

US007070959B1

(12) United States Patent

Papadopoulos et al.

(54) MODIFIED CHIMERIC POLYPEPTIDES WITH IMPROVED PHARMACOKINETIC PROPERTIES

- (75) Inventors: Nicholas J. Papadopoulos, Lagrangeville, NY (US); Samuel Davis, New York, NY (US); George D. Yancopoulos, Yorktown Heights, NY (US)
- (73) Assignee: Regeneron Pharmaceuticals, Inc.. Tarrytown, NY (US)
- (*) Notice: Subject to any disclaimer, the term of this patent is extended or adjusted under 35 U.S.C. 154(b) by 0 days.

This patent is subject to a terminal disclaimer.

- (21) Appl. No.: 10/009,852
- (22) PCT Filed: May 23, 2000
- (86) PCT No.: PCT/US00/14142

 $§ 371 (c)(1),$ (2), (4) Date: Dee. 6, 2001

(87) PCT Pub. No.: WO00/75319

PCT Pub. Date: Dee. 14, 2000

Related U.S. Application Data

- (60) Provisional application No. 60/138,133, filed on Jun. 8, 1999,
- (51) Int. Cl.

- (52) CO7K 14/00 (2006.01) UNS. Che cece 435/69.7; 435/70.1; 435/71.1; 435/320. 1; 435/325; 435/252.3: 530/387.3; 530/350; 536/23.4
- (58) Field of Classification Search 435/69.7, 435/320.1, 70.1, 71.1: 530/387.3 See application file for complete search history.

(56) References Cited

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

US 7,070,959 B1 *Jul. 4, 2006 (10) Patent No.: (45) Date of Patent:

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Herley et al. (1999), Biochem. Biophys. Res. Comm. 262: 731-738.*

Terman,B. L., et al, "Identification of ^a new endothelial cell growth factor receptor tyrosine kinase", Oncogene (1991) 6:1677-1683.

Terman, B.I., et al, "Identification of the KDR tyrosine kinase as a receptor for vascular endothelial cell growth factor", Biochem Biophys Res Comm(1992) 187(3):1579- 1586.

Tsutsumi, Y., et al, "PEGylation of interleukin-6 effectively increases its thrombopoietic potency", Thrombosis and Haemostasis (1997) 77(1):168-173.

Dunea, R. and Spreafico, F., "Polymer Conjugates", Drug Delivery Systems (1994) 27(4):290-306.

Hileman. R.E., et al., "Glycosaminoglycan-protein interactions: definitions of consensus sites in glycosaminoglycan binding proteins", BioEssays (1998) 20:156-167.

deVries, Carlie, et al., "The fms-like tyrosine kinase, a receptor for vascular endothelial growth factor', Science (1992) 225:989-991.

Sharifi, J., et al., "Improving monoclonal antibody pharmacokinetics via chemical modification", Quart J Nucl Med (1998) 42:242-249.

Jensen-Pippo, K.E., et al., "Enteral bioavailability of human granulocyte colonystimulating factor conjugated with poly- (ethylene glycol)", (1996) Pharm Res 13(1):102-107.

Tanaka, K., et al.. "Characterization of the extracellular domain in vascular endothelial growth factor receptor-1 (Flt-1 Tyrosine kinase)', (1997) Jpn ^J Cancer Res 88:767- 876.

Yang, J.C., et al., "The use of polyethylene glycol-modified interleukin-2 (PEG-IL-2) in the treatment of patients with metastatic renal cell carinoma and melanoma", (1995) Cancer 76(4): 687-694.

(Continued)

Primary Examiner—Lorraine Spector Assistant Examiner—Jon M Lockard

(74) Attorney, Agent, or Firm—Valeta Gregg, Esq.

(57) ABSTRACT

Modified chimeric polypeptides with improved pharmacokinetics are disclosed. Specifically, modified chimeric FIt1 receptor polypeptides that have been modified in such a way as to improve their pharmacokinetic profile are disclosed. Also disclosed are methods of making and using the modified polypeptides including but not limited to using the modified polypeptides to decrease or inhibit plasma leakage and/or vascular permeability in a mammal.

15 Claims, 55 Drawing Sheets

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Davis-Smyth,T., et al., 1996, "The second immunoglobulinlike domain of the VEGF tyrosine kinase receptor Flt-1 determines ligand binding and may initiate a signal transduction-cascade", The EMBO Journal 15(18):4919- 4927.

Holash, J., et al. (2002) PNAS, vol. 99, No. 17, pp. 11393-11398.

Heidaran, M.A., (1990) The Journal of Biological Chemistry, vol. 265, No. 31, Issue of Nov. 5, pp. 18741-18744.

Cunningham,S.A.et al., (1997) Biochemical and Biophysi-cal Research Communications, vol. 231, pp. 596-599.

Fuh, G. et al. (1998) The Journal of Biological Chemistry,

vol. 273, No. 18, Issue of May 1, pp. 11197-11204. Wiesmann, C.et al. (1997) Cell, vol. 91, pp. 695-704.

Barleon, B. et al. (1997) The Journal of Biological Chemistry, vol. 272, No. 16, pp. 10382-10388.

Davis-Smyth, T. et al. (1998) The Journal of Biological Chemistry, vol. 273, No. 6, pp. 3216-3222.

* cited by examiner

Sheet 3 of 55

Fig.6B.

Fig.7.

Fig.10A.

Fig. 10B.

550 560 570 580 590 600 GGA AAA CGC ATA ATC IGG GAC AGT AGA AAG GGC TTC ATC ATA TCA AAT GCA ACG TAC AAA CCT TIT GCG TAT TAG ACC CTG TCA ICT TIC CCG AAG TAG TAT AGT TTA CGT TCC ATG TIT Gly Lys Arg Ile Ile Trp Asp Ser Arg Lys Gly Phe Ile Ile Ser Asn Ala Thr Tyr Lys> 610 620 630 640 650 660 * * * * a * * * * * * * GAA ATA GGG CTT CTG ACC TGT GAA GCA ACA GTC AAT GGG CAT TTG TAT AAG ACA AAC TAT CTT? TAT CCC GAA GAC TGG ACA CTT CGT TGT CAG TTA CCC GTA AAC ATA TIC TGT TIG ATA Glu Ile Gly Leu Leu Thr Cys Glu Ala Thr Val Asn Gly His Leu Tyr Lys Thr Asn Tyr> ⁶⁷⁰ ⁶⁸⁰ ⁶⁹⁰ ⁷⁰⁰ ⁷¹⁰ ⁷²⁰ * * * * * * * * * * ® * CTc ACA CAT CGA CAA ACC AAT ACA ATC ATA GAT GTC CAA ATA AGC ACA CCA CGC CCA GIC GAG TGT GTA GCT GTT IGG TTA TGT TAG TAT CTA CAG GTT TAT TCG TGT GGT GGG GOT CAG Leu Thr His Arg Gln Thr Asn Thr Ile Ile Asp Val Gln Ile Ser Thr Pro Arg Pro Val> ⁷³⁰ ⁷⁴⁰ ⁷⁵⁰ ⁷⁶⁰ ⁷⁷⁰ ⁷⁸⁰ * * * * * * * * * * * * AAA TTA CTT AGA GGC CAT ACT CTT GIC CiC AAT TGT ACT GCT ACC ACT CCC TTG AAC ACG TTT AAT GAA TCT CCG GTA 'IGA GAA CAG GAG TTA ACA TGA CGA TGG TGA GGG AAC TIG TCC Lys Leu Leu Arg Gly His Thr Leu Val Leu Asn Cys Thr Ala Thr Thr Pro Leu Asn Thr> 790 800 810 820 830 840 * * * * * * * * * * * * AGA GTT CAA ATG ACC TGG AGT TAC CCT GAT GAA AAA AAT AAG AGA GCT TCC GTA AGG CGA TCT CAA GIT TAC TGG ACC TCA ATG GGA CTA CTT TTT TTA TTC TCT CGA AGG CAT TCC GCT Arg Val Gin Met Thr Trp Ser Tyr Pro Asp Glu Lys Asn Lys Arg Ala Ser Val Arg Arg> 850 860 870 880 890 900 * * * * * * * *« * * Ca * CGA ATT GAC CAA AGC AAT TCC CAT GCC AAC ATA TIC TAC AGT GIT CTT ACT ATT GAC AAA GCT TAA CTIG GTT TCG TTA AGG GTA CGG TTIG TAT AAG ATG TCA CAA GAA TGA TAA CIG TIT Arg Ile Asp Gln Ser Asn Ser His Ala Asn Ile Phe Tyr Ser Val Leu Thr Ile Asp Lys> ⁹¹⁰ ⁹²⁰ ⁹³⁰ ⁹⁴⁰ ⁹⁵⁰ ⁹⁶⁰ * * * * * * * * * * * * ATG CAG AAC AAA GAC AAA GGA CTT TAT ACT TGT CGT GTA AGG AGT GGA CCA TCA TIC AAA TAC GTC TIG TTT CIG TIT CCT GAA ATA TGA ACA GCA CAT TCC TCA CCT GGT AGT AAG TIT Met Gln Asn Lys Asp Lys Gly Leu Tyr Thr Cys Arg Val Arg Ser Gly Pro Ser Phe Lys> 970 980 990 1000 1010 1020
* * * * * * * * * * * * *

TCT GTT AAC ACC TCA GIG CAT ATA TAT GAT AAA GCA GGC CCG GGC GAG CCC AAA TCT TCT AGA CAA TIG IGG AGT CAC GTA TAT ATA CTA TIT CGT CCG GGC CCG CIC GGG TIT AGA ACA Ser Val Asn Thr Ser Val His Ile Tyr Asp Lys Ala Gly Pro Gly Glu Pro Lys Ser Cys>

1030 1040 1050 1060 1070 1080 . « * « * * * * * * * * GAC AAA ACT CAC ACA TGC CCA CCG TGC CCA GCA CCT GAA CTC CTG GGG GGA CCG TCA GTC CTG TIT TGA GIG TGT ACG GGT GGC ACG GGT CGT GGA CTT GAG GAC CCC CCT GGC AGT CAG Asp Lys Thr His Thr Cys Pro Pro Cys Pro Ala Pro Glu Leu Leu Gly Gly Pro Ser Val>

Fig.10C.

 1090 1100 TTC CTC TTC CCC CCA AAA CCC AAG GAC ACC CTC ATG ATC TCC CGG ACC CCT GAG GTC ACA AAG GAG AAG GGG GGT TTT GGG TTC CTG TGG GAG TAC TAG AGG GCC TGG GGA CTC CAG TGT Phe Leu Phe Pro Pro Lys Pro Lys Asp Thr Leu Met Ile Ser Arg Thr Pro Glu Val Thr> 1110 * 1120 * 1130 * 1140 * * * * *

1150* 1160 TGC GTG GTG GTG GAC GTG AGC CAC GAA GAC CCT GAG GTC AAG TTC AAC TGG TAC GTG GAC ACG CAC CAC CAC CTG CAC TCG GTG CTT CTG GGA CTC CAG TTC AAG TTG ACC ATG CAC CTG Cys Val Val Val Asp Val Ser His Glu Asp Pro Glu Val Lys Phe Asn Trp Tyr Val Asp> 1170* 1180* 1190* 1200 $\begin{array}{ccccccc} & 1170 & & & 1180 & & & 1190 & & & 1200 \ & & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet \ \end{array}$

1210* 1220* GGC GTG GAG GTG CAT AAT GCC AAG ACA AAG CCG CGG GAG GAG CAG TAC AAC AGC ACG TAC CCG CAC CIC CAC GTA TTA CGG TIC TGT TTC GGC GCC CIC CIC GTC ATG TTG TCG TGC ATG Gly Val Glu Val His Asn Ala Lys Thr Lys Pro Arg Glu Glu Gln Tyr Asn Ser Thr Tyr> * * 1240* 1250 * 1230* 1260 * ® * * *

1270 * 1270 1280 CGT GTG GTC AGC GTC CTC ACC GTC CTG CAC CAG GAC TGG CTG AAT GGC AAG GAG TAC AAG GCA CAC CAG TCG CAG GAG TGG CAG GAC GTG GTC CTG ACC GAC TTA CCG TTC CTC ATG TTC Arg Val Vai Ser Val Leu Thr Val Leu His Gin Asp Trp Leu Asn Gly Lys Glu Tyr Lys> 1300 * 1310 t 1320* 1290 * * * * *

1330 * 1340 * * * TGC AAG GTC TCC AAC AAA GCC CTC CCA GCC CCC ATC GAG AAA ACC ATC TCC AAA GCC AAA ACG TIC CAG AGG TIG TIT CGG GAG GGT CGG GGG TAG CTC TIT TGG TAG AGG TIT CGG TIT Cys Lys Val Ser Asn Lys Ala Pro Ala Pro Ile Glu Lys Thr Ile Ser Lys Ala Lys> 1350 * 1360* 1370* 1380 * * * * *

1390 **1400** GGG CAG CCC CGA GAA CCA CAG GTG TAC ACC CTG CCC CCA TCC CGG GAT GAG CTG ACC AAG CCC GTC GGG GCT CTT GGT GTC CAC ATG TGG GAC GGG GGT AGG GCC CTA CTC GAC TGG TTC Gly Gin Pro Arg Giu Pro Gin Val Tyr Thr Levu Pro Pro Ser Arg Asp Glu Leu Thr Lys> 1410 * 1420 * 1430 * 1440 * * * * *

1450* 1460 * AAC CAG GTC AGC CTG ACC TGC CTG GTC AAA GGC TTC TAT CCC AGC GAC ATC GCC GTG GAG TTG GTC CAG TCG GAC TGG ACG GAC CAG TTT CCG AAG ATA GGG TCG CTG TAG CGG CAC CTC Asn Gln Val Ser Leu Thr Cys Leu Val Lys Gly Phe Tyr Pro Ser Asp Ile Ala Val Glu> . ^{. . .} . . 1500* 1470* 1480* 1490 * * * ® *

1510 * 1520 * * * TGG GAG AGC AAT GGG CAG CCG GAG AAC AAC TAC AAG ACC ACG CCT CCC GTG CTG GAC TCC ACC CTC TOG TTA CCC GTC GGC CTC TTG TTG ATG TTC TGG TGC GGA GGG CAC GAC CTG AGG Trp Glu Ser Asn Gly Gln Pro Glu Asn Asn Tyr Lys Thr Thr Pro Pro Val Leu Asp Ser> 1530 * 1540* 1550* 1560 * * * * *

1570 * 1580 * * * GAC GGC TCC TTC TTC CTC TAC AGC AAG CTC ACC GTG GAC AAG AGC AGG TGG CAG CAG GGG CTG CCG AGG AAG AAG GAG ATG TCG TTC GAG TGG CAC CTG TTC TCG TCC ACC GTC GTC CCC Asp Gly Ser Phe Phe Leu Tyr Ser Lys Leu Thr Val Asp Lys Ser Arg Trp Gin Gln Gly> 1590* 1600* 1610* 1590 1600 1610 1620

Fig.10D.

1630 1640 1650 1660 1670 1680 * * * * * a * * * 2 * * AAC GTC TTC TCA TGC TCC GTG ATG CAT GAG GCT CTG CAC AAC CAC TAC ACG CAG AAG AGC TIG CAG AAG AGT ACG AGG CAC TAC GTA CTC CGA GAC GTG TTG GTG ATG TGC GTC TTC TCG Asn Val Phe Ser Cys Ser Val Met His Glu Ala Leu His Asn His Tyr Thr Gin Lys Ser>

 1690 1700 cre TCC CIG TCT CCG GGT AAA TGA GAG AGG GAC AGA GGC CCA TIT ACT Leu Ser Leu Ser Pro Gly Lys ***>

U.S. Patent

30 40

50 60

Fig. 13A.

ACG TCA CCT AAC ATC ACT GTT ACT TGC AGT GGA TTG TAG TGA CAA TGA AAT TTT TTC AAA GGT GAA CTG TGA AAC TAG GGA CTA Thr Ser Pro Asn Ile Thr Val Thr Leu Lys Lys Phe Pro Leu Asp Thr Leu Ile Pro Asp>

Fig.13B.

550 560 570 580 590 600 * * * * * * * * * * * * GGA AAA CGC ATA ATC TGG GAC AGT AGA AAG GGC TTC ATC ATA TCA AAT GCA ACG TAC AAA CCT TTT GOG TAT TAG ACC CTG TCA TCT TIC CCG AAG TAG TAT AGT TTA CGT TCC ATG TIT Gly Lys Arg Ile Ile Trp Asp Ser Arg Lys Gly Phe Ile Ile Ser Asn Ala Thr Tyr Lys> ⁶¹⁰ ⁶²⁰ ⁶³⁰ ⁶⁴⁰ ⁶⁵⁰ ⁶⁶⁰ * * * * *x * * * * * * GAA ATA GGG CTT CTG ACC TGT GAA GCA ACA GIC AAT GGG CAT TTIG TAT AAG ACA AAC TAT CTT TAT CCC GAA GAC IGG ACA CTT CGT TGT CAG TTA CCC GTA AAC ATA TIC TGT TIG ATA Glu Ile Gly Leu Leu Thr Cys Glu Ala Thr Val Asn Gly His Leu Tyr Lys Thr Asn Tyr> 670 680 690 700 710 720 * * * * * * «x * * * * * CTc ACA CAT CGA CAA ACC AAT ACA ATC ATA GAT GTC CAA ATA AGC ACA CCA CGC CCA GIC GAG TGT GTA GCT GIT IGG TTA TGT TAG TAT CTA CAG GTT TAT TCG IGT GGT GCG GGT CAG Leu Thr His Arg Gln Thr Asn Thr Ile Ile Asp Val Gln Ile Ser Thr Pro Arg Pro Val> ⁷³⁰ ⁷⁴⁰ ⁷⁵⁰ ⁷⁶⁰ ⁷⁷⁰ ⁷⁸⁰ * * * * * * * *. * * * * AAA TTA CTT AGA GGC CAT ACT CTT GTC CTC AAT TGT ACT GCT ACC ACT CCC TG AAC ACG TTT AAT GAA TCT COG GTA TGA GAA CAG GAG TTA ACA TGA CGA TGG TGA GGG AAC TTIG TCC Lys Leu Leu Arg Gly His Thr Leu Val Leu Asn Cys Thr Ala Thr Thr Pro Leu Asn Thr> U.S. Patent $M_{\rm m}$, 200 , ⁷⁹⁰ ⁸⁰⁰ ⁸¹⁰ ⁸²⁰ ⁸³⁰ ⁸⁴⁰ ⁴ * . * * «x * * * * * * AGA GTT CAA ATG ACC TGG AGT TAC CCT GAT GAA ATT GAC CAA AGC AAT TCC CAT GCC AAC TCT CAA GIT TAC TGG ACC TCA ATG GGA CTA CTT TAA CTG GTT TCG TIA AGG GTA CGG TIG Arg Val Glin Met Thr Trp Ser Tyr Pro Asp Glu Ile Asp Gln Ser Asn Ser His Ala Asn> ⁸⁵⁰ ⁸⁶⁰ ⁸⁷⁰ 880 ⁸⁹⁰ ⁹⁰⁰ * * * * * * * ⁼ * * * * ATA TTC TAC AGT GTT CTT ACT ATT GAC AAA ATG CAG AAC AAA GAC AAA GGA CTT TAT ACT . TAT AAG ATG TCA CAA GAA TGA TAA CTG TIT TAC GTC TIG TIT CTG TIT CCT GAA ATA TGA Ile Phe Tyr Ser Val Leu Thr Ile Asp Lys Met Gln Asn Lys Asp Lys Gly Leu Tyr Thr> ⁹¹⁰ ⁹²⁰ ⁹³⁰ \$40 ⁹⁵⁰ \$60 * * * * * * * * * * * * TGT CGT GTA AGG AGT GGA CCA TCA TIC AAA TCT GTT AAC ACC TCA GIG CAT ATA TAT GAT ACA GCA CAT TCC TCA CCT GGT AGT AAG TTT AGA CAA TIG TGG AGT CAC GTA TAT ATA CTA Cys Arg Val Arg Ser Gly Pro Ser Phe Lys Ser Val Asn Thr Ser Val His Ile Tyr Asp> 970 980 990 1000 1010 1020 * * t % ^x * * * * * * * AAA GCA GGC CCG GGC GAG CCC AAA ICT TGT GAC AAA ACT CAC ACA TGC CCA COG TGC CCA TIT CGT CCG GGC COG CTC GGG TIT AGA ACA CTIG TIT TGA GTG TGT ACG GGT GCC ACG GGT Lys Ala Gly Pro Gly Glu Pro Lys Ser Cys Asp Lys Thr His Thr Cys Pro Pro Cys Pro> 1030 1040 1050 1060 1070 1080 * * * * * * * * * * * * GCA CCT GAA CTC CIG GGG GGA CCG TCA GTC TIC CTC TIc CCC CCA AAA CCC AAG GAC ACC CGT GGA CTT GAG GAC CCC CCT GGC AGT CAG AAG GAG AAG GGG GOT TIT GG TIC CIG TG

Ala Pro Glu Leu Leu Gly Gly Pro Ser Val Phe Leu Phe Pro Pro Lys Pro Lys Asp Thr>

Fig.13C.

 $\begin{array}{ccccccccccc} & 1090 &&& 1100 &&& 1110 &&& 1120 &&& 1130 &&& 1140 \end{array}$ CTC ATG ATC TCC CGG ACC CCT GAG GTC ACA TGC GIG GIG GIG GAC GIG AGC CAC GAA GAC GAG TAC TAG AGG GCC TGG GGA CTC CAG TGT ACG CAC CAC CAC CTG CAC TCG GTG CTT CTG Leu Met Ile Ser Arg Thr Pro Glu Val Thr Cys Val Val Val Asp Val Ser His Glu Asp>

1150 1160 1170 1180 1190 1200 * * * * * * * * * * * * CCT GAG GTC AAG TIC AAC TGG TAC GIG GAC GGC GTG GAG GTG CAT AAT GCC AAG ACA AAG GGA CTC CAG TTC AAG TTG ACC ATG CAC CTG CCG CAC CIC CAC GTA TTA CGG TIC TET TIC Pro Glu Val Lys Phe Asn Trp Tyr Val Asp Gly Val Glu Val His Asn Ala Lys Thr Lys>

 1210 1220 1230 CCG CGG GAG GAG CAG TAC AAC AGC ACG TAC CGT GIG GIC AGC GTC CTC ACC GTC CTG CAC GGC GCC CTC CTC GTC ATG TTG TCG TGC ATG GCA CAC CAG TCG CAG GAG TGG CAG GAC GTG Pro Arg Glu Glu Gln Tyr Asn Ser Thr Tyr Arg Val Val Ser Val Leu Thr Val Leu His> 1240 1250 1260 * * * * * *

1270 1280 1290 * * * * * * CAG GAC TGG CTG AAT GGC AAG GAG TAC AAG TGC AAG GTC TCC AAC AAA GCC CTC CCA GCC GTC CTG ACC GAC TTA CCG TTC CTC ATG TTC ACG TTC CAG AGG TTG TTT CGG GAG GGT CGG Gln Asp Trp Leu Asn Gly Lys Glu Tyr Lys Cys Lys Val Ser Asn Lys Ala Leu Pro Ala> 1300 * * 1310 1320 * * * ®

 1330 1340 1350* 1360 1370 * . * * 1380 $\ddot{}$ ccc ATC GAG AAA ACC ATC TCC AAA GCC AAA GGG CAG CCC CGA GAA CCA CAG GTG TAC ACC GGG TAG CTC TIT TGG TAG AGG TIT CGG TIT CCC GTC GGG GCT CTT GGT GTC CAC ATG TGG Pro Ile Glu Lys Thr Ile Ser Lys Ala Lys Gly Gln Pro Arg Glu Pro Gln Val Tyr Thr>

1430 1440 * * * 1390 1420 1400 1410 $*$ CTG CCC CCA TCC CGG GAT GAG CTG ACC AAG AAC CAG GIC AGC CTG ACC TGC CTG GIC AAA GAC GGG GGT AGG GCC CTA CTC GAC TGG TIC TIG GTC CAG TCG GAC TGG ACG GAC CAG TIT Leu Pro Pro Ser Arg Asp Glu Leu Thr Lys Asn Gln Val Ser Leu Thr Cys Leu Val Lys>

1490 1500 1480 1450 GGC TIC TAT CCC AGC GAC ATC GCC GIG GAG TGG GAG AGC AAT GGG CAG CCG GAG AAC AAC 1460 1470 GGC TTC TAT CCC AGC GAC ATC GCC GTG GAG TGG GAG AGC AAT GGG CAG COG GAG AAC AAC
CCG AAG ATA GGG TCG CTG TAG CGG CAC CTC ACC CTC TCG TTA CCC GTC GGC CTC TTG TTG Gly Phe Tyr Pro Ser Asp Ile Ala Val Glu Trp Glu Ser Asn Gly Gin Pro Glu Asn Asn>

1510 * * * TAC AAG ACC ACG CCT CCC GTG CTG GAC TCC GAC GGC TCC TIC TIC CTC TAC AGC AAG CIC ATG TTC TGG TGC GGA GGG CAC GAC CTG AGG CTG CCG AGG AAG AAG GAG ATG TOG TIC GAG Tyr Lys Thr Thr Pro Pro Val Leu Asp Ser Asp Gly Ser Phe Phe Leu Tyr Ser Lys Leu> 1530 1520 1540 1550 * * * 1560 * * *

1600 1610 1620 * * * * * * 1570 * * * ACC GTG GAC AAG AGC AGG TGG CAG CAG GGG AAC GTC TIC TCA TGC TCC GIG ATG CAT GAG TGG CAC CTG TTC TCG TCC ACC GTC GTC CCC TTG CAG AAG AGT ACG AGG CAC TAC GTA CTC Thr Val Asp Lys Ser Arg Trp Gin Gin Gly Asn Val Phe Ser Cys Ser Val Met His Glu> 1580 1590

Fig.13D.

Fig.14A.

30 40 50 60 * * * * * * * * * * * * ATG GTC AGC TAC TGG GAC ACC GGG GTC CTG CTG TGC GCG CTG CTC AGC TGT CTG CTT CTC TAC CAG TCG ATG ACC CIG TGG CCC CAG GAC GAC ACG CGC GAC GAG TCG ACA GAC GAA GAG Met Val Ser Tyr Trp Asp Thr Gly Val Leu Leu Cys Ala Leu Leu Ser Cys Leu Leu Leu> 70 80 90 100 110 120 * * * * «x * * * * * * * ACA GGA TCT AGT TCC GGA GGT AGA CCT TIC GTA GAG ATG TAC AGT GAA ATC CCC GAA ATT TGT CCT AGA TCA AGG CCT CCA TCT GGA AAG CAT CTC TAC ATG TCA CTT TAG GGG CTT TAA Thr Gly Ser Ser Ser Gly Gly Arg Pro Phe Val Glu Met Tyr Ser Glu Ile Pro Glu Ile> 130 140 150 160 170 180 * * * * * * * * * *® * * ATA CAC ATG ACT GAA GGA AGG GAG CTC GIC ATT CCC TGC CGG GTT ACG TCA CCT AAC ATC TAT GIG TAC TGA CTT CCT TCC CTC GAG CAG TAA GGG ACG GCC CAA TGC AGT GGA TIG TAG Ile His Met Thr Glu Gly Arg Glu Leu Val Ile Pro Cys Arg Val Thr Ser Pro Asn Ile> 190 200 210 220 230 240 * * * * * * * * * * * * ACT GTT ACT TTA AAA AAG TIT CCA CTT GAC ACT TIG ATC CCT GAT GGA AAA CGC ATA ATC TGA CAA TGA AAT TTY TIC AAA GGT GAA CTG TGA AAC TAG GGA CTA CCT TIT GCG TAT TAG Thr Val Thr Leu Lys Lys Phe Pro Leu Asp Thr Leu Ile Pro Asp Gly Lys Arg Ile Ile> 250 260 270 280 290 300 * * * * * * * * * * x * ™GG GAC AGT AGA AAG GGC TIC ATC ATA TCA AAT GCA ACG TAC AAA GAA ATA GGG CTT CIG ACC CTG TCA TCT TIC COG AAG TAG TAT AGT TTA CGT TGC ATG TIT CTT TAT CCC GAA GAC Trp Asp Ser Arg Lys Gly Phe Ile Ile Ser Asn Ala Thr Tyr Lys Glu Tle Gly Leu Leu> 310 320 330 340 350 360 * * * * *. * * * * * * *. ACC TGT GAA GCA ACA GTC AAT GGG CAT TIG TAT AAG ACA AAC TAT CTC ACA CAT CGA CAA GG ACA CTT CGT TGT CAG TTA CCC GTA AAC ATA TIC TGT TIG ATA GAG TGT GTA GCT GIT Thr Cys Glu Ala Thr Val Asn Gly His Leu Tyr Lys Thr Asn Tyr Leu Thr His Arg Gln> 370 380 390 400 410 420 * * * * * * * * * * * * ACC AAT ACA ATC ATA GAT GTC CAA ATA AGC ACA CCA CGC CCA GTC AAA TTA CTT AGA GGC GG TTA IGT TAG TAT CTA CAG GTT TAT TCG TGT GGT GOG GGT CAG TTT AAT GAA TCT CCG Thr Asn Thr Ile Ile Asp Val Gln Ile Ser Thr Pro Arg Pro Val Lys Leu Leu Arg Gly> ⁴³⁰ ⁴⁴⁰ ⁴⁵⁰ ⁴⁶⁰ ⁴⁷⁰ ⁴⁸⁰ * * * * * * * r * * * * CAT ACT CTT GIC CTC AAT TGT ACT GCT ACC ACT CCC TTG AAC ACG AGA GTT CAA ATG ACC GTA TGA GAA CAG GAG TTA ACA TGA CGA TGG TGA GGG AAC TTG TGC ICT CAA GIT TAC TGG His Thr Leu Val Leu Asn Cys Thr Ala Thr Thr Pro Leu Asn Thr Arg Val Gin Met Thr> ⁴⁹⁰ ⁵⁰⁰ ⁵¹⁰ ⁵²⁰ ⁵³⁰ ⁵⁴⁰ *. * * * * * * * * * * * TGG AGT TAC CCT GAT GAA ATT GAC CAA AGC AAT TCC CAT GCC AAC ATA TIC TAC AGT GTT

ACC TCA ATG GGA CTA CTT TAA CTG GIT TCG TTA AGG GTA CGG TIG TAT AAG ATG TCA CAA Trp Ser Tyr Pro Asp Glu Ile Asp Gin Ser Asn Ser His Ala Asn Ile Phe Tyr Ser Val>

Fig.14B.

550 560 570 580 590 600 CTT ACT ATT GAC AAA ATG CAG AAC AAA GAC AAA GGA CTT TAT ACT TGT CGT GTA AGG AGT GAA TGA TAA CTG TIT TAC GTC TIG TIT CTG TTT CCT GAA ATA TGA ACA GCA CAT TCC TCA Leu Thr Ile Asp Lys Met Gin Asn Lys Asp Lys Gly Leu Tyr Thr Cys Arg Val Arg Ser> 610 620 630 640 650 660 . 610 620 630 640 650 660
* * * * * * * * * * * * GGA CCA TCA TIC AAA TCT GTT AAC ACC TCA GTG CAT ATA TAT GAT AAA GCA GGC COG GCC CCT GGT AGT AAG TIT AGA CAA TIG TG AGT CAC GTA TAT ATA CTA TIT CGT CCG GGC CCG Gly Pro Ser Phe Lys Ser Val Asn Thr Ser Val His Ile Tyr Asp Lys Ala Gly Pro Gly> 670 680 690 700 710 720 * * * * * * * * * * * * GAG CCC AAA TCT TGT GAC AAA ACT CAC ACA TGC CCA CCG TGC CCA GCA CCT GAA CTC CTIG CTC GGG TIT AGA ACA CTG TIT TGA GTG IGT ACG GGT GGC ACG GGT CGT GGA CTT GAG GAC Glu Pro Lys Ser Cys Asp Lys Thr His Thr Cys Pro Pro Cys Pro Ala Pro Glu Leu Leu> ⁷³⁰ ⁷⁴⁰ ⁷⁵⁰ ⁷⁶⁰ ⁷⁷⁰ ⁷⁸⁰ * * * * * * «x * . * °. * GGG GGA CCG TCA GTC TTC CTC TTC CCC CCA AAA CCC AAG GAC ACC CTC ATG ATC TCC CGG ccc CCT GGC AGT CAG AAG GAG AAG GGG GGT TTT GGG TIC CTG TGG GAG TAC TAG AGG GC Gly Gly Pro Ser Val Phe Leu Phe Pro Pro Lys Pro Lys Asp Thr Leu Met Ile Ser Arg> 790 800 810 820 830 840 * * * * * * * * * * * = ACC CCT GAG GTC ACA TGC GIG GIG GIG GAC GIG AGC CAC GAA GAC CCT GAG GTC AAG TIC TGG GGA CTC CAG IGT ACG CAC CAC CAC CTG CAC TCG GTG CTT CTG GGA CTC CAG TIC AAG Thr Pro Glu Val Thr Cys Val Val Val Asp Val Ser His Glu Asp Pro Glu Val Lys Phe> 850 860 870 880 890 900 x * * *« * * * * * * * * AAC TGG TAC GIG GAC GGC GTG GAG GIG CAT AAT GCC AAG ACA AAG CCG CGG GAG GAG CAG TTG ACC ATG CAC CTG CCG CAC CTC CAC GTA TTA CGG TTC TGT TTC GGC GCC CTC CTC GTC Asn Trp Tyr Val Asp Gly Val Glu Val His Asn Ala Lys Thr Lys Pro Arg Glu Glu Gln> ⁹¹⁰ \$20 ⁹³⁰ \$40 \$50 ⁹⁶⁰ * * * * * * . * « * * * TAC AAC AGC ACG TAC CGT GIG GTC AGC GIC CTC ACC GTC CIG CAC CAG GAC TGG CTG AAT ATG TIG TCG TGC ATG GCA CAC CAG TOG CAG GAG TGG CAG GAC GTG GTC CTG ACC GAC TIA Tyr Asn Ser Thr Tyr Arg Val Val Ser Val Leu Thr Val Leu His Gln Asp Trp Leu Asn> 970 980 990 1000 1010 1020 * * * * x * * * * * * * GGC AAG GAG TAC AAG TGC AAG GTC TCC AAC AAA GCC CTC CCA GCC CCC ATC GAG AAA ACC ccc TIC CTC ATG TIC ACG TIC CAG AGG TIG TTT CGG GAG GGT CGG GGG TAG CIC TIT 166 Gly Lys Glu Tyr Lys Cys Lys Val Ser Asn Lys Ala Leu Pro Ala Pro Ile Glu Lys Thr> 1030 1040 1050 1060 1070 1080 ATC TCC AAA GCC AAA GGG CAG CCC CGA GAA CCA CAG GTG TAC ACC CTG CCC CCA TCC CGG TAG AGG TIT CG TIT CCC GIC GGG GT CTT GGT GTC CAC ATG TGG GAC GG GOT AG CCC Ile Ser Lys Ala Lys Gly Gln Pro Arg Glu Pro Gln Val Tyr Thr Leu Pro Pro Ser Arg>

Fig.14C.

1090 1100 1110 1120 1130 1140 * * * * * * * * * * * * GAT GAG CTG ACC AAG AAC CAG GTC AGC CTG ACC TGC CTIG GIC AAA GGC TIC TAT CCC AGC CTA CTC GAC 1GG TIC TIG GTC CAG TCG GAC TGG ACG GAC CAG TIT CCG AAG ATA GGG TCG Asp Glu Leu Thr Lys Asn Gln Val Ser Leu Thr Cys Leu Val Lys Gly Phe Tyr Pro Ser> 1150 1160 1170 1180 1190 1200 *«. * * * * * * * * * * * GAC ATC GCC GIG GAG TGG GAG AGC AAT GGG CAG CCG GAG AAC AAC TAC AAG ACC ACG CCT CTG TAG CGG CAC CTC ACC CTC TCG TTA CCC GTC GGC CTC TTG TTG ATG TTC TGG TGC GGA Asp Ile Ala Val Glu Trp Glu Ser Asn Gly Gln Pro Glu Asn Asn Tyr Lys Thr Thr Pro> 1210 1220 1230 1240 1250 1260 * * * * * x * * * * * * ccc GIG CIG GAC TCC GAC GGC TCC TIC TIC CIC TAC AGC AAG CTC ACC GIG GAC AAG AGC GGG CAC GAC CTG AGG CTIG COG AGG AAG AAG GAG ATG TCG TIC GAG TGG CAC CTIG TIC TCG Pro Val Leu Asp Ser Asp Gly Ser Phe Phe Leu Tyr Ser Lys Leu Thr Val Asp Lys Ser> 1270 1280 1290 1300 1310 1320
* * * * * * * * * * * *

AGG TGG CAG CAG GGG AAC GIC TIC TCA TGC TCC GIG ATG CAT GAG GCT CTG CAC AAC CAC TCC ACC GTC GTC CCC TIG CAG AAG AGT ACG AGG CAC TAC GTA CTC CGA GAC GIG TIG GIG Arg Trp Gln Gln Gly Asn Val Phe Ser Cys Ser Val Met His Glu Ala Leu His Asn His>

1330 1340 1350 * * * * * t * TAC ACG CAG AAG AGC CIC TCC CTG TCT CCG GOT AAA TGA ATG TGC GTC TIC TCG GAG AGG GAC AGA GGC CCA TTT ACT Tyr Thr Gln Lys Ser Leu Ser Leu Ser Pro Gly Lys ***>

Fig.15A.

Fig.15B.

Fig.15C.

 1090 1100 1110 1120 CCA CAG GTG TAC ACC CIG CCC CCA TCC CGG GAT GAG CTG ACC AAC CAG GTC AGC CTG GGT GTC CAC ATG TGG GAC GGG GGT AGG GCC CTA CTC GAC TGG TTG GTC CAG TCG GAC Pro Gin Val Tyr Thr Leu Pro Pro Ser Arg Asp Glu Leu Thr Asn Gln Val Ser Leu> 1130 1140

 $1150 \t 1160 \t 1170 \t 1180$ ACC TGC CTIG GTC AAA GGC TIC TAT CCC AGC GAC ATC GCC GIG TGG GAG AGC AAT GGG TGG ACG GAC CAG TTT CCG AAG ATA GGG TOG CTG TAG CGG CAC CTC ACC CTC TOG TTA CCC Thr Cys Leu Val Lys Gly Phe Tyr Pro Ser Asp Ile Ala Val Glu Trp Glu Ser Asn Gly> 1190 1200

 1210 1220 1230 1240 CAG CCG GAG AAC AAC TAC AAG ACC ACG CCT CCC GTG CTG GAC TCC GAC GGC TCC TTC TTC GTC GGC CTC TTG TTG ATG TTC TGG TGC GGA GGG CAC GAC CTG AGG CTG CCG AGG AAG AAG Gln Pro Glu Asn Asn Tyr Lys Thr Thr Pro Pro Val Leu Asp Asp Gly Ser Phe Phe> 1250* * 1260*

 1270 1280 1290 1300 CTC TAC AGC AAG CTC ACC GTG GAC AAG AGC AGG TGG CAG CAG AAC GIC TIC TCA TGC GAG ATG TOG TTC GAG TGG CAC CTG TIC TCG TCC ACC GTC GIC TTG CAG AAG AGT ACG Leu Tyr Ser Lys Leu Thr Val Asp Lys Ser Arg Trp Gln Gln Gly Asn Val Phe Ser Cys> 1310 1320

1330 1340 1350 * * * * * * * TCC GTG ATG CAT GAG GCT CTG CAC AAC CAC TAC ACG CAG AAG AGC CTC TCC CTG TCT CCG AGG CAC TAC GTA CTC CGA GAC GIG TIG GIG ATG TGC GTC TIC GAG AGG GAC AGA G&C Ser Val Met His Glu Ala Leu His Asn His Tyr Thr Gln Lys Ser Leu Ser Leu Ser Pro>1360* 1370 1380

GGT AAA TGA CCA TTT ACT Gly Lys ***>

*

Fig.16A.

10 * * * ATG GTC AGC TAC TGG GAC ACC GGG GTC CTG CTG TGC GCG CTG CTC AGC TGT CTG CTT CTC TAC CAG TOG ATG ACC CTG TGG CCC CAG GAC GAC ACG CGC GAC GAG TCG ACA GAC GAA GAG Met Val Ser Tyr Trp Asp Thr Gly Val Leu Leu Cys Ala Leu Leu Ser Cys Leu Leu Leu> 70 * * * ACA GGA TCT AGT TCA GGT TCA AAA TTA AAA GAT CCT GAA CTG AGT TTA AAA GGC ACC CAG TGT CCT AGA TCA AGT CCA AGT TIT AAT TTT CTA GGA CTT GAC TCA AAT TTT CCG TGG GTC Thr Gly Ser Ser Ser Gly Ser Lys Leu Lys Asp Pro Glu Leu Ser Leu Lys Gly Thr Gln> 130. \overline{a} \overline{b} \overline{c} CAC ATC ATG CAA GCA GGC CAG ACA CTG CAT CTC CAA TGC AGG GGG GAA GCA GCC CAT AAA GTG TAG TAC GTT CGT CCG GTC TGT GAC GTA GAG GTT ACG TCC CCC CTT CGT CGG GTA TTT His Ile Met Gin Ala Gly Glin Leu His Leu Gln Cys Arg Gly Glu Ala Ala His Lys> 190 TGG TCT TTG CCT GAA ATG GTG AGT AAG GAA AGC GAA AGG CTG AGC ATA ACT AAA TCT GCC ACC AGA AAC GGA CTT TAC CAC TCA TTC CTT TCG CTT TCC GAC TCG TAT TGA TTT AGA CGG frp Ser Leu Pro Glu Met Val Ser Lys Glu Ser Glu Arg Leu Ser Ile Thr Lys Ser Ala> * 250 * * * TGT GGA AGA AAT GGC AAA CAA TTC TGC AGT ACT TTA ACC TTG AAC ACA GCT CAA GCA AAC ACA CCT TCT TTA CCG TTT GTT AAG ACG TCA TGA AAT TGG AAC TTG TGT CGA GTT CGT TTG Cys Gly Arg Asn Gly Lys Gln Phe Cys Ser Thr Leu Thr Leu Asn Thr Ala Gln Ala Asn> 310 * CAC ACT GGC TTC TAC AGC TGC AAA TAT CTA GCT GTA CCT ACT TCA AAG AAG AAG GAA ACA GTG TGA CCG AAG ATG TCG ACG TIT ATA GAT CGA CAT GGA TGA AGT TTC TTC TTC CTT TGT * His Thr Gly Phe Tyr Ser Cys Lys Tyr Leu Ala Val Pro Thr Ser Lys Lys Lys Glu Thr> 370 * * * GAA TCT GCA ATC TAT ATA TTT ATT AGT GAT ACA GGT AGA CCT TTC GTA GAG ATG TAC AGT CTT AGA CGT TAG ATA TAT AAA TAA TCA CTA TGT CCA TCT GGA AAG CAT CTC TAC ATG TCA Glu Ser Ala Ile Tyr Ile Phe Tle Ser Asp Thr Gly Arg Pro Phe Val Glu Met Tyr Ser> 430 GAA ATC CCC GAA ATT ATA CAC ATG ACT GAA GGA AGG GAG CTC GTC ATT CCC TGC CGG GTT CTT TAG GGG CTT TAA TAT GTG TAC TGA CTT CCT TCC CTC GAG CAG TAA GGG ACG GCC CAA 20* 80* 140 200 260 320 * 380* 440* 30 40 50 * * * * * * * * * 60 * 90 . * 100 110 120 * * * * * * 150 . \sim . 160 $\begin{array}{c} 180 \\ + 180 \end{array}$ 170 210 220* 230 240 $\ddot{}$ $\ddot{\$ * 270 . * * . 280 * 2390 300 * * * * 340 * * * 330 . * * . 350 * * 360 * 390 400* 410 420 * $\ddot{}$ $\dddot{}$ $\dddot{}$ $\dddot{}$ 450 460* 470 480 * * * ^x *

490 ACG TCA CCT AAC ATC ACT GTT ACT TTA AAA AAG TTT CCA CTT GAC ACT TTG ATC CCT GAT TGC AGT GGA TIG TAG TGA CAA TGA AAT TIT TTC AAA GGT GAA CTG TGA AAC TAG GGA CTA Thr Ser Pro Asn Ile Thr Val Thr Leu Lys Lys Phe Pro Leu Asp Thr Leu Ile Pro Asp> 500* 510 520 530 520 530 540

Glu Ile Pro Glu Tle Tle His Met Thr Glu Gly Arg Glu Lev Val Ile Pro Cys Arg Val>

Fig.16B.

⁵⁵⁰ ⁵⁶⁰ ⁵⁷⁰ ⁵⁸⁰ ⁵⁹⁰ ⁶⁰⁰ * * * * * * * * * * * * GGA AAA CGC ATA ATC TGG GAC AGT AGA AAG GGC TIC ATC ATA TCA AAT GCA ACG TAC AAA CCT TIT GCG TAT TAG ACC CTG TCA TCT TIC CCG AAG TAG TAT AGT TTA CGT TGC ATG TIT Gly Lys Arg Ile Ile Trp Asp Ser Arg Lys Gly Phe Ile Ile Ser Asn Ala Thr Tyr Lys> ⁶¹⁰ ⁶²⁰ ⁶³⁰ ⁶⁴⁰ ⁶⁵⁰ ⁶⁶⁰ * * * * * * * * * * * * GAA ATA GGG CTT CTIG ACC TGT GAA GCA ACA GIC AAT GGG CAT TIG TAT AAG ACA AAC TAT CTT TAT CCC GAA GAC IGG ACA CTT CGT TGT CAG TTA CCC GTA AAC ATA TIC TGT TIG ATA Glu Ile Gly Leu Leu Thr Cys Giu Ala Thr Val Asn Gly His Leu Tyr Lys Thr Asn Tyr> 670 680 690 700 710 720 * * * © * * * * * * * * CTC ACA CAT CGA CAA ACC AAT ACA ATC ATA GAT GIC CAA ATA AGC ACA CCA CGC CCA GIC GAG IGT GTA GCT GTT TGG TIA TGT TAG TAT CTA CAG GTT TAT TCG TGT GGT GCG GGT CAG Leu Thr His Arg Gln Thr Asn Thr Ile Ile Asp Val Gln Ile Ser Thr Pro Arg Pro Val> 730 740 750 760 770 780 * * * ** * * * * * * * * AAA TTA CTT AGA GGC CAT ACT CTT GIC CTC AAT IGT ACT GCT ACC ACT CCC TTG AAC AGG TIT AAT GAA TCT COG GTA TGA GAA CAG GAG TTA ACA TGA CGA TGG TGA GGG AAC TIG TOC Lys Leu Leu Arg Gly His Thr Leu Val Leu Asn Cys Thr Ala Thr Thr Pro Leu Asn Thr> 790 800 810 820 830 840
* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * AGA GTT CAA ATG ACC TGG AGT TAC CCT GAT GAA AAA AAT AAG AAC GCT TCC GTA AGG CGA TCT CAA GTT TAC TGG ACC TCA ATG GGA CTA CTT TTT TTA TTC TTG CGA AGG CAT TCC GCT Arg Val Gin Met Thr Trp Ser Tyr Pro Asp Glu Lys Asn Lys Asn Ala Ser Val Arg Arg> 850 860 870 880 890 900 CGA ATT GAC CAA AGC AAT TCC CAT GCC AAC ATA TIC TAC AGT GIT CTT ACT ATT GAC AAA GCT TAA CTG GTT TCG TIA AGG GTA OGG TIG TAT AAG ATG TCA CAA GAA TGA TAA CTG TTT Arg Ile Asp Gln Ser Asn Ser His Ala Asn Ile Phe Tyr Ser Val Leu Thr Ile Asp Lys> ⁹¹⁰ ⁹²⁰ ⁹³⁰ 940 ⁹⁵⁰ ⁹⁶⁰ * * * * * * *® * * * * * ATG CAG AAC AAA GAC AAA GGA CTT TAT ACT TGT CGT GTA AGG AGT GGA CCA TCA TIC AAA TAC GTC TIG TIT CTG TTT CCT GAA ATA TGA ACA GCA CAT TCC TCA CCT GGT AGT AAG TIT Met Gln Asn Lys Asp Lys Gly Leu Tyr Thr Cys Arg Val Arg Ser Gly Pro Ser Phe Lys> ⁹⁷⁰ ⁹⁸⁰ ⁹⁹⁰ ¹⁰⁰⁰ ¹⁰¹⁰ ¹⁰²⁰ * * * * * * * * * * * * TCT GTT AAC ACC TCA GIG CAT ATA TAT GAT AAA GCA GGC CCG GGC GAG CCC AAA TCT TCT AGA CAA TIG TGG AGT CAC GTA TAT ATA CTA TIT CGT CCG GGC CCG CTC GGG TTT AGA ACA Ser Val Asn Thr Ser Val His Ile Tyr Asp Lys Ala Gly Pro Gly Glu Pro Lys Ser Cys> 1030 1040 1050 1060 1070 1080 GAC AAA ACT CAC ACA TGC CCA CCG TGC CCA GCA CCT GAA CIC CTG GGG GGA CCG TCA GTC

CIG TTT TGA GIG TGT ACG GGT GGC ACG GGT CGT GGA CTT GAG GAC CCC CCT G& AGT CAG Asp Lys Thr His Thr Cys Pro Pro Cys Pro Ala Pro Glu Leu Leu Gly Gly Pro Ser Val>

Fig.16C.

1570 1580 1590 1600 1610 1620
* * * * * * * * * * * * * GAC GGC TCC TIC TIC CTC TAC AGC AAG CTC ACC GTG GAC AAG AGC AGG TGG CAG CAG GGG CTG CCG AGG AAG AAG GAG ATG TCG TTC GAG TGG CAC CTG TTC TCG TCC ACC GTC GTC CCC Asp Gly Ser Phe Phe Leu Tyr Ser Lys Leu Thr Val Asp Lys Ser Arg Trp Gin Gln Gly> 1620

Fig.16D.

1630 1640 1650 1660 1670 1680 1681 16 * * * * * * * * * * AAC GTC TTC TCA TGC TCC GIG ATG CAT GAG GCT CTG CAC ANG ANG AGC CAC TTC TCG TTG CAG AAG AGT ACG AGG CAC TAC GTA CTC CGA GAC GAC GIG THE HIG TO THE GID INS SEEP Asn Val Phe Ser Cys Ser Val Met His Glu Ala Leu His Asn His Tyr Thr Gln Lys Server $*$ 1680

 1690 1700 * * * * *

eTc TCC CIG TCT CCG GGT AAA TGA GAG AGG GAC AGA GGC CCA TIT ACT Leu Ser Leu Ser Pro Gly Lys ***>

U.S. Patent Jul. 4, 2006 Sheet 33 of 55 US 7,070,959 B1

Fig.21C.

Fig.22B.

Fig.22C.

 $cccc$ GGCG

Fig.24A.

Fig.24B.

Fig.24C.

Fig.25A. DME -Challenge + Fit1D2VEGFR3D3.Fc Δ C1(a) + FitiD2Flk1D3.FcAC1 (a) ified
tor $+R \rightarrow C$ $\left| \begin{array}{ccc} 1 & 3 & 3 \\ 3 & 3 & 3 \end{array} \right|$ $\left| \begin{array}{ccc} \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & 3 \\ \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \end{array} \right|$ $+$ NAS ea
2a +AB2 2 = ⁺ A40 ie +Fitt (1-3) Fe VEGF 165 VEGF-R2-

Fig.25B.

Jul. 4, 2006

Binding Stoichiometry of hVEGF165 to Fit1D2Fik1D3.FcAC1(a) & VEGFR1R2-FcAC1(a)	VEGF/VEGFR1R2-FcAC1(a)	86 0.9	0.94	86.0	0.97 ± 0.02	
	hVEGF165 (nM) VEGF/FI11D2FIK1D3.Fc4C1(a)	0.93	0.97		0.96 ± 0.03	
				8	Average ± StDev	

Fig.28.

Fig.29.

Fig.35.

Fig.37.

MODIFIED CHIMERIC POLYPEPTIDES WITH IMPROVED PHARMACOKINETIC PROPERTIES

The application claims priority of U.S. Provisional Appli- 5 cation No. 60/138,133, filed on Jun. 8, 1999, Throughout this application various publications are referenced. 'The disclosures of these publications in their entireties are hereby incorporated by reference into this application.

INTRODUCTION

The field of this invention is modified polypeptides with improved pharmacokinetics. Specifically, the field of this invention relates to Flt1 receptor polypeptides that have 15 been modified in such a way as to improve their pharmacokinetic profile. The field of this invention also relates to methods of making and using the modified polypeptides including but not limited to using the modified polypeptides to decrease or inhibit plasma leakage and/or vascular per-20 meability in a mammal.

BACKGROUND

The ability of polypeptide ligands to bind to cells and 25 thereby elicit a phenotypic response such as cell growth, survival, cell product secretion, or differentiation is often mediated through transmembrane receptors on the cells. The extracellular domain of such receptors (i.e. that portion of the receptor that is displayed on the surface of the cell) is 30 generally the most distinctive portion of the molecule, as it provides the protein with its ligand binding characteristic. Binding, of a ligand to the extracellular domain generally results in signal transduction which transmits a biological signal to intracellular targets. Often, this signal transduction 35 acts via a catalytic intracellular domain. 'The particular array of sequence motifs of this catalytic intracellular domain determines its access to potential kinase substrates (Mohammadi, et al.,1990, Mol. Cell. Biol. 11:5068-5078; Fantl, et al., 1992, Cell 69:413-413). Examples of receptors that transduce signals via catalytic intracellular domains include the receptor tyrosine kinases (RTKs) such as the Trk family of receptors which are generally limited to cells of the nervous system, the cytokine family of receptors including the tripartate CNTF receptor complex (Stahl & Yancopou-45 los, 1994, J. Neurobio. 25:1454-1466) which is also generally limited to the cells of the nervous system, G-protein coupled receptors such as the β_2 -adrenergic receptor found on, for instance, cardiac muscle cells, and the multimeric IgE high affinity receptor Fc ϵ RI which is localized, for the 50 most part, on mast cells and basophils (Sutton & Gould, 1993, Nature 366:421-428).

All receptors identified so far appear to undergo dimerization, multimerization, or some related conformational change following ligand binding (Schlessinger, J., 1988, ^s Trend Biochem. Sci. 13:443-447; Ullrich & Schlessinger, 1990, Cell 61:203-212; Schlessinger & Ullrich, 1992, Neuron 9:383-391) and molecular interactions between dimerizing intracellular domains lead to activation of catalytic function. In some instances, such as platelet-derived growth 60 factor (PDGF), the ligand is a dimer that binds two receptor molecules (Hart, et al., 1988, Science, 240:1529-1531; Heldin, 1989, J. Biol. Chem. 264:8905-8912) while, for example, in the case of epidermal growth factor (EGF), the ligand is a monomer (Weber, et al., 1984, J. Biol. Chem. 65 $259:14631-14636$. In the case of the Fc ϵ RI receptor, the ligand, IgE, exists bound to FceRI in a monomeric fashion

2

and only becomes activated when antigen binds to the IgE/FceRI complex and cross-links adjacent IgE molecules (Sutton & Gould, 1993, Nature 366:421—428).

Often, the tissue distribution of a particular receptor within higher organisms provides insight into the biological function of the receptor. The RTKs for some growth and differentiation factors, such as fibroblast growth factor (FGF), are widely expressed and therefore appear to play (FGF), are widely expressed and therefore appear to play some general role in tissue growth and maintenance. Members of the Trk RTK family (Glass & Yancopoulos, 1993, Trends in Cell Biol. 3:262—268) of receptors are more generally limited to cells of the nervous system, and the Nerve Growth Factor family consisting of nerve growth factor (NGF), brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF), neurotrophin-3 (NT-3) and neurotrophin-4/5 (NT-4/5), which bind the Trk RTK family receptors, promote the differentiation of diverse groups of neurons in the brain and periphery (Lindsay, R. M, 1993, in Neurotrophic Factors, S. E. Loughlin & J. H. Fallon, eds., pp. 257-284, San Diego, Calif., Academic Press). FeeRI is localized to a very limited number of types of cells such as mast cells and basophils. Mast cells derive from bone marrow pluripotent hematopoietic stem cell lineage, but complete their maturation in the . tissue following migration from the blood stream (See Janeway & Travers, 1996, in Immunobiology, 2d. Edition, M. Robertson & E, Lawrence, eds., pp. 1:3-1:4, Current Biology Ltd., London, UK, Publisher) and are involved in the allergic response. Many studies have demonstrated that the extracellular domain of a receptor provides the specific ligand binding characteristic. Furthermore, the cellular environment in which a receptor is expressed may influence the biological response exhibited upon binding of a ligand to the receptor. For example, when a neuronal cell expressing a Trk receptor is exposed to a neurotrophin which binds to that receptor, neuronal survival and differentiation results. When the same receptor is expressed by a fibroblast, exposure to the neurotrophin results in proliferation of the fibroblast (Glass, et al., 1991, Cell 66:405—413).

A class of cell-derived dimeric mitogens with selectivity for vascular endothelial cells has been identified and designated vascular endothelial cell growth factor (WEGF). VEGF has been purified from conditioned growth media of rat glioma cells [Conn et al., (1990), Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. US.A., 87. pp 2628-2632]; and conditioned growth media of bovine pituitary follicle stellate cells [Ferrara and Henzel, (1989), Biochem. Biophys. Res. Comm., 161, pp. 851-858; Gozpadorowiczet al., (1989), Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A., 86, pp. 7311-7315] and conditioned growth medium from human U937 cells [Connolly, D. T. et al. (1989), Science, 246, pp. 1309-1312]. VEGF is a dimer with an apparent molecular mass of about 46 kDa with each subunit having an apparent molecular mass of about 23 kDa. VEGF has some structural similarities to platelet derived growth factor (PDGF), which is a mitogen for connective tissue cells but not mitogenic for vascular endothelial cells from large vessels.

The membrane-bound tyrosine kinase receptor, known as Flt, was shown to be a VEGF receptor [DeVries, C. et al., (1992), Science, 255, pp. 989-991]. The Flt receptor specifically binds VEGF which induces mitogenesis. Another form of the VEGF receptor, designated KDR, is also known to bind VEGF and induce mitogenesis. The partial cDNA sequence and nearly full length protein sequence of KDR is known as well [Terman, B. I. et al., (1991) Oncogene 6, pp. 1677-1683, Terman, B.I. et al., (1992) Biochem. Biophys. Res. Comm. 187, pp. 1579-1586].

50

Persistent angiogenesis may cause or exacerbate certain diseases such as psoriasis, rheumatoid arthritis, hemangiomas, angiofibromas, diabetic retinopathy and neovascular glaucoma. An inhibitor of VEGF activity would be useful as a treatment for such diseases and other VEGF-induced pathological angiogenesis and vascular permeability conditions, such as tumor vascularization. The present invention relates to a VEGF inhibitor that is based on the VEGF receptor Fltl.

Plasma leakage, a key component of inflammation, occurs 10 in a distinct subset of microvessels. In particular, in most organs plasma leakage occurs specifically in the venules. Unlike arterioles and capillaries, venules become leaky in response to numerous inflammatory mediators including histamine, bradykinin, and serotonin. One characteristic of inflammation is the plasma leakage that results fromintercellular gaps that form in the endothelium of venules. Most experimental models of inflammation indicate that these intercellular gaps occur between the endothelial cells of postcapillary and collecting venules (Baluk,P., et al.,Am. J. 20 Pathol. 1998 152:1463-76). It has been shown that certain lectins may be used to reveal features of focal sites of plasma leakage. endothelial gaps, and finger-like processes at endothelial cell borders in inflamed venules (Thurston, G., et al., Am. J. Physiol, 1996, 271: H2547-62). In particular, plant lectins have been used to visualize morphological changes at endothelial cell borders in inflamed venules of, for example, the rat trachea. Lectins, such as conconavalin A and ricin, that bind focally to inflamed venules reveal regions of the subendothelial vessel wall exposed by gaps that correspond to sites of plasma leakage (Thurston, G., et al., Am J Physiol, 1996, 271: H2547-62).

The properties of the microvessels are dynamic. Chronic inflammatory diseases, for example, are associated with microvascular remodeling, including angiogenesis and microvessel enlargement. Microvessels can also remodel by acquiring abnormal phenotypic properties. In a murine model of chronic airway inflammation, airway capillaries acquire properties of venules, including widened vessel diameter, increased immunoreactivity for von Willebrand factor, and increased immunoreactivity for P-selectin. In addition, these remodeled vessels leak in response to inflammatory mediators, whereas vessels in the same position in the airways of normal mice do not. 45

Certain substances have been shown to decrease or inhibit vascular permeability and/or plasma leakage. For example, mystixins are synthetic polypeptides that have been reported to inhibit plasma leakage without blocking endothelial gap formation (Baluk, P., et al., J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther., 1998, 284: 693-9). Also, the beta 2-adrenergic receptor agonist [~] formoterol reduces microvascular leakage by inhibiting endothelial gap formation (Baluk, P. and McDonald, D. M., Am, J. Physiol., 1994, 266:L461-8),

The angiopoietins and members of the vascular endothe- $\overline{}$ lial growth factor (VEGF) family are the only growth factors thought to be largely specific for vascular endothelial cells. Targeted gene inactivation studies in mice have shown that VEGF is necessary for the early stages of vascular development and that Ang-1 is required for later stages of vascular $_{60}$ remodeling.

USS.Pat. No. 6,011,003, issued Jan. 4, 2000, in the name of Metris Therapeutics Limited, discloses an altered, soluble form of FLT polypeptide being capable of binding to VEGF and thereby exerting an inhibitory effect thereon, the 65 polypeptide comprising five or fewer complete immunoglobulin domains.

U.S. Pat. No. 5,712,380, issued Jan. 27, 1998 and assigned to Merck & Co., discloses vascular endothelial cell growth factor (VEGF) inhibitors that are naturally occurring or recombinantly engineered soluble forms with or without a C-terminal transmembrane region of the receptor for VEGF.

Also assigned to Merck & Co. is PCT Publication No. WO98/13071, published Apr. 2, 1998, which discloses gene therapy methodology for inhibition of primary tumor growth and metastasis by gene transfer of a nucleotide sequence encoding a soluble receptor protein which binds to VEGF.

PCT Publication No. WO 97/44453, published Nov. 27, 1997, in the name of Genentech, Inc., discloses novel chimeric VEGF receptor proteins comprising amino acid sequences derived from the vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) receptors Fltl and KDR, including the murine homologue to the human KDR receptor FLK1, wherein said chimeric VEGF receptor proteins bind to VEGF and antagonize the endothelial cell proliferative and angiogenic activity thereof.

PCT Publication No. WO 97/13787, published Apr. 17, 1997, in the name of To a Gosei Co., LTD., discloses a low molecular weight VEGF inhibitor usable in the treatment of diseases accompanied by neovascularization such as solid tumors. A polypeptide containing the first immunoglobulinlike domain and the second immunoglobulin-like domain in the extracellular region of a VEGF receptor FLT but not containing the sixth immunoglobulin-like domain and the seventh immunoglobulin-like domain thereof shows a VEGF inhibitory activity.

Sharifi, J. et al., 1998, The Quarterly Jour. of Nucl. Med. 42:242-249, disclose that because monoclonal antibodies (MAbs) are basic, positively charged proteins, and mammalian cells are negatively charged, the electrostatic interac-⁵ tions between the two can create higher levels of background binding resulting in low tumor to normal organ ratios. To overcome this effect, the investigators attempted to improve MAb clearance by using various methods such as secondary agents as well as chemical and charge modifica- tions of the MAbitself.

Jensen-Pippo, et al., 1996, Pharmaceutical Research 13:102—107, disclose that pegylation of a therapeutic protein, recombinant human granulocyte colony stimulating factor (PEG-G-CSF), results in an increase in stability and in retention of in vivo bioactivity when administered by the intraduodenal route.

Tsutsumi, et al., 1997, Thromb Haemost. 77:168—73, disclose experiments wherein the in vivo thrombopoietic activity of polyethylene glycol-modified interleukin-6 $(MPEG-II-6)$, in which 54% of the 14 lysine amino groups of IL-6 were coupled with PEG, was compared to that of native IL-6,

Yang, et al., 1995, Cancer 76:687—94, disclose that conjugation of polyethylene glycol to recombinant human interleukin-2 (IL-2) results in a compound, polyethylene glycolmodified IL-2 (PEG-IL-2) that retains the in vitro and in vivo activity of IL-2, but exhibits a markedly prolonged circulating half-life.

R. Duncan and F. Spreafico, Clin. Pharmacokinet. 27: 290-306, 296 (1994) review efforts to improve the plasma half-life of asparaginase by conjugating polyethylene glycol.

PCT International Publication No. WO 99/03996 published Jan. 28, 1999 in the name of Regeneron Pharmaceuticals, Inc. and The Regents of The University of California describes modified human noggin polypeptides having deletions of regions of basic amino acids. The modified human noggin polypeptides are described as retaining biological

activity while having reduced affinity for heparin and superior pharmacokinetics in animal sera as compared to the unmodified human noggin.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

The present invention is directed to VEGF antagonists with improved pharmacokinetic properties. A preferred embodiment is an isolated nucleic acid molecule encoding a fusion polypeptide capable of binding a VEGF polypeptide 10 comprising (a) a nucleotide sequence encoding a VEGF receptor component operatively linked to (b) a nucleotide sequence encoding a multimerizing component, wherein the VEGF receptor component is the only VEGF receptor component of the fusion polypeptide and wherein the nucle-15 otide sequence of (a) consists essentially of a nucleotide sequence encoding the amino acid sequence of Ig domain 2 of the extracellular domain of a first VEGF receptor and a nucleotide sequence encoding the amino acid sequence of Ig domain 3 of the extracellular domain of a second VEGF 20 receptor.

In a further embodiment, the isolated nucleic acid of the first VEGF receptor is Flt1.

In a further embodiment, the isolated nucleic acid of the second VEGF receptor is Flk1.

In yet another embodiment, the isolated nucleic acid of the second VEGF receptor is Flt4.

In another preferred embodiment, the nucleotide sequence encoding Ig domain 2 of the extracellular domain of the first VEGF receptor is upstream of the nucleotide sequence ³⁰ encoding Ig domain ³ of the extracellular domain of the second VEGF receptor.

In still another preferred embodiment, the nucleotide sequence encoding Ig domain 2 of the extracellular domain of the first VEGF receptor is downstream of the nucleotide sequence encoding Ig domain 3 of the extracellular domain of the second VEGF receptor.

In a preferred embodiment of the invention, the multim-
erizing component comprises an immunoglobulin domain. erizing component comprises an immunoglobulin domain.

In another embodiment, the immunoglobulin domain is selected from the group consisting of the Fc domain of IgG, the heavy chain of IgG, and the light chain of IgG.

Preferred embodiments include an isolated nucleic acid molecule comprising a nucleotide sequence encoding a modified Flt1 receptor fusion polypeptide, wherein the coding region of the nucleic acid molecule consists of a nucleotide sequence selected from the group consisting of

- (a) the nucleotide sequence set forth in FIGS. $13A-13D$;
- (b) the nucleotide sequence set forth in FIGS. 144-14C;
- (c) the nucleotide sequence set forth in FIGS. 15A—15C;
- (d) the nucleotide sequence set forth in FIGS. 16A—16D:
- (e) the nucleotide sequence set forth in FIGS. 21A-21C;
- (f) the nucleotide sequence set forth in FIGS. 22A-22C;
- (g) the nucleotide sequence set forth in FIGS. $24A-24C$; and $\overline{55}$
- (h) a nucleotide sequence which, as a result of the degeneracy of the genetic code, differs from the nucleotide sequence of (a) , (b) , (c) , (d) , (e) , (f) , or (g) and which encodes a fusion polypeptide molecule having the biological activity of the modified Flt1 receptor fusion $_{60}$ polypeptide.

In a further embodiment of the invention, a fusion polypeptide is encoded by the isolated nucleic acid molecules described above.

A preferred embodiment is a composition capable of 65 binding a VEGF molecule to form a nonfunctional complex comprising a multimer of the fusion polypeptide.

Also preferred is a composition wherein the multimer is a dimer.

In yet another embodiment, the composition is in a carrier.

Another embodiment is a vector which comprises the nucleic acid molecules described above, including an expression vector comprising a the nucleic acid molecules described wherein the nucleic acid molecule is operatively linked to an expression control sequence.

Other included embodiments are a host-vector system for the production of a fusion polypeptide which comprises the expression vector, in a suitable host cell: the host-vector system wherein the suitable host cell is a bacterial cell, yeast cell, insect cell, or mammalian cell; the host-vector system wherein the suitable host cell is $E.$ Coli: the host-vector system wherein the suitable host cell is a COS cell: the host-vector system wherein the suitable host cell is a CHO cell.

Another embodiment of the invention is a method of producing a fusion polypeptide which comprises growing cells of the host-vector system under conditions permitting production of the fusion polypeptide and recovering the fusion polypeptide so produced.

Additional embodiments include a fusion polypeptide encoded by the nucleic acid sequence set forth in FIGS. 10A-10D or FIGS. 24A-24C, which has been modified by acetylation or pegylation wherein the acetylation is accomplished with at least about a 100 fold molar excess of acetylation reagent or wherein acetylation is accomplished with a molar excess of acetylation reagent ranging from at Jeast about a 10 fold molar excess to about a 100 fold molar excess or wherein the pegylation is 1OK or 20K PEG.

A preferred embodiment includes a method of decreasing or inhibiting plasma leakage in a mammal comprising administering to the mammal the fusion polypeptide described above, including embodiments wherein the mammal is a human, the fusion polypeptide is acetylated or the fusion polypeptide is pegylated.

A further embodiments is a fusion polypeptide which specifically binds the VEGF receptor ligand VEGF.

A preferred embodiment of the invention is a method of blocking blood vessel growth in a human comprising administering an effective amount of the fusion polypeptide described above.

Also preferred is a method of inhibiting VEGF receptor ligand activity in a mammal comprising administering to the mammal an effective amount of the fusion polypeptide described above.

Preferred embodiments of these methods are wherein the $_{50}$ mammal is a human.

Further embodiments of the methods of the invention include attenuation or prevention of tumor growth in a human; attenuation or prevention of edema in a human, especially wherein the edema is brain edema; attenuation or prevention of ascites formation in a human, especially wherein the ascites is ovarian cancer-associated ascites.

Preferred embodiments of the invention include a fusion polypeptide capable of binding a VEGF polypeptide comprising (a) a VEGF receptor component operatively linked to (b) a multimerizing component, wherein the VEGF receptor component is the only VEGF receptor component in the fusion polypeptide and consists essentially of the amino acid sequence of Ig domain 2 of the extracellular domain of a first VEGF receptor and the amino acid sequence of Ig domain 3 of the extracellular domain of a second VEGF receptor.

In a further embodiment of the fusion polypeptide, the first VEGF receptor is Fitl.

20

35

In yet a further embodiment of the fusion polypeptide, the second VEGF receptor is Flk1.

Still another embodiment of the fusion polypeptide is one in which the second VEGF receptor is Flt4.

Preferred embodiments include a fusion polypeptide wherein amino acid sequence of Ig domain 2 of the extracellular domain of the first VEGF receptor is upstream of the amino acid sequence of Ig domain 3 of the extracellular domain of the second VEGF receptor and a fusion polypeptide wherein the amino acid sequence of Ig domain 2 of the extracellular domain of the first WEGF receptor is downstream of the amino acid sequence of Ig domain 3 of the extracellular domain of the second VEGF receptor.

In yet another embodiment, the fusion polypeptide multimerizing component comprises an immunoglobulin domain including an embodiment wherein the immunoglobulin domain is selected from the group consisting of the Fc domain of IgG, the heavy chain of IgG, and the light chain of IgG.

Preferred embodiments include a fusion polypeptide comprising an amino acid sequence of a modified Flt1 receptor, wherein the amino acid sequence selected from the group consisting of (a) the amino acid sequence set forth in FIGS. 13A-13D; (b) the amino acid sequence set forth in FIGS. 25 14A-14C; (c) the amino acid sequence set forth in FIGS. 15A-15C; (d) the amino acid sequence set forth in FIGS. 16A-16D; (e) the amino acid sequence set forth in FIGS. $21A-21C$; (f) the amino acid sequence set forth in FIGS. $22A-22C$; and (g) the amino acid sequence set forth in 30 FIGS. 24A-24C.

Another preferred embodiment is a method of decreasing or inhibiting plasma leakage in a mammal comprising administering to the mammal the fusion polypeptide described above.

An alternative preferred embodiment is a method of inhibiting VEGF receptor ligand activity in a mammal comprising administering to the mammal an effective amount of the fusion polypeptide described above.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE FIGURES

FIG. 1. IEF gel analysis of unmodified and acetylated Fitl(1-3)-Fe proteins. Unmodified Fltl(1-3)-Fe protein is 45 unable to enter the gel due to its >9.3 pl, whereas acetylated FIt1(1-3)-Fe is able to enter the gel and equilibrate at pl 5.2.

FIG. 2. Binding of unmodified Flti(1-3)-Fe and acetylated Fitl(1-3)-Fc proteins to MATRIGEL™(a trademark of Becton, Dickinson & Co. relating to solubilized basement $_{50}$ membrane preparations) coated plates. Unmodified Flt1(1-3)-Fe proteins binds extensive to extracellular matrix components in MATRIGEL™, whereas acetylated FItl(1-3)-Fe does not bind

FIG. 3. Binding of unmodified Flt1(1-3)-Fc, acetylated 55 Fitl(1-3)-Fe, and pegylated FItl(1-3)-Fe in a BLACORE™ (a trademark of Biacore AB relating to systems for protein interaction analysis)-based assay. Acetylated (columns 13-16), pegylated (columns 17-20), and heparin-treated Flt1(1-3)-Fc (columns 21-24) are each able to completely ω compete with the Biacore chip-bound Fltl(1-3)-Fe for VEGF binding as compared to control (columns 1-4) and irrelevant protein (columns 5—8). Unmodified Flt1(1-3)-Fe (columns $5-6$) appears to only partially compete with Biacore chip-bound Flt1(1-3)-Fe for VEGF binding. However, 65 washing the bound samples with 0.5M NaCl (columns 7-8) results in a binding profile similar to the modified forms of

Flt1(1-3)-Fe, indicating that the unmodified protein is exhibiting non-specific binding to the chip that can be eliminated by the salt wash.

FIG. 4. Binding of unmodified Fltl(1-3)-Fe, acetylated Flt1(1-3)-Fe, and pegylated FItl(1-3)-Fe to VEGF in an ELISA-based assay. Both pegylated and acetylated Flt1(1- 3)-Fe proteins bind to VEGF with affinities approaching that of unmodified Fltl(1-3)-Fe.

FIG, 5. Pharmacokinetic profiles of unmodified FItl (1-3)-Fe, acetylated Flt1(1-3)-Fe, and pegylated Fltl(1-3)- Fe, Balb/c mice (23-28 g) were injected subcutaneously with 4 mg/kg of unmodified, acetylated, or pegylated FItl (1-3)-Fe. The mice were tail bled at 1, 2, 4, 6, 24 hours, 2 days, and 3 days after injection of protein and the sera were assayed in a standard ELISA-based assay designed to detect Flt1(1-3)-Fe protein. The T_{max} for all of the Flt1(1-3)-Fe proteins was between the 6 hour and 24 hour time points. The C_{max} for the different proteins was as follows: Unmodified: 0.06 μ g/ml—0.15 μ g/ml; acetylated: 1.5 μ g/ml—4.0 μ g/ml; and pegylated: approximately 5 μ g/ml.

FIGS. 6A-6B. IEF gel analysis of unmodified and stepacetylated Fltl(1-3)-Fe proteins. Unmodified Flt1(1-3)-Fe protein is unable to enter the gel due to its >9.3 pl, whereas most of the step-acetylated FItl (1-3)-Fe samples (30-100 fold excess samples) were able to migrate into the gel and equilibrate at pis ranging between 4.55-8.43, depending on the degree of acetylation.

FIG. 7. Binding of unmodified Fitl(1-3)-Fe and stepacetylated Fltl(1-3)-Fe proteins to Matrigel® coated plates. As with the irrelevant control protein, rTie2-Fc, step-acetylated Flt1(1-3)-Fe (20 and 30 fold excess samples) does not exhibit any binding to the Matrigel coated plate, whereas the non-acetylated FItl(1-3)-Fe protein exhibits significant binding. The 10 fold excess sample shows reduced binding. but the degree of acetylation is not enough to completely block binding to extracellular matrix components.

FIG. 8. Binding of unmodified FItl(1-3)-Fe and stepacetylated F1t1(1-3)-Fe in a Biacore-based assay. At a substoichiometric ratio (0.5 ug/ml of either unmodified Flt1(1- 3) or step-acetylated $Flt1(1-3)$ -Fe vs. 0.2 μ g/ml VEGF), there is not enough FItl(1-3)-Fe (either unmodified or step-acetylated) in the solution to completely bind the VEGF. At 1.0 µg/ml, which approximates a 1:1 stoichiometric ratio, the both unmodified and step-acetylated Fltl $(1-3)$ -Fe are better able to compete for VEGF binding, but there is still insufficient Flt] (1-3)-Fe protein (either unmodified or step-acetylated) to completely saturate the available VEGF. However, at $5.0 \,\mu g/ml$, which is several times greater than a 1:1 stoichiometric ratio, both the Flt1(1-3)-Fe and the step-acetylated Fltl(1-3)-Fe proteins are able to saturate the VEGF, regardless of the degree of acetylation.

FIG. 9. Pharmacokinetic profiles of unmodified FItl(1- 3)-Fe and step-acetylated Flt! (1-3)-Fe. Balb/c mice (23-28 g) were injected subcutaneously with 4 mg/kg of unmodified or 10, 20, 40, 60 and 100 fold excess samples of stepacetylated Fltl(1-3)-Fe (3 mice for unmodified, 10, 20 and 40 fold excess samples and 2 mice for 60 and 100 fold excess samples). The mice were tail bled at 1, 2, 4, 6, 24 hours, 2 days and 3 days after injection. The sera were assayed in an ELISA-based assay designed to detect FItl (1-3)-Fc. The T_{max} for all of the Flt1(1-3)-Fc proteins tested was at the 6 hour time point but the C_{max} was as follows: Unmodified Flt1(1-3)- \overline{F} c: 0.06 μ g/ml; 10 fold excess sample: - 0.7 µg/ml, 20 fold excess sample - 2 µg/ml, 40 fold excess sample—4 pg/ml, 60 fold excess sample—2 ug/ml, 100 fold excess sample—1 g/ml.

FIGS. 10A—10D. Nucleic acid and deduced amino acid sequence of $Flt1(1-3)$ -Fc.

FIG. 11. Schematic diagram of the structure of Flt1.

FIGS. 12A and 12B. Hydrophilicity analysis of the amino acid sequences of Ig domain 2 and Ig domain 3 of Flt1. FIGS, 13A-13D. Nucleic acid and deduced amino acid

sequence of Mut1: $Flt1(1-3_{AB})-Fc$.

FIGS, 14A-14 C, Nucleic acid and deduced amino acid sequence of Mut2: $Flt1(2-3_{AB})-Fc$.

FIGS. 15A-15C. Nucleic acid and deduced amino acid 10 sequence of Mut3: Flt1(2-3)-Fe.

FIGS, 16A-16D. Nucleic acid and deduced amino acid sequence of Mut4: Flt1(1-3_{R->N})-Fc.

FIG. 17. Binding of unmodified Flt1(1-3)-Fc, basic region deletion mutant Flt1(1-3)-Fc, and Flt1(1-3)_{R->N} mutant pro-15 teins in a Biacore-based assay. At the sub-stoichiometric ratio (0.25 ug/ml Fltl(1-3)-Fe of unmodified, acetylated or genetically modified samples vs. 01. μ g/ml VEGF), there is insufficient Flt1(1-3)-Fe protein to block binding of VEGF to the FItl(1-3)-Fe immobilized on the Biacore chip. At 0.5 ug/ml of unmodified, acetylated or genetically modified Flt1 (1-3)-Pe proteins, the stoichiometric ratio approximates 1:1 and there is an increased ability to block VEGF binding to the Biacore chip. At $1.0 \mu g/ml$ of unmodified, acetylated or genetically modified Flt1 (1-3)-Fc proteins, which is 25 approximately a 10:1 stoichiometric ratio, the FItl(1-3)-Fe proteins are able to block binding of VEGF to the Biacore chip, but they are not equivalent. Unmodified, acetylated, and Mut1: Flt1(1-3 $_{\Delta B}$)-Fc are essentially equal in their ability to block VEGF binding, whereas Mut4: $Flt1(1-3_R - 30$ $>$ v)-Fc is somewhat less efficient at blocking binding 20 _Afier incubation, concentrations of the free

FIG, 18. Binding of unmodified Fltl(1-3)-Fe, Mutl: Flt] $(1-3_{AB})$ -Fe, Mut2: Flt1 $(2-3_{AB})$ -Fe, and Flt1 $(2-3)$ mutant proteins to Matrigel® coated plates. Unmodified FItl(1-3)- Fe protein binds avidly to these wells, the Mut3: Flt1(2-3)- 35 Fe protein binds somewhat more weakly, the Mut1: Flt1(1- 3_{AB} -Fe protein binds more weakly still, and the Mut2: Flt1(2-3 $_{\text{AB}}$)-Fe protein shows the best profile, binding more weakly than any of the other mutant proteins. The Mut4: FItl $(1-3_{R\rightarrow N})$ -Fe glycosylation mutant protein shows only 40 marginal benefit on the Matrigel assay.

FIG. 19. Binding of unmodified Fltl(1-3)-Fe, Mutl: Fit] $(1-3_{AB})$ -Fc, Mut2: Flt1 $(2-3_{AB})$ -Fc, and Flt1 $(2-3)$ mutant proteins in an ELISA-based assay. At the concentrations tested, unmodified Flt1(1-3)-Fe, Mut1: Flt1(1-3_{ΔB})-Fe, Mut2: Flt1 45 $(2-3_{AB})$ -Fe, and Flt1(2-3) mutant proteins bind VEGF similarly.

FIG, 20. Pharmacokinetic profiles of unmodified Fltl(1- 3)-Fe, Mut1: Flt1 (1-3_{AB})-Fe, Mut2: Flt1 (2-3_{AB})-Fe, and Flt1(2-3) mutant proteins. the Cmax for these reagents was 50 as follows: Unmodified Fltl(1-3)-Fe—0.15 ug/ml; 40 fold molar excess acetylated Flt1(1-3)-Fc-1.5 µg/ml; and Mut1: Fit1(1-3_{AB})-Fc—0.7 µg/ml.

FIGS. 21A-21C. Nucleotide and deduced amino acid sequence of the modified Flt1 receptor termed Flt1D2.Flk1 55 $D3.Fc\Delta C1(a)$.

FIGS, 22A-22C. Nucleotide and deduced amino acid sequence of the modified Fltl receptor termed FIt1D2.VEGFR3D3.FcAC1 (a).

FIG. 23. Extracellular Matrix (ECM) Assay. The results of ω this assay demonstrate that the Flt1D2.Flk1D3.Fc Δ C1(a) and Flt1D2.VEGFR3D3.Fc Δ C1(a) proteins are considerably less sticky to the ECM as compared to the $Flt1(1-3)$ -Fe protein.

FIGS. 24A-24C. Nucleotide and deduced amino acid 65 sequence of the modified Flt1 receptor termed VEGFR1R2- $Fc\Delta C1(a)$.

FIGS. 25A—25C. Phosphorylation assay. At a 1.5 molar excess of either Flt1(1-3)-Fc, Flt1(1-3)-Fc (A40) or transient Fltl1D2FIk1D3.FcACI(a) there is complete blockage of receptor stimulation by these three modified FIt1 receptors as compared to control media challenge. In contrast, transient Flt1D2VEGFR3D3.Fc Δ C1(a) does not show significant blockage at this molar excess, as compared with VEGF positive control challenge. Similar results are seen in FIG. 25B, where the modified Fit receptors are in a 3-fold molar excess to VEGF165 ligand. In FIG. 25C, where the modified Flt] receptors are in a 6-fold molar excess to VEGF165 ligand, transient Flt!D2VEGFR3D3.FcAC1(a) can now be shown to be partially blocking VEGF165-induced stimulation of cell-surface receptors.

FIGS. 26A-26B. Phosphorylation assay. Detection by Western blot of tyrosine phosphorylated VEGFR2(Flk1) by VEGF165 ligand stimulation shows that cell-surface receptors are not phosphorylated by challenge samples which have VEGF165 preincubated with ¹ and 2 fold molar excess (FIG. $26A$) or 3 and 4 fold molar excess (FIG. $26B$) of either transient Flt1 D2FIk1 D3.FcAC1 (a), stable Flt1 D2FIk1 D3.PcAC1 (a), or transient VEGFRIR2-FcACI(a). At all modified Flt] receptor concentrations tested there is complete binding of VEGF 165 ligand during the preincubation, resulting in no detectable stimulation of cell-surface receptors by unbound VEGF165 as compared to control media challenge.

FIG. 27. MG/R2 Cell proliferation assay. The following modified Flt receptors Flt] (1-3)-Fe, Flt1D2.FIk1D3.FeAC1 (a) and FIt1D2.VEGFR3D3.Fc Δ C1(a), plus an irrelevant receptor termed Tie2-Fc as a negative control, were titrated from 40 nM to 20 pM and incubated on the cells for 1 hr at 37° C. Human recombinant VEGF165 in defined media was then added to all the wells at a concentration of 1.56 nM. The negative control receptor Tie2-Fc does not block VEGF165induced cell proliferation at any concentration whereas FIt1 D2.FIk1 D3.FcAC1 (a) blocks 1.56 nM VEGF165 with a half maximal dose of 0.8 nM. Flt1(1-3)-Fc and F]t1D2.VEGFR3D3.FcAC1 (a) are less effective in blocking VEGF165 in this assay with a half maximal dose of \sim 2 nM. VEGF165 alone gives a reading of 1.2 absorbance units and the background is 0.38 absorbance units.

FIG. 28. Biacore analysis of Binding Stoichiometry. bound VEGF165 to the immobilized Flt1 D2Flk1 D3.FcAC1 (a) or VEGFRI R2-FcAC](a), using the conversion factor of 1000 RU equivalent to 1 ng/ml. The results indicated binding stoichiometry of one VEGF165 dimeric molecule per one Flt1 D2Flk1 D3.FcΔC1 (a) or VEGFR1 R2-FcΔC1 (a) molecule.

FIG. 29 and FIG. 30. Size Exclusion Chromatography Stoichiometry. Flt1 D2Flk1 D3.FcAC1 (a) or VEGFR1 $R2-Fc\Delta C1$ (a) at a concentration of 1 nM (estimated to be 1000 times higher than the KD of the Flt! D2FIk1 D3.Fc∆C1 (a) or VEGFR1 R2-Fc∆C1 (a)/VEGF165 interaction) were mixed with varied concentrations of VEGF165.
After incubation, concentrations of the free Flt1D2FIk1D3.FeAC1(a) in solution were measured. The data shows that the addition of ¹ nM VEGF165 into the $F1t1D2F1k1D3.Fc\Delta C1(a)$ solution completely blocks Flt1D2Flk1D3.Fc Δ C1(a) binding to the VEGF165 surface. This result suggested the binding stoichiometry of one VEGF165 molecule per one Flt] D2FIk1 D3.FcAC1 (a) molecule.

FIG. 31. Size Exclusion Chromatography (SEC) under
native conditions. Peak #1 represents the native conditions. Peak #1 represents the Flt1D2FIk1D3.PeAC1(av¥VEGF165 complex and peak #2

 α

represents unbound VEGF165. Fractions eluted between 1.1 and 1.2 ml were combined and guanidinium hydrochloride (GuHCl)was added to a final concentration 4.5M to dissociate the complex.

FIG. 32. Size Exclusion Chromatography (SEC) under ⁵ dissociative conditions. To separate the components of the receptor-ligand complex and to determine their molar ratio, 50 ul of dissociated complex was loaded onto a SUPEROSE 12 PC™ (a trademark of Amerisham Pharmacia Biotech AG relating to sensitive and high resolving gel filtration separations of proteins, peptides. polynucleotides and other biomolecules in the micropreparative scale) 3.2/30 equilibrated in 6M GuHCl and eluted. Peak #1 represents Flt1D2Flk1D3.Fc Δ C1(a) and peak #2 represents VEGF 165.

FIG. 33, FIG. 34 and FIG. 35. Size Exclusion Chromatography (SEC) with On-Line Light Scattering. Size exclusion chromatography column with a MiniDawn on-line light scattering detector (Wyatt Technology, Santa Barbara, Calif.) and refractive index (RI) detectors (Shimadzu, Kyoto, Japan) was used to determine the molecular weight (MW) of the receptor-ligand complex. As shown in FIG. 33 , the elution profile shows two peaks. Peak #1 represents the receptor-ligand complex and peak #2 represents the unbound VEGF165. MW was calculated from LS and RI signals. The same procedure was used to determine MW of the individual components of the receptor-ligand complex. The results of these determinations are as follows: MW of the Flt1D2Flk1D3.FcΔC1(a)NEGF165 complex at the peak position is 157 300 (FIG. 33), the MW of VEGF165 at the peak position is 44 390 (FIG. 34) and the MW of R1R2 at the peak is 113 300 (FIG. 35). US $2.5799,899$ B1
 $\frac{1}{2}$ and $\frac{1$

FIG, 36. Peptide mapping and glycosylation analysis. The disulfide structures and glycosylation sites in FIH D2.FIK1 D3.FcAC1 (a) were determined by a peptide mapping method. There are a total of ten cysteines in FIt1D2.FIk1D3.FcACI(a); six of them belong to the Fe region. Cys27 is disulfide bonded to Cys76. Cys121 is disulfide bonded to Cys 182. The first two cysteines in the Fe region (Cys211 and Cys214) form an intermolecular disulfide bond with the same two cysteines in another Fc chain. However, it can not be determined whether disulfide bonding is occurring between same cysteines (Cys211 to Cys211, for example) or between Cys211 and Cys214. $Cys216$ is disulfide bonded to $Cys306$. $Cys352$ is disulfide 45 bonded to Cys410.

There are five possible N-linked glycosylation sites in Flt1D2.FIk1D3.FeACI(a) and are found to be glycosylated to varying degrees. Complete glycosylation is observed at Asn33, Asn193, and Asn282. Partial glycosylation is 50 observed on Asn65 and Asn120. Sites of glycosylation are highlighted by underline in the Figure.

FIG. 37. Pharmacokinetics of Fltl(1-3)-Fe (A40), Fitl D2.FIk1 D3.FcAC1 (a) and VEGFR1 R2-FeAC1 (a). Balb/c mice were injected subcutaneously with 4 mg/kg of FIt1(1-53)-Fc $(A40)$, CHO transiently expressed 3)-Fe (A40), CHO transiently expressed Flt1D2.Flk1D3.Fc Δ C1(a), and CHO transiently expressed VEGFR1R2-Fc Δ C1(a). The mice were tail bled at 1, 2, 4, 6, 24 hrs, 2 days, 3 days and 6 days after injection. The sera 60 were assayed in an ELISA designed to detect FIt1(1-3)-Fe $(A40)$, Flt1D2.Flk1D3.Fe Δ C1(a) or VEGFR1R2-Fe Δ C1(a). The Tmax for $Flt1(1-3)$ -Fc $(A40)$ was at 6 hrs while the Tmax for the transient and stable Flt1D2.Flk1D3.FcAC1(a) and the transient VEGFR1R2-Fe Δ C1(a) was 24 hrs. The 65 Cmax for Flt1(1-3)-Fc (A40) was 8 μ g/ml, For both transients (Flt1D2.Flk1D3.Fc Δ C1(a) and VEGFR1R2-Fc Δ C1

(a)) the Cmax was 18 pg/ml and the Cmax for the stable VEGFR1R2-Fc Δ C1(a) was 30 µg/ml.

FIG. 38. Pharmacokinetics of Fltl(1-3)-Fe (A40), Flt1D2.FIk1 D3.Fc Δ C1 (a) and Flt1 D2.VEGFR3D3.Fc Δ A1 (a). Balb/c mice were injected subcutaneously with 4 mg/kg of Fitl (1-3)-Fe (A40), CHO transiently expressed Flt1D2.FIk1D3.FcAC1(a) and CHO transiently expressed Flt1D2.VEGFR3D3.Fc Δ C1(a). The mice were tail bled at 1, 2. 5, 6, 7, 8, 12, ¹⁵ and 20 daysafter injection. The sera were assayed in an ELISA designed to detect Flt1 (1-3)-Fc, Flt1 D2.Flk1 D3.FcAC1 (a) and Flt1D2.VEGFR3D3.FcAC1(a). Flt1(1-3)-Fc (A40) could no longer be detected in the serum after day 5 whereas F1t1D2.FIk1D3.Fc Δ C1(a) and Flt1D2.VEGFR3D3.Fc∆C1(a) were detectable for 15 days or more,

FIG. 39. The Ability of Flt1D2.Flk1D3.FcAC1(a) to Inhibit HT-1080 Fibrosarcoma Tumor Growth In Vivo. Every other day or 2 times per week treatment of SCID mice with Flt1D2.Flk1D3.Fc Δ C1(a) at 25 mg/Kg significantly decreases the growth of subcutaneous HT-1080 fibrosarcoma tumors.

FIG. 40. The Ability of Flt!D2.FIkID3.FeACI(a) to ; Inhibit C6 Glioma Tumor Growth In Vivo. Every other day or 2 times a week treatment of SCID mice with Flt1 D2.Flk1 D3.Fc Δ C1 (a) significantly decreases the growth of subcutaneous C6 glioma tumors at doses as low as 2.5 mg/Kg.

FIG. 41. VEGF-induced Uterine Hyperpermeability. PMSG injected subcutaneously (5 IU) to induce ovulation in prepubertal female rats results in a surge of estradiol after 2 days which in tum causes an induction of VEGF in the uterus. This induction results in hyperpermeability of the uterus and an increase in uterine wet. Subcutaneous injec tion of Flt1 (1-3)-Fc (A40), Flt1 D2.Flk1 D3.Fc Δ C1 (a) and FltID2.VEGFR3D3.FcAC1(a) at 25 mg/kg at ¹ hr after PMSG injection results in about a 50% inhibition of the increase in uterine wet weight.

FIGS. 42A-42B. Assessment of Corpus Luteum Angiogenesis Using Progesterone as a Readout. PMSG was injected subcutaneously (5 TU) to induce ovulation in prepubertal female rats, resulting in a fully functioning corpus luteum containing a dense network of blood vessels that secretes progesterone into the blood stream to prepare the uterus for implantation. The induction of angiogenesis in the corpus luteum requires VEGF. Resting levels of progesterone are about 5 ng/ml and can be induced to 25-40 ng/ml after PMSG. Subcutaneous injection of Fltl(1-3)-Fe (A40) or Flt1D2.Flk1D3.Fc Δ C1(a) at 25 mg/kg or 5 mg/kg at 1 hr. after PMSG injection resulted in a complete inhibition of the progesterone induction on day 4.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

It has been ^a long standing problemin the art to produce a receptor based VEGF antagonist that has a pharmacokinetic profile that is appropriate for consideration of the antagonist as a therapeutic candidate. Applicants describe herein, for the first time, a chimeric polypeptide molecule, capable of antagonizing VEGF activity, that exhibits improved pharmacokinetic properties as compared to other known receptor-based VEGF antagonists. The chimeric polypeptide molecules described herein thus provide for the first time appropriate molecules for use in therapies in which antagonism of VEGF is ^a desired result.

The present invention provides for novel chimeric polypeptide molecules formed by fusing a modified extracellular ligand binding domain of the Flt1 receptor to the Fc region of IgG.

The extracellular ligand binding domain is defined as the 5 portion of a receptor that, in its native conformation in the cell membrane, is oriented extracellularly where it can contact with its cognate ligand. The extracellular ligand binding domain does not include the hydrophobic amino acids associated with the receptor's transmembrane domain 0 or any amino acids associated with the receptor's intracellular domain. Generally, the intracellular or cytoplasmic domain of a receptor is usually composed of positively charged or polar amino acids(i.e. lysine, arginine, histidine, glutamic acid, aspartic acid). The preceding 15—30, predominantly hydrophobic or apolar amino acids (i.e. leucine, valine, isoleucine, and phenylalanine) comprise the transmembrane domain. The extracellular domain comprises the amino acids that precede the hydrophobic transmembrane stretch of amino acids. Usually the transmembrane domain 20 is flanked by positively charged or polar amino acids such as lysine or arginine. von Heijne has published detailed rules that are commonly referred to by skilled artisans when determining which amino acids of a given receptor belong to the extracellular, transmembrane, or intracellular domains (See von Heijne, 1995, BioEssays 17:25—30). Alternatively, websites on the Internet, have become available to provide protein chemists with information about making predictions about protein domains.

The present invention provides for the construction of 30 nucleic acid molecules encoding chimeric polypeptide molecules that are inserted into a vector that is able to express the chimeric polypeptide molecules when introduced into an appropriate host cell. Appropriate host cells include, but are not limited to, bacterial cells, yeast cells, insect cells, and 35 mammalian cells. Any of the methods known to one skilled in the art for the insertion of DNA fragments into a vector may be used to construct expression vectors encoding the chimeric polypeptide molecules under control of transcriptional/translational control signals. These methods may include in vitro recombinant DNA and synthetic techniques and in vivo recombinations (genetic recombination) (See Sambrook, et al., Molecular Cloning, A Laboratory Manual, Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory: Current Protocols in Molecular Biology, Eds. Ausubel, et al., Greene Publ. 45 Assoc., Wiley-Interscience, NY). 40

Expression of nucleic acid molecules encoding the chimeric polypeptide molecules may be regulated by a second nucleic acid sequence so that the chimeric polypeptide molecule is expressed in a host transformed with the recom- ⁵ binant DNA molecule. For example, expression of the chimeric polypeptide molecules described herein may be controlled by any promoter/enhancer element known in the art. Promoters which may be used to control expression of the chimeric polypeptide molecules include, but are not 5 limited to, the long terminal repeat as described in Squinto etal., (1991, Cell 65:1—20); the SV40 early promoter region (Bernoist and Chambon, 1981, Nature 290:304—310), the CMV promoter, the M-MuLV $5'$ terminal repeat the promoter contained in the 3' long terminal repeat of Rous 60 sarcoma virus (Yamamoto, et al., 1980, Cell 22:787-797), the herpes thymidine kinase promoter (Wagner et al., 1981, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 78:144-1445), the regulatory sequences of the metallothionine gene (Brinster et al., 1982, Nature 296:39-42); prokaryotic expression vectors such as 65 the β -lactamase promoter (Villa-Kamaroff, et al., 1978, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 75:3727-3731), or the tac

promoter (DeBoer, et al., 1983, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 80:21—25, see also "Useful proteins from recombinant bacteria" in Scientific American, 1980, 242:74—94); promoter elements from yeast or other fungi such as the Gal 4 promoter, the ADH (alcohol dehydrogenase) promoter, PGK (phosphoglycerol kinase) promoter, alkaline phosphatase promoter, and the following animal transcriptional control regions, which exhibit tissue specificity and have been utilized in transgenic animals: elastase I gene control region which is active in pancreatic acinar cells (Swift et al., 1984, Cell 38:639-646: Ornitz et al., 1986, Cold Spring Harbor Symp. Quant. Biol. 50:399-409; MacDonald, 1987, Hepatology Z:425—515); insulin gene control region which is active in pancreatic beta cells (Hanahan, 1985, Nature 315:115-122), immunoglobulin gene control region which is active in lymphoid cells (Grossched] et al., 1984, Cell 38:647-658; Adames et al., 1985, Nature 318:533—538; Alexander et al., 1987, Mol. Cell. Biol. 7:1436-1444), mouse mammary tumor virus control region which is active in testicular, breast, lymphoid and mast cells (Leder et al., 1986, Cell 45:485—495), albumin gene control region which is active in liver (Pinkert et al., 1987, Genes and Devel. 1:268-276), alpha-fetoprotein gene control region which is active in liver (Krumlauf et al., 1985, Mol. Cell. Biol. ⁵ 5:1639-1648; Hammer et al., 1987, Science 235:53—58): alpha 1-antitrypsin gene control region which is active in the liver (Kelsey et al, 1987, Genes and Devel. 1:161-171), beta-globin gene control region which is active in myeloid cells (Mogram et al., 1985, Nature 315:338—340: Kollias et al., 1986, Cell 46:89-94); myelin basic protein gene control region which is active in oligodendrocyte cells in the brain (Readhead et al., 1987, Cell 48:703—712); myosin light chain-2 gene control region which is active in skeletal muscle (Shani, 1985, Nature 314:283-286), and gonadotropic releasing hormone gene control region which is active in the hypothalamus (Mason et al., 1986, Science 234:1372-1378).

Thus, according to the invention, expression vectors capable of being replicated in a bacterial or eukaryotic host comprising chimeric polypeptide molecule-encoding nucleic acid as described herein, are used to transfect the host and thereby direct expression of such nucleic acids to produce the chimeric polypeptide molecules, which may then be recovered in a biologically active form. As used herein, a biologically active form includes a form capable of binding to VEGF.

Expression vectors containing the chimeric nucleic acid molecules described herein can be identified by three general approaches: (a) DNA-DNA hybridization, (b) presence or absence of "marker" gene functions, and (c) expression of inserted sequences. In the first approach, the presence of a foreign gene inserted in an expression vector can be detected by DNA—DNA hybridization using probes comprising sequences that are homologous to the inserted chimeric polypeptide molecule sequences. In the second approach, the recombinant vector/host system can be identified and selected based upon the presence or absence of certain "marker" gene functions (e.g., thymidine kinase activity, resistance to antibiotics, transformation phenotype, occlusion body formation in baculovirus, etc.) caused by the insertion of foreign genes in the vector. For example, if the chimeric polypeptide molecule DNA sequence is inserted within the marker gene sequence of the vector, recombinants containing the insert can be identified by the absence of the marker gene function. In the third approach, recombinant expression vectors can be identified by assaying the foreign gene product expressed by the recombinant. Such assays can

be based, for example, on the physical or functional properties of the chimeric polypeptide molecules. Cells of the present invention may transiently or, preferably, constitutively and permanently express the chimeric polypeptide molecules.

The chimeric polypeptide molecules may be purified by any technique which allows for the subsequent formation of a stable, biologically active chimeric polypeptide molecule. For example, and not by way of limitation, the factors may be recovered from cells either as soluble proteins or as 10 inclusion bodies, from which they may be extracted quantitatively by 8M guanidinium hydrochloride and dialysis (see, for example, Builder, et al., U.S. Pat. No. 5,663,304). In order to further purify the factors, conventional ion exchange chromatography, hydrophobic interaction chromatography, reverse phase chromatography or gel filtration may be used.

In one embodiment of the invention, the nucleotide sequence encoding the first component is upstream of the nucleotide sequence encoding the second component. In 2 another embodiment of the invention, the nucleotide sequence encoding the first component is downstream of the nucleotide sequence encoding the second component. Further embodiments of the invention may be prepared in which the order of the first, second and third fusion polypeptide 25 components are rearranged. For example, if the nucleotide sequence encoding the first component is designated 1, the nucleotide sequence encoding the second component is designated 2, and the nucleotide sequence of the third component is designated 3, then the order of the components 30 in the isolated nucleic acid of the invention as read from 5' to $3'$ may be any of the following six combinations: 1,2,3; 1,3,2; 2,1,3; 2.3.1; 3,1,2; or 3.2.1.

The present invention also has diagnostic and therapeutic utilities. In particular embodiments of the invention, meth-35 ods of detecting aberrancies in the function or expression of the chimeric polypeptide molecules described herein may be used in the diagnosis of disorders. In other embodiments, manipulation of the chimeric polypeptide molecules or agonists or antagonists which bind the chimeric polypeptide 40 molecules may be used in the treatment of diseases. In further embodiments, the chimeric polypeptide molecule is utilized as an agent to block the binding of a binding agent to its target.

By way of example, but not limitation, the method of the 45 invention may be useful in treating clinical conditions that are characterized by vascular permeability, edema or inflammation such as brain edema associated with injury, stroke or tumor; edema associated with inflammatory disorders such as psoriasis or arthritis, including rheumatoid arthritis; ^s asthma; generalized edema associated with burns: ascites and pleural effusion associated with tumors, inflammation or trauma: chronic airway inflammation; capillary leak syndrome: sepsis; kidney disease associated with increased leakage of protein; and eye disorders such as age related 35 macular degeneration and diabetic retinopathy.

An amino acid sequence analysis of $Flt1(1-3)$ -Fe revealed the presence of an unusually high number (46) of the basic amino acid residue lysine. An IEF analysis of Flt1(1-3)-Fc showed that this protein has pl greater than 9.3, confirming 60 the prediction that the protein is very basic. It was hypothesized that the basic nature of Fit] (1-3)-Fe protein was causing it to bind to extracellular matrix components and that this interaction might be the cause of the extremely short detectable circulating serum half-life exhibited by Fitl (1-3)-Fe when injected into mice. In order to test this hypothesis, Fltl(1-3)-Fe protein was acetylated at the lysine

residues to reduce the basic charge. Acetylated Flt1(1-3)-Fe was then tested in the assays described infra.

The following examples are offered by way of illustration and not by way of limitation.

EXAMPLES

Example 1

Expression of $Flt1(1-3)$ -Fe Protein in CHO K1 Cells

Using standard molecular biology techniques (see e.g., Molecular Cloning, A Laboratory Manual (Sambrook, et al., 5 Molecular Cloning, A Laboratory Manual (Sambrook, et al., Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory), Current Protocols in Molecular Biology (Eds. Ausubel, et al., Greene Publ. Assoc., Wiley-Interscience, NY), the gene encoding Flt1(1-3)-Fe was inserted into the expression vector pEE14.1 (Lonza Biologics, pic) at a multiple cloning site downstream of the CMV promoter. CHO K1 cells were transfected with the $pEE14.1/F11(1-3)-Fc$ DNA construct using lipofectamine (Gaithersburg, Md.). The transfected CHO K1 cells were grown in glutamine-free DMEM (JRH, Kansas _ City, Mo.) containing 25 uM methionine sulfoximine (MSX) from Sigma Inc., St. Louis, Mo., and high recombinant protein expressors were obtained by screening the CHO K1 cell supernatants from over 100 hand-picked colony isolates using a standard immunoassay which captures and detects human Fc. The selected hand-picked clone was amplified in the presence of 100 µM MSX followed by a second round of screening of the amplified clones. The highest producing clone had a specific productivity of recombinant Flt1(1-3)- Fe protein of 55 pg/cell/day.

The selected clone was expanded in 225 cm? T-flasks (Corning, Acton, Mass.) and then into 8.5L roller bottles (Corning, Acton, Mass.) using the cell culture media described supra. Cells were removed from the roller bottles by standard trypsinization and put into 3.5L of suspension medium. The suspension medium is comprised of glutamine-free ISCHO medium (Irvine Scientific, Santa Ana, Calif.) containing 5% fetal bovine serum (FBS from Hyclone Labs, Logan, Utah), 10 μ M MSX and GS supplement (JRH Scientific, Kansas City, Mo.) in a SL Celligen bioreactor (New Brunswick Scientific, New Brunswick, N.J.) at a density of 0.3×10^6 cells/mL. After the cells reached a density of 3.6x10°/mL and were adapted to suspension they were transferred to a 60L bioreactor (ABEC, Allentown, Pa.) at a density of 0.5×10^6 cells/mL in 20L of ISCHO medium with 5% fetal bovine serum. After two days an additional 20L of ISCHO+5% fetal bovine serum was added to the bioreactor. The cells were allowed to grow for an additional two days reaching a final density of 3.1×10^6 cells/mL, and a final Flt1(1-3)-Fe concentration at harvest was 95 mg/L. At harvest the cells were removed by tangential flow filtration using 0.45 µm Prostak Filters (Millipore, Inc., Bedford, Mass.).

Example 2

Purification of Flt1(1-3)-Fe Protein Obtained from CHO K1 Cells

65 chromatography. A Protein ^A columnwas used to bind, with Flt1 (1-3)-Fe protein was initially purified by affinity high specificity, the Fc portion of the molecule. This affinitypurified protein was then concentrated and passed over a $\overline{0}$

SEC column. The protein was then eluted into the formulation buffer. The following describes these procedures in detail.

Materials and Methods

All chemicals were obtained from J. T. Baker, Phillipsburg, N.J. with the exception of PBS, which was obtained as a 10x concentrate from Life Technologies, Gaithersburg, Md. Protein A Fast Flow and SUPERDEX200™ (a trademark of Amerisham Pharmacia Biosciences relating to a prepacked column for high performance of gel filtration of protein. DNA fragments and other biomolecules) preparation grade resins were obtained from Pharmacia, Piscataway, N.J. Equipment and membranes for protein concentration were obtained from Millipore. Bedford, Mass.

Approximately 40 L of 0.45 μ m-filtered CHO conditioned media containing $Flt1(1-3)$ -Fc protein was applied to a 290 mL Protein A Fast Flow column (10 cm diameter) that had been equilibrated with PBS. The column was washed with PBS containing 350 mM NaCl and 0.02% CHAPS and the bound protein was eluted with 20 mM Citric Acid containing 10 mM Na_2HPO_4 . The single peak in the elution was collected and its pH was raised to neutrality with 1M NaOH. The eluate fractions was concentrated to approximately 9 mg/mL using 10K regenerated cellulose membranes by both tangential flow filtration andby stirred cell concentration. To remove aggregates and other contaminants, the concentrated protein was applied to a column packed with Superdex 200 preparation grade resin (10 cmx55 cm) and run in PBS containing 5% glycerol. The main peak fractions were $_{30}$ pooled, sterile filtered, aliquoted and stored at -80° C.

Example 3

Acetylation of FItl(1-3)-Fe Protein

Twomilligrams ofFItl(1-3)-Fc protein were acetylated as described in the instruction manual provided with the sulfo-NHS-acetate modification kit (Pierce Chemical Co., Rockford, Ill., Cat.#26777).

Example 4

Characterization of Acetylated Flt1(1-3)-Fe Protein

(a.) IEF analysis

Fitl(1-3)-Fe and acetylated FItl(1-3)-Fe were analyzed by standard IEF analysis. As shown in FIG.1, FItl(1-3)-Fe protein is not able to migrate into the gel and therefore must have a pl greater than 9.3, the highest pl in the standard. However, acetylated Flt1(1-3)-Fe is able to migrate into the gel and equilibrate at a pl of approximately 5.2. This result demonstrates that acetylation reduces the net positive charge of the protein and therefore its pl considerably.

(b.) Binding to Extracellular Matrix Components

To test for binding to extracellular matrix components, FItl (1-3)-Fe and acetylated Flt] (1-3)-Fe where tested in an assay designed to mimic the interaction with extracellular matrix components. In this assay, 96-well tissue culture 60 plates are coated with Matrigel (Biocoat MATRIGEL® matrix thin layer 96 well plate, Catalog #40607, Becton Dickinson Labware, Bedford, Mass.). The plates are incubated with varying concentrations of either $Flt1(1-3)-Fc$, acetylated Flt] (1-3)-Fe, or rTie2-Fe (an irrelevant control) protein are added to the wells. The plates are incubated for 1-2 hours at either room temperature or 37° C. degrees and

then detection of bound proteins is accomplished by adding a secondary alkaline phosphatase-conjugated anti-human Fe antibody to the wells. Finally, alkaline phosphatase substrate is added to the wells and optical density is measured. FIG. 2 shows the results of this assay. Like the irrelevant control protein rTie2-Fc, acetylated Fltl(1-3)-Fe does not exhibit any binding to the Matrigel coated plate, whereas the non-acetylated Flt! (1-3)-Fe protein exhibits significant binding. This result indicates that acetylation of basic amino acid residues is an effective way to interfere with the charge interactions that exist between positively charged proteins and the negatively charged extracellular matrix components they are exposed to in vivo.

Example 5

Pegylation of Fltl(1-3)-Fe Protein

Although pegylation (polyethylene glycol—PEG) of proteins has been shown to increase their in vivo potency by enhancing stability and bioavailability while minimizing immunogenicity (see references cited supra), it is counterintuitive that pegylating molecules that are too large to be filtered by the kidney glomeruli would improve their pharmacokinetic properties. Without being bound by theory, Applicants postulated that pegylation of the FItl(1-3)-Pe molecules could improve the pharmacokinetic properties, possibly not by altering the positive charge or by decreasing the pl of Flt](1-3)-Fe, but rather by physically shielding the positive charges from interacting with the extracellular matrix. Applicants decided to attempt to improve the pharmacokinetic properties of Flt1(1-3)-Fe molecules by attach-35 ing strands of 20K PEGs as described infra.

Materials and Methods

45 Purified Flt1(1-3)-Fe derived from CHO cells (see supra) was used in the following pegylation experiments. Functionalized PEGs were obtained from Shearwater Polymers, Huntsville, Ala.; Bicine from Sigma, St Louis, Mo.; Superose 6 column from Pharmacia, Piscataway, N.J.,; PBS as a 10x concentrate from Life Technologies, Gaithersburg, Md.; Glycerol from J. T. Baker, Phillipsburg, N.J.; and Bis-Tris precast gels from Novex, CA,

35 mer molarratio of 1:6. The reaction was allowed to proceed 20K PEG strands functionalized with amine-specific terminal moieties were used in small-scale reaction studies that were set-up to evaluate different reaction conditions in which the PEG:protein stoichiometry was varied. Based on these reactions and the analyses of samples on standard SDS-PAGE,Fltl(1-3)-Fe at a concentration of 1.5 mg/mL was reacted at pH 8.1 with 20K SPA-PEG (PEG succinimidyl propionate) molecules at a PEG-to-FItl(1-3)-Fe monoat 8° C. overnight. For initial purification, the reaction products were applied to a 10 mmx30 cm Superose 6 column equilibrated with PBS containing 5% Glycerol. The column appeared to separate pegylated FItl(1-3)-Fe molecules based on the extent of pegylation. Fractions corresponding to what appeared to be primarily mono-pegylated and di-pegylated dimeric Flt] (1-3)-Fe, as judged by banding patterns on reducing and non-reducing SDS-PAGE gels were pooled. The protein concentration was determined by measuring absorbance at 280 nm. The pegylated Flt1(1-3)- Fc protein was sterile filtered, aliquoted and stored at -40° \mathcal{C}

Example 6

Binding of Unmodified, Acetylated, and Pegylated Fitl(1-3)-Fe in a Biacore-Based Assay

Unmodified, acetylated, and pegylated FItl(1-3)-Fe proteins were tested in a Biacore-based assay to evaluate their ability to bind to the Flt1 ligand, VEGF. In this assay, unmodified Fltl(1-3)-Fe protein was immobilized on the surface of a Biacore chip (see Biacore Instruction Manual, 10 Pharmacia, Inc., Piscataway, N.J., for standard procedures) and a sample containing 0.2 ug/ml VEGF and either unmodified Flt1(1-3)-Fe, acetylated Fltl(1-3)-Fe or pegylated Flt1 (1-3)-Fc (each at 25 µg/ml) was passed over the Ftl1(1-3)-Fc-coated chip. To minimize the effects of non-15 specific binding, the bound samples were washed with a 0.5M NaCl wash. In one sample, unmodified Fit1(1-3)-Fe was mixed with heparin. Heparin is a negatively charged molecule and the Fit](1-3)-Fe protein is a positively charged molecule, so when the two molecules are mixed together, 20 they should interact through their respective charges. This essentially neutralizes Flt] (1-3)-Pe's inherent positive charge making the molecule behave as if it has been chemically or genetically modified so as to reduce its charge and its tendency to bind via charge interactions. As shown in 25 FIG, 3, acetylated (columns 13-16), pegylated (columns 17-20), and heparin-treated Flt1(1-3)-Fe (columns 21-24) are each able to completely compete with the Biacore chip-bound FIt1(1-3)-Fe for VEGF binding as compared to contro] (columns 1—4) and irrelevant protein (columns 5-8). Unmodified Flt1(1-3)-Fe (columns 5-6) appeared to only partially compete with Biacore chip-bound FIt1(1-3)-Fe for VEGF binding. However, washing the bound samples with 0.5M NaCl (columns 7-8) resulted in a binding profile similar to the modified forms of $Flt1(1-3)$ -Fc, indicating that 35 the unmodified protein was exhibiting non-specific binding to the chip that could be eliminated by the salt wash. 30

Example 7

Binding of Unmodified, Acetylated, and Pegylated Fitl(1-3)-Pe in an ELISA-Based Assay

Unmodified, acetylated, and pegylated Flt! (1-3)-Fe proteins were tested in a standard ELISA-based assay to evalu-45 ate their ability to bind the FItl receptor ligand VEGF. As shown in FIG. 4, both pegylated and acetylated Flt1(1-3)-Fc proteins are capable of binding to VEG F, demonstrating that modifying the protein either by pegylation or acetylation does not destroy its ability to bind its ligand. 50

Example 8

Pharmacokinetic Analysis of Unmodified Flt1(1-3)- Fc, Acetylated Flt1(1-3)-Fc, and Pegylated Flt1(1-3)-Fe

In vivo experiments were designed to assess the pharmacokinetic profiles of unmodified Fltl(1-3)-Fe, acetylated Fitl(1-3)-Fe, and pegylated FItl(1-3)-Fe protein. Balb/c mice (23-28 g; 3 mice/group) were injected subcutaneously with 4 mg/kg of unmodified, acetylated, or pegylated Flt] (1-3)-Fe. The mice were tail bled at 1, 2, 4, 6, 24 hours, 2 days, and 3 days after injection of protein. The sera were assayed in a standard ELISA-based assay designed to detect 65 Fltl(1-3)-Fe protein. Briefly, the assay involves coating an ELISA plate with VEGF, binding the unmodified, acety-

lated, or pegylated FIt1(1-3)-Fe-containing sera, and reporting with an anti-Fe antibody linked to alkaline phosphatase. As shown in FIG. 5, the Tmax for all of the Fltl(1-3)-Fe proteins was between the 6 hour and 24 hour time points. The Cmax for the different proteins was as follows: Unmodified: 0.06μ /ml- 0.15μ g/ml; acetylated: 1.5 μ g/ml-4.0 μ g/ml; and pegylated: approximately 5 µg/ml.

Example 9

Step-Acetylation of Flt] (1-3)-Fe

To determine what minimal amount of acetylation is necessary to eliminate binding to extracellular matrix components, an experiment was designed that acetylated the Flt1(1-3)-Fe protein in a step-wise fashion by using increasing amounts of molar excess of acetylation reagent in the acetylation reaction mixture. The range of molar excess was as follows: 0, 10, 20, 30, 40, 50, 60. 70, 80, 90, and 100 moles of acetylation reagent per ¹ mole of FItl(1-3)-Fe monomer. The reactions were performed as detailed in the instruction manual provided with the sulfo-NHS-Acetate modification kit (Pierce Chemical Co., Rockford, Ill., Cat.# 26777).

Example 10

Characterization of Step-Acetylated Flt1(1-3)-Fe

(a.) IEF analysis

40

Unmodified Fit1(1-3)-Fe and step-acetylated Fit1(1-3)-Fe proteins were analyzed by standard IEF analysis. As shown in FIGS. 6A—6B, unmodified Fltl(1-3)-Fe protein was not able to migrate into the gel due to its extremely high pl (greater than 9.3). However, most of the step-acetylated Flt1(1-3)-Fe samples (30-100 fold molar excess samples) were able to migrate into the gel and equilibrate at pis ranging between 4.55-8.43, depending on the degree of acetylation of the protein. This result demonstrates that acetylation can change the positive charge of the protein in a dose-dependent manner and that reduction of the pl can be controlled by controlling the degree of acetylation.

(b.) Binding of Step-Acetylated Fltl(1—3)-Fe to Extracellular Matrix Components

35 proteins was accomplished by adding a secondary alkaline To test for binding to extracellular matrix components. Flt1(1-3)-Fe and step-acetylated Flt1(1-3)-Fe where tested in the above-described assay designed to mimic the interaction with extracellular matrix components. Varying concentrations of either unmodified Flt] (1-3)-Fc, step-acetylated Flt1(1-3)-Fc $(10, 20,$ and 30 fold molar excess samples), or rTie2-Fe (an irrelevant control) protein were added to the wells. The plates were incubated for 1-2 hours at room temperature or 37° C. and then detection of bound phosphatase-conjugated anti-human Fc antibody to the wells. Alkaline phosphatase substrate was subsequently added to the wells and optical density measured. FIG. 7 shows the results of this assay. Like the irrelevant control protein rTie2-Fc, step-acetylated Flt1(1-3)-Fc (20 and 30 fold molar excess samples) did not exhibit any significant binding to the Matrigel coated plate, whereas the nonacetylated Flt1 (1-3)-Fe protein exhibited significant binding. The binding is saturable, indicating that the Flt1(1-3)-Fc protein may be binding to specific sites, rather than a more general charge-mediated interaction that might not be saturable. The 10 fold molar excess sample showed reduced

40

binding. but the degree of acetylation was not enough to completely block binding to extracellular matrix components. The 20 fold molar excess and higher samples displayed no detectable binding, despite the fact that by IEF analysis (FIGS. 6A and 6B) the lower molar excess samples 5 still had a large net positive charge. This result demonstrates that it is not necessary to completely acetylate all available basic amino acids in order to eliminate binding to extracellular matrix components.

(c.) Binding of Step-Acetylated Flt1(1-3)-Fc in a Biacore- 10 Based Assay

Unmodified and step-acetylated FIt1(1-3)-Fe proteins where tested in a Biacore-based assay to evaluate their ability to bind to the Fltl ligand, VEGF. In this assay, unmodified Flt1(1-3)-Fc protein (0.5, 1.0, or 5.0 μ g/ml) was immobilized on the surface of a Biacore chip (see Biacore Instruction Manual, Pharmacia, Inc., Piscataway, N.J., for standard procedures) and a solution containing 0.2 ug/ml VEGF and either unmodified Flt1(1-3)-Fc (at either 0.5, 1.0, or 5.0 μ g/ml) or 10 different step-acetylated Flt1 (1-3)-Fc samples (at 0.5 , 1.0 , or $5.0 \mu g/ml$ each) were passed over the Fit] (1-3)-Fe-coated chip. As shown in FIG. 8, at a substoichiometric ratio (0.5 µg/ml of either unmodified Flt1(1-3) or step-acetylated $Flt1(1-3)$ -Fc vs. 0.2 μ g/ml VEGF), there is not enough FItl(1-3)-Fe (either unmodified or step-acetylated) in the solution to completely bind the VEGF. At $1.0 \mu g/ml$, which approximates a $1:1$ stoichiometric ratio, both unmodified and step-acetylated FItl(1-3)- Fc are better able to compete for VEGF binding, but there is still insufficient Flt] (1-3)-Fe protein (either unmodified or step-acetylated) to completely bind the available WEGF. However, at 5.0 µg/ml , which is several times greater than a 1:1 stoichiometric ratio, both the Flt1 $(1-3)$ -Fc and the step-acetylated Flt1 $(1-3)$ -Fc proteins are able to bind the step-acetylated Fltl(1-3)-Fe proteins are able to bind the VEGF, regardless of the degree of acetylation. This clearly demonstrates that acetylation does not alter Fltl(1-3)-Fe's ability to bind VEGF. 20

(d.) Pharmacokinetic Analysis of Step-Acetylated Flt1(1-3)- Fe

In vivo experiments were designed to assess the pharmacokinetic profiles of unmodified $F1t1(1-3)$ -Fe and stepacetylated Flt1(1-3)-Fe protein. Balb/c mice (23-28 g) were injected subcutaneously with 4 mg/kg of unmodified or 10, 20, 40, 60 and 100 fold molar excess samples of step-45 acetylated Flt] (1-3)-Fe (3 mice for unmodified, 0,10, 20 and 40 fold molar excess samples and 2 mice for 60 and 100 fold molar excess samples). The mice were tail bled at 1, 2, 4, 6, 24 hours, 2 days and 3 days after injection. The sera were assayed in an ELISA-based assay designed to detect Fltl $(1-3)$ -Fe (described supra). FIG. 9 details the results of this study. The Tmax for all of the $F1(1-3)$ -Fe proteins tested was at the 6 hour time point but the Cmax was as follows: Unmodified Flt1(1-3)-Fc: $0.06 \mu g/ml$; 10 fold molar excess sample: -0.7μ g/ml, 20 fold molar excess sample -2μ g/ml, 40 fold molar excess sample—4 μ g/ml, 60 fold molar excess sample—2 μ g/ml, 100 fold molar excess sample—1 μ g/ml. This results demonstrates that acetylation or pegylation of Flt1(1-3)-Fe significantly improves its pharmacokinetic profile. 60

Example ¹¹

Construction of Fltl(1-3)-Fe Basic Region Deletion Mutant Designated Mut1: Flt1(1-3 $_{\Delta B}$)-Fc

Based on the observation that acetylated FItl(1-3)-Fe, which has a pl below 6, has much better pharmacokinetics 22

than the highly positive unmodified $Flt1(1-3)$ -Fc (pl>9.3), it was asked whether the difference in pharmacokinetics could be attributed to the net charge of the protein, which made it stick to negatively charged extracellular matrix components, or whether there were perhaps specific locations on the surface of the Flt1 $(1-3)$ -Fe protein that constituted specific binding sites for extracellular matrix components. For example, many proteins are known to have heparin binding sites, often consisting of a cluster of basic residues. Sometimes these residues are found in a cluster on the primary sequence of the protein; some of the literature has identified "consensus sequences" for such heparin binding sites (see for example Hileman, et al., 1998, Bloessays 20(2):156-67). In other cases, the known crystal structure of a protein reveals a cluster of positively charged residues on the surface of a protein, but the residues come from different regions of the primary sequence and are only brought together when the protein folds into its tertiary structure. Thus it is difficult to deduce whether an isolated amino acid residue forms part of a cluster of basic residues on the surface of the protein. However, if there is a cluster of positively charged amino acid residues in the primary sequence, it is not unreasonable to surmise that the residues are spatially close to one another and might therefore be part ⁵ of an extracellular matrix component binding site. FItl receptor has been studied extensively and various domains have been described (see for example Tanaka et al., 1997, Jpn. J. Cancer Res 88:867-876). Referring to the nucleic acid and amino acid sequence set forth in FIGS. 10A-10D of this application, one canidentify the signal sequence for secretion which is located at the beginning of the sequence and extends to the glycine coded for by nucleotides 76-78. The mature protein begins with Ser-Lys-Leu-Lys, starting at nucleotide 79 of the nucleic acid sequence. Flt1Ig domain 1 extends from nucleotide 79 to 393, ending with the amino acids Ser-Asp-Thr. Flt! 1g domain 2 extends from nucleotide 394 to 687 (encoding Gly-Arg-Pro to Asn-Thr-lle), and Fltl Ig domain 3 extends from nucleotides 688 to 996 (encoding lie-Asp-Val to Asp-Lys-Ala). There is a bridging amino acid sequence, Gly-Pro-Gly, encoded by nucleotides 997-1005, followed by the nucleotide sequence encoding human Fe (nucleotides 1006-1701 or amino acids Glu-Pro-Lys to Pro-Gly-Lys-stop).

65 ously compromising the affinity of the receptor for VEGF. A more detailed analysis of the Flt1 amino acid sequence reveals that there is a cluster, namely, amino acid residues 272-281 (KNKRASVRR)of FIGS. 10A—10D, in which ⁶ out of 10 amino acid residues are basic. This sequence is located in Flt1 Ig domain 3 of the receptor (see FIG. 11), which is not itself essential for binding of VEGF ligand, but which confers a higher affinity binding to ligand. An alignment of the sequence of Ig domain ³ with that of Ig domain 2 reveals that in this region, there is very poor alignment between the two Ig domains, and that there are about 10 additional amino acids in Ig domain 3. An analysis of the hydrophilicity profiles MACVECTOR™, a trademark of Accelrys relating to computer software) of these two domains clearly indicates the presence of a hydrophilic region in the protein (FIGS. 12A—12B). These observations raised the possibility that the actual three dimensional conformation of Flt] Ig domain 3 allowed for some type of protrusion that is not in Flt1 Ig domain 2. To test this hypothesis, the 10 additional amino acids were deleted and the resulting protein was tested to see whether the deletion would affect the pharmacokinetics favorably without seri-This DNA construct, which was constructed using standard molecular biology techniques (see e.g., Molecular Cloning,

^A Laboratory Manual (Sambrook,et al., Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory), Current Protocols in Molecular Biology (Eds. Ausubel, et al., Greene Publ. Assoc., Wiley-Interscience, NY) in the mammalian expression vector pMT21 (Genetics Institute, Inc., Cambridge, Mass.), is referred to as Mut]: FIt1(1-3_{AB})-Fe. The Mutl: FIt1(1-3_{AB})-Fe construct was derived from FItl(1-3)-Fe by deletion of nucleotides 814- 843 (set forth in FIGS. 10A—10D), which deletes the highly basic 10-amino acid residue sequence Lys-Asn-Lys-Arg-Ala-Ser-Val-Arg-Arg-Arg from Flt1 Ig domain 3.

The final DNA construct was sequence-verified using an ABI 373A DNA sequencer and Taq Dideoxy Terminator Cycle Sequencing Kit (Applied Biosystems, Inc., Foster City, Calif.). The sequence of Mutl: $Flt1(1-3_{AB})-Fc$ is set forth in FIGS. 13A–13D.

Example 12

Construction of FItl(1-3)-Fe Basic Region Deletion Mutant Designated Mut2: Flt1(2-3 $_{AB}$)-Fe

A second deletion mutant construct, designated Mut2: Flt1(2-3_{Δ *B*})-Fc, was derived from the Mut1: Flt1(1-3 $_{\Delta$ *B*)-Fc construct by deletion of Flt1 Ig domain 1 encoded by nucleotides 79-393 (see FIGS. 10A—10D); for convenience, nucleotides 73-78 (TCA GGT) were changed to TCC GGA. This introduced a restriction site (BspE1) without altering the associated amino acid sequence, Ser-Gly. This DNA construct, which was constructed using standard molecular biology techniques (see e.g., Molecular Cloning, A Labora-30 tory Manual (Sambrook, et al., Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory), Current Protocols in Molecular Biology (Eds. Ausubel, et al., Greene Publ. Assoc., Wiley-Interscience, NY) in the mammalian expression vector pMT21 (Genetics Institute, Inc., Cambridge, Mass.), was also sequence-verified using an ABI 373A DNA sequencer and Taq Dideoxy Terminator Cycle Sequencing Kit (Applied Biosystems, Inc., Foster City, Calif.). The sequence of Mut2: Flt1(2-3 $_{AB}$)-Fe is set forth in FIGS. 144-14C.

Example 13

Construction of Flt](1-3)-Fe Deletion Mutant Designated Mut3: Fitl(2-3)-Fe.

A third deletion mutate construct, designated Mut3: Flt] (2-3)-Fe, was constructed the same way as the Mut2: FIt1 $(2-3_{AB})$ -Fe construct, except that Flt1 Ig domain 3 was left intact (the basic region amino acids were not deleted). The construct was constructed using standard molecular biology ⁵ techniques and the final construct was sequence-verified as described supra. The sequence of Mut3: Fltl(2-3)-Fe is set forth in FIGS. 15A-15C.

Example 14

Construction of FJt(1-3)-Fe Basic Region N-Glycosylation Mutant Designated Mut4: $F1t1(1-3_{R-2}N)$ -Fc.

A final construct was made in which a N-glycosylation 60 site was introduced into the middle of the basic region of Flt1 Ig domain 3. This construct was designated Mut4: Flt1(1-3_{R->N})-Fe and was made by changing nucleotides 824-825 from GAto AC, consequently changing the coded Arg residue (AGA) into an Asn residue (MC)(see FIGS. 10A-10D). The resulting amino acid sequence is therefore changed from Arg-Ala-Ser to Asn-Ala-Ser, which matches

the canonical signal (Asn-Xxx-Ser/Thr) for the addition of a N-glycosylation site at the Asn residue. The sequence of Mut4: Flt1 $(1-3_{R\rightarrow N})$ -Fe is set forth in FIGS. 16A-16D.

Example 15

Characterization of Acetylated Fltl(1-3)-Fe. Mut1: Flt1(1-3_{ΔB})-Fc, and Mut4: Flt1(1-3_{R->N})-Fc Mutants.

(a.) Binding to Extracellular Matrix Components

To determine whether the three modified proteins were more or less likely to have improved pharmacokinetic properties, Matrigel coated 96-well dishes (as described supra) were incubated with varying concentrations of the mutant proteins and detected with anti-human Fe/alkalinephosphatase conjugated antibodies. As shown in FIG. 18, this experiment showed that while the unmodified Flt1(1- 3)-Fe protein could bind avidly to these wells, the Mut3: Fltl(2-3)-Fe protein bound somewhat more weakly, the Mutl: Flt1(1-3_{AB})-Fe protein bound more weakly still, and the Mut2: $Flt1(2-3_{AB})-FC$ protein showed the best profile, binding more weakly than any of the other mutant proteins. The Mut4: Flt1 (1-3 $_{R\rightarrow N}$)-Fc glycosylation mutant protein showed only marginal benefit on the Matrigel assay. These results confirm the hypothesis that a linear sequence of positive amino acids can be deleted from the primary sequence resulting in a decrease in charge interaction with extracellular matrix components.

(b.) Binding of Mut1: Flt1(1-3_{ΔB})-Fe and Mut4: Flt1(1-3_R. >n)-Fe in a Biacore-Based Assay

35 ric ratio approximates 1:1] and there is an increased ability to Unmodified and acetylated FItl(1-3)-Fe and genetically modified Mut1: Flt1(1-3_{ΔB})-Fe and Mut4: Flt1(1-3_{R->N})-Fe ⁵ proteins where tested in a Biacore-based assay to evaluate their ability to bind to the Flt1 ligand, VEGF. In this assay, unmodified Flt1(1-3)-Fc protein (0.25, 0.5, or 1.0 μ g/ml) was immobilized on the surface of a Biacore chip (see Biacore Instruction Manual, Pharmacia, Inc., Piscataway, N.J., for standard procedures) and a solution containing 0.1 ug/ml VEGF andeither purified or COS cell supernatant containing unmodified Flt1(1-3)-Fe (at approximately (0.25, 0.5, or 1.0 μ g/ml), purified acetylated Flt1(1-3)-Fc (at (0.25, 0.5, or 1.0 μ g/ml), COS cell supernatant containing Mut1: Flt1(1-3_{Δ B})-Fc (at approximately (0.25, 0.5, or 1.0 µg/ml), or COS cell supernatant containing Mut4: FIt1(1-3_{R->N})-Fc (at approximately $(0.25, 0.5, \text{or } 1.0 \,\mu\text{g/ml})$ were passed over the Flt1(1-3)-Fe-coated chip. As shown in FIG. 17, at the sub-stoichiometric ratio (0.25 µg/ml Flt1 (1-3)-Fe of unmodified, acetylated or genetically modified samples vs. O1. ug/ml VEGF), there is insufficient Flt1(1-3)-Fe protein to block binding of VEGF to the FItl(1-3)-Fe immobilized on the Biacore chip. At 0.5 ug/ml of unmodified, acetylated or genetically modified Fit1(1-3)-Fe proteins, the stoichiometblock VEGF binding to the Biacore chip. At 1.0 ug/ml of unmodified, acetylated or genetically modified Fltl(1-3)-Fe proteins, which is approximately a 10:1 stoichiometric ratio, the $Flt1(1-3)$ -Fe proteins are able to block binding of VEGF to the Biacore chip, but they are not equivalent. Unmodified, acetylated, and Mut1: Flt1(1-3 $_{\Delta B}$)-Fc are essentially equal in their ability to block VEGF binding. whereas Mut4: Fitl(1- $3_{R\rightarrow N}$ -Fe is somewhat less efficient at blocking binding. These results confirm the hypothesis that it is possible to reduce the non-specific binding of a positively charged molecule by genetically removing a linear sequence of predominantly negatively charged amino acids.

 $10\,$

20

 15

 45

(c.) Binding of Mut1: Flt1(1-3 $_{\Delta B}$)-Fe. Mut2: Flt1(2-3 $_{\Delta B}$)-Fe, Mut3: Flt1(2-3)-Fe, and in an ELISA-Based Assay

To determine whether the three mutant proteins could bind the Flt1 ligand VEGF, binding experiments were done in which 96-well plates coated with VEGF were incubated with varying concentrations of the respective mutant protein, and after washing, the amount bound was detected by incubating with an alkaline phosphatase conjugated antihuman Fe antibody and quantitated calorimetrically by the addition of an appropriate alkaline phosphatase substrate. As 10 shown in FIG. 19, this experiment showed that all the mutant proteins could bind VEGF similarly, at the concentrations tested.

Example 16

Pharmacokinetic Analysis of Acetylated Flt1(1-3)- Fc, Mutl: Flt1(1-3 $_{\Delta B}$)-Fc, and unmodified Flt1(1-3)-Fe

In vivo experiments were designed to assess the pharmacokinetic profiles of unmodified Fit] (1-3)-Fe, Mut1: Fitl(1- $3_{\Delta B}$)-Fc, and 40 fold molar excess acetylated Flt1(1-3)-Fc protein. Balb/c mice (25-30 g) were injected subcutaneously with 4 mg/kg of unmodified $Flt1(1-3)$ -Fc, 40 fold molar 25 excess acetylated Fitl(1-3)-Pe, and Mutl: Fltl(1-3AB)-Fe proteins (4 mice each). These mice were tail bled at 1, 2, 4, 6, 24 hours, 2 days, 3 days, and 5 days after injection. The sera were assayed in an ELISA designed to detect FItl(1- 3)-Fe protein which involves coating an ELISA plate with 30 VEGF, binding the $F1(1-3)$ -Fc and reporting with an anti-Fc antibody linked to alkaline phosphatase. As shown in FIG. 20, the Cmax for these reagents was as follows: Unmodified Flt1(1-3)-Fc—0.15 µg/ml; 40 fold molar excess acetylated Flt1(1-3)-Fc—1.5 μ g/ml; and Mut1: Flt1(1-3_{AB})-35 Fe —0.7 µg/ml.

Example 17

Modified Fit] Receptor Vector Construction

The rationale for constructing modified versions of the Fltl receptor (also known as VEGFR1) was based on the observation that the protein sequence of Fit] was highly basic, and was therefore likely to stick to extracellular 45 domain 2 fused directly to the beginning of FIk1 Ig domain matrix (ECM). The highly basic nature of Flt1 probably explains why unmodified FIt1 (1-3)-Fe (described supra) has poor pharmacokinetics that make it difficult to use as a therapeutic agent. As described supra, the chemically modified form of 40 fold molar excess acetylated Flt1 (1-3)-Fc, 50 hereinafter termed A40, exhibited a greatly improved pharmacokinetic (PK) profile over the non-acetylated FIt1(1-3)- Fe. Therefore, attempts were made to engineer DNA molecules that could be used to recombinantly express modified forms of a FIt1 receptor molecule that would possess the 55 improved PK profile exhibited by A40 and still maintain the ability to bind tightly to VEGF.

It is known in the literature that the first Ig domain of Flt1 (which has a net charge of $+5$ at neutral pH) is not essential for tight binding to VEGF, so this domain was deleted. The third Ig domain (having a net charge of +11) is not essential for binding, but confers higher affinity for VEGF than the second Ig domain, so instead of deleting it entirely, it was replaced with the equivalent domains of the Flt1 receptor relatives Flk1 (also known as VEGFR2) and Flt4 (also 65 known as VEGFR3). These chimeric molecules (denoted R1R2 (Flt.D2.Flk1D3.Fc Δ C1(a) and VEGFR1 R2-Fc Δ C1

(a) and R1 R3 (Flt1 D2.VEGFR3D3-Fc Δ C1 (a) and VEGFRIR3-FeACl(a) respectively, wherein RI and Flt1D2=Ig domain2 of Flt] (VEGFR1); R2 and Flk1D3=Ig domain 3 of Fikl (VEGFR2); and R3 and VEGFR3D3=Ig domain 3 ofFlt4 (VEGFR3)) were much less sticky to ECM, as judged by an in vitro ECM binding assay as described infra, had greatly improved PK as described infra. In addition, these molecules were able to bind VEGF tightly as described infra and block phosphorylation of the native FIk1 receptor expressed in endothelial cells as described infra.

(a) Construction of the Expression Plasmid pFlt1D2, Flk1D3,Fc $\Delta C1(a)$
Expression plasmids pMT21.Flt1(1-3).Fc (6519 bp) and

Expression plasmids $pm121.F1t1(1-3).Fe$ (6519 bp) and $pM121.F1k-1(1-3).Fe (5230 bp)$ are plasmids that encode ampicillin resistance and Fc-tagged versions of Ig domains 1-3 of human Fit1 and human FIk1, respectively. These plasmids were used to construct a DNA fragment consisting ofa fusion of ¹ g domain 2 ofFlt] with Ig domain 3 ofFlk1, using PCR amplification of the respective Ig domains followed by further rounds of PCR to achieve fusion of the two domains into a single fragment. For Ig domain 2 of Flt1, the 5' and 3' amplification primers were as follows:

5': bsp/flt1D2 (5'-GACTAGCAGTCCGGAGGTAGAC-CTTTCGTAGAGATG-3')

Fit1D2-FIk1D3.as (5'-CGGACTCAGAACCACATC-TATGATTGTATTGGT-3') 3":

The 5' amplification primer encodes a BspE1 restriction enzyme site upstream of Ig domain 2 of Fit1, defined by the amino acid sequence GRPFVEM (corresponding to amino acids 27-33 of FIGS. 21A-21C). The 3' primer encodes the reverse complement of the $3'$ end of Flt1 Ig domain 2 fused directly to the 5' beginning of Flk1 Ig domain 3, with the fusion point defined as TIID of Fit1 (corresponding to amino acids 123-126 of FIGS, 21A—21C) and continuing into VVLS (corresponding to amino acids 127-130 of FIGS. 21A-21C) of Fik1.

For Ig domain 3 of Flkl, the 5' and 3' amplification primers were as follows:

5: Flt1D2-Flk1D3.s (5'-ACMTCATAGATGTGGTTCT-GAGTCCGTCTCATG G-3')
FIk1D3/apa/srf.as

FIk1D3/apa/srf.as (3'-GATMTGCCCGGGC-CCTTTTCATGGACCCTGAC AAATG-3') 3":

The 5' amplification primer encodes the end of Fitl Ig 3. as described above. The 3' amplification primer encodes the end of FIk1 Ig domain 3, defined by the amino acids VRVHEK(corresponding to amino acids 223-228 of FIGS. $21A-21C$), followed by a bridging sequence that includes a recognition sequence for the restriction enzyme Srfl, and encodes the amino acids GPG. The bridging sequence corresponds to amino acids 229-231 of FIGS. 21A-21C.

After a round of PCR amplification to produce the individual domains, the products were combined in a tube and subjected to a further round of PCR with the primers bsp/fit1D2 and Flk1 D3/apa/srf.as (described supra) to produce the fusion product. This PCR product was subsequently digested with the restriction enzymes BspEI and Smal and the resulting 614 bp fragment was subcloned into the BspEI to Srfl restriction sites of the vector pMT21/AB2.Fe, to create the plasmid pMT21/FIt1D2.FIk1D3.Fe. The nucleotide sequence of the Flt1D2-Flk1D3 gene fusion insert was verified by standard sequence analysis. This plasmid was then digested with the restriction enzymes EcoRI and Srfl and the resulting 702 bp fragment was transferred into the EcoRI to SrfI restriction sites of the plasmid $pFlt1(1-3)B2-$ Fc Δ C1(a) to produce the plasmid pFlt1D2.Flk1D3.Fc Δ C1

20

(a). The complete DNA and deduced amino acid sequences of the Flt1D2.Flk1D3.Fc Δ C1(a) chimeric molecule is set forth in FIGS, 21A-21C.

Expression Plasmid

The expression plasmid $pMT21.Flt1(1-3).Fe$ (6519 bp) encodes ampicillin resistance and an Fc-tagged version of Ig domains 1-3 of human Fit1 receptor. This plasmid was used to produce a DNA fragment containing Ig domain 2 of Flt1 by PCR. RNA from the cell line HEL921.7 was used to produce Ig domain 3 of Fikl, using standard RT-PCR methodology. A further round of PCR amplification was used to achieve fusion of the two Ig domains into a single fused fragment. For Ig domain 2 of Fltl, the 5' and 3' amplification primers were as follows:
5': bsp/flt1D2 (5'-GACTAGCAGTC

bsp/flt1D2 (5'-GACTAGCAGTCCGGAGGTAGAC-CTTTCGTAGAGATG-3')

Flt1D2.VEGFR3D3.as(TTCCTGGGCAACAGCTG-GATATCTATGATTGTATTGGT) 3":

The 5' amplification primer encodes a BspE1 restriction site upstream of Ig domain 2 of Fltl, defined by the amino acid sequence GRPFVEM (corresponding to amino acids 27-33 of FIGS. 22A-22C). The 3' amplification primer encodes the reverse complement of the end of Fitl Ig domain 2 fused directly to the beginning of VEGFR3 Ig domain 3, with the fusion point defined as TIID of Fit1 (corresponding to amino acids 123-126 of FIGS. 22A-22C) and continuing into IQLL of VEGFR3 (corresponding to amino acids 127-130 of FIGS. 22A—22C). For Ig domain 3 of VEGFR3, the 5' and 3' primers used for RT-PCR were as follows: 20

5': R3D3.s (ATCCAGCTG1TGCCCAGGAAGTCGCTGG-AGCTGCTGGTA)
: R3D3.as (A

GCTCTCCCGAAATCG) 3": (ATTTTCATGCACAATGACCTCGGT-35

Both the 5' and 3' amplification primers match the sequence of VEGFR3. The 296 bp amplification product of this RT-PCR reaction was isolated by standard techniques and subjected to a second round of PCR to add suitable $_{40}$ sequences to allow for fusion of the Flt1D2 with the Flk1D3 domains and fusion of the Flk1D3 and Fc domains via a GPG bridge (see below). The amplification primers were as follows:

GTrGCCCAGGMGTCGCTGGAG)

3': VEGFR3D3/srf.as (GATMTGCCCGGGCCATTTTCAT-GCACMTGACCTCGGT)

The 5' amplification primer encodes the 3' end of Flt1 Ig domain 2 fused directly to the beginning $(5'$ end) of 50 VEGFR3Ig domain 3, as described above. The 3' amplification primer encodes the 3' end of VEGFR3 Ig domain 3, defined by the amino acids VIVHEN (corresponding to amino acids 221-226 of FIGS. 22A-22C), followed by a bridging sequence that includes a recognition sequence for 55 Srfl, and encodes the amino acids GPG. The bridging sequence corresponds to amino acids 227-229 of FIGS. 22A-22C.

Afier one round (for Flt] Ig domain 2) or two rounds (for Flt4 Ig domain 3) of PCR to produce the individual Ig 60 domains, the PCR products were combined in a tube and subjected to a further round of PCR amplification with the amplification primers bsp/flt1D2 and VEGFR3D3/srf.as described supra, to produce the fusion product. This PCR product was subsequently digested with the restriction enzymes BspEI and SmaI and the resulting 625 bp fragment was subcloned into the BspEI to SrfI restriction sites of the

US 7,070,959 B1

US 7,070,959 B1

(a). The complete DNA and desired animo acid sequences

or the FiliD2.FiliD3.FicAC1(a) chimetric molecule is set

maintal D2-VEG/FR3D3 game Raxio is expected by

form in FOS 2IA-2CC.

(b) vector pMT21/FItlAB2.Fe (described supra), to create the plasmid pMT21/FItl1D2.VEGFR3D3.Fc. The sequence of the Flt1D2-VEGFR3D3 gene fusion insert was verified by standard sequence analysis. This plasmid was then digested with the restriction enzymes EcoRI and Srfl and the resulting 693 bp fragment was subcloned into the EcoRI to SrfI restriction sites of the plasmid pFlt1(1-3) Δ B2-FC Δ C1 (a) to produce the plasmid designated produce the plasmid designated pFit1D2.VEGFR3D3.FcACI(a). The complete DNA deduced amino acid sequence of the Flt1ID2.VEGFR3D3.FcAC1(a) chimeric molecule is set forth in FIGS. 22A-22C.

Example 18

Extracellular Matrix Binding (ECM) Binding Assay

IDE 2003 (META CONTENT CONT **LAT ACTUATION 12** (18 2.070.699 B)

LAT ACTUATION 12 20 **ACTUATION 12 20 CONFIDENTIFY CONTINUES CO** —S:Fltl =D2.VEGFR3D3.s (TCATAGATATCCAGCT-ECM-coated plates (Becton Dickinson catalog # 35-4607) were rehydrated with warm DME supplemented with glutamine (2 mM), 100U penicillin, 100U streptomycin, and 10% BCS for at least 1 hr. before adding samples. The plates were then incubated for ¹ hr. at room temperature with varying concentrations of Flt!D2.Flk1 D3.FeAC1 (a) and Flt1D2.VEGFR3D3.Fc Δ C1(a) starting at 10 nM with subsequent 2-fold dilutions in PBS plus 10% BCS. The plates were then washed 3 times with PBS plus 0.1% Triton-X and incubated with alkaline phosphatase-conjugated anti-human Fe antibody (Promega, 1:4000 in PBS plus 10% BCS) for 1 hr. at room temperature. The plates were then washed 4 times with PBS 0.1% Triton-X and alkaline phosphatase buffer/pNPP solution (Sigma) was added for color development. Plates were read at I=405-570 nm. The results of this experiment are shown in FIG. 23 and demonstrate that the Flt1D2.FIk1 D3.FeACI(a) and FltlD2.VEGFR3D3.FeAC1 (a) proteins are considerably less sticky to the ECM as compared to the Fltl(1-3)-Fe protein.

Example 19

Transient Expression of pFlt1D2.Flk1D3.Fc Δ C1(a) in CHO-K1 (E1A) Cells

A large scale (2L) culture of E. coli DH10B cells carrying the pFItlD2.FIk1D3.FcAC1(a) plasmid described supra in Example 17(a) was grown overnight in Terrific Broth (TB) plus 100 ug/ml ampicillin. The next day, the plasmid DNA was extracted using a QIAgen ENDOFREETM, a trademark of Quigen relating to a Megaprep kit following the manufacturer's protocol. The concentration of the purified plasmid DNA was determined by standard techniques using a UV spectrophotometer and fluorometer. The plasmid DNA was verified by standard restriction enzyme digestion of aliquots using the restriction enzymes EcoRI plus Not! and Asel.All restriction enzyme digest fragments corresponded to the predicted sizes when analyzed on a 1% agarose gel.

> Forty 15 cm petri plates were seeded with CHO-KI/EIA cells at a density of 4×10^6 cells/plate. Plating media was Gibco Ham's F-12 supplemented with 10% HYCLONE™ (a trademark of Hyclone Laboratories relating to chemical products for scientific research, medical research, and the production of pharmaceuticals) Fetal Bovine Serum (FBS), 100U penicillin/100U streptomycin and glutamine (2 mM). The following day each plate of cells was transfected with ⁶ ug of the pF1tlD2.FIk1D3.FcAC (a) plasmid DNAusing Gibco Optimem and Gibco Lipofectamine in 12 ml volume, following the manufacturer's protocol. Four hours after adding the transfection mix to the cells, ¹² ml/plate of

Optimem supplemented with 10% FBS was added. Plates were incubated at 37° C. in a 5% CO, incubator overnight. The following day the media was removed from each plate and 25 ml expression media (Gibco CHO-S-SFM II supplemented with glutamine (2 mM) and 1 mM sodium butyrate) was added, The plates were incubated at 37° C. for 3 days. After 3 days of incubation, the media was aspirated from each plate and centrifuged at 400 rpm in a swinging bucket rotor to pellet cells. The supernatant was decanted into sterile 1 L bottles and purification of the expressed protein 10 was performed as described infra.

Example 20

Construction pVEGFR1 R2-Fc Δ C1(a) Expression Vector

The pVEGFR1R2.Fc Δ C1(a) expression plasmid was constructed by insertion of DNA encoding amino acids SDT (corresponding to amino acids $27-29$ of FIGS. $24A-24C$) $_{20}$
determined the FIA $_{20}$ FIA $_{21}$ (c) and according C_{20} and $27-6$ between Flt1d2-Flk1 d3-Fc Δ C1 (a) amino acids 26 and 27 of FIGS. 21A-21C (GG) and removal of DNA encoding amino acids GPG corresponding to amino acids 229-231 of Figure.
The SDT amino acid sequence is native to the Flt1 receptor and was added back in to decrease the likelihood of heterogeneous N-terminal processing. The GPG (bridging
sequence) was removed so that the Flt1 and Fik1 Ig domains
was fixed directly to an another Tla and Fik1 ON1 and were fused directly to one another. The complete DNA and deduced amino acid sequences of the pVEGFRIR2.FcAC1 (a) chimeric molecule is set forth in FIGS. 24A-24C. 30

Example 21

Cell Culture Process Used to Produce Modified Fitl Receptors

(a) Cell Culture' Process Used to Produce FIt1D2.FIk1D3.FeAC1 (a)

The process for production of FltlD2.Flk1D3.Fc Δ C1(a) protein using the expression plasmid 40 protein using the expression plasmid pFltlD2.FIKID3.FcAC1(a) described supra in Example ¹ involves suspension culture of recombinant Chinese hamster ovary (CHO K $1/E1A$) cells which constitutively express the protein product. The cells are grown in bioreactors and the protein product is isolated and purified by affinity and size exclusion chromatography. The process is provided in greater detail below. 45

Cell Expansion

Two confluent T-225 cm? flasks containing the Flt1D2.Flk1D3.Fc Δ C1(a) expressing cell line were 50 expanded by passaging cells into eight T-225 cm? flasks in medium (GMEM+10% serum, GIBCO) and incubated at 37° C. and 5% CO₂. When the flasks approached confluence (approximately 3 to 4 days) the cells were detached using trypsin. Fresh medium was added to protect the cells from further exposure to the trypsin. The cells were centrifuged and resuspended in fresh medium then transferred to eight 850 cm² roller bottles and incubated at 37° C. and 5% CO₂ until confluent. 55

Suspension Culture in Bioreactors

Cells grown in roller bottles were trypsinized to detach them from the surface and washed with suspension culture medium. The cells are aseptically transferred to a 5L bioreactor (New Brunswick Celligen Plus) where the cells are grown in 3.5L of suspension culture. The suspension culture 65 medium was a glutamine-free low glucose modification of IS-CHO (Irvine Scientific) to which 5% fetal bovine serum

(Hyclone), GS supplement (Life Technologies) and 25 uM methionine sulfoximine (Sigma) was added. The pH was controlled at 7.2 by addition of carbon dioxide to the inlet gas or by addition of a liquid solution of sodium carbonate to the bioreactor. Dissolved oxygen level was maintained at 30% of saturation by addition of oxygen or nitrogen to the inlet gas and temperature controlled at 37° C. When a density of 4×10^6 cells/mL was reached the cells were transferred to a 40L bioreactor containing the same medium and setpoints for controlling the bioreactor. The temperature setpoint was reduced to 34° C. to slow cell growth and increase the relative rate of protein expression.

(b) Cell Culture Process Used
Flt1D2.VEGFR3D3.Fc $\Delta C1(a)$ to Produce

The same methodologies as described supra for t1D2.FIk1D3.Fc $\Delta C1(a)$ were used to produce Flt1D2.FIk1D3.Fc Δ C1(a) websels the product to produce the use of the product to produce the product to produce the product to produce the product of the product to produce the product of the product of the product of t

Example 22

Harvest and Purification of Modified Flt1 Receptors

(a) Harvest and Purification of Flt1D2.F1k1D3.FcACI(a)

US 7.070.999 B1

US 7.070.999 B1

US 7.070.999 B1

Options supplement with P_0 TES was stable These. Coconoo Collegebrane and the fluorable and stable

The following cay the analysis are entered from each pole. controll **15.7.070.959 B1**

15.7.070.959 B1

16. The main of the first wave distributed in the context of the main of the The product protein was aseptically harvested from the ⁵ bioreactor while retaining cells using Millipore Prostak tangential-flow filtration modules and a low-shear mechanical pump (Fristam). Fresh medium was added to the bioreactor to replace that removed during the harvest filtration. Approximately 40L of harvest filtrate was then loaded onto a 400 mL column containing Protein A SEPHAROSE™ (a trademark of Amersham Biosciences relating to signal transduction and cell traflicking) resin. After loading the resin was washed with buffer containing 10 mM sodium phos-
phate, 500 mM sodium chloride, pH 7.2 to remove any phate, 500 mM sodium chloride, pH 7.2 to remove any $_5$ unbound contaminating proteins. FILIDZ.FIKID3.FcAC1(a) protein was eluted with a pH 3.0 citrate buffer. The eluted protein was neutralized by addition of Tris base and frozen $at -20^\circ$ C.

Several frozen lots of Flt1D2.Flk1D3.Fc Δ C1 (a) protein from the Protein A step above were thawed, pooled and concentrated using a Millipore 30 kD nominal molecular weight cutoff (NMWCO) tangential flow filtration membrane. The protein was transferred to a stirred cell concentrator (Millipore) and further concentrated to 30 mg/mL using a 30 kD NMWCO membrane. The concentrated protein was loaded onto a size exclusion column packed with Superdex 200 resin (Amersham Pharmacia) that was equilibrated with phosphate buffered saline plus 5% glycerol. The same buffer was used to run the column. The fractions corresponding to $F11D2.F1k1D3.Fc\Delta C1(a)$ dimer were pooled, sterile filtered through a 0.22 micron filter, aliquoted and frozen.

(b) Harvest and Purification of Flt!D2.VEGFR3D3.FcAC1 (a)

same methodologies as described supra for Flt1D2.F1Ik1D3.FcAC1(a) were used to harvest and purify Flt1D2.VEGFR3D3.Fc Δ C1(a).

Example 23

Phosphorylation Assay for Transiently Expressed VEGFR2

60

Primary human umbilical vein endothelial cells (HU-VECs), passage 4-6, were starved for 2 hrs in serum-free DME high glucose media. Samples containing 40 ng/ml (1 nM) human VEGF165, which is a ligand for the VEGF receptors Fitl, Flk1 and Flt4(VEGFR3) were prepared and
were preincubated for 1 hr. at room temperature with varying amounts of the modified Flt] receptors FIt1(1-3)-Fe, Flt1(1-3)-Fc (A40), Flt1 D2Flk1 D3.Fc Δ C1 (a) and Flt1 D2VEGFR3D3.FcΔC1 (a) in serum-free DME-high glucose media containing 0.1% BSA. Cells were challenged for 5 minutes with the samples prepared above $+/-VEGF165$, followed by whole cell lysis using complete lysis buffer. Cell lysates were immunoprecipitated with an antibody directed against the C-terminus of VEGFR2 receptor. The immunoprecipitated lysates were loaded onto 4-12% SDS-PAGE Novex gel and then transferred to PVDF membrane using standard transfer methodologies. Detection of phosphorylated VEGFR2 was done by immunoblotting with the antiphospho Tyrosine mAb called 4G10 (UBI) and developed using ECL-reagent (Amersham). FIGS. 25A-25C and 15 26A-26B show the results of this experiment. FIGS. 25A-25C reveals that detection by Western blot of tyrosine phosphorylated VEGFR2(Flk1) by VEGF165 ligand stimulation shows that cell-surface receptors are phosphorylated to varying levels depending on which modified FIt1 receptor 20 is used during the preincubations with VEGF. As is seen in FIG, 25A, at a 1.5 molar excess of either FItl(1-3)-Fe, Flt1(1-3)-Fc (A40) or transient FltlD2Flk1D3.Fc Δ C1(a) there is complete blockage of receptor stimulation by these three modified Flt1 receptors as compared to control media 25 challenge. In contrast, transient FiltlD2VEGFR3D3.FcAC1 (a) does not show significant blockage at this molar excess, as compared with VEGF positive control challenge. Similar results are seen in FIG. 25B, where the modified Flt receptors are in a 3-fold molar excess to VEGF165 ligand. In FIG. 30 **25C**, where the modified Flt1 receptors are in a 6-fold molar excess to VEGF165 ligand, transient excess to VEGF165 ligand, transient
FIt1D2VEGFR3D3.FcAC1(a) can now be shown to be partially blocking VEGF165-induced stimulation of cell-surface receptors. Let α be a statistical concentration of the free o

In FIGS. $26A-26B$, detection by Western blot of tyrosine phosphorylated VEGFR2(FIk1) by VEGF165 ligand stimulation shows that cell-surface receptors are not phosphorylated by challenge samples which have VEGF165 preincubated with ¹ and 2 fold molar excess (FIG. 26A) or 3 and 4 fold molar excess (FIG. 26B) of either transient Flt1D2Flk1 D3.Fc Δ C1 (a), stable Flt1 D2Flk1 D3.Fc Δ C1 (a), or transient VEGFR1R2-Fc Δ C1(a). At all modified Flt1 receptor concentrations tested there is complete binding of VEGF165 ligand during the preincubation, resulting in no detectable 45 stimulation of cell-surface receptors by unbound VEGF165 as compared to control media challenge.

Example 24

Cell Proliferation Bioassay

The test cell population is MG87 cells that have been stably transfected with a expression plasmid that contains a DNA insert encoding the VEGFR2(Flk1) extracellular 55 domain fused to the TrkB intracellular kinase domain, thus producing a chimeric molecule. The reason the TrkB intracellular kinase domain was used rather than the native VEGFR2(Flk1) intracellular kinase domain is that the intracellular kinase domain of VEGFR2(F1k1) does not cause a strong proliferative response when stimulated by VEGF165 in these cells. It is known that MG87 cells containing full length TrkB receptor give a robust proliferative response when stimulated with BDNF, so the TrkB intracellular kinase domain was engineered to replace the intracellular 65 kinase domain of VEGFR2(FIk1) to take advantage of this proliferative response capability.

 5×10^3 cells/well were plated in a 96 well plate and allowed tosettle for ² hrs at 37° C. The following modified Flt receptors Flt1(1-3)-Fc, Flt1 D2.Flk1 D3.Fc Δ C1 (a) and Flt1 D2.VEGFR3D3.FcAC1 (a), plus an irrelevant receptor termed Tie2-Fc as a negative control, were titrated from 40 nM to 20 pM and incubated on the cells for 1 hr at 37° C. Human recombinant VEGF165 in defined media was then added to all the wells at a concentration of 1.56 nM. The plates were incubated for 72 hrs at 37° C. and then MTS (Owen's reagent, Promega) added and the plates were incubated for an additional for 4 hrs. Finally, the plates were read on a spectrophotometer at 450/570 nm. The results of this experiment are shown in FIG. 27. The control receptor Tie2-Fe does not block VEGF 165-induced cell proliferation at any concentration whereas Flt1D2.Flk1D3.FcAC1(a) blocks 1.56 nM VEGF165 with a half maximal dose of 0.8 nM. Flt1(1-3)-Fc and Flt1D2.VEGFR3D3.Fc Δ C1(a) are less effective in blocking VEGF165 in this assay with a half maximal dose of ~2 nM. VEGF165 alone gives a reading of 1.2 absorbance units and the background is 0.38 absorbance
units.

Example 25

Binding, Stoichiometry of Modified Flt Receptors to VEGF 165

(a) BLAcore Analysis

US 7,070,959 Bl

The stoichiometry of Flt1 D2Flk1D3.FcAC1 (a) and VEGFR1 R2-Fc Δ C1 (a) interaction with human VEGF165 was determined by measuring either the level of VEGF saturation binding to the F1t1D2F1k1D3.Fc Δ C1(a) or VEGFR1R2-Fe Δ C1(a) surfaces or measuring concentration 35 of VEGF165 needed to completely prevent binding of
Fit1D2Flk1D3.FcAC1(a) or VEGFR1R2-FcAC1(a) to Flt1D2FIk1D3.FeACI(a) or VEGF BlAcore chip surface. $VEGFR1R2-Fe\Delta C1(a)$

₅₀ the conversion factor of 1000 RU equivalent to 1 ng/ml. The Modified Flt receptors Flt1D2Flk1D3.FcAC1(a) and $VEGFRIR2-Fe\Delta C1(a)$, were captured with an anti-Fc specific antibody that was first immobilized on a Biacore chip (BIACORE) using amine-coupling chemistry. A blank antibody surface was used as a negative control. VEGF165 was injected at a concentration of ¹ nM, 10 nM, and 50 nM over the FIt1D2FIk1D3.Fc Δ C1(a) and VEGFR1R2-Fc Δ C1(a) surfaces at 10μ *l*/min for one hour. A real-time binding signal was recorded and saturation binding was achieved at the end of each injection. Binding stoichiometry was calculated as a molar ratio of bound VEGF165 to the immobilized Flt1D2Flk1D3.Fc Δ C1 (a) or VEGFR1R2-Fc Δ C1 (a), using results indicated binding stoichiometry of one VEGF165 dimeric molecule per one Flt1D2Flk1 D3.FcAC1 (a) or VEGFR1 R2-FcAC1 (a) molecule (FIG. 28).

In solution, Flt1D2Flk1D3.FcAC1(a) or VEGFR1R2- $Fc\Delta C1(a)$ at a concentration of 1 nM (estimated to be 1000 times higher than the KD of the Flt1 D2Flk1 D3.Fc Δ C1 (a) or VEGFRI R2-FcAC1 (a)/VEGF165 interaction) were mixed with varied concentrations of VEGF165. After one Flt1D2FIk1D3.FcAC1(a) in solution were measured as a binding signal to an amine-coupled VEGF165 surface. A calibration curve was used to convert the to convert FltID2FIk1D3.FeAC1(a) BlAcore binding signal to its molar concentration. The data showed that the addition of 1 nM VEGF165 into the FltlD2FIk] D3.PeAC1 (a) solution completely blocked Flt1D2Flk1D3.Fc Δ C1(a) binding to the VEGF165 surface. This result suggested the binding stoichiometry of one VEGF165 molecule per one FIt1D2FIk1D3.FcAC1(a) molecule (FIG. 29 and FIG. 30). When the concentration of Flt1D2Flk1D3.Fc Δ C1(a) was plotted as a function of added concentration of VEGF165, the slope of the linear portion was-1.06 for 5 Flt1D2FIk1D3.Fc Δ C1 (a) and -1,07 for VEGFR1 $R2-Fc\Delta C1$ (a). The magnitude of the slope, very close to negative one, was indicative that one molecule of VEGF165 bound to one molecule of either Flt1D2Flk1D3.FcAC1 (a) or VEGFRI R2-FcAC1 (a).

(b) Size Exclusion Chromatography

Flt1D2Flk1D3.Fc Δ C1 (a) was mixed with a 3-fold excess of VEGF165 and the receptor-ligand complex was purified using a Pharmacia Superose 6 size exclusion chromatography column. The receptor-ligand complex was then incubated in a buffer containing 6M guanidine hydrochloride in order to dissociate it into its component proteins. FItl1D2F1k1D3.FcAC1(a) was separated from VEGF165 using Superose 6 size exclusion chromatography column run in 6M guanidium chloride. In order to determine complex stoichiometry, several injections of Flt1D2Flk1D3.FcAC1 (a) and VEGF165 were made and peak height or peak integrated intensity was plotted as a function of the concentration of injected protein. The calibration was done under 25 condition identical to one used in separating components of FIt1D2F1k1D3.FeAC1 (a)/VEGE complex. Quantification of the FItlD2FIk1D3.FeAC1(a)/VEGF complex composition was based on the calibration curves. The results of this experiment are set forth in FIG. 28, which shows the ratio of VEGF165 to Flt1D2Flk1D3.Fc $\Delta C1(a)$ in a complex to be 1:1.

Example 26

Determination of the Binding Stoichiometry of Flt] D2FIKID3.FcAC1(a)/VEGF165 Complex by Size Exclusion Chromatography

FIt1D2F1k1 D3.PeAC1 (a)/VEGF165 Complex Preparation 40 VEGF165 (concentration=3.61 mg/ml) was mixed with CHO cell transiently expressed Flt1 D2.Flk1 D3.Fc Δ C1 (a) (concentration=0.9 mg/ml) in molar ratio of 3:1 (VEGF 165: Flt1D2.Flk1D3.Fc Δ C1(a)) and incubated overnight at 4° C.

(a) Size Exclusion Chromatography (SEC) Under Native Conditions

To separate the complex from excess of unbound VEGF165, 50 µl of the complex was loaded on a Pharmacia Superose 12 PC 3.2/30 which was equilibrated in PBS 50 buffer. The sample was eluted with the same buffer at flow [~] rate 40 µl/min. at room temperature. The results of this SEC are shownin FIG. 31. Peak #1 represents the complex and peak #2 represents unbound VEGF165. Fractions eluted between 1.1 and 1.2 ml were combined and guanidinium hydrochloride (GuHCl)was added to a final concentration 4.5M to dissociate the complex.

(b) Size Exclusion Chromatography (SEC) Under Dissociative Conditions

To separate the components of the receptor-ligand com-60 plex and to determine their molar ratio, 50 yl of dissociated complex as described supra was loaded onto a Superose 12 PC 3.2/30 equilibrated in 6M GuHCl and eluted with the same solution at a flow rate 40μ l/min. at room temperature. The results of this SEC are shown in FIG. 32. Peak #1 65 represents Flt1D2Flk1D3.FcΔC1(a) and peak #2 represents VEGF165.

(c) Calculation of Flt!D2FIk1D3.FeAC1(a):VEGF165 Complex Stoichiometry

The stoichiometry of the receptor-ligand complex was determined from the peak area or the peak height of the components. Concentrations of VEGF165 and components. Concentrations of VEGF165 and Flt1D2FIk1D3.PeAC1(a) corresponding to the peak height or peak area, respectively, were obtained from the standard curves for VEGF165 and Flt1D2Flk1D3.Fc Δ C1(a). To obtain a standard curve, four different concentrations (0.04 mg/ml-0.3 mg/ml) of either component were injected onto a Pharmacia Superose 12 PC 3.2/30 column equilibrated in 6M guanidinium chloride and eluted with the same solution at flow rate 40 µl/min. at room temperature. The standard curve was obtained by plotting peak area or peak height vs protein concentration. The molar ratio of VEGF165: Flt1D2Flk1D3.Fc Δ C1(a) determined from the peak area of the components was 1.16. The molar ratio of VEGF165: $Flt1D2Flk1D3.Fc\Delta C1(a)$ determined from the peak height of the components was 1.10.

Example 27

Determination of the Stoichiometry of the F1t1D2F1k1D3.FcAC1(a)/VEGF165 Complex by Size Exclusion Chromatography with On-Line Light Scattering

Complex preparation

35 (VEGF165:Fltl D2Flk1 D3.FeAC1 (a)) and incubated over-VEGF165 was mixed with CHO transiently expressed Flt1D2.FIk1D3.PcACI(a) protein in molar ratio of 3:1 night at 4° C.

(a) Size Exclusion Chromatography (SEC) with On-Line Light Scattering

Size exclusion chromatography column with a MiniDawn on-line light scattering detector (Wyatt Technology, Santa Barbara, Calif.) and refractive index (R1) detectors (Shimadzu, Kyoto, Japan) was used to determine the molecular weight (MW) of the receptor-ligand complex. Samples were injected onto a Superose 12 HR 10/30 column (Pharmacia) equilibrated in PBS buffer and eluted with the same buffer at flow rate 0.5 ml/min. at room temperature. As shown in FIG. 33, the elution profile shows two peaks. Peak #1 represents the receptor-ligand complex and peak #2 represents the unbound VEGF165. MW was calculated from LS and R1 signals. The same procedure was used to determine MW of the individual components of the receptor-ligand complex. The results of these determinations are as follows: MW of the Flt1D2Flk1D3.Fc Δ C1(a)NEGF165 complex at the peak position is 157 300 (FIG. 33), the MW of VEGF165 at the peak position is 44 390 (FIG. 34) and the MW of R1R2 at the peak is 113 300 (FIG. 35).

These data indicated that the stoichiometry of the Flt1D2Flk1D3.Fc Δ C1(a)NEGF complex is 1:1 as its corresponds to the sum of molecular weights for Flt1D2Flk1D3.Fc Δ C1(a) and VEGF165. Importantly, this method conclusively proved that the Flt1D2Flk1D3.FcAC1 (a)/VEGF165 complex was indeed composed of only one molecule of VEGF165 ligand and only one molecule of the Flt1D2Flk1D3.FcAC1(a).

30

45

Example 28

Peptide Mapping of Flt1D2.Flk1D3.FcAC1(a)

The disulfide structures and glycosylation sites in FIt1D2.F1k1D3.FeAC1(a) were determined by a peptide mapping method. In this method, the protein was first cleaved with trypsin. Tryptic fragments were analyzed and identified by HPLC coupled with mass spectrometry, in addition to an N-terminal sequencing technique. Reduction of the tryptic digest was employed to help identify disulfidebond-containing fragments. Treatment of the tryptic digest with PNGase F (Glyko, Novato, Calif.) was employed to help identify fragments with N-linked glycosylation sites. 15

The results are summarized in the accompanying FIG. 36.
There are a total of ten cysteines in There are a total of ten cystemes in FITID2.FIKID3.Fc Δ CI(a); six of them belong to the Fc region. Cys27 has been confirmed to be disulfide bonded to Cys76. Cys121 is confirmed to be disulfide bonded to Cys 20 182. The first two cysteines in the Fc region (Cys211 and Cys214) form an intermolecular disulfide bond with the same two cysteines in another Fe chain. However, because these two cysteines can not be separated enzymatically from each other, it can not be determined whether disulfide bonding is occurring between same cysteines (Cys211 to Cys211, for example) or between Cys211 and Cys214. Cys216 is confirmed to be disulfide bonded to Cys306. Cys 352 is confirmed to be disulfide bonded to Cys410.

There are five possible N-linked glycosylation sites in Flt1D2.Flk1D3.Fc Δ C1(a). All five of them are found to be glycosylated to varying degrees. Complete glycosylation was observed at Asn33 (amino acid sequence NIT), Asn193 (amino acid sequence NST), and Asn282 (amino acid sequence NST). In addition, partial glycosylation is observed on Asn65 and Asn120. Sites of glycosylation are highlighted by underline in the FIG. 36.

Example 29

Pharmacokinetic Analysis of Modified Flt Receptors

(a) Pharmacokinetic Analysis of Fltl(1-3)-Fe (A40), Flt1D2.Flk1D3.Fc Δ C1(a) and VEGFR1R2-Fe Δ C1(a)

Balb/c mice (25-30 g) were injected subcutaneously with 4 mg/kg of Flt1(1-3)-Fc (A40), CHO transiently expressed FIt1D2.F1k1D3.FeAC1(a), and CHO transiently expressed VEGFR1R2-Fc Δ C1(a). The mice were tail bled at 1, 2, 4, 6, 24 hrs, 2 days, 3 days and 6 days after injection. The sera were assayed in an ELISA designed to detect FItl(1-3)-Fe (A40), Flt1D2.Flk1D3.FeΔC1(a) or VEGFR1R2-FeΔC1(a). 55 The ELISA involves coating an ELISA plate with VEGF165, binding the detect Flti(1-3)-Fe (A40), FItl D2.Flk1 D3.Fc Δ C1 (a) or VEGFR1 R2-Fc Δ C1 (a) and reporting with an anti-Fe antibody linked to horse radish peroxidase. The results of this experiments are shown in 60 FIG. 37. The T_{max} for Flt1(1-3)-Fe (A40) was at 6 hrs while the T_{max} for the transient and stable Flt1D2.Flk1 D3.Fc Δ C1 (a) and the transient VEGFR1 R2-Fc Δ C1 (a) was 24 hrs. The C_{max} for Flt1(1-3)-Fc (A40) was 8 µg/ml. For both transients $(Flt1D2.Flk1D3.Fc\Delta C1(a)$ and VEGFR1 R2-Fc $\Delta C1$ (a)) the 65 C_{max} was 18 µg/ml and the C_{max} for the stable VEGFR1 $R2-Fc\Delta C1$ (a) was 30 μ g/ml. Flt1D2.Flk1D3.FcAC1 (a), CHO stably expressed 50

(b) Pharmacokinetic Analysis of Ftll(1-3)-Fe (A40). Flt1D2.Flk1D3.Fc Δ C1(a) and Flt1 D2.VEGFR3D3.Fc Δ C1 (a)

Balbic mice (25-30 g) were injected subcutaneously with 4 mg/kg of Flt1(1-3)-Fc (A40), CHO transiently expressed Flt1 D2.Flk1 D3.Fc Δ C1 (a) and CHO transiently expressed Flt1D2.VEGFR3D3.Fc Δ C1(a). The mice were tail bled at 1, 2, 5,6, 7, 8, 12, ¹⁵ and ²⁰ daysafter injection. The sera were assayed in an ELISA designed to detect Flt1 (1-3)-Fc, Flt1D2.Flk1 D3.Fc Δ C1 (a) and Flt1 D2.VEGFR3D3.Fc Δ C1 (a). The ELISA involves coating an ELISA plate with 165, binding the Flt1(1-3)-Fc, F1t1 D2.Flk1 D3.Fc Δ C1 (a) or Fit1 D2.VEGFR3D3.Fc Δ C1 (a) and reporting with an anti-Fe antibody linked to horse radish peroxidase. Flt](1-3)-Fe (A40) could no longer be detected in the serum after day 5
whereas, FIt1D2.Flk1 D3.Fc Δ C1 (a) and whereas, FIt1D2.FIk1 D3.Fc Δ C1 (a) and Flt1D2.VEGFR3D3.FcAC1 (a) were detectable for 15 days or more. The results of this experiment are shown in FIG. 38.

Example 30

Evaluation of the Ability of Flt1D2.F1k1D3.FeAC l(a) to Inhibit Tumor Growth In Vivo

L.S. 7.070.659 B.1

Note Above (a), 21 (b) Above (a), 21 (b To evaluate the ability of Flt1D2.Flk1D3.Fc Δ C1(a) to inhibit tumor growth in vivo a model in which tumor cell suspensions are implanted subcutaneously on the right flank of male severe combined immunodeficiency (SCID) mice was employed. Two cell lines, the human HT-1080 fibrosarcoma cell line (ATCC accession no. CCL-121) and the rat C6 glioma cell line (ATCC accession no. CCL-107), each of which exhibit distinctly different morphologies and growth characteristics, were used in the assay. The first dose of ⁵ Flt1D2.FIk1D3.FcAC1(a) (at 25 mg/Kg or as indicated in FIGS. 39 and 40) was given on the day of tumor implantation. Animals subsequently received subcutaneous injections of Flt1(1-3)-Fe (A40), Flt1D2.Flk1D3.Fe Δ C1(a) or vehicle either every other day (EOD) or two times per week (2x/wk) for a period of 2 weeks. After ² weeks, animals were perfused with fixative, tumors were removed and samples were blinded. Tumor volume was determined by measuring the length and width of visible subcutaneous
tumors. Both of Flt1 (1-3)-Fc (A40) and $(1-3)$ -Fe $(A40)$ Flt1D2.Flk1D3.Fc Δ C1(a) significantly reduced the growth of tumors formed by HT-1080 and C6 cells. The results of these experiments are shown in FIG. ³⁹ and FIG.40.

Example 31

The Effect of VEGF165 and Modified Fit Receptors in Female Reproductive System

The stereotypic pattern of vascular remodeling which occur in the uterus and ovary over the course of the reproductive cycle has been well characterized, making these tissues particularly well suited to the study of mechanisms which regulate angiogenesis, vascular remodeling and vascular regression. Indeed, in situ hybridization studies in the reproductive tissues provided the first clear evidence that VEGF acts as a mediator of physiological angiogenesis in mature rodents, as well as humans and non-human primates (Phillips et al, 1990; Ravindranathet al, 1992: Shweiki etal, 1993; Kamat et al, 1995). As cyclic angiogenesis and vascular remodeling are prominent features of the normal ovary and uterus, it is not surprising that abnormal blood vessel growth and/or vascular dysfunction have been found to characterize many pathological conditions which affect these organs. Furthermore, these pathogenic vascular abnormalities are thought to be caused or perpetuated by the dysregulated expression of one or more angiogenic or antiangiogenic factors, most prominently VEGF.

For example, abnormal angiogenesis is characteristic of polycystic ovary disease, endometriosis and endometrial carcinoma, and in each case VEGF is over expressed in the affected tissue (Kamat et al, 1995; Shifren et al, 1996; Guidi et al, 1996; Donnez et al, 1998). Overexpression of VEGF is also thought to play a pathogenic role in the establishment of systemic vascular hyperpermeability in ovarian hyperstimulation syndrome (McClure et al, 1994; Levin et al, 1998) and preeclampsia (Baker et al, 1995: Sharkey et al, 1996). In addition, VEGF has been implicated as the permeability factor responsible for the production of ascites associated with ovarian carcinoma and other tumors (Senger et al, 1983; Boococket al, 1995). Agents which effectively neutralize the biological actions of VEGF can reasonably be anticipated to be of therapeutic benefit in the above and 20 related conditions.

Angiogenesis and vascular remodeling are also hallmarks of blastocyst implantation and placental development (Findlay, 1986). VEGF is strongly expressed both in the maternal decidua and in embryonic trophoblasts, where it is thought to first stimulate expansion and hyperpermeability of the uterine vasculature during the peri-implantation period and subsequently mediate formation of both the maternal and embryonic components of the placental vasculature (Shweiki et al, 1993; Cullinan-Bove and Koos, 1993; Chakraborty et al, 1995; Das et at, 1997). VEGF is also required for luteal angiogenesis and associated progesterone secretion necessary to prepare the uterus for implantation (Ferrara et al, 1998). Thus, agents which inhibit the biological actions ofVEGF mayproveto be useful as contraceptive 35 agents (by preventing implantation), or as an abortifacients in the early stages of gestation. The latter application might find particular use as a non-surgical intervention for the termination of ectopic pregnancies. 30

While the expression of VEGF receptors is largely con-40 fined to the vascular endothelium in normal reproductive tissues, Flt1 is also expressed by trophoblasts in the placenta in both humans and animals (Clark et al, 1996; He etal, 1999) where it has been proposed to play a role in trophoblast invasion. Interestingly, both Flt1 and KDR (Flk1) are 45 kD PEG and tested in balb/c mice for their pharmacokinetic expressed by choriocarcinoma cell line BeWo (Charnock-Jones et al, 1994), and VEGF has been shown to promote DNA synthesis and tyrosine phosphorylation of MAP kinase in these cells. Furthermore, primary and metastatic ovarian carcinomas not only to express high levels of VEGF, but—in 5 addition to the vascular endothelium—the tumor cells themselves express KDR and/or Flt1 (Boocock et al, 1995). These findings suggest that VEGF may not only be critically involved in the generation and maintenance of tumor vasculature, but that at least in some tumors of reproductive 55 origin VEGF may subserve an autocrine role, directly supporting the survival and proliferation of the tumor cells. Thus agents which block the actions of VEGF may have particularly beneficial applications to the treatment of tumors of reproductive origin. 125 \times 27 \times 27

Methods and Results

(a) Assessment of VEGF-Induced Uterine Hyperpermeability

Pregnant mare's serum gonadotrophin (PMSG) was injected subcutaneously (5 IU) to induce ovulation in prepubertal female rats. This results in a surge of estradiol after

2 days which in turn causes an induction of VEGF in the uterus, it is reported that this induction results in hyperpermeability of the uterus and an increase in uterine wet weight 6 hrs. later and, therefore, could potentially be blocked by the modified Flt receptors Flt] (1-3)-Fe (A40), FItl D2.PIk1D3.FeAC1(a) and FitlD2.VEGFR3D3. FeAC1(a). In this in vivo model, the normal weight of the rat uterus is about SO mg and this can be induced to 300-350 mg by PMSG. Desiccation of the tissue re veals that this is all water weight. Subcutaneous injection of Fitl(1-3)-Fe (A40),

Flt1D2.Flk1D3.Fc∆C1(a) and FltID2.VEGFR3D3.FcAC1(a) at 25 mg/kg at ¹ hr. after PMSG injection results in about a 50% inhibition of the increase in uterine wet weight. Increasing the dose of modified Flt receptor does not further reduce the increase in wet weight suggesting that there is a VEGF-independent component to this model. The results of this experiment are shown in FIG. 41.

(a) Assessment of Corpus Luteum Angiogenesis Using Progesterone as a Readout

Pregnant mare's serum gonadotrophin (PMSG) is injected subcutaneously (5 TU) to induce ovulation in prepubertal female rats. This results in a fully functioning corpus luteum containing a dense network of blood vessels after 4 days that allows for the secretion of progesterone into the blood stream in order to prepare the uterus for implantation. The induction of angiogenesis in the corpus luteum requires VEGF; therefore, blocking VEGF would result in a lack of new blood vessels and thus a lack of progesterone secreted into the blood stream.In this in vivo model, resting levels of progesterone are about 5 ng/ml and this can be induced to a level of 25-40 ng/ml after PMSG. Subcutaneous injection of Flt1(1-3)-Fc (A40) or Flt1D2.Flk1D3.Fe α C1(a) at 25 mg/kg or 5 mg/kg at ¹ hr. after PMSG injection results in a complete inhibition of the progesterone induction on day 4. The results of this experiment are shown in FIGS. 42A-42B.

Example 33

Pharmacokinetic Analysis of Flt1(1-3)-Fe (A40) and Pegylated Fltl(1-3)-Fe

Fitl(1-3)-Fe was PEGylated with either 10 kD PEG or 20 profile. Both PEGylated formsofFIt1(1-3)-Fe were found to have much better PK profiles than FItl(1-3)-Fe (A40), with the Tmax occurring at 24 hrs. for the PEGylated molecules as opposed to 6 hrs. for Fltl(1-3)-Fe (A40).

Example 34

VEGF ¹⁶⁵ ELISAto Test Affinity of Modified Fit1 Receptor Variants

10 pM of VEGF165 was incubated overnight at room temperature with modified Flt] receptor variants ranging from 160 pM to 0.1 pM. The modified Flt1 receptor variants used in this experiment were FItl(1-3)-Fe, Fltl(1-3)-Fe (A40), transiently expressed FIt1D2FIk1D3.Fc-AC1 (a), transiently expressed FIt1 D2VEFGFR3D3-FcAC1(a), FIt1- $(1-3_{N.45})$ -Fe, Flt1 $(1-3_{R>-c})$ -Fe and Tie2-Fe. Flt1 $(1-3_{N.45})$ -Fe is a modified version of Flt1(1-3)-Fe in which the highly basic amino acid sequence KNKRASVRRR is replaced by NASVNGSR, resulting in the incorporation of two new glycosylation sites and a net reduction of five positive charges, both with the purpose of reducing the unfavorable 20

effects of this sequence on PK. Flt1(1-3_{R->C})-Fc is a modification in which a single arginine (R) residue within the same basic amino acid sequence is changed to a cysteine (C) (KNKRASVRRR_KNKCASVRRR) to allow for pegylation at that residue, which could then shield the basic region 5 from exerting its unfavorable effects on PK. After incubation the solution was transferred to a plate containing a capture antibody for VEGF165 (R&D). The amount of free VEGF 165 was then determined using an antibody to report free VEGF165. This showed that the modified Flt1 receptor 10 variant with the highest affinity for VEGF 165 (determined as the lowest amount of free VEGF165) was FltlD2FIk1 D3.FcAC1 (a), followed by Flt] (1-3)-Fe and FItl(1-3)-Fe (A40) and then by FItl $(1-3_{R\rightarrow C})$ -Fc, FItl $(1-3_{NAs})$ -Fc and FIt1D2VEFGFR3D3-FcAC I(a). Tie2Fe has no affinity for VEGF165.

The invention claimed is:

1. An isolated nucleic acid molecule encoding a fusion protein capable of binding vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF), consisting of

(a) a (VEGF) receptor component having immunoglobulin-like (ig) domains consisting of an Ig domain 2 of a first VEGF receptor human Flt1 and Ig domain 3 of a second VEGF receptor human FIk1; and

(b) a multimerizing component.

2. The isolated nucleic acid molecule of claim 1, wherein the nucleotide sequence encoding a first VEGF receptor component is upstream of the nucleotide sequence encoding a second VEGF receptor component.

3, The isolated nucleic acid molecule of claim 1, wherein 30 the nucleotide sequence encoding a first VEGF receptor component is downstream of the nucleotide sequence encoding a second VEGF receptor component.

4. The isolated nucleic acid of claim 1, wherein the multimerizing component comprises an immunoglobulin 35 domain.

5. The isolated nucleic acid of claim 4, wherein the immunoglobulin domain is selected from the group consisting of the Fc domain of IgG, and the heavy chain of IgG.

6. The isolated nucleic acid molecule of claim 1, comprising a nucleic acid sequence selected from:

(a) SEQ ID NO:15; and

(b) nucleic acid sequences which, as a result of the degeneracy of the genetic code, differ from the nucleic acid sequence of SEQ ID NO: 15.

7. An expression vector comprising a nucleic acid molecule encoding a fusion protein capable of binding vascular 40

endothellal growth factor (VEGF), wherein the fusion protein consists of immunoglobulin-like (Ig) domain ² of VEGF receptor human Flt1, Ig domain 3 of VEGF receptor human Flk1, and a multimerizing component.

8. A host-vector system for the production of a fusion polypeptide comprising an expression vector encoding a fusion protein capable of binding vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF), wherein the fusion protein consists of immunoglobulin-like (1g) domain 2 of VEGF receptor human Flt1, Ig domain 3 of VEGF receptor human Flk1, and a multimerizing component, In a suitable isolated host cell.

9. The host-vector system of claim 8, wherein the host cell is a bacterial cell, yeast cell, insect cell, or mammalian cell.

10. The host-vector system of claim 9, wherein the host cell is selected from the group consisting of E . *coli* and CHO.

11. A method of producing a fusion polypeptide, comprising growing cells of the host-vector system of claim 8, under conditions permitting production of the fusion polypeptide and recovering the fusion polypeptide so produced.

12. An isolated nucleic acid molecule encoding a fusion $5₅$ protein capable of binding vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF), consisting of

- (a) a VEGF receptor component having immunoglobulinlike (Ig) domains consisting of an Ig domain 2 of a first VEGF receptor human Flt1 and Ig domain 3 of a second VEGF receptor human Flt-4: and
- (b) a multimerizing component.

13. The isolated nucleic acid molecule of claim 12, wherein the multimerizing component is chosen from the Fc domain of IgG and the heavy chain of 1gG.

14. An isolated nucleic acid molecule consisting of a nucleotide sequence encoding immunoglobulin-like (Ig) domain 2 of a first vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) receptor upstream of a nucleotide sequence encoding Ig domain 3 of a second VEGF receptor and a nucleotide sequence encoding a multimerizing component, wherein the nucleic acid sequence is SEQ ID NO:15.

45 SEQ ID NO:16,15. The isolated nucleic acid molecule of claim 14 encoding a fusion protein comprising the amino acid sequence of