Tyr Ser Lys Leu Thr Val Asp Lys Ser Arg Trp Gln Gln Gly Asn

420 426 436 436 .
Val Phe Ser Cys Ser Val Met His Glu Ala Leu His Asn His Tyr Thr Gln Lys 450 Ser Leu Ser Leu Ser 4ss Pro Gly Lys 50 210 Val Asp Gly Val Glu Val His Asn Ala Lys Thr Lys Pro Arg Glu
290 Tyr Lys Thr Thr Pro Pro Val Leu Asp Ser Asp Gly Ser Phe Phe 195 275 355 425 180 165 55 135 215 295 375 120 280 440 135 170 155 60 220 300 380 125 205 365 445 190 175 160

<210> SEQ ID NO 13

-continued

 ${\bf 78}$

-continued

 $80\,$

-continued

-continued

US 7,374,757 B2

85

-continued

-continued

Tyr Thr Cys Ala Ala Ser Ser Gly Leu Met Thr Lys Lys Asn Ser Thr ²¹⁰ ²¹⁵ ²²⁰ Phe Val Arg Val His Glu Lys Asp Lys Thr His Thr Cys Pro Pro Cys ²²⁵ ²³⁰ ²³⁵ ²⁴⁰ Pro Ala Pro Glu Leu Leu Gly Gly Pro Ser Val Phe Leu Phe Pro Pro ²⁴⁵ ²⁵⁰ ²⁵⁵ Lys Pro Lys Asp Thr Leu Met Ile Ser Arg Thr Pro Glu Val Thr Cys ²⁶⁰ ²⁶⁵ ²⁷⁰ Val Val Val Asp Val Ser His Glu Asp Pro Glu Val Lys Phe Asn Trp ²⁷⁵ ²⁸⁰ ²⁸⁵ Tyr Val Asp Gly Val Glu Val His Asn Ala Lys Thr Lys Pro Arg Glu ²⁹⁰ ²⁹⁵ ³⁰⁰ Glu Gln Tyr Asn Ser Thr Tyr Arg Val Val Ser Val Leu Thr Val Leu 310 315 320 His Gln Asp Trp Leu Asn Gly Lys Glu Tyr Lys Cys Lys Val Ser Asn ³²⁵ ³³⁰ ³³⁵ Lys Ala Leu Pro Ala Pro Ile Glu Lys Thr Ile Ser Lys Ala Lys Gly ³⁴⁰ ³⁴⁵ ³⁵⁰ Gln Pro Arg Glu Pro Gln Val Tyr Thr Leu Pro Pro Ser Arg Asp Glu 365 360 365 Leu Thr Lys Asn Gln Val Ser Leu Thr Cys Leu Val Lys Gly Phe Tyr 370 375 380 Pro Ser Asp Ile Ala Val Glu Trp Glu Ser Asn Gly Gln Pro Glu Asn ³⁸⁵ ³⁹⁰ ³⁹⁵ ⁴⁰⁰ Asn Tyr Lys Thr Thr Pro Pro Val Leu Asp Ser Asp Gly Ser Phe Phe ⁴⁰⁵ ⁴¹⁰ ⁴¹⁵ Leu Tyr Ser Lys Leu Thr Val Asp Lys Ser Arg Trp Gln Gln Gly Asn 426 425 Val Phe Ser Cys Ser Val Met His Glu Ala Leu His Asn His Tyr Thr ⁴³⁵ ⁴⁴⁰ ⁴⁴⁵ Gln Lys Ser Leu Ser Leu Ser Pro Gly Lys ⁴⁵⁰ ⁴⁵⁵ <210> SEQ ID NO 17 <211> LENGTH: 430 <212> TYPE: PRT <213> ORGANISM: Homo sapiens <400> SEQUENCE: 17 Gly Arg Pro Phe Val Glu Met Tyr Ser Glu Ile Pro Glu Ile Ile His 1 5 Met Thr Glu Gly Arg Glu Leu Val Ile Pro Cys Arg Val Thr Ser Pro ²⁰ ²⁵ ³⁰ Asn Ile Thr Val Thr Leu Lys Lys Phe Pro Leu Asp Thr Leu Ile Pro $\begin{array}{cc} 35 \end{array}$ Asp Gly Lys Arg Ile Ile Trp Asp Ser Arg Lys Gly Phe Ile Ile Ser Asn Ala Thr Tyr Lys Glu Ile Gly Leu Leu Thr Cys Glu Ala Thr Val $\frac{70}{70}$ 80 Asn Gly His Leu Tyr Lys Thr Asn Tyr Leu Thr His Arg Gln Thr Asn 85 90 95 Thr Ile Ile Asp Val Val Leu Ser Pro Ser His Gly Ile Glu Leu Ser $100\qquad \qquad 105$ Val Gly Glu Lys Leu Val Leu Asn Cys Thr Ala Arg Thr Glu Leu Asn

-continued

<210> SEQ ID NO 19 <211> LENGTH: 33 <212> TYPE: DNA <213> ORGANISM: Artificial Sequence <220> PEATURE:

<223> OTHER INFORMATION: primer

 $90\,$

US 7,374,757 B2

93 94

-continued

<400> SEQUENCE: 26 Tle Gin Leu Leu 1 <210> SEQ ID NO 27 <211> LENGTH: 39 <212> TYPE: DNA <213> ORGANISM: Artificial Sequence <220> FEATURE: <223> OTHER INFORMATION: primer <400> SEQUENCE: 27 atccagetgt tgcccaggaa gtcgetqgag ctgctggta 39 <210> SEQ ID NO 28 <211> LENGTH: 39 <212> TYPE: DNA <213> ORGANISM: Artificial Sequence <220> FEATURE: <223> OTHER INFORMATION: primer <400> SEQUENCE: 28 attttcatge acaatgacct cggtgctcte ccgaaatcg ³⁹ <210> SEQ ID NO 29 <211> LENGTH: 38 <212> TYPE: DNA <213> ORGANISM: Artificial Sequence <220> FEATURE: <223> OTHER INFORMATION: primer <400> SEQUENCE: 29 tcatagatat ccagctgttg cccaggaagt cgctggag ³⁸ <210> SEQ ID NO ³⁰ <211> LENGTH: ³⁹ <212> TYPE: DNA <213> ORGANISM: Artificial Sequence <223> OTHER INFORMATION: primer <400> SEQUENCE: 30 gataatgece gggccatttt catgeacaat gaccteggt 39 <210> SEQ ID NO 31 <211> LENGTH: 6 -212- BENOAM: V
2010- TVDP: DPT <213> ORGANISM: Homo sapiens <400> SEQUENCE: 31 Val Ile Val His Glu Asn
I $<$ 210> SEQ ID NO 32 ~212> TYPE: PRT
<212> TYPE: PRT
<213> ORGANISM: Artificial Sequence
<220> FEATURE: <223> OTHER INFORMATION: modified Fltl receptor <400> SEQUENCE: 32 Lys Asn Lys Arg Ala Ser Val Arg Arg Arg 1 5 10

-continued

<210> SEQ ID NO 33 <211> LENGTH: 8 <212> TYPE: PRT <213> ORGANISM: Artificial Sequence <220> PEATURE: <223> OTHER INFORMATION: modified Fltl receptor <400> SEQUENCE: 33 Asn Ala Ser Val Asn Gly Ser Arg 1 5 <210> SEQ ID NO 34 .
ביוראה ה-2011 - בורכ <212> TYPE: PRT <213> ORGANISM: Artificial Sequence <220> FEATURE: <223> OTHER INFORMATION: modified Fltl receptor <400> SEQUENCE: 34 Lys Asn Lys Cys Ala Ser Val Arg Arg Arg ⁵ ¹⁰ 1 <210> SEQ ID NO 35 <211> LENGTH: 4 <212> TYPE: PRT <213> ORGANISM: Homo sapiens <400> SEQUENCE: 35 Ser Lys Leu Lys 1 <210> SEQ ID NO 36 <211> LENGTH: 9 <212> TYPE: PRT <213> ORGANISM: Homo sapiens <400> SEQUENCE: 36 .
Lys Asn Lys Arg Ala Ser Val Arg Arg 1 Ss <210> SEQ ID NO 37 <211> LENGTH: 4 <212> TYPE: PRT <213> ORGANISM: Homo sapiens <400> SEQUENCE: 37 Thr Ile Ile Asp 1 <210> SEQ ID NO 38 <211> LENGTH: 4 <212> TYPE: PRT <213> ORGANISM: Homo sapiens <400> SEQUENCE: 38Val Val Leu Ser 1

- (a) a vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) receptor component having immunoglobulin-like (Ig) domains consisting of an Ig domain $\tilde{2}$ of a first VEGF receptor 5 selected from:
human FIt1 and Ig domain 3 of a second VEGF (a) SEQ ID NO:15; and human Flt1 and Ig domain 3 of a second VEGF receptor human Flk1; and
- (b) a multimerizing component, wherein the fusion pro-
tein binds VEGF.

receptor component is upstream of the second VEGF recep-
tor component. (VEGF) receptor upstream of Ig domain 3 of a second

receptor component. 15 NO:16. 3. The fusion protein of claim 1, wherein the first VEGF vEGF receptor and a multimerizing correction component is downstream of the second VEGF fusion protein comprises the amino a receptor component.

4. The fusion prote

4, The fusion protein of claim 1, wherein the multimer-

We claim: 5. The fusion protein of claim 4, wherein the immuno-
1. A fusion protein, consisting of selected from the group consisting of the globulin domain is selected from the group consisting of the Fc domain of IgG , and the heavy chain of IgG .

6. A fusion protein encoded by a nucleic acid sequence selected from:

 (b) nucleic acid sequences which, as a result of the degeneracy of the genetic code, differ from the nucleic tein binds VEGF.

2. The fusion protein of claim 1, wherein the first VEGF 10 7. A fusion protein consisting of immunoglobulin-like (Ig)

US 7,374,757 B2

29. S. The fusion protein of claim 4, wherein the incomence

1. A fusion protein consisting of the first VEGF is expected to Fig. 2. A fusion protein complexes the solution of ligit consisting of the comp tor component.
 to component (VEGF) receptor upstream of Ig domain 3 of a second
 3. The fusion protein of claim 1, wherein the first VEGF vEGF receptor and a multimerizing component, wherein the 3. The fusion protein of claim 1, wherein the first VEGF VEGF receptor and a multimerizing component, wherein the receptor component is downstream of the second VEGF fusion protein comprises the amino acid sequence SEQ ID receptor component is downstream of the second VEGF fusion protein comprises the amino acid sequence SEQ ID receptor component.