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## ABSTRACT

Modified chimeric polypeptides with improved pharmacokinetics are disclosed. Specifically, modified chimeric Flt1 receptor polypeptides that have been modified in such a way as to improve their pharmacokinetic profile are disclosed. Also disclosed are methods of making and using the modified polypeptides including but not limited to using the modified polypeptides to decrease or inhibit plasma leakage and/or vascular permeability in a mammal.
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Fig.10A.

ATG GTC AGC TAC TGG GAC ACC GGG GTC CTG CTG TGC GOG OTG CTC AGC TGT CTG CITT CTC TAC CAG TCG RTG ACE CTG TGE CCC CAG GAC GAC ACG COC GAC GAG TCG ACA GAC GAA GAG Ket Val Ser Tyr Trp Asp Thr Gly Val Leu Leu Gys fia Leu Leu Ser Cys Leu Leu Leul


ACA GGA TCT AGI TCA GGT TCA AAA TTA AAA GAT CCT GAA CTG AGT TTA AAA GGC ACC CRG TGT CCT AGA TCA AGT CCA AGT THT AAT TTT CTA GGA CTT GAC TCA AAT TTT CCG TGG GIC Thr Gly Ser Ser Ser Gly Ser lys Leu las asp pre Glu Leu Ser Leu Lys Gly thr Gla>
$130 \quad 140 \quad 150 \quad 160 \quad 170 \quad 180$

CAC ATC ATE CAA GCA GEC CAG ACA CTG CAT CIC CAA TGC AGG GEG GAR GCA GOC CRI AAM GIG TAG TAC GIT CGI COL GIC TGI GAC GIA GAG GIT AOG TCC COC CTI CET OKG GIA TMT His Ile Met Gin Ala Gly Gin thr Leu His Leu Gin Cys Arg Gly Glu fla Ala Hie Iys>


TGG TCT TIG CCT GAA ATG GIG AGT AAG GAA ASC GAA AGG CTG AOC ATA ACT AAA TCT GCC ACC AGA AAC GGA CIT TAC CAC TCA TTC CTT TOS CTT TCC CAC TCG TAT TGA TTT ACA CEG Trp Ser Leu fro Glu Met Val Ser Lys Giu Ser Giu Arg Leu Ser ile thr Lys Ser Ala>
$250 \quad 260 \quad 270 \quad 280 \quad * \quad 290 \quad 300$

TGT GGA RGA AAT GEC AAA CAA TIC TEC AGT ACT TTA ACC TTG AAC RCA GCT CAA GEA AAC ACA CCT TCT TTA COG TTT GIT AAG ACG TCA TGA AAT TGG AAC tTG TGT CGA GTI OGT TTG Cys Gly Arg Asn Gly Lys Gin Fhe Cys Ser thr Leu Thr Leu Asn Thr Ala gin Ala Astr


CAC ACT GOC TTC TAC ASC TEC AAA TRT CTA GCT GTA CCP ACT TCA AAG AAG AAG GAA ACA GTG TGA COG AAG ATG TOG ACG THIP ATA GAT CEA CAT GGA IGA AGET TTC TTC TTC CTT TGT His Thr Gly Phe tyr Ser Cys Lys Tyr Leu Ala Val pro thr Ser lys Lys Lys Glu thro

 CIT AGA CGT TAG ATA TAT AAA TAA TEA CTA TGT CCA TCT GGA AAG CAT CTC TAC ATG TCA Glu Ser Ala Ile tyr Ile the Ile Ser Asp Thr Gly Arg pro phe Val Glu Met Tyr Ser>

 CIT TAG GGG CIT TAA TAT GTG TAE TGA CTT COT TEC CTC GAG CAG TAA GGG AOE GOC CAA Giu Ile fro Glu IIe Ile His met Tir Glu Giy Arg Glu Leu val Ile pro Cys arg vall

| 490 | 500 | 530 | 520 | 530 | 10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

ACG TCA CCT AAC atc act git act tra aba Aag tit CCA CTT gac act tig atc cct gat TGC hGT Gea tig tag tea can tga hat tit tic ana ggt gat cig tga hac tag gea cia Thr Ser fro asn Ile Thr Val Thr Leu Lyg Lys Phe Pro Leu Asp Thr Leu Ile Bro Asps

## Fig.10B.


gGa ana cge ata atc tgg gac agt aga ang ggc tic atc ata tca ant gca acg tac ana CCT TTTT GCO tat tag acc cig tca tct tic ccg ang tag tat agt tra cat tge atg tit Gly Lys Arg Ile Ile Trp Asp Ser Arg lys Gly Phe Ile Ile Ser Asn Ala Thr Tyr Lys>

| 610 | 620 | 630 | 640 | 650 | 66 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| * | * |  |  |  |  |

GAA ATA GGG CTT CTG ACC TGT GAA GCA ACA GTC AAT GGG CAT TTG TAT AAG ACA AAC TAT CTT TAT CCC GAA GAC TGG ACA CTT CGT TGT CAG TTA CCC GTA AAC ATA TTC TGT TTG ATA Glu Ile Gly Leu Leu Thr Gys Glu Ala Thr Val Asn Gly His Leu Tyr Lys Thr Asn Tyr>

* 670 * 680 * 690 * 700 * 710 * 720

CTC ACA CAT CGA CAA ACC AAT ACA ATC ATA GAT GTC CAA ATA AGC ACA CCA CGC CCA GTC GAg tGT GTA GCT GIT TGG TTA TGT tag tat CTA CAG GTT tat tcg tGT GGT GCG GGT CAG Leu Thr His Arg Gin Thr Asn Thr Ile Ile Asp Val Gin Ile Ser Thr Pro Arg Pro Val>


AAA tTA CTT AGA GGC CAT ACT CTT GIC CTC AAT TGT ACT GCT ACC ACT CCC TTG AAC ACG TIT AAT GAA TCT CCG GTA TGA GAA CAG GAG TTA ACA TGA CGA TGG TGA GGG AAC TIG tGC Lys Leu Leu Arg Gly His Thr Leu Val Leu Asn Cys Thr Ala Thr Thr pro Leu Asn Thr>


AGA GTT CAA ATG ACC tGG AGT tac CCT GAT GAA AAA AAT AAG AGA GCT TCC GTA AGG CGA TCT CAA GTT TAC TGG ACC TCA ATG GGA CTA CTT TTT TTA TTC TCT CGA AGG CAT TCC GCT Arg val gin met Thr trp Ser tyr fro Asp Glu Lys Asn lys Arg Ala Ser Val Arg Arg>

| 850 | 860 | 870 | 880 | 890 | 900 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| * |  |  |  |  |  |

CGA att gac can agc ant tcc cat gcc anc ata ttc tac agt git cit act att gac ana GCT TAA CTG GIT tCG TTA AGG GTA OGG TTG TAT AAG ATG TCA CAA GAA TGA tAA CTG TTT Arg Ile Asp Gin Ser Asn Ser His Ala Asn Ile Phe Tyr Ser Val Leu Thr Ile Asp Iys>

| 910 |  | 920 | 930 | 940 | 950 | 960 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| * | * | * | * | * | * |  |

atg cag anc ana gac ana gea cit tat act tgt cgi gia hge agt gga cca tca tic ana TAC GTC TTG TTT CTG TTT CCT GAA ATA TGA ACA GCA CAT TCC TCA CCT GGT AGT AAG TTT Met Gln Asn lys Asp Lys Gly Leu Tyr Thr Cys Arg val Arg Ser gly Pro Ser Phe Lys>


TCT GTT AAC ACC TCA GIG CAT ATA tat gat AAA GCA GGC CCG GGC gac cCc AAA tCT TGT AGA CAA tTG TGG AGT CAC GTA tat ATA CTA TTT CGT CCG GGC COG CTC GGG tTT AGA ACA Ser Val Asn Thr Ser Val his Ile Tyr Asp lys Ala Gly Pro Gly Glu Pro Lys Ser Cys>


GAC AAA ACT CAC ACA TGC CCA COG TGC CCA GCA CCT GAA CTC CTG GGG GGA CCG TCA GTC CTG TIT TGA GIG TGT ACG GGT GGC ACG GGT CGT GGA CIT GAG GAC CCC CCT GEC AGT CAG Asp lys Thr His Thr Cys pro Pro Cys Pro Ala Pro Glu Leu Leu Gly Gly Pro Ser Val>

Fig.10C.

| 1090 | 1100 | 1110 | 1120 | 1130 | $*$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |

TTC CTC TTC COC CCA AAA CCC AAM GAC ACC CTC ATG ATC TCC CGG ACC CCT GAG GTC RCA AAG GAG AAG GGG GGT TTT GSG TTC CTG TOE GAG TAC TAG agG gCc tGg gak CTC CAG tGT Phe Leu Phe Pro Pro Lys Pro Lys Asp Thr Leu Net ile Ser Arg Thr Pro Glu Val thry

| 1150 | 1160 | 1170 | 2180 | 1190 | 1200 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | TGC GIG GTG GIG GAC GIG ACC CAC GAA GAC CCT GAG GIC AAG TTC AAC TGG TAC GTG GAC acg cac cac cac cti cac tog gic ilt cig gea ctc cag tic arg itg acc atg cac ctg Cys Val Val Val Asp Val Ser His Glu Asp Pro Glu Val Lys Fhe Asn Trp Tyr Val Asp>



GGC GTG GAG GIG CAT AAT GCC AAG ACA AAG CCG CGG GAG GAG CAG TRAC AAC AGC ACG TAC CCG CAC CIC CAC GTA TTA CGG TTC TET TTC GEC GCC CTC CTC GTC ATG TTG TCG TGC ATG Gly Val Glu Val bis Asn Ala Lys Thr Lys Pro Arg Giu Glu Gin tyr Asn Ser Thr tyr>

CGT GIG GTC AGC GTC CTC ACC GTC CTG CAC CAG GAC TGG CTG AAT GSC AAG GAG TAC AAG GCA CAC CAG TCG CAG GAG TGG CAG GAC GTG GIC CTG ADC GAC TTA COG TTC CTC AIG ITC Arg Val Val Ser Val Leu Thr Val Leu His Gin Asp Trp Leu Asn Gly Lys Glu Tyr Lyss


TGC AAG GTC TCC AAC AAA GCC CIC CCA GCC CCC ATC GAG AAA ACC ATC TCC AAA GCC AAA ACG TTC CAG AGG TTG TTT CGG GAG GET CGG GGG tag CTE TTT TGG TAG AGG TTT OGG TTT Oys Lys Val Ser Asn Lys Aia Leu Pro Ala Pro Ile Glu Lys Thr Ile Ser Lys Ala Lys>

GGG CAG COC CGA GAA COA CAG GTG TAC ACC CTG CCC CCA TCC CGG GAT GAG CTG ACC AAG CCC GIC GGG GCT CTT GGT GIC CAC ATG TGG GAC GGG GGT AGE GCC CTA CTC GAC TGG TTC Gly Gln Pro Arg Glu pro Gln Val Tyr Thr Leu Pro Pro Ser Arg Asp Glu Leut tir Lyss


AAC CAG GTC ASC CTG ACC TGC CTG GTC AAA GGC TTC TRT CCC AGC GAC ATC GCC GTG GAG TTG GTC CAG TCG GAC TGG ACG GAC CAG TTT COG BAG ATA GGE TCG CIG TAG CGS CAC CTC Asn Gln Val Ser Leu Thr Cys Leu Val Iys Giy phe Tyr Pre Ser Asp Ile Ala Val glus

 ACC OTC TCE TTA CCC GTC GSC CTC TTG TTG ATG TTC TGG TGC GGA GGG CAC GAC CTG ASG

$1570 \quad 1580 \quad 1590 \quad 1600 \quad 1610 \quad 1620$

GAC GGC TCC TIC TTC CTC TAC AGC AAG CTC ACC GTG GAC AAG AGC AGG TGG CAG CAG GGG CTG CCG acg alg ang gag atg tog mic gac tGg cac cig tic tcc tcc acc gic gic coc Asp Gly Ser Phe Phe Leu Tyr Ser Lys Leu Thr Val Asp Lys Ser Arg Thp Gln Gin Giyz

Fig.10D.


AAC GTC TTC TCA TGC TCC GTG ATG CAT GAG GCT CTG CAC AAC CAC tAC ACG CAG AAG AGC TTG CAG AAG agT acg agg cac tac gia cic cga gac gig tig gic atg tcc gic tic tcg Asn Val phe Ser Cys Ser Val Met His Glu Ala Leu His Asn His Tyr Thr Gin Lys Ser

$$
1690 * 1700
$$

CTC TCC CTG TCT CCG GGT AAA TGA GAG AGG GAC AGA GGC CCA TTT ACT Leu Ser Leu Ser Pro Gly Lys ***>
Fig.11.

Fig.12A.

Fig.12B.

אب!!!!!udojpRH

Fig.13A.


ATG GTC AGC TAC TGG GAC ACC GEG GTC CTG CTG TGC GCG CTG CTC AGC TGT CTG CTT CTC tac cas tcg atg acc ctg tge cce cag gac gac acg cgc gac gag tce ace gac gai gag Met Val Ser tyr Thp Asp Thr Gly Val Leu Leu Cys Ala Leu Leu Ser Cyg Leu Leu heur

hca gea tct agt tca gat tca ana tia aha gat cet gad ctg agt tta ana gec acc cag tGT CCT AGA tCA AGT CCA AGT TTT AAT TTT CTA GGA CTT GAC TCA AAT TTT CCG TGG GTC Thr Gly Ser Ser Ser Gly Ser Lys Leu Lys Asp Pro Glu Leu Ser Leu Lys Gly Thr Gln>


CAC ATC ATG CAA GCA GGC CAG ACA CTG CAT CTC CAA TGC AGG GGG GAA GCA GCC CAT AAA GTG TAG TAC GTP CGT COG GTC TGT GAC GTA GAG GTT ACG TCC COC CTT CGT CGS GIA TTT His Ile Met Gln Ala Gly Gin Thr Leu His Leu Gln Gya Arg Gly Giu dia Ala His Lyss

| 190 | 200 | 210 | 220 | 230 | 40 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| * |  |  |  |  |  |

TGG TCT TTG CCT GAA ATG GTG AGT AAG GAA AGC GAA AGG CTG AGC ata act ada tct gcc acc aca anc gea ctit tac cac tca tic cit tcg cit tce gac tcg tat tga tit aca ceg Trp Ser Leu Pro Glu Met val Ser Lys Glu Ser Glu Arg Leu Ser Tle Thr Lys Ser Ala>


TGT GGA ACA AAT GCC AAA CAA TTC TGC AGT ACT TTA ACC TTG AAC ACA GCT CAA GCA AAC ACA CCT TCT TTA COG TTT GIT AAG AOG TCA TGA AAT TGG AAC TTG TGT CGA GTT CGT TTG Cys Gly Arg Asn Gly Lys Gln Phe Cys Ser Thr Leu Thr Leu Asn Thr Ala gln Ala Asn>


CAC ACT GGC TTC TAC ASC TEC AAA TAT CCA GCT GTA CCT ACT TCA ABG AAG AAG GAA ACA GIG TGA CCG AAG ATG TCG ACG TTT ATA GAT CGA CAT GGA TGA AGT TTC TTC TTC CIT TGT His Thr Gly Phe tyr Ser cys Lys Tyr Leu Ala Vel Pro Thr Ser Lys Lys Lys Glu Thr>
$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrr}370 & 390 & & 390 & 400 & 420 \\ * & * & * & *\end{array}$
gat ter gea atc tat ata tit att agt gat ach ggt hea cct tic gia gag atg tac agt CTT AGA CGT tag ata tat ana tal tca cta ter cca tct gal ang cat ctc tac ate tca Giu Ser Ala Ile tyr Ile the Ile Ser Asp Thr Gly Arg Pro Phe Val Glu Met tyr Sers
$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrr}430 & 440 & 450 & 460 & 470 & 480 \\ * & * & * & * & *\end{array}$
 CTT TAG GGG CTT TAA TAT GIG TAC TGA CTI CCT TCC CTC GAG CAG TAA GGG ACG GCC CAA Glu Ile fro Glu Ile Ile His Met Thr Glu gly Arg Glu Leu Val Ile Pro Cys Arg vais

| 490 | 500 | 510 | 520 | 530 | 540 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | * | * | * |  |  |

ACS TCA CCT AAC ATC ACT GTT ACT TTA AAA AAG TTT CCA CTT GAC ACT TTG ATC CCT GAT TGC AGT GGA tig tag tga can tea ant tut tic hai got gai ctg tga anc tag gai cta Thr Ser Pro Asn Ile Thr Val Thr Leu Lys Lys Phe Pro Leu Asp Thr Leu Ile Pro Asp>

## Fig.13B.


 CCT TTT GCO TAT TAG ACC CTG TCA TCT TTC CCG AAG TAG tat AGT tTA CGT TEC ATG TTT Gly lys Ary Ile Ile Trp Asp Ser Arg Lys Gly phe Ile Ile Ser Asn Ala Thr Tyr Lys>


GAA ATA GGG CTT CTG ACC TGT GAA GCA ACA GTC AAT GOG CAT tTG that aAg aca hac tat CIT TAT CCC GAA GAC TGG ACA CTT CGT TGT CAG TTA CCC GTA AAC ATA TTC TGT TTG ATA Glu Ile Gly Leu Leu thy Cys Glu Ala Thy val Asn Gly His Leu Tyr Iys Thr Asn Tyrs


CTC ACA CAT CGA CAA ACC AAT ACA AIC ATA GAT GIC CAA ATA AGC ACA CCA COC CCA GIC GAG TGT GIA GCT GIT TGG TTA TGT TAG TAT CTA CAG GTT TAT TCG TGT GGT GCE GGT CAG Leu Thr fils Arg gin Thr Asn Thr Ile Ile Asp Val Gin Ile Ser Thr Pro Arg pro Val>

ana tha ctit aga gec cat act cit gic ctc ant tet act gct acc act ccc tic anc acc tIT AAT GRA tCT COG GTA tGA GAA CAG GAG TIA ACA TGA OGA TGG TGA GGG AAC TIG TGC Lys Leu Leu Arg Gly His Thr Leu Val Leu Asn Cys Thr Ala Thr Thr Pro Leu Asn Thr>

aga git can atg acc tog agt tac cct gat gat att gac can acc ant tcc cat gcc anc TCT CAA GIT TAC TGG ACC TCA ATG GGA CIA CTT TAA CTG GTT TCG TTA AGG GTA CGG TTG Arg Val Gin Met Thr Trp Ser Tyr gro Asp Giu Ile Asp Gin Ser Asn Ser His Ala asnc

| 850 | 860 | 870 | 880 | 890 | 00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| * | * |  |  | 0 | 90 |

ata mic tac agt git CTT act att gac ana atg cag anc ana gac ana gea cti tat act
 Ile phe Tyr Ser val Leu Thr Ile Asp lys Met Gln Asn Lys Asp Lys Gly Leu Tyr Thro
 TGT CGT GTA AGG AGT GGA CCA TCA TTC AAA TCT GTT AAC ACC TCA GIG CAT AIR TAT GAT ACA GCA CAI TCC TCA CCT GGT AGT ABG TTT AGA CAA TIG TGG AGT CAC GTA TAT ATA CTA Cys Arg Val Arg Ser gly pro Ser Phe lys Ser Val Asn Thr Ser Val his Ile tyr Asp>

AAA GCA GGC CCG GGC GAG CCC AAA TCT TGT GAC AAA ACT CAC ACA tGC CCA CCG tGC CCA TITT CGT CCE OGC COG CTC GGG TTT AGA ACA CTG TTT TGA GTG TGT ACG GGT GGC ACG GGT Lys Ala Gly pro Gly Glu pro lys Ser Cys Asp Lys Thr His Thr Cys Pro Pro Cys Pro>


GCA CCT GAA CTC CTG GGG GGA CCG TCA GTC TTC CTC TTC CCC CCA AAA CCC AAG GAC ACC CGT GGA CTT GAG GAC CCC CCT GGC AGT CAG AAG GAG AAG GGG GGT TTT GGG TIC CTG TGG Ala Pro Glu Leu Leu gly gly Pro Ser Val phe Leu Phe Pro pro lys Pro lys Asp Thr>

Fig.13C.
 CTC ATG ATC TCC CGG ACC CCT GAG GTC ACA TGC GTG GTG GTG GAC GIG AGC CAC GAA GAC GAG TAC TAG AGG GCC TGG GGA CTC CAG TGT ACG CAC CAC CAC CTG CAC TCG GTG CIT CTG Leu Met Ile Ser Arg Thr fro Glu Val Thr Cys Val Val Val Asp Val Ser His Glu Asp>

| 1950 | 1160 | 1170 | 1180 | 1190 | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |

CCT GAG GTC AAG TTC AAC TGG tac gTg gac gec gig gag gig cat ant gcc ang aca ang GGA CTC CAG TTC AAG TTG ACC ATG CAC CTG CCG CAC CTC CAC GTA TTA CGG TTC TGT TTC Pro Glu Val lys Phe Asn trp tyr Val Asp Gly Val Glu Val his Asn Ala Lys thr Lys>


CCG CGG GAG GAG CAG TAC AAC AGC ACG TAC CGT GIG GTC AGC GTC CTC ACC GTC CTG CAC GSC GCC CTC CTC GIC ATG TTG TOG TGC ATG GCA CAC CAG TCG CAG GAG TGG CAE GAC GTG pro Ary Glu glu Gln tyr Asn Ser Thr Tyr Arg Val Val Ser Val Leu Thr Val heu hiss


CAG GAC TGG CTG AAT GGC AAG GAG TAC AAG TGC AAG GIC TCC AAC AAA GCC CTC CCA GCC GTC CTG ACC GAC tit CCg tTC CTC ATG TTC ACG TTC CAG AGG TTG TTT CGG gag get ceg Gln Asp Trp Leu Asn Gly Lys Glu Tyr Lys Cys Lys Vel Ser Asn Lys Ala Leu fro Ala>

| 1330 | 1340 | 1350 | 1360 | 1370 | 80 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

CCC ATC GAG AAA ACC ATC TCC AAA GCC AAA GGG CAG COC CGA GAA CCA CAG GTG TAC ACC GGG TAG CTC TIT TGG TAG AGG TTT OGG TTT CCC GTC GGG GCT CTT GGT GTC CAC ATG TGG Pro Ile Glu lys Tir Ile Ser Lys Ala Lys Gly Gin Pro Arg Glu pro Gln Val Tyr Thr>

| 1390 | 1400 | 1410 | 1420 | 1430 | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

CTG CCC CCA TCC CGG GAT GAG CTG ACC AAG AAC CAG GIC AGC CTG ACC TGC CTG GTC AAA GAC GGG GGT AGG GCC CTA CTC GAC TGG TTC TTG GTC CAG TCG GAC TGG ACG GAC CAG TIT Leu Pro Pro Ser Arg Asp Glu Leu Thr Lys Asn Gln Val Ser Leu Thr Cys Leu Val Lys>


GSC TTC tat CCC ASC GAC ATC GCC GTG GAG TGG GAG AGC AAT GGG CAG CCG GAG AAC AAC COG AAG ATA GGG TCG CTG TAG CGG CAC CTC ACC CTC TCG TIA CCC GTC GGC CTC TTG TTG Gly Phe Tyr Pro Ser Asp Ile Ala Val Glu Trp Glu Ser Asn Gly Gln Pro Glu Asn Asn>

| 1510 | 1520 | 1530 | 1540 | 1550 | 1560 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

tac ang acc acg cct ccc gic ctg gac tcc gac gec tcc tic tic ctc tac acc anc ctc atg tic tge tgc gea geg cac gac ctg agg cig ccg agg ang afg gag atg tcg tic gac Tyr Lys Thr Thr Pro Pro Val Leu Asp Ser Asp Gly Ser Phe Phe Leu Tyr Ser Lys Leus

| 1570 | 1580 | 1590 | 1600 | 1610 | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |

acc gig gac ang acc agg tgg cag cag gge anc gic tic tca tcc tcc gig atc cat gac TGG CAC CTG TTC TCG TCC ACC GTC GTC CCC TTG CAG AAG AGT ACG AGG CAC TAC GTA CTC Thr Val Asp lys Ser Arg Trp Gin gin Gly Asn Val Phe Ser Cys Ser Val Met his Gius

Fig.13D.
1630 * 1640 * 1650 * 1660 * $\quad 1670$
GCT CTG CAC AAC CAC TAC ACG CAG AAG AGC CTC TCC CTG TCT CCG GGT AAA TGA CGA GAC GIG TTG GTG ATG TGC GTC TTC TCG GAG AGG GAC AGA GGC CCA TTT ACT Ala Leu His Asn His Tyr Thr Gln Lys Ser Leu Ser Leu Ser Pro Gly Lys ***>

Fig.14A.
$10 \quad 20 \quad 30 \quad 40 \quad 40 \quad 50 \quad 60$
ATG GTC AGC TAC TGG GAC ACC GGG GTC CTG CTG TGC GCG CTG CTC RGC TGT CTG CTT CTC TAC CAG TCG ATG ACC CTG TGG CCC CAS GAC GAC ACG CGC GAC GAG TCG ACA GAC GAA GAG Met Val Ser Tyr Trp Asp Thr Gly Val Leu Leu Cys Ala Leu Leu Ser Cys Leu Leu Leus

ACA GGA TCT AGT TCC GGA GGT AGA CCT TTC GIA GAG ATG tac agt gai atc ccc gad att TGT CCT AGA TCA AGG CCT CCA TCT GGA AAG CAT CTC tac ATG TCA CTT tag gGg CTT taA Thr Gly Ser Ser Ser Gly Gly Arg Pro Phe Val Glu Met Tyr Ser Glu Ile Pro Glu Ile> * 130 * 140 * 150 * $\quad 160$ * $\quad 170$ * 180

ATA CAC ATG ACT GAA GGA AGG GAG CIC GIC ATT CCC TGC CEG GIT ACG TCA CCT AAC ATC TAT GIG TAC TGA CTT CCT TCC CTC GAG CAG TAA GGG ACS GCC CAA TGC AGT GGA TTG TAG Ile His Met Thr Glu Gly Arg Glu Leu Val Ile Pro Cys Arg Val Thr Ser Pro asn Ile>

| 190 | 200 | 210 | 220 | 230 | 240 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| * | * |  |  |  |  |

ACT GIT ACT TTA AAA AAG TTT CCA CTT GAC ACT TTG ATC CCT GAT GGA AAA CGC ATA ATC TGA CAA TGA AAT TTT TTC AAA GGT GAA CTG TGA AAC TAG GGA CTA CCT TMT GCG TAT TAG Thr Val Thr Leu Lys Lys Phe Pro Leu Asp Thr Leu Ile Pro Asp Gly Lys Arg Ile Ile>

| 250 | 260 | 270 | 280 | 290 | 300 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| * | * | * | * |  |  |

tGg gac agt aga ang ggc tic atc ata tca ant gca acg tac ana gat rit gge ctt ctg
 Trp Asp Ser Arg Lys Gly Phe Ile Ile Ser Asn Ala Thr Tyr Lys Glu Ile Gly Leu Leur

| 310 | 320 | 330 | 340 | 350 | 360 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| * |  |  |  |  |  |

ACC TGT GAA GCA ACA GTC AAT GGG CAT TTG TAT AAG ACA AAC TAT CTC ACA CAT CGA CAA tGg ack cti cgi tgi cag tia ccc git anc ata trc tgi trg ata gag tgi gia ger git Thr Cys Glu Ala Thr Val Asn Gly His Leu Tyr Lys Thr Asn Tyr Leu Thr His Arg Gla>

| 370 | 380 | 390 | 400 | 410 | 420 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| * * | * | * | * | * |  |

ACC ABT ACA ATC ATA GAT GTC CAA ATA AGC ACA CCA CGC CCA GTC AAA TTTA CTT AGA GGC
 Thr Asn Thr Ile Ile Asp Val Gln Ile Ser Thr Pro Arg Pro Val lys Leu Leu Arg Gly

| 430 | 440 | 450 | 460 | 470 | 80 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

CAT ACT CTT GTC CTC AAT TGT ACT GCT ACC ACT CCC tTG AAC ACG AGA GIT CAA ATG aCC GTA TGA GAA CAG GAG TTA ACA TGA CGA TGG TGA GGG AAC TTG TGC TCT CAA GTT TAC TGG His Thr Leu Val Leu Asn Cys Thr Ala Thr Thr Pro Leu Asn Thr Arg Val Gin Met Thr>
490 * 500 * 510 * 520 * 530 * 540
tGG agt tac cct gat gai att gac can agc ant tcc cat gcc aac ata tic tac agt git ACC TCA ATG GGA CTA CTT TAA CTG GTT TCG TTA AGG GTA CGG TTG TAT AAG ATG TCA CAA Trp Ser Tyr Pro Asp Glu Ile Asp Gln Ser Asn Ser His Ala Asn Ile Phe Tyr Ser Val>

## Fig.14B.

CTT ACT ATT GAC AAA ATG CAG AAC AAA GAC AAA GGA CTT TAT ACT TGT CGT GTA AGG AGT GAA TGA TAA CTG TTT TAC GTC TTG TTT CTG TTT CCT GAA ATA TGA ACA GCA CAT TCC TCA Leu Thr Ile Asp Lys Met Gln Asn Lys Asp Lys Gly Leu Tyr Thr Cys Arg Val arg Ser>

| 610. | 620 | 630 | 640 | 650 | 660 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | * |  |  |  |  |

GGA CCA TCA TTC AAA TCT GTT AAC ACC TCA GTG CAT ATA TAT GAT AAA GCA GGC CCG GGC CCT GGT AGT AAG TTT AGA CAA TTG TGG AGT CAC GTA TAT ATA CTA TTT CGT COG GGC CCG Gly Pro Ser Fhe lys Ser Val Asn Thr Ser Val his Ile tyr Asp lys ala Gly Pro Gly>
670 * 680 * 690 * 700 * 710 *

GAG CCC AAA TCT TGT GAC AAA ACT CAC ACA TGC CCA CCE TGC CCA GCA CCT GAA CTC CTG CTC GGG TIT ACA ACA CTG TTT TGA GTG TGT ACG GGT GGC ACG GGT OGT GGA CTT GAG GAC Glu Pro Lys Ser Cys Asp Lys Thr his Thr Cys Pro Pro Cys Pro Ala Bro Glu Leu Leus


GGG GGA CCG TCA GTC TTC CTC TIC CCC CCA AAA CCC AAG GAC ACC CTC ATG ATC TCC CGG CCC CCT GGC AGT CAG AAG GAG AAG GGG GGT TTT GGG TTC CTG TGG GAG TAC TAG AGG GCC Gly Gly Pro Ser Val Phe Leu Phe Pro Pro Lys Pro Lys Asp Thr Leu Met Ile Ser Args

| 790 | 800 | 810 | 820 | 830 | 840 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| * * |  |  |  |  |  |

ACC CCT GAG GTC ACA TGC GTG GTG GTG GAC GIG AGC CAC GAA GAC CCT GAG GTC AAG TTC TGG GGA CTC CAG TGT ACG CAC CAC CAC CTG CAC TCG GTG CTT CTG GGA CTC CAG TTC AAG Thr Pro Glu Val Thr Cys Val Val Val asp Val Ser His Glu Asp Pro Glu Val lys phes

| 850 | 860 | 870 | 880 | 890 | 900 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| * | * | * | * | * |  |

AAC TGG TAC GTG GAC GGC GTG GAG GIG CAT AAT GCC AAG ACA AAG CCG CGG GAG GAG CAG TTG ACC ATG CAC CTG COG CAC CTC CAC GTA ITA CGG TTC TGT TTC GGC GCC CTC CTC GIC Asn Trp Tyr Val Asp Gly Val Glu Val his Asn Ala lys Thr Lys Pro Arg Glu Giu Gins


TAC AAC AGC ACG TAC CGT GTG GTC AGC GTC CTC ACC GTC CTG CAC CAG GAC TGG CTG AAT ATG TTG TCG TGC ATG GCA CAC CAG TCG CAG GAg TGG CAG GAC GTG GTC CTG ACC GAC TTA Tyr Asn Ser Thr Tyr Arg Val Val Ser Val Leu Thr Val Leu his Gln Asp Trp Leu Asn>


GEC AAG GAG TAC AAG TGC AAG GTC TCC AAC AAA GCC CTC CCA GCC CCC ATC GAG AAA ACC CCG TTC CTC ATG TTC ACG TTC CAG AGG TTG TTT CGG GAG GGT CGG GGG TAG CTC TTT TGG Gly Lys Glu tyz Lys Cys Lys Val Ser Asn Lys Ala Leu pro Ala Pro Ile Glu Lys thry

| 1030 | 1040 | 1050 | 1060 | 1070 | 1080 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| * | * | - | * | * |  | ATC tCC AAA GCC AAA GGG CAG CCC CGA gAA CCA CAG GTG tac acc ctg ccc cca tcc cge TAG AGG TTT CGG TTT CCC GTC GSG GCT CTT GGT GTC CAC ATG TGG GAC GGG GGT AGG GCC Ile Ser Lys Ala Lys Gly Gln Pro Arg Glu Pro Gin Val Tyr Thr Leu Pro pro Ser Arg>

Fig.14C.


GAT GAG CTG ACC AAG AAC CAG GTC AGC CTG ACC TGC CTG GTC AAA GGC TTC TAT CCC AGC CTA CTC GAC TGG TTC TTG GTC CAG TCG GAC TGG ACG GAC CAG TTT CCG AAG ATA GGG TCG Asp Glu Leu Thr Lys Asn Gln Val Ser Leu Thr Cys Leu Val lys gly Phe Tyr Pro Ser>

gac atc gcc gig gag tgg gag agc aat geg cag ccg gag aac aac tac aag acc acg cct CTG TAG CGG CAC CTC ACC CTC TCG TTA CCC GTC GGC CTC TTG TTG ATG TTC TGG TGC GGA Asp Ile Ala Val Glu Trp Glu Ser Asn gly Gln Pro Glu Asn Asn Tyr Lys Thr Thr Pro>


CCC GTG CTG GAC tCC GAC GGC TCC. TTC TTC CTC tac AGC AAG CTC ACC GTG GAC AAG AGC gGG cac gac ctg agg ctg ccg agg ang ang gag atg tcg tic gag tgg cac ctg tic tcg pro Val Leu Asp Ser Asp Gly Ser the phe Leu Tyr Ser Lys Leu Thr Val Asp lys Sert

AGG TGG CAG CAG GGG AAC GTC TTC TCA TGC TCC GTG ATG CAT GAG GCT CTG CAC AAC CAC TCC ACC GTC GTC CCC TTG CAG AAG AGT ACG AGG CAC TAC GTA CTC CGA GAC GTG TTG GTG Arg Trp Gln Gln Gly Asn Val Phe Ser Cys Ser Val Met His Glu Ala Leu His Asn His>
1330
$*$$\quad 1340$ * $\quad 1350$
tac acg cag abg acc ctc tcc ctg tct ccg ggi ana tga ATG TGC GIC TTC TCG GAG AGG GAC AGA GGC CCA TTT ACT Tyr Thr Gln Lys Ser Leu Ser Leu Ser Pro Gly Lys ***>

Fig.15A.


ATG GTC AGC TAC TEG GAC ACC GOG GTC CTG CTG TGC GCG CTG CTC AGC TGT CTG CTT CTC TAC CAG TCG ATG ACC CTG TGG CCC CAG GAC GAC ACG CGC GAC GAG TCG ACA GAC GAA GAG Met Val Ser Tyr Trp Asp Thr Gly Val Leu Leu Cys Ala Leu Leu Ser Cys Leu Leu Leur

aca gea tct agt tcc gga gat aga cet tic gia gac atg tac agt gat atc ccc gan att TGT CCT AGA TCA AGG CCT CCA TCT GGA AAG CAT CTC TAC ATG TCA CTT TAG GGG CTT TAA Thr Gly Ser Ser Ser Gly Gly Arg Pro Phe val Glu Met tyr Ser glu the fro glu iles


ATA CAC ATG ACT GAA GGA AGG GAG CTC GTC ATT CCC TGC CGG GTT ACG TCA CCT AAC RTC TAT GIG TAC TGA CHT CCT TCC CTC GAG CAG TAA GEG. ACG GCC CAA TGC AGT GGA TTG TAG Ile His Met Thr Giu Gly Arg Glu Leu Val Ile pro Cys Arg Val Thr Ser Pro Asn Ile>

| 290 | 200 | 210 | 220 | 230 | 240 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| * |  |  |  |  |  |

ACT GTT ACT TTA AAA AAG TTT CCA CTT GAC ACT TTG ATC CCT GAT GGA AAA CGC ATA ATC TGA CAA TGA AAT TTT TTC AAA GGT GAA CIG TGA AAC TAG GGA CTA CCT TTT GES TAT TAG Thr Val Thr Leu Lys Lys the fro Leu Asp Thr Leu Ile fro Asp Gly Lys Arg Ile Ile>

|  | 260 | 270 | 280 | 290 | 300 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| * |  |  |  |  |  |

tGg gac agt hak ang gac tic atc ata tca ant gca acg tac ana gan ata gec cti cig ACC CTG TEA TCT TTC CCG AAG TAG TAT AGT TTA CGT TGC ATG TTT CTT TAT CCC GAA GAC Trp Asp Ser Arg Lyb Gly Phe Ile Ile Ser Asn Ala Thr Tyr Lys Glu Ile Gly Leu Leul

ACC tGT gaa gca aca gic ant gge cat ttg tat ang aca anc tat ctc aca cat cai caa TGG ACA CIT CGT TGT CAG TTA CCC GTA AAC ATA TTC TGT ITG ATA GAG TGT GTA GCT GTT Thr Cys Glu Ala Thr Vai Asn Gly his Leu tyr Lys Thr Asn Tyr Leu Thr his Arg Gin>

| 370 | 380 | 390 | 400 | 410 | 620 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| * |  |  | * |  |  |

acc ant rca atc ata gat gic can ata acc ach cca coc cea gic han mia ctt aga gec TGG tTA tgT tag tat cta cag git tat tce tgi get gcc get cag trt ant gai tct coc Thr asn Thr ile Ile Asp Val Gln Ile Ser Thr fro Arg Pro Val lys Leu Leu Arg giyp
430 * 440 450 460 * 470 *

Cat act cti gic ctc ant tgt act gct acc act cce tig anc acg aga gTt can atg acc GIA TGA GAA CAG GAG TTA ACA TGA CGA TGG TGA GGG AAC TTG TGC TCT CAA GIT TAC TGG His Thr Leu Val Leu Asn Cys Thr Ala Thr Thr fro Leu Asn Thr Arg Val Gln Met Thr>

| 49 |
| :---: |
|  |  |

TGG AGT tac cct gat gai hal hat hag hga get tcc gia hge cga cga att gac can asc ACC TCA ATG GGA CTA CTT TITT TTA TTC TCT CGA AGG CAT TCC GCT GCT TAA CTG GIT TCG Trp Ser Tyt pro Asp Giu Lys Asn lys Arg Ala Ser Val Arg Arg Arg Ile Asp Gin Ser>

## Fig.15B.



AAT TCC CAT GCC hac ata tTC tac agT git cti act att gac aAa atg cag anc ana gac
 Asn Ser fis Ala Asn Ile Phe tyr Ser Val Leu Thr Ile Asp Lys Met Gin Asn Lys Asp>


AAA GGA CTT tRT ACT TGT CGT GTA AGG AGT GGA CCA TCA tTC AAA TCT GTT AAC ACC TCA
 Lys Gly Leu tyr Thr Cys Arg Val Arg Ser Gly Pro Ser Phe lys Ser Val Asn Thr Sers


GTG Cat ata tat gat ana gca gec coc gec gag ccc aas tct tge gac han act cac ach
 Val His Ile Tyr Asp lys Ala Gly pro Gly Glu fro Lys Ser Cys asp lys Thit his Thro

| 330 | 740 | 750 | 760 | 770 | 780 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| * |  | * | * |  |  |

TGC CCA COG TGC CCA GCA CCT GAA CTC CTS GSG GGA CCS TCA GTC TTC CTC TTC CCC CCA ACG GGT GGC ACG GGT CGT GGA CTT GAG GAC CCC CCT GGC AGT CAG AAG GAG AAG GGG GGT Cys Pro Pro Cys Pro Ala Pro Glu Leu Leu Gly Gly Pro Ser Val Phe Leu Phe Pro Pro>

| 790 | 800 | 810 | 820 | 830 | B40 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

AAA CCC AAG GAC ACC CTC ATG ATC TCC CGG ACC CCT GAG GTC ACA TGC GTG GTG GTG GAC TIT GGG TTC CTG tGg gag tac tag agg gcc teg gal cic cag tgi acg cac cac cac cig Lys Pro Lys Asp Thr Leu Met Ile Ser Arg Thr Pro Glu Val Thr Cys val Val val aspr

| 850 | 860 | 870 | 880 | 890 | 00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| * |  |  |  |  |  |

GTG AGC CAC GAA GAC CCT GAG GTC AAG TTC AAC TGG TAC GTG GAC GSC GTG GAG GIG CAT CAC TOG GTG CIT CTG GGA CTC CAG TIC AAG TTG ACC ATG CAC CTG CCG CAC CTC CAC GTA val Ser his Glu Asp pro Glu val Lys Phe Asn Trp Tyr Val Asp gly val glu Val his>

| 910 | 920 | 930 | 940 | 950 | 60 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

AAT GCC ARG ACA AAG CCG CGG GAG GAG CAG TAC AAC AGC ACG TAC CGT GTG GTC AGC GTC TTA CGG TTC TGT TTC GGC GCC CTC CTC GTC ATG TTG TCG TGC ATG GCA CAC CAG TCG CAG Asn Ala Lys Thr lys pro Arg Glu Glu Gln tyr Asn Ser Thr Tyr Arg val val Ser val>
970 * 980 * 990 * 1000 * $1010 * 1020$

CTC ACC GTC CTG CAC CAG GAC TGG CTG AAT GGC AAG GAG TAC AAG TGC AAG GTC TCC AAC GAG TGG CAG GAC GTG GTC CTG ACC GAC TTA CCG TTC CTC ATG TTC ACG TTC CAG AGG TTG Leu Thr Val Leu his Gin Asp Trp Leu Asn Gly Lys Giu Tyr Lys Cys Lys Val Ser Asn>


AAA GCC CTC CCA GCC CCC ATC GAG AAA ACC ATC TCC AAA GCC AAA GGG CAG CCC CGA GAA TTT CGG GAG GGT CGG GGG TAG CTC TIT TGG TAG AGG TTT CGG TTT CCC GTC GGG GCT CTT Lys Ala Leu Pro Ala pro Ile Glu Lys Thr fle Ser Lys Ala Lys Gly Gln pro Arg Glup

## Fig.15C.

| 1090 | 1100 | 1110 | 1120 | 1130 | 40 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

CCA CAG GTG tac acc ctg ccc cca tce cge gat gag cta acc ang anc cag gic acc ctg GGT GTC CAC ATG TGG GAC GGG GGT AGG GCC CTA CTC gAC TGG TTC TTG GTC CAG TCG GAC Pro Glr. Val Tyr Thr Leu Pro Pro Ser Arg Asp Glu Leu Thr Lys Asn Gln Val Ser Leul.


ACC tGC CTG GTC AAA GGC tTC TAT CCC AGC GAC ATC GCC GTG GAG tGg gag agc ant ggg TGG ACG GAC CAG TTT COG AAG ATA GGG TCG CIG TAG CGG CAC CTC ACC CTC TCG TTA CCC Thr Cys Leu Val Lys Gly Phe Tyz Pro Ser Asp Ile Ala Val Glu typ Glu Ser Asn Gly>

| 1210 | 1220 | 1230 | 1240 | 1250 | 1260 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

CAG CCG GAG AAC AAC TAC AAG ACC ACC CCT CCC GTG CTG GAC TCC GAC GGC TCC TTC TTC GTE GSC CTC TTG TTG ATG TTC TGG TGC GGA GGG CAC GAC CTG AGG CTG CCG AGG AAG AAG Gln pro glu Asn Asn tyr Lys Thr Thr fro pro Val Leu. Asp Ser Asp Gly Ser Phe Phe>


CTC TAC AGC AAG CTC ACC GTG GAC AAG AGC AGG TGG CAG CAG GGG AAC GTC TTC TCA TGC GAG ATG TCG TTC GAG TGG CAC CTG TTC TCG TCC ACC GTC GTC CCC TTG CAG AAG AGT ACG Leu Tyr Ser Lys Leu Thr Val asp Lys Ser Arg Trp Glr. Gln Gly asn Val Phe Ser Cys>

| 1330 | 1340 | 1350 | 1360 | 1370 | 380 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 130 | 1340 |  |  |  |  |

TCC GTG ATG CAT GAG GCT CTG CAC AAC CAC TAC ACG CAG AAG AGC CTC TCC CTG TCT CCG AGG CAC TAC GTA CTC CGA GAC GTG TTG GTG ATG TGC GTC TTC TCG GAG AGG GAC AGA GGC Ser Val Met His Glu Ala Leu his Asn His Tyr Thr Gin Lys Ser Leu Ser Leu Ser Pro>

## Fig.16A.

* 10 * 20 * 30 * 40 * $\quad$ * $\quad 60$
atg gic age tac tgg gac acc gge gic ctg ctg tge gog ctg cic agc tgt cti cit cic tac cag tcg atg acc cig tgg ccc cag gac gac acg ccc gac gac tcg aca gac gan gac Met Val Ser Tyy Tzp Asp Thr Gly Val Leu Leu Cys Ala Leu Leu Ser Cys Leu Leu Leur


ACA GGA TCT AGT TCA GGT TCA AAA TTA AAA GAT CCT GAA CTG AGT TTA AAA GGC ACC CAG TGT CCT AGA TCA AGT CCA AGT TTT AAT TTT CTA GGA CTT GAC TCA AAT TTT CCG TGG GTC Thr Gly Ser Ser Ser Gly Ser Lys Leu Lys Asp Pro Glu Leu Ser Leu Lys Gly thr Gln>


CAC ATC ATG CAA GCA gGC CAG ACA CTG CAT CTC CAA tGC AGG GGG gan gCA GCC CAT AAA GTG tag tac git cgi cce gic tgi gac gia gag git acg tce ccc Cli cer ceg gia tit His Ile Met Gln Ala Gly Gln Thr Leu his Leu Gin Cys Arg Gly Glu Ala Ala his Lys>

*     *         *             *                 *                     *                         *                             *                                 *                                     *                                         *                                             *                                                 *                                                     * 

TGG TCT TTG CCT GAA ATG GTG AGT AAG GAA AGC GAA AGG CTG AGC ATA ACT AAA TCT GCC ACC AGA AAC GGA CIT TAC CAC TCA TTC CTT TCG CTT TCC GAC TOG TAT TGA TTT AGA CGG Trp Ser Leu Pro Glu Met Val Ser Lys Glu Ser Glu Arg Leu Ser Ile Thr Lys Ser Alad

tGT GGA RGA AAT GGC AAA CAA tTC tGC hGT ACT TTA ACC TTG AAC ACA GCT CAA GCA AAC ACA CCT TCT TTA CCG TTT GTT ARG ACG TCA TGR AAT TGG AAC TTG TGT CGA GTT COT TTG Cys Giy fig Asn Gly Lys Gin Phe Cys Ser Thr Leu Thr Leu Asn Thr Ala Gin Ala Asn>
 CAC AOT GGC tTC tac AGC tGC AAA tat CTA GCT GTA CCT ACT TCA AAG AAG AAG GAA ACA GTG TGA CCG AAG ATG TCG ACG TTT ATA gat CGA CAT GGA TGA AGT tTC tTC tTC CTT TGT His Thr Gly phe Tyr Ser Cys Lys Tyr Leu Ala Val Pro Thr Ser Lys Lys Lys Glu Thry

* 370 * 380 * $\quad 390$ * 400 * * gai tct gca atc tat ata tut att agt gat aca ggt aga cct tic gta gag atg tac agt CTT AGA CGI TAG ATA TAT AAA TAA TCA CTA TGT CCA TCT GGA AAG CAT CTC TAC AIG TCA Glu Ser Ala Ile Tyr Ile Phe Ile Ser Asp thr Gly Arg Pro Phe Val Glu Met Tyr Serr
*     *         *             *                 *                     *                         *                             *                                 *                                     *                                         *                                             *                                                 *                                                     *                                                         *                                                             *                                                                 * 

gad atc ccc gad att ata cac atg act gad gea agg gag ctc gic att ccc tgc cog git CTT TAG GSG CTT TAA tAT GIG tac tGa CTT CCT TCC CTC GAG CAG taA gGG acG gCC CAA Glu Ile pro glu Ile Ile His Met Thr Glu gly Arg Glu Leu val Ile pro Cyb frg val:
 ACG TCA CCT AAC ATC ACT GTT ACT TTA AAA AAG TTT CCA CTT GAC ACT TTG ATC CCT GAT tGC AGT GGA tig thg tga can tga ant tit tic aha ggi gai cti tga alc tag gea cta Thr Ser pro asn Ile Thr Val Thr Leu lys lys phe Pro Leu Asp Thr Leu Ile Pro aspy

# Fig.16B. 


gGa ana cge ata atc tge gac agt aga ang goc tic atc ata tca att gca acg tac ana CCT TTT GCG TAT TAG ACC CTG TCA TCT TTC CCG AAG TAG TAT AGT TTA CGT TGC ATG TTT Gly Lys Arg Ile Ile Trp Asp Ser Arg Lys Gly Phe Ile Ile Ser Asn Ala Thr Tyr Lys>


GAA ATA GGG CTT CTG ACC TGT GAA GCA ACA GTC AAT GGG CAT TTG TAT AAG ACA AAC TAT
 Glu Ile Gly Leu Leu Thr Cys Glu Ala Thr Val Asn Gly His Leu tyr Lys Thr Asn tyr>


CTC ACA CAT CGA CAA ACC AAT ACA ATC ATA GAT GTC CAA ATA AGC ACA CCA CGC CCA GIC GAG TGT GTA GCT GTT TGG TTA TGT TAG TAT CTA CAG GTT TAT TCG TGT GGT GCG GGT CAG Leu Thr His Arg. Gln Thr Asn Thr Ile Ile Asp Val Gin Ile Ser Thr pro Arg Pro Val>

| 730 | 740 | 750 | 760 | 770 | 780 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| * | * | * | * |  |  |

AAA TTA CTT AGA GGC CAT ACT CTT GTC CTC AAT TGT ACT GCT ACC ACT CCC TTG AAC ACG tTT AAT GAA tCT COG GTA TGA GAA CAG GAG TTA ACA TGA CGA TGG TGA GGG AAC tTG tGC Lys Leu Leu Arg Gly, his Thr Leu Val Leu Asn Cys Thr Ala Thr Thr Pro Leu Asn Thr>

| 790 | 800 | 810 | 820 | 830 | 840 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

AgA GTT CAA ATG ACC tGG AGT tac cct gat gai han ant ang anc gct tcc git agg cga TCT CAA GIT TAC TGG ACC TCA ATG GGA CTA CTT TTT TTA TTC TTG CGA AGG CAT TCC GCT Arg Val Gln Met Thr Trp Ser Tyr Pro Asp Glu Lys Asn Lys Asn Ala Ser Val Arg Arg


CGA att gac can agc ant tcc cat gcc anc ata tic tac agt git ctt act att gac ana GCT TAA CTG GTT TOG TTA AGG GTA CGG TTG TAT AAG ATG TCA CAA GAA TGA TAA CTG TIT Arg Ile Asp Gln. Ser Asn Ser his Ala Asn Ile Phe Tyr Ser Val Leu Thr Ile Asp lys>

atg cag anc ana gac ana gga ctt tat act tgt cgt git agg agt gga cca tca tic ana TAC GTC TTG TTT CTG TTT CCT GAA ATA TGA ACA GCA CAT TCC TCA CCT GGT AGT AAG TTT Met Gln Asn lys Asp lys gly Leu Tyr Thr Cys Arg Val Arg Ser Gly Pro Ser Phe lys>

| 97 |
| :---: |
|  |  |

tCT GTT AAC ACC TCA GTG CAT ATA TAT GAT AAA GCA GGC CCG GGC GAG CCC AAA TCT TGT AGA CAA TTG TGG AGT CAC GTA TAT ATA CTA TTT CGT COS GGC CCG CTC GGG TTT AGA ACA Ser Val Asn Thr Ser Val his Ile Tyr Asp Lys Ala Gly Pro Gly Glu pro Lys Ser Cys>

GAC AAA ACT CAC ACA TGC CCA CCG TGC CCA GCA CCT GAA CTC CTG GGG GGA CCG TCA GTC CTG TTT TGA GTG TGT ACG GGT GGC ACG GGT CGT GGA CTT GAG GAC CCC CCT GGC AGT CAG Asp Lys Thr His Thr Cys Pro pro Cys Pro Ala Pro Glu Leu Leu Gly Gly pro Ser Val>

Fig.16C.


TTC CTC TTC CCC CCA AAA CCC AAG GAC ACC CTC ATG ATC TCC CGG ACC CCT GAG GTC ACA AAG GAG AAG GGG GGT TIT GGG TTC CTG TGG GAG TAC TAG AGG GCC TGG GGA CTC CAG TGT Phe Leu Phe pro Pro Lys fro Lys Asp Thr Leu Met Ile Ser Arg Thr pro glu Val Thr


TGC GTG GTG GTG gac gig agc cac gai gac cct gac gic ang tic anc tgg tac gig gac ACG CAC CAC CAC CTG CAC TCG GTG CTT CTG GGA CTC CAG tTC AAG TTG ACC ATG CAC CTG Cys Val Val Val Asp Val Ser His Glu Asp pro glu Val lys phe Asn trp tyr Val Asp>
1210
$*$$\quad 1220 \quad * \quad 1230 \quad * \quad 1240 \quad * \quad 1250 \quad * \quad 1260$

GGC GTG GAG GTG CAT AAT GCC AAG ACA AAG CCG CGG gag gag cag tac anc acc acg tac CCG CAC CTC CAC GTA TTA CGG TTC. TGT TTC GSC GCC CTC CTC GTC ATG TTG TCG TGC ATG Gly Val Glu val his Asn Ala lys Thr Lys pro Arg Glu Glu gln tyr Asn Ser Thr tyr>


CGT GTG GTC AGC GTC CTC ACC GTC CTG CAC CAG GAC TGG CTG ART GGC AAG GAG TAC AAG GCA CAC CAG TCG CAG GAG TGG CAG GAC GTG GTC CTG ACC GAC TTA CCG TTC CTC ATG TTC Arg Val Val Ser Val Leu Thr Val Leu his Gln Asp tip Leu asn Gly Lys Glu tyr dys>


TGC AAG GTC TCC AAC AAA GCC CTC CCA GCC CCC ATC GAG AAA ACC ATC TCC AAA GCC AAA acg tic cag agg tig tit cge gag get cge gge tag ctc tit tge tag agg tit cge tit Cys Lys Val Ser Asn lys Ala Leu Pro Ala Pto Ile Glu Lys Thr Ile Ser Lys Ala lys


GGG CAG CCC CGA gAA CCA CAG GTG TAC ACC CTG CCC CCA TCC CGG GAT GAG CTG ACC AAG CCC GIC GGG GCT CTT GGT GTC CAC ATG TGG GAC GGG GGT AGG GCC CTA CTC GAC TGG TMC Gly Gin pro Arg Glu Pro Gln Val tyr Thr Leu Pro Pro Ser Arg Asp glu Leu Thr Lys>

| 1450 | 1460 | 1470 | 1480 | 1490 | 1500 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | * | * | * |  |  |

AAC CAG GTC AGC CTG ACC TGC CTG GTC AAA GGC TTC TAT CCC AGC GAC ATC GCC GIG GAG TTG GTC CAG TCG GAC TGG ACG GAC CAG tTT CCG AAG ATA GGG TCG CTG tag CGG CAC CTC Asn Gln Val Ser Leu Thr Cys Leu Val Lys Gly Phe Tyr Pro Ser Asp Ile Ala Val Glup

| 1510 | 1520 | 1530 | 1540 | 1550 | 1560 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

tgG gag agc ant geg cag ccg gag adc anc tac ang acc acg cct ccc gig ctg gac tcc ACC CTC TCG TTA CCC GIC GGC CTC TTG TTG ATG TTC TGG TGC GGA GGG CAC GAC CTG AGG Trp Glu Ser Asn Gly Gin pro Glu Asn Asn tyr lys Thr Thr Pro Pro Val Leu Asp Ser>
$1570 * 1580$ * $1590 * 1600$ * 1610 * 1620
gac gec tcc tic trc ctc tac asc ang ctc acc gig gac ang agc agg tgg cag cag gge CTG CCG AGG AAG AAG GAG ATG TCG TTC GAG TGG CAC CTG TTC TCG TCC ACC GTC GTC CCC Asp Gly Ser Phe phe Leu tyr Ser Lys Leu Thr Val Asp Lys Ser Arg trp Gin Gln Gly>

Fig.16D.


Fig. 17.


NO
FIt-1(1-3)-Fc
Purified
unmodified
FIt-1(1-3)-Fc
Purified
acetylated
FIt-1(1-3)-Fc
COS supe
unmodified
FIt-1(1-3)-Fc
COS supe
Mut1: FIt-1(1-3 $\Delta \mathrm{B})-\mathrm{Fc}$
Mut4 : $\mathrm{Flt}-1(1-3 \mathrm{R} \rightarrow \mathrm{N}) \mathrm{Fc}$

Fig. 18.


Fig. 19.



| 10 | 20 | 30 | 40 | 50 | 1 | 60 | 70 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

AAGCTHGGGCTGCAGGTCGATCGACTCTAGAGGATCGATCCCCGGGCGAGCTCGAATTCGCAACCACCATGGTCAGCTAC TTCGAACCOGACGTCCAGCTAGCTGAGATCTCCTAGCTAGGGGCCCGCTCGAGCTMAAGCGITGGTGGTACCAGICGATG

$\rightarrow$

|  | >BspEI_bridge |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 90 | 100 | 110 | 120 | 130 | 1401 | 150 | 160 | TGGGACACCGGGGTCCIGCTGTGCGCGCTGCTCAGCTGTCTGCTTCTCACAGGATCTAGTTCCGGAGGTAGACCTITCGT ACCCTGTGGCCCCAGGACGACACGCGCGACGAGTCGACAGACGAAGAGTGTCCTAGATCAAGGCCTCCATCIGGAAAGCA

 FLT1 SS $\qquad$ 5 G>
$\qquad$
G R P $\quad \mathrm{V}$ 31

170 | 180 | 190 | 200 | 210 | 220 | 230 | 240 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

AGAGATGTACAGTGAAATCCCCGAAATTATACACATGACTGAAGGAAGGGAGCTCGTCATTCCCTGCCGGGTTACGTCAC TCTCTACATGICACHTTAGGGGCITTAATATGIGTACTGACTICCTTCCCTCGAGCAGTAAGGGACTGCCCAATGCAGTG

| E |
| :---: |
|  |  |

HFLTI D2

|  | 250 | 260 | 270 | 280 | 290 | 300 | 310 | 320 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

CTAACATCACTGTIACTHTAAAAAAGTITCCACTTGACACTTTGATCCCTGATGGAAAACGCATAATCTGGGACAGTAGA GATIGTAGTGACAATGAAATMTMTCAAAGGTGAACTGTGAAACTAGGGACTACCTHTTGCGTATTAGACCCTGTCATCT


HFLT1 D2

| 330 | 340 | 350 | 360 | 370 | 380 | 390 | 400 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

AAGGGCTTCATCATATCAAATGCAACGTACAAAGAAATAGGGCTTCTGACCTGTGAACCAACAGTCAATGGGCAITIGIA TTCCCGAAGTAGTATAGTHTACGTIGCATGITTCTITATCCCGAAGACTGGACACTMCGTMGTCAGTTACCCGIAAACAT
 111
HFLT1 D2 _>

|  | HFLT1 D2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 430 | 420 | 430 | 440 | 450 | 460 | 470 | 480 |

TAAGACAAACTATCTCACACATCGACAAACCAATACAATCATAGATGTGGTCTGAGTCCGTCTCATGGAATTGAACTAT ATMCTGTITGATAGAGTGIGTAGCTGTTIGGTTATGITAGIATCTACACCAAGACTCAGGCAGAGTACCTTAACITGATA

$$
\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}
\mathbf{R} & \mathbf{T} & \mathbf{N} & \mathbf{Y} & \mathrm{L} & \mathbf{T} & \mathbf{H} & \mathbf{R} & \mathbf{Q} & \mathbf{T} & \mathbf{N} & \mathbf{T} & \mathrm{I} & \mathbf{I} & \mathrm{D}>
\end{array}
$$

HFLT1 D2 $\qquad$
$\begin{array}{lllllllllll}V & V & I & S & P & S & H & G & I & E & L>\end{array}$

Fig.21B.
$490500 \quad 520 \quad 530 \quad 540 \quad 550 \quad 560$ CTGTTGGAGAAAAGCTTGTCTTAAATTGTACAGCAAGAACTGAACTAAATGTGGGGATTGACTTCAACTGGGAATACCCT GACAACCTCTTTTCGAACAGAATTTAACATETCGTTCTTGACTTGATTTACACCCCTAACTGAAGTTGACCCTTATGGGA
 HFILKI D3


TCTTCGAAGCATCAGCATAAGAAACTTGTAAACCGAGACCTAAAAACCCAGTCTGGGAGTGAGATGAAGAAAITTHTGAG AGAAGCTTCGTAGTCGTATTCTTTGAACATTTGGCTCTGGATHTTTGGGTCAGACCCTCACTCTACTTCTTTAAAAACTC
 191 HFLR1 D3
$>$

|  | HFLKI D3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 650 | 650 | 670 | 680 | 690 | 700 | 710 | 720 | CACCTTAACTATAGATGGTGTAACCCGGAGTGACCAAGGATTGTACACCTGTGCASCAICCAGTGGGCTGATGACCAACA GTGGAATTGATATCTACCACATTGGGCCTCACTGGTTCCTAACATGTGGACACGTCGTAGGTCACCCGACTACTGGTTCT

 217
HFLR1 D3


AGAACAGCACATTTGTCAGGGTCCATGAAAAGGGCCCGGGCGACAAAACTCACACATGCCCACCGTSCCCAGCACCTGAA TCTTGICGTGTAAACAGTCCCAGGTACTTTTCCCGGGCCCGCTGTTTTGAGTGTGTACGGGTGGCACGGGTCGTGGACTT


 GAGGACCCCCCTGGCAGTCAGAAGGAGAAGGGGGGTHTTGGGTTCCTGIGGGAGTACTAGAGGGCCTGGGGACTCCAGTS


ATGCGTGGTGGTGGACGTGAGCCACGAAGACCCTGAGGTCAAGTTCAACTGGTACGTGGACGGCGTGGAGGIGCATAATG TACGCACCACCACCTGCACTCGGTGCTTCTGGGACTCCAGTTCAAGTTGACCATGCACCTGCCGCACCTCCACGTATTAC

C V V V $\quad \mathrm{V}$


Fig.21C.
$10501060 \quad 1070 \quad 1080 \quad 1090 \quad 1100 \quad 1110 \quad 1120$ CTGAATGGCAAGGAGTACAAGTGCAAGGTCTCCAACAAAGCCCTCCCAGCCCCCATCGAGAAAACCATCTCCAAAGCCAA GACTTACCGTTCCTCATGITCACGITCCAGAGGTTGTTTCGGGAGGGTCGGGGGTAGCTCTHTIGGTAGAGGTTTCGGTT
 351
FCDCl (A) $\qquad$ $>$
 GCCTGGTCAAAGSCTTCTATCCCAGCGACATCGCCGTGGAGTGGGAGAGCAATGGGCAGCCGGAGAACAACTACAAGACC CGGACCAGTTTCCGAAGATAGGGTCGCTGTAGCGGCACCTCACCCTCTCGTTACCCGTCGGCCTCTTGTTGATGTTCTGG C L V K G F Y P S D I A V E W E S N G Q P E N N

FCAC1 (A) $\qquad$ $>$


```
    >NotI_site
        1450
```

AATGAGCGGCCGC
tтACTCGCCGSCG
K *>
458
$\qquad$

Fig.22A.
102030
4050 | 60
70
80
AAGCTTGGGCTGCAGGTCGATCGACTCTAGAGGATCGATCCCCGGGCGAGCTCGAATTCGCAACCACCATGGTCAGCTAC TTCGAACCCGACGTCCAGCTAGCTGAGATCTCCTAGCTAGGGGCCCGCTCGAGCTTAAGCGTTGGTGGTACCAGTCGATG
$M \vee S Y$

1
$>$


AGAGATGTACAGTGAAATCCCCGAAATTATACACATGACTGAAGAAGGGAGCTCGTCATTCCCTGCCGGGTTACGTCAC TCTCTACATGICACTTMAGGGGCTITAATATGTGTACTGACTTCCTTCCCTCGAGCAGTAAGGGACGGCCCAATGCAGTG
 57 FLTI IG DOMATN 2 $\qquad$
$\begin{array}{llllllll}250 & 260 & 270 & 280 & 290 & 300 & 310 & 320\end{array}$ СTAACATCACTGTVACTTIAAAAAAGTTTCCACTTGACACTTTGATCCCTGATGGAAAACGCATAATCTGGGACAGTAGA GATIGTAGTGACAATGAAATHWHHCAAAGGTGAACTGTGAAACTAGGGACTACCTHWTGCGTATIAGACCCTGTCATCT


FUT1 IG DOMAIN 2

| 330 | 340 | 350 | 360 | 370 | 380 | 390 | 400 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

AAGGGCTTCATCATATCAAATGCAACGTACAAAGAAATAGGGCTTCIGACCTGTGAAGCAACAGTCAATGGGCAITTGTA TTCCCGAAGTAGTATAGTTTACGTTGCATGTTTCTTHATCCCGAAGACTGGACACTTCGTTGTCAGITACCCGTAAACAT
 111
FLTI IG DOMAIN 2

410420430
440450
460
470
480
TAAGACAAACTATCTCACACATCGACAAACCAATACAATCATAGATATCCAGCTGTTGCCCAGGAAGTCGTGGAGCTGC ATTCTGTTIGATAGAGTGTGTAGCTGTHGGTTATGTTAGTATCTATAGGTCGACAACGGGICCT-TCAGCGACCTCGACG
$\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}K & T & N & Y & L & T & H & R & Q & T & N & T & I & I & D\end{array}$
FLTI IG DOMAIN 2 $\qquad$

Fig.22B.
$490500 \quad 510 \quad 520 \quad 530 \quad 540 \quad 550 \quad 50$ TGGTAGOGGAGAAGCTGGTCCTCAACTGCACCGTGTGGGCTGAGTTMAACTCAGGTGTCACCTMTGACTGGGACTACCCA ACCATCCCCTCTTCGACCAGGAGTTGACGIGGCACACCCGACTCAAATTGAGTCCACAGTGGAAACTGACCCTGATGGGT


VEGFR3 (FLTA) IG DOMRIN 3 $\qquad$

| 570 | 580 | 590 | 600 | 610 | 620 | 630 | 640 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | GGGAAGCAGGCAGAGCGGGGTAAGTGGGTGCCCGAGCGACGCTCCCAACAGACCCACACAGAACTCTCCAGCATCCTGAC CCCTTCGTCCGTCTCGCCCCATTCACCCACGGGCTCGCTGCGAGGGTTGTCTGGGTGTGTCTTGAGAGGTCGTAGGACTG

 VEGFR3 (FLTA) IG DOMAIN 3

| 650 | 660 | 670 | 680 | 690 | 700 | 710 | 720 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

СATCCACAACGTCAGCCAGCACGACCTGGGCTCGTATGTGTGCAAGGCCAACAACGGCATCCAGCGATTTICGGGAGAGCA GTAGGTGITGCAGTCGGTCGTGCTGGACCCGAGCATACACACGTTCCGGTTGTTGCCGTAGGTCGCTAAAGCCCTCTCGT

| $I$ | $H$ | $N$ | $V$ | $S$ | $Q$ | $H$ | $D$ | $L$ | $G$ | $S$ | $Y$ | $V$ | $C$ | $K$ | $A$ | $N$ | $N$ | $G$ | $I$ | $Q$ | $R$ | $F$ | $R$ | $E$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | 217 VEGFR3 (FLTA) IG DOMAIN 3 _>



CCGAGGTCATTGIGCATGAAAATGGCCCGGGCGACAAAACTCACACATGCCCACCGTGCCCAGCACCTTGACTCCTGGGG GGCTCCAGTAACACGTACTHHTACCGGGCCCGCTGTTTTGAGTGTGTACGGGTGGCACGGGTCGTGGACTTGAGGACCCC

$\qquad$ $G \quad \mathrm{G}$
$>$
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllll}\text { D } & K & T & H & T & C & P & P & C & P & A & P & E & L & L & G\end{array}$ 244
FCAC1 - A ALLOTYPE

| 810 | 820 | 830 | 840 | 850 | 860 | 870 | 880 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

GGACCGTCAGTCITCCTCTTCCCCCCAAAACCCAAGGACACCCTCATGATCTCCCGGACCCCTGAGGTCACATGCGTGGT CCTGGCAGTCAGAAGGAGAAGGGGGGTTTTGGGTTCCTGTGGGAGTACTAGAGGGCCTGGGGACTCCAGTGTACGCACCA
 FCACl - A ALLOTYPE

890 | 890 | 910 | 920 | 930 | 940 | 950 | 960 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

GGTGGACGIGAGCCACGAAGACCCTGAGGTCAAGTTCAACTGGTACGTGGACGGCGTGGAGGTGOATAATGCCAAGACAA ССАССTGCACTCGGTGCTTCTGGGACTCCAGTTCAAGTTGACCATGCACCTGCCGCACCTCCACGTATTACGGTICTGIT
 297
FCACI - A ALIOTYPE


Fig.22C.
$10501060 \quad 1070 \quad 1080 \quad 1090 \quad 1100 \quad 1110 \quad 1120$
AAGGAGTACAAGTGCAAGGTCTCCAACAAAGCOCTCCCAGCCCCCATCGAGAAAACCATCTCCAAAGCCAAAGGGCAGCC TICCTCATGTTCACGTTCCAGAGGITGTTTCGGGAGGGTCGGGGGTAGCTCTTTTTGGTAGAGGTTTCGGTTTCCOGTCGG
 FCAC1 - A ALLOTYPE $\qquad$


AAGGCTTCTATCCCAGCGACATCGCCGTGGAGTGGGAGAGCAATGGGCAGCCGGAGAACAACTACAAGACCACGCCTCCC TTCCGAAGATAGGGTCGCTGTAGCGGCACCTCACCCTCTCETTACCCGTCGGCCTCTTGTTGATGTTCTGGTGCGGAGGG R G F Y P S D I A V E W E S N G Q P E N N Y K T T P P 404
FCACI - A ALLOTYPE $\square$

| 1290 | 1300 | 1310 | $\begin{gathered} >T>C \\ 1320 \end{gathered}$ | 1330 | 1340 | 1350 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GTGCTGGACTCCGACGGCTCCTTCTTCCTCTATAGCAAGCTCACCGTGGACAAGAGCAGGTGGCAGCAGGGGAACGTCTT САСGACCTGAGGCTGCCGAGGAGAAGGAGATATCGTTCGAGTGGCACCTGTTCTCGTCCACCGTCGTCCCCTTGCAGAA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| V L D S | G $S$ | F L | K L | $v$ D | 5 R | Q | $v$ |

$13701380 \quad 1390 \quad 1400 \quad 1410 \quad 1420 \quad 1430 \quad 1440$
СTCATGCTCCGTGATGCATGAGGCTCTGCACAACCACTACACGCAGAAGAGCCTCTCCCTGTCTCCGGGTAAATGAGCGG GAGTACGAGGCACTACGTACTCCGAGACGTGTTGGTGATGTGCSTCTTCTCGGAGAGGGACAGAGGCCCATTTACTCGCC
455

FCACI - A ALLOTYPE >
ccoc
GGCG

Fig. 23.


- Flt1D2Fik1D3.FcdeltaC1(a)
$\triangle$ Flt1D2VEGFR3D3.FcdeltaC1(a)
$\nabla$ TIE2-Fc
- Flt1 (1-3)-Fc

Fig.24A.

| 20 | 20 | 30 | 40 | 50 | 60 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ |  |  |

ATG GIC AGC TAC TGG GAC ACC GGG GIC CTG CIG TGC GOG CIG CTC AGC TGT CTG CIT CTC TAC CAG TOG ATG ACC CTG TGG COC CAG GAC GAC ACG OCC GAC GAG TCG ACA GAC GAA GAG
 _1___________15_120>

| 70 | 80 | 90 | 100 | 110 | 120 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ |  |

ACA GGA TCT AGT TCC GGA AGT GAT ACC GGT AGA CTI tTC GDA gag atg tac agt gat atc TGT CCT AGA TCA AGG CCT TCA CTA TGG CCA TCT GGA AAG CAT CTC TAC ATG TCA CTT TAG $T G S S S$
21_hFLTI SIGNAL SEO_26>


| 130 | 140 | 150 | 160 | 170 | 180 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ |  |

CCC GAA ATT ATA CAC ATG ACT GAA GGA AGG GAG CTC GIC ATT COC TGC OGG GTT ACG TCA GGG CIT TAA TAT GIG TAC TGA CIT OCT TOC CIC GAG CAG TAA GGG ACG GCC CAA TGC AGT

$190200 \quad 210 \quad 220 \quad 230$

CCF AAC ATC ACT GIT ACT TTA AAA AAG TTT CCA CTT GAC ACT TTG ATC CCT GAT GGA AAA GGA TIG TAG TGA CAA TGA AAT TIT TIC AAA GGT GAA CTG TGA AAC TAG GGA CTA CCT TTT



CGC ATA ATC TGG GAC AGT AGA AAG GGC TIC ATC ATA TCA AAT GCA AOG TAC AAA GAA ATA gCG tat tag acc cig tca tct tic cog ang tag tat agr tit cgi tec atg tri cit tat $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllll}R & I & I & W & D & S & R & K & G & F & I & I & S & N & A & T & Y & K & E & I>\end{array}$

| 310 | 320 | 330 | 340 | 350 | 360 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |

GGG CIT CTG ACC TGT GAA GCA ACA GIC AAT GGG CAT TTG TAT AAG ACA AAC TAT CTC ACA CCC GAA GAC TGG ACA CIT CET TGT CAG TTA COC GIA AAC ATA TTC TGT TIG ATA GAG TGT


CAT OGA CAA ACC AAT ACA ATC ATA GAT GIG GIT CTG AGT CCG TCT CAT GGA ATT GAA CIA GIA GCT GIT TGG TIA TGT TAG TAT CTA CAC CAA GAC TCA GGC AGA GIA OCT TAA CIT GAT | $H$ | $R$ | $Q$ | $T$ | $N$ | $T$ | $I$ | $I$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 121 |  | hFLTI | IG | DOMATN | 2 |  |  |



Fig.24B.
430
440

450
460
470
480
TCT GIT GGA GAA AAG CTT GIC TTA AAT TGT ACA GCA AGA ACT GAA CTA AAT GIG GGG ATT AGA CAA CCT CIT TIC GAA CAG AAT TTA ACA TGT CGT TCT TGA CTT GAT TTA CAC CCC TAA

| 5 |
| :---: |
|  |  |


| 490 | 500 | 510 | 520 | 530 | 540 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ |  |

gac tIc Aac tgg gan tac Cct ter toc ang cat cag cat ang ana cit gia anc oca gac CTG AAG TIG ACC CTT. ATG GGA AGA AGC TIC GIA GIC GTA TTC TIT GAA CAT TTG GCT CTG
 CTA AAA acc cag tct ggg agT gag atg ang ana tit tig agc acc tra act ata gat get gat tit tgg gic aga cic tca cic tac tic tit ana anc tog tGg ant tga tat cia cia


| 610 | 620 | 630 | 640 | 650 | 660 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ |  | gia acc cge agt gac cai gea tig tac acc tgi gca gca tcc agt geg cig atg acc ang CAT TGG GCC TCA CTG GIT CCI AAC ATG TGG ACA OGT CGT AGG TCA COC GAC TAC TGG TTC



| 670 | 680 | 690 | 700 | 710 | $*$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |

AAG AAC AGC ACA TTT GIC AGG GIC CAT GAA AAG GAC AAA ACT CAC ACA TGC CCA CCG TGC TIC TIG TCG tGT AAA CAG TCC CAG GIA CIT TIC CTG TIT TGA GTG TGT AOG GGT GGC ACG $\left.\begin{array}{cccccccccc}K & N & S & T & F & V & R & V & H & E\end{array}\right]$


| 730 | 740 | 750 | 760 | 780 | $*$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |

CCA GCA CCT GAA CIC CIG GGG GGA COG TCA GTC TTC CTC TTC CCC CCA AAA CCC AAG GAC GGT CGT GGA CTT GAG GAC CEC OCT GEC AGT CAG AAG GAG AAG GGG GGT TTT GGG TTC CIG

acc ctc atg atc tic cog acc cot gag gic aca tge gig gig gig gac gig acc cac gan tGg gag tac tag agg gcc teg gea cic cag tgr acc cac cac cac cig cac tcg gig cti


| 850 | 860 | 870 | 880 | 890 | $*$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |

GAC CCT GAG GTC AAG TTC AAC TGG TAC GTG GAC GGC GIG GAG GTG CAT AAT GCC AAG ACA CTG GGA CTC CAG TTC AAG TIG ACC ATG CAC CTG OOG CAC CTC CAC GTA TTA CEG ITC TGT

| D |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |

Fig.24C.
910
920
930
940
950
960
aAg COG CGG gag gag cag tac anc agc acg tac ogt gig gic agc gic cic acc gic cig TTC GEC GCC CIC CTC GIC ATG TIG TOG TGC ATG GCA CAC CAG TOS CAG GAG TGG CAG GAC



CAC CAG GAC TGG CTG AAT GGC AAG GAG TAC ARG TGC AAG GIC TCC AAC AAA GCC CTC CCA GIG GIC CTG ACC GAC TITA COG TTC CTC ATG TTC ACG TTC CAG AGG TTG TTT OGG GAG GGT


| 1030 | 1040 | 1050 | 1060 | 1070 |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |

GCC CCC ATC GAG AAA ACC ATC TCC AAA GCC AAA GGG CAG CCC CEA GAA CCA CAG GIG TAC CGG GEG TAG CTC TTT TEG TAG AGG THT OGG TIT OCC GTC GGG GCT CTT GGT GIC CAC ATG $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllll}\mathrm{A} & \mathrm{P} & \mathrm{I} & \mathrm{E} & \mathrm{K} & \mathrm{T} & \mathrm{I} & \mathrm{S} & \mathrm{K} & \mathrm{A} & \mathrm{K} & \mathrm{G} & \mathrm{Q} & \mathrm{P} & \mathrm{R} & \mathrm{E} & \mathrm{P} & \mathrm{Q} & \mathrm{V} & \mathrm{Y}\end{array}$


| 1090 | 1100 | 1110 | 1120 | 1130 | 1140 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ |  |  |

AOC CTG CCC OCA TCC COG GAT GAG CIG ACC AAG AAC CAG GIC AGC CIG ACC TGC CIG GIC TGG GAC GGG Ger agc gcc cta cic gac tgg tic tig gic cag toc gac tge acg gac cag


AAA GGC TTC TAT COC AGC GAC ATC GCC GIG GAG TGG GAG AGC AAT GGG CAG CCG GAG AAC TTT CCG AAG ATA GGG TCG CIG TAG COG CAC CIC ACC CTC TOG TTA CCC GIC GGC CIC TIG

| K |
| :---: |
|  |  |

1210 | $*$ | 1220 | 1230 | 1240 | 1250 | 1260 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

AAC TAC AAG ACC ACG OCT COC GIG CIG GAC TOC GAC GGC TOC TTC TTC CTC TAC AEC AAG TTG ATG TTC TGG TGC GGA GGG CAC GAC CTG AGG CTG CCG AGG AAG AAg gag ATg TCG TTC


| 1270 | 1280 | 1290 | 1300 | 1310 | $*$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |

CTC AcC gig gac ang agc agg tgg cag cag geg anc gic tic tca tgc tcc gig atg cat GAG TGG CAC CTG TTC TCG TCC ACC GIC GIC COC TTG CAG AAG AGT ACG AGG CAC TAC GIA


| 1330 | 1340 | 1350 | 1360 | $*$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |

gag gct ctg cac anc cac tac acg cac ang agc ctc tcc cig tct ccg get ana tga CTC CGA GAC GIG TTG GIG ATG TGC GIC TTC TCG GAg AgG GAC AGA GGC CCA TTT ACT


Fig.25A.


Fig.25B.



Fig.26A.


Fig.28.

| Binding Stoichiometry of hVEGF165 to FIt1D2FIk1D3.Fc $\Delta C 1(\mathrm{a})$ \& VEGFR1R2-Fc $\Delta C 1(\mathrm{a})$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| hVEGF165 (nM) | VEGF/FIt1D2FIk1D3.Fc $\Delta C 1$ (a) | VEGFNEGFR1R2-Fc $\Delta C 1(\mathrm{a})$ |
| 1 | 0.93 | 0.98 |
| 10 | 0.97 | 0.94 |
| 50 | 1 | 0.99 |
|  |  |  |
| Average $\pm$ StDev | $0.96 \pm 0.03$ | $0.97 \pm 0.02$ |

Fig.29.


Fig. 30.


Fig. 31.


Fig. 32.


Fig. 33.


Fig. 34.


Fig. 35.

Fig.36. 50


Fig. 37.


Fig. 38.



Fig. 40.


Fig.42A.


Fig.42B.


## MODIFIED CHIMERIC POLYPEPTIDES WITH IMPROVED PHARMACOKINETIC PROPERTIES AND METHODS OF USING THEREOF

This application is a divisional of U.S. patent application Ser. No. 10/009,852, filed Dec. 6, 2001, now U.S. Pat. No. $7,070,959$, which is a national stage application of International Application No. PCT/US00/14142, filed May 23, 2000, which claims priority of U.S. Provisional Application Ser. No. 60/138,133, filed Jun. 8, 1999. The disclosures of these applications are herein specifically incorporated by reference in their entirety.

## INTRODUCTION

The field of this invention is modified polypeptides with improved pharmacokinetics. Specifically, the field of this invention relates to Flt1 receptor polypeptides that have been modified in such a way as to improve their pharmacokinetic profile. The field of this invention also relates to methods of making and using the modified polypeptides including but not limited to using the modified polypeptides to decrease or inhibit plasma leakage and/or vascular permeability in a mammal.

## BACKGROUND

The ability of polypeptide ligands to bind to cells and thereby elicit a phenotypic response such as cell growth, survival, cell product secretion, or differentiation is often mediated through transmembrane receptors on the cells. The extracellular domain of such receptors (i.e. that portion of the receptor that is displayed on the surface of the cell) is generally the most distinctive portion of the molecule, as it provides the protein with its ligand binding characteristic. Binding of a ligand to the extracellular domain generally results in signal transduction which transmits a biological signal to intracellular targets. Often, this signal transduction acts via a catalytic intracellular domain. The particular array of sequence motifs of this catalytic intracellular domain determines its access to potential kinase substrates (Mohammadi, et al., 1990, Mol. Cell. Biol. 11:5068-5078; Fantl, et a1., 1992, Cell 69:413-413). Examples of receptors that transduce signals via catalytic intracellular domains include the receptor tyrosine kinases (RTKs) such as the Trk family of receptors which are generally limited to cells of the nervous system, the cytokine family of receptors including the tripartate CNTF receptor complex (Stahl \& Yancopoulos, 1994, J. Neurobio. 25:1454-1466) which is also generally limited to the cells of the nervous system, G-protein coupled receptors such as the $\beta_{2}$-adrenergic receptor found on, for instance, cardiac muscle cells, and the multimeric IgE high affinity receptor FceRI which is localized, for the most part, on mast cells and basophils (Sutton \& Gould, 1993, Nature 366:421-428).

All receptors identified so far appear to undergo dimerization, multimerization, or some related conformational change following ligand binding (Schlessinger, J., 1988, Trend Biochem. Sci. 13:443-447; Ullrich \& Schlessinger, 1990, Cell 61:203-212; Schlessinger \& Ullrich, 1992, Neuron 9:383-391) and molecular interactions between dimerizing intracellular domains lead to activation of catalytic function. In some instances, such as platelet-derived growth factor (PDGF), the ligand is a dimer that binds two receptor molecules (Hart, et al., 1988, Science, 240:1529-1531; Heldin, 1989, J. Biol. Chem. 264:8905-8912) while, for
example, in the case of epidermal growth factor (EGF), the ligand is a monomer (Weber, et al., 1984, J. Biol. Chem. 259:14631-14636). In the case of the FceRI receptor, the ligand, IgE, exists bound to $\mathrm{Fc} \in \mathrm{RI}$ in a monomeric fashion and only becomes activated when antigen binds to the $\mathrm{IgE} / \mathrm{Fc} \in \mathrm{RI}$ complex and cross-links adjacent IgE molecules (Sutton \& Gould, 1993, Nature 366:421-428).

Often, the tissue distribution of a particular receptor within higher organisms provides insight into the biological function of the receptor. The RTKs for some growth and differentiation factors, such as fibroblast growth factor (FGF), are widely expressed and therefore appear to play some general role in tissue growth and maintenance. Members of the Trk RTK family (Glass \& Yancopoulos, 1993, Trends in Cell Biol. 3:262-268) of receptors are more generally limited to cells of the nervous system, and the Nerve Growth Factor family consisting of nerve growth factor (NGF), brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF), neurotrophin-3 (NT-3) and neurotrophin-4/5 (NT-4/5), which bind the Trk RTK family receptors, promote the differentiation of diverse groups of neurons in the brain and periphery (Lindsay, R. M, 1993, in Neurotrophic Factors, S. E. Loughlin \& J. H. Fallon, eds., pp. 257-284, San Diego, Calif., Academic Press). FceRI is localized to a very limited number of types of cells such as mast cells and basophils. Mast cells derive from bone marrow pluripotent hematopoietic stem cell lineage, but complete their maturation in the tissue following migration from the blood stream (See Janeway \& Travers, 1996, in Immunobiology, 2d. Edition, M. Robertson \& E. Lawrence, eds., pp. 1:3-1:4, Current Biology Ltd., London, UK, Publisher) and are involved in the allergic response.

Many studies have demonstrated that the extracellular domain of a receptor provides the specific ligand binding characteristic. Furthermore, the cellular environment in which a receptor is expressed may influence the biological response exhibited upon binding of a ligand to the receptor. For example, when a neuronal cell expressing a Trk receptor is exposed to a neurotrophin which binds to that receptor, neuronal survival and differentiation results. When the same receptor is expressed by a fibroblast, exposure to the neurotrophin results in proliferation of the fibroblast (Glass, et a1., 1991, Cell 66:405-413).

A class of cell-derived dimeric mitogens with selectivity for vascular endothelial cells has been identified and designated vascular endothelial cell growth factor (VEGF). VEGF has been purified from conditioned growth media of rat glioma cells [Conn et al., (1990), Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A., 87. pp 2628-2632]; and conditioned growth media of bovine pituitary follicle stellate cells [Ferrara and Henzel, (1989), Biochem. Biophys. Res. Comm., 161, pp. 851-858; Gozpadorowicz et al., (1989), Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A., 86, pp. 7311-7315] and conditioned growth medium from human U937 cells [Connolly, D. T. et al. (1989), Science, 246, pp. 1309-1312]. VEGF is a dimer with an apparent molecular mass of about 46 kDa with each subunit having an apparent molecular mass of about 23 kDa . VEGF has some structural similarities to platelet derived growth factor (PDGF), which is a mitogen for connective tissue cells but not mitogenic for vascular endothelial cells from large vessels.

The membrane-bound tyrosine kinase receptor, known as Flt, was shown to be a VEGF receptor [DeVries, C. et al., (1992), Science, 255, pp. 989-991]. The Flt receptor specifically binds VEGF which induces mitogenesis. Another form of the VEGF receptor, designated KDR, is also known to bind VEGF and induce mitogenesis. The partial cDNA
sequence and nearly full length protein sequence of $K D R$ is known as well [Terman, B. I. et al., (1991) Oncogene 6, pp. 1677-1683; Terman, B. I. et al., (1992) Biochem. Biophys. Res. Comm. 187, pp. 1579-1586].
Persistent angiogenesis may cause or exacerbate certain diseases such as psoriasis, rheumatoid arthritis, hemangiomas, angiofibromas, diabetic retinopathy and neovascular glaucoma. An inhibitor of VEGF activity would be useful as a treatment for such diseases and other VEGF-induced pathological angiogenesis and vascular permeability conditions, such as tumor vascularization. The present invention relates to a VEGF inhibitor that is based on the VEGF receptor Flt1.
Plasma leakage, a key component of inflammation, occurs in a distinct subset of microvessels. In particular, in most organs plasma leakage occurs specifically in the venules. Unlike arterioles and capillaries, venules become leaky in response to numerous inflammatory mediators including histamine, bradykinin, and serotonin. One characteristic of inflammation is the plasma leakage that results from intercellular gaps that form in the endothelium of venules. Most experimental models of inflammation indicate that these intercellular gaps occur between the endothelial cells of postcapillary and collecting venules (Baluk, P., et al., Am. J. Pathol. 1998 152:1463-76). It has been shown that certain lectins may be used to reveal features of focal sites of plasma leakage, endothelial gaps, and finger-like processes at endothelial cell borders in inflamed venules (Thurston, G., et al., Am. J.
Physiol, 1996, 271: H2547-62). In particular, plant lectins have been used to visualize morphological changes at endothelial cell borders in inflamed venules of, for example, the rat trachea. Lectins, such as conconavalin A and ricin, that bind focally to inflamed venules reveal regions of the subendothelial vessel wall exposed by gaps that correspond to sites of plasma leakage (Thurston, G., et al., Am J Physiol, 1996, 271: H2547-62).
The properties of the microvessels are dynamic. Chronic inflammatory diseases, for example, are associated with microvascular remodeling, including angiogenesis and microvessel enlargement. Microvessels can also remodel by acquiring abnormal phenotypic properties. In a murine model of chronic airway inflammation, airway capillaries acquire properties of venules, including widened vessel diameter, increased immunoreactivity for von Willebrand factor, and increased immunoreactivity for P-selectin. In addition, these remodeled vessels leak in response to inflammatory mediators, whereas vessels in the same position in the airways of normal mice do not.
Certain substances have been shown to decrease or inhibit vascular permeability and/or plasma leakage. For example, mystixins are synthetic polypeptides that have been reported to inhibit plasma leakage without blocking endothelial gap formation (Baluk, P., et al., J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther., 1998, 284: 693-9). Also, the beta 2 -adrenergic receptor agonist formoterol reduces microvascular leakage by inhibiting endothelial gap formation (Baluk, P. and McDonald, D. M., Am. J. Physiol., 1994, 266:L461-8).
The angiopoietins and members of the vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) family are the only growth factors thought to be largely specific for vascular endothelial cells. Targeted gene inactivation studies in mice have shown that VEGF is necessary for the early stages of vascular development and that Ang-1 is required for later stages of vascular remodeling.
U.S. Pat. No. 6,011,003, issued Jan. 4, 2000, in the name of Metris Therapeutics Limited, discloses an altered, soluble
form of FLT polypeptide being capable of binding to VEGF and thereby exerting an inhibitory effect thereon, the polypeptide comprising five or fewer complete immunoglobulin domains.
U.S. Pat. No. 5,712,380, issued Jan. 27, 1998 and assigned to Merck \& Co., discloses vascular endothelial cell growth factor (VEGF) inhibitors that are naturally occurring or recombinantly engineered soluble forms with or without a C-terminal transmembrane region of the receptor for VEGF.

Also assigned to Merck \& Co. is PCT Publication No. WO 98/13071, published Apr. 2, 1998, which discloses gene therapy methodology for inhibition of primary tumor growth and metastasis by gene transfer of a nucleotide sequence encoding a soluble receptor protein which binds to VEGF.

PCT Publication No. WO 97/44453, published Nov. 27, 1997, in the name of Genentech, Inc., discloses novel chimeric VEGF receptor proteins comprising amino acid sequences derived from the vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) receptors Flt1 and KDR, including the murine homologue to the human KDR receptor FLK1, wherein said chimeric VEGF receptor proteins bind to VEGF and antagonize the endothelial cell proliferative and angiogenic activity thereof.
PCT Publication No. WO 97/13787, published Apr. 17, 1997, in the name of Toa Gosei Co., LTD., discloses a low molecular weight VEGF inhibitor usable in the treatment of diseases accompanied by neovascularization such as solid tumors. A polypeptide containing the first immunoglobulinlike domain and the second immunoglobulin-like domain in the extracellular region of a VEGF receptor FLT but not containing the sixth immunoglobulin-like domain and the seventh immunoglobulin-like domain thereof shows a VEGF inhibitory. activity.
Sharifi, J. et al., 1998, The Quarterly Jour. of Nucl. Med. 42:242-249, disclose that because monoclonal antibodies (MAbs) are basic, positively charged proteins, and mammalian cells are negatively charged, the electrostatic interactions between the two can create higher levels of background binding resulting in low tumor to normal organ ratios. To overcome this effect, the investigators attempted to improve MAb clearance by using various methods such as secondary agents as well as chemical and charge modifications of the MAb itself.
Jensen-Pippo, et al., 1996, Pharmaceutical Research 13:102-107, disclose that pegylation of a therapeutic protein, recombinant human granulocyte colony stimulating factor (PEG-G-CSF), results in an increase in stability and in retention of in vivo bioactivity when administered by the intraduodenal route.

Tsutsumi, et al., 1997, Thromb Haemost. 77:168-73, disclose experiments wherein the in vivo thrombopoietic activity of polyethylene glycol-modified interleukin-6 (MPEG-IL-6), in which $54 \%$ of the 14 lysine amino groups of IL-6 were coupled with PEG, was compared to that of native IL-6.
Yang, et al., 1995, Cancer 76:687-94, disclose that conjugation of polyethylene glycol to recombinant human inter-leukin-2 (IL-2) results in a compound, polyethylene glycolmodified IL-2 (PEG-IL-2) that retains the in vitro and in vivo activity of IL-2, but exhibits a markedly prolonged circulating half-life.
R. Duncan and F. Spreafico, Clin. Pharmacokinet. 27: 290-306, 296 (1994) review efforts to improve the plasma half-life of asparaginase by conjugating polyethylene glycol.

PCT International Publication No. WO 99/03996 published Jan. 28, 1999 in the name of Regeneron Pharmaceu-
ticals, Inc. and The Regents of The University of California describes modified human noggin polypeptides having deletions of regions of basic amino acids. The modified human noggin polypeptides are described as retaining biological activity while having reduced affinity for heparin and superior pharmacokinetics in animal sera as compared to the unmodified human noggin.

## SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

The present invention is directed to VEGF antagonists with improved pharmacokinetic properties. A preferred embodiment is an isolated nucleic acid molecule encoding a fusion polypeptide capable of binding a VEGF polypeptide comprising (a) a nucleotide sequence encoding a VEGF receptor component operatively linked to (b) a nucleotide sequence encoding a multimerizing component, wherein the VEGF receptor component is the only VEGF receptor component of the fusion polypeptide and wherein the nucleotide sequence of (a) consists essentially of a nucleotide sequence encoding the amino acid sequence of Ig domain 2 of the extracellular domain of a first VEGF receptor and a nucleotide sequence encoding the amino acid sequence of Ig domain 3 of the extracellular domain of a second VEGF receptor.
In a further embodiment, the isolated nucleic acid of the first VEGF receptor is Flt1.
In a further embodiment, the isolated nucleic acid of the second VEGF receptor is Flt1.
In yet another embodiment, the isolated nucleic acid of the second VEGF receptor is Flt4.

In another preferred embodiment, the nucleotide sequence encoding Ig domain 2 of the extracellular domain of the first VEGF receptor is upstream of the nucleotide sequence encoding Ig domain 3 of the extracellular domain of the second VEGF receptor.
In still another preferred embodiment, the nucleotide sequence encoding Ig domain 2 of the extracellular domain of the first VEGF receptor is downstream of the nucleotide sequence encoding Ig domain 3 of the extracellular domain of the second VEGF receptor.

In a preferred embodiment of the invention, the multimerizing component comprises an immunoglobulin domain.

In another embodiment, the immunoglobulin domain is selected from the group consisting of the Fc domain of IgG, the heavy chain of $\operatorname{lgG}$, and the light chain of $\lg G$.

Preferred embodiments include an isolated nucleic acid molecule comprising a nucleotide sequence encoding a modified Flt1 receptor fusion polypeptide, wherein the coding region of the nucleic acid molecule consists of a nucleotide sequence selected from the group consisting of
(a) the nucleotide sequence set forth in FIG. 13A-13D (SEQ ID NO:3);
(b) the nucleotide sequence set forth in FIG. 14A-14C (SEQ ID NO:5);
(c) the nucleotide sequence set forth in FIG. 15A-15C (SEQ ID NO:7);
(d) the nucleotide sequence set forth in FIG. 16A-16D (SEQ ID NO:9);
(e) the nucleotide sequence set forth in FIG. 21A-21C (SEQ ID NO:11);
(f) the nucleotide sequence set forth in FIG. 22A-22C (SEQ ID NO:13);
(g) the nucleotide sequence set forth in FIG. 24A-24C (SEQ ID NO:15); and
(h) a nucleotide sequence which, as a result of the degeneracy of the genetic code, differs from the nucleotide
sequence of (a), (b), (c), (d), (e), (f), or (g) and which encodes a fusion polypeptide molecule having the biological activity of the modified Flt1 receptor fusion polypeptide.
In a further embodiment of the invention, a fusion polypeptide is encoded by the isolated nucleic acid molecules described above.

A preferred embodiment is a composition capable of binding a VEGF molecule to form a nonfunctional complex comprising a multimer of the fusion polypeptide.

Also preferred is a composition wherein the multimer is a dimer.

In yet another embodiment, the composition is in a carrier.
Another embodiment is a vector which comprises the nucleic acid molecules described above, including an expression vector comprising a the nucleic acid molecules described wherein the nucleic acid molecule is operatively linked to an expression control sequence.

Other included embodiments are a host-vector system for the production of a fusion polypeptide which comprises the expression vector, in a suitable host cell; the host-vector system wherein the suitable host cell is a bacterial cell, yeast cell, insect cell, or mammalian cell; the host-vector system wherein the suitable host cell is $E$. Coli; the host-vector system wherein the suitable host cell is a COS cell; the host-vector system wherein the suitable host cell is a CHO cell.

Another embodiment of the invention is a method of producing a fusion polypeptide which comprises growing cells of the host-vector system under conditions permitting production of the fusion polypeptide and recovering the fusion polypeptide so produced.

Additional embodiments include a fusion polypeptide encoded by the nucleic acid sequence set forth in FIG. 10A-10D (SEQ ID NO:1) or FIG. 24A-24C (SEQ ID $\mathrm{NO}: 15$ ), which has been modified by acetylation or pegylation wherein the acetylation is accomplished with at least about a 100 fold molar excess of acetylation reagent or wherein acetylation is accomplished with a molar excess of acetylation reagent ranging from at least about a 10 fold molar excess to about a 100 fold molar excess or wherein the pegylation is 10 K or 20 K PEG.

A preferred embodiment includes a method of decreasing or inhibiting plasma leakage in a mammal comprising administering to the mammal the fusion polypeptide described above, including embodiments wherein the mammal is a human, the fusion polypeptide is acetylated or the fusion polypeptide is pegylated.

A further embodiments is a fusion polypeptide which specifically binds the VEGF receptor ligand VEGF.

A preferred embodiment of the invention is a method of blocking blood vessel growth in a human comprising administering an effective amount of the fusion polypeptide described above.

Also preferred is a method of inhibiting VEGF receptor ligand activity in a mammal comprising administering to the mammal an effective amount of the fusion polypeptide described above.

Preferred embodiments of these methods are wherein the mammal is a human.

Further embodiments of the methods of the invention include attenuation or prevention of tumor growth in a human; attenuation or prevention of edema in a human, especially wherein the edema is brain edema; attenuation or prevention of ascites formation in a human, especially wherein the ascites is ovarian cancer-associated ascites.

Preferred embodiments of the invention include a fusion polypeptide capable of binding a VEGF polypeptide comprising (a) a VEGF receptor component operatively linked to (b) a multimerizing component, wherein the VEGF receptor component is the only VEGF receptor component in the fusion polypeptide and consists essentially of the amino acid sequence of $\operatorname{Ig}$ domain 2 of the extracellular domain of a first VEGF receptor and the amino acid sequence of Ig domain 3 of the extracellular domain of a second VEGF receptor.

In a further embodiment of the fusion polypeptide, the first VEGF receptor is Flt1.
In yet a further embodiment of the fusion polypeptide, the second VEGF receptor is Flk1.
Still another embodiment of the fusion polypeptide is one in which the second VEGF receptor is Flt4.
Preferred embodiments include a fusion polypeptide wherein amino acid sequence of Ig domain 2 of the extracellular domain of the first VEGF receptor is upstream of the amino acid sequence of $\operatorname{Ig}$ domain 3 of the extracellular domain of the second VEGF receptor and a fusion polypeptide wherein the amino acid sequence of $\operatorname{Ig}$ domain 2 of the extracellular domain of the first VEGF receptor is downstream of the amino acid sequence of $\operatorname{Ig}$ domain 3 of the extracellular domain of the second VEGF receptor.
In yet another embodiment, the fusion polypeptide multimerizing component comprises an immunoglobulin domain including an embodiment wherein the immunoglobulin domain is selected from the group consisting of the Fc domain of $\operatorname{IgG}$, the heavy chain of $\operatorname{IgG}$, and the light chain of IgG .
Preferred embodiments include a fusion polypeptide comprising an amino acid sequence of a modified Flt1 receptor, wherein the amino acid sequence selected from the group consisting of (a) the amino acid sequence set forth in FIG. 13A-13D (SEQ ID NO:4); (b) the amino acid sequence set forth in FIG. 14A-14C (SEQ ID NO:6); (c) the amino acid sequence set forth in FIG. 15A-15C (SEQ ID NO:8); (d) the amino acid sequence set forth in FIG. 16A-16D (SEQ ID $\mathrm{NO}: 10$ ); (e) the amino acid sequence set forth in FIG. 21A-21C (SEQ ID NO;12); (f) the amino acid sequence set forth in FIG. 22A-22C (SEQ ID NO:14); and (g) the amino acid sequence set forth in FIG. 24A-24C (SEQ ID NO:16).
Another preferred embodiment is a method of decreasing or inhibiting plasma leakage in a mammal comprising administering to the mammal the fusion polypeptide described above.
An alternative preferred embodiment is a method of inhibiting VEGF receptor ligand activity in a mammal comprising administering to the mammal an effective amount of the fusion polypeptide described above.

## BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE FIGURES.

FIG. 1. IEF gel analysis of unmodified and acetylated Flt1(1-3)-Fc proteins. Unmodified Flt1(1-3)-Fc protein is unable to enter the gel due to its $>9.3 \mathrm{pl}$, whereas acetylated Flt1(1-3)-Fc is able to enter the gel and equilibrate at pl 5.2 .

FIG. 2. Binding of unmodified Flt1(1-3)-Fc and acetylated Flt1(1-3)-Fc proteins to MATRIGEL® coated plates. Unmodified Flt1 (1-3)-Fc proteins binds extensive to extracellular matrix components in Matrigel.RTM., whereas acetylated Flt1(1-3)-Fc does not bind.
FIG. 3. Binding of unmodified Flt1(1-3)-Fc, acetylated Flt1(i-3)-Fc, and peoylated Flt1(1-3)-Fc in a BIACORETM_ based assay. Acetylated (columns 13-16), pegylated (columns 17-20), and heparin-treated Flt1(1-3)-Fc (columns 21-24) are each able to completely compete 25 with the
$\qquad$
5 Flt (1-3)-Fc for VEGF binding. However, washing the bound samples with 0.5 M NaCl (columns $7-8$ ) results in a binding profile similar to the modified forms of Flt1(1-3)Fc , indicating that the unmodified protein is exhibiting non-specific binding to the chip that can be eliminated by the salt wash. However, washing the bound samples with 0.5 M NaCl (columns 7-8) results in a binding profile similar to the modified forms of Flt1(1-3)-Fc, indicating that the unmodified protein is exhibiting non-specific binding to the chip that can be eliminated by the salt wash.

FIG. 4. Binding of unmodified Flt1(1-3)-Fc, acetylated Flt1(1-3)-Fc, and pegylated Flt1(1-3)-Fc to VEGF in an ELISA-based assay. Both pegylated and acetylated Flt1(1-3)-Fc proteins bind to VEGF with affinities approaching that of unmodified Flt1(1-3)-Fc.

FIG. 5. Pharmacokinetic profiles of unmodified Flt1(1-3)-Fc, acetylated Flt1(1-3)-Fc, and pegylated Flt1(1-3)-Fc. Balb/c mice ( $23-28 \mathrm{~g}$ ) were injected subcutaneously with 4 $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ of unmodified, acetylated, or pegylated Flt1(1-3)-Fc. The mice were tail bled at $1,2,4,6,24$ hours, 2 days, and 3 days after injection of protein and the sera were assayed in a standard ELISA-based assay designed to detect Flt1(1-3)Fc protein. The $\mathrm{T}_{\text {max }}$ for all of the $\mathrm{Flt} 1(1-3)-\mathrm{Fc}$ proteins was between the 6 hour and 24 hour time points. The $\mathrm{C}_{\max }$ for the different proteins was as follows: Unmodified: $0.06 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}-$ $300.15 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$; acetylated: $1.5 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}-4.0 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$; and pegylated: approximately $5 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$.

FIG. 6A-6B. IEF gel analysis of unmodified and stepacetylated Flt1(1-3)-Fc proteins. Unmodified Flt1(1-3)-Fc protein is unable to enter the gel due to its $>9.3 \mathrm{pl}$, whereas most of the step-acetylated Flt1(1-3)-Fc samples (30-100 fold excess samples) were able to migrate into the gel and equilibrate at pls ranging between 4.55-8.43, depending on the degree of acetylation.

FIG. 7. Binding of unmodified Flt1(1-3)-Fc and stepacetylated FIti (1-3)-Fc proteins to MATRIGEL® coated plates. As with the irrelevant control protein, rTie2-Fc, step-acetylated Flt1(1-3)-Fc (20 and 30 fold excess samples) does not exhibit any binding to the Matrigel coated plate, whereas the non-acetylated Flt1(1-3)-Fc protein exhibits 45 significant binding. The 10 fold excess sample shows reduced binding, but the degree of acetylation is not enough to completely block binding to extracellular matrix components.

FIG. 8. Binding of unmodified Flt1(1-3)-Fc and step50 acetylated Flt1 (1-3)-Fc in a B1ACORE ${ }^{\text {TM }}-$ based assay. At a sub-stoichiometric ratio $(0.5 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ of either unmodified Flt1(1-3) or step-acetylated Flt1(1-3)-Fc vs. $0.2 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ VEGF), there is not enough Flt1(1-3)-Fc (either unmodified or step-acetylated) in the solution to completely bind the 5 VEGF. At $1.0 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$, which approximates a $1: 1$ stoichiometric ratio, the both unmodified and step-acetylated Flt1 (1-3)-Fc are better able to compete for VEGF binding, but there is still insufficient Flt1(1-3)-Fc protein (either unmodified or step-acetylated) to completely saturate the available VEGF. However, at $5.0 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$, which is several times greater than a $1: 1$ stoichiometric ratio, both the Flt1(1-3)-Fc and the step-acetylated Flt1(1-3)-Fc proteins are able to saturate the VEGF, regardless of the degree of acetylation.

FIG. 9. Pharmacokinetic profiles of unmodified Flt1(165 3)-Fc and step-acetylated Flt1(1-3)-Fc. Balb/c mice (23-28 g) were injected subcutaneously with $4 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ of unmodified or $10,20,40,60$ and 100 fold excess samples of step-
acetylated Flt1(1-3)-Fc (3 mice for unmodified, 10, 20 and 40 fold excess samples and 2 mice for 60 and 100 fold excess samples). The mice were tail bled at $1,2,4,6,24$ hours, 2 days and 3 days after injection. The sera were assayed in an ELISA-based assay designed to detect Flt1 $(1-3)-\mathrm{Fc}$. The $\mathrm{T}_{\text {max }}$ for all of the Flt1(1-3)-Fc proteins tested was at the 6 hour time point but the $\mathrm{C}_{\max }$ was as follows: Unmodified Flt1(1-3)-Fc: $0.06 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$; 10 fold excess sample: $-0.7 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}, 20$ fold excess sample- $2 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}, 40$ fold excess sample $4 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}, 60$ fold excess sample - 2 $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{ml}, 100$ fold excess sample- $1 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$.
FIG. 10A-10D. Nucleic acid (SEQ ID NO:1) and deduced amino acid sequence (SEQ ID NO:2) of Flt1(1-3)-Fc.
FIG. 11. Schematic diagram of the structure of Fltt.
FIG. 12A and 12B. Hydrophilicity analysis of the amino acid sequences of $\operatorname{Ig}$ domain 2 and $\operatorname{Ig}$ domain 3 of Fltt.
FIG. 13A-13D. Nucleic acid (SEQ ID NO:3) and deduced amino acid sequence (SEQ ID NO:4) of Mut1: Flt1 $\left(1-3_{\Delta^{8}}\right)$ Fc.

FIG. 14A-14C. Nucleic acid (SEQ ID NO:5) and deduced amino acid sequence (SEQ ID NO:6) of Mut2-Flt1 $\left(2-3_{A^{B}}\right)$ Fc.
FIG. 15A-15C. Nucleic acid (SEQ ID NO:7) and deduced amino acid sequence (SEQ ID NO:8) of Mut3: Flt1(2-3)-Fc.
FIG. 16A-16D. Nucleic acid (SEQ ID NO:9) and deduced amino acid sequence (SEQ ID NO:10) of Mut4: Flt1(1$\left.3_{R \rightarrow N}\right)$-Fc.
FIG. 17. Binding of unmodified FIt 1(1-3)-Fc, basic region deletion mutant Fit1 $(1-3)-\mathrm{Fc}$, and $\operatorname{Flt1}(1-3)_{R->N}$ mutant proteins in a BIACORE ${ }^{\text {TM-based assay. At the sub-stoichiomet- }}$ ric ratio ( $0.25 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ Flt1(1-3)-Fc of unmodified, acetylated or genetically modified samples vs. $01 . \mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{ml}$ VEGF), there is insufficient Flt1(1-3)-Fc protein to block binding of VEGF to the Fltt(1-3)-Fc immobilized on the BIACORE ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ chip. At $0.5 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ of unmodified, acetylated or genetically modified Flt1(1-3)-Fc proteins, the stoichiometric ratio approximates 1:1 and there is an increased ability to block VEGF binding to the BIACORE ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ chip. At $1.0 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ of unmodified, acetylated or genetically modified Flt1(1-3)-Fc proteins, which is approximately a 10:1 stoichiometric ratio, the FIt1 (1-3)-Fc proteins are able to block binding of VEGF to the BIACORE ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ chip, but they are not equivalent. Unmodified, acetylated, and Mut1: Fltt $(1-3 \Delta \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{Fc}$ are essentially equal in their ability to block VEGF binding, whereas Mut4: Flt $1(1-3 \mathrm{R}->\mathrm{N})-\mathrm{Fc}$ is somewhat less efficient at blocking binding.
FIG. 18. Binding of unmodified Flt1(1-3)-Fc, Mut1: Flt1 $\left(1-3_{\Delta B}\right)$-Fc, Mut2: Flt1 $\left(2-3_{\Delta B}\right)$-Fc, and Flt1 (2-3) mutant proteins to Matrigel $\mathbb{B}$ coated plates. Unmodified $\mathrm{Flt1}(1-3)-\mathrm{Fc}$ protein binds avidly to these wells, the Mut3: Flt1(2-3)-Fc protein binds somewhat more weakly, the Mut1: Flt1(1$3_{\Delta B}$ )-Fc protein binds -more weakly still, and the Mut2: Flt1 $\left(2-3_{\Delta B}\right)$-Fc protein shows the best profile, binding more weakly than any of the other mutant proteins. The Mut4: Flt1 $\left(1-3_{R \rightarrow S}\right)$ - Fc glycosylation mutant protein shows only marginal benefit on the Matrigel assay.
FIG. 19. Binding of unmodified FIt1(1-3)-Fc, Mut1: Flt1 $\left(1-3_{\Delta B}\right)-\mathrm{Fc}$, Mut2: Flt1 $\left(2-3_{\Delta B}\right)$-Fc, and Flt1(2-3) mutant proteins in an ELISA-based assay. At the concentrations tested, unmodified Flt1(1-3)-Fc, Mut1: Flt1 $\left(1-3_{\Delta B}\right)$-Fc, Mut2: Flt1 $\left(2-3_{\Delta B}\right)$-Fc, and Flt1(2-3) mutant proteins bind VEGF similarly.
FIG. 20. Pharmacokinetic profiles of unmodified Flt1(1-3)-Fc, Mut1: Flt1 $\left(1-3_{A B}\right)$-Fc, Mut2: Flt1 $\left(2-3_{A B}\right)$-Fc, and Flt1(2-3) mutant proteins. the Cmax for these reagents was
as follows: Unmodified $\mathrm{Flt1}(1-3)-\mathrm{Fc}-0.15 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml} ; 40$ fold molar excess acetylated Flt1(1-3)-Fc- $1.5 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$; and Mut1: Flt1 $\left(1-3_{\Delta B}\right)-\mathrm{Fc}-0.7 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$.

FIG. 21A-21C. Nucleotide (SEQ ID NO:11) and deduced amino acid sequence (SEQ ID NO:12) of the modified Flt1 receptor termed Flt1D2.Flk1D3.Fc $\Delta \mathrm{C} 1$ (a).

FIG. 22A-22C. Nucleotide (SEQ ID NO:13) and deduced amino acid sequence (SEQ ID NO:14) of the modified Flt1 receptor termed Flt1D2.VEGFR3D3.Fc $\Delta C 1$ (a).

FIG. 23. Extracellular Matrix (ECM) Assay. The results of this assay demonstrate that the Flt1D2.Flk1D3.Fc $\Delta \mathrm{C} 1$ (a) and Flt1D2.VEGFR3D3.FcaC1(a) proteins are considerably less sticky to the ECM as compared to the Flt1(1-3)-Fc protein.

FIG. 24A-24C. Nucleotide (SEQ ID NO:15) and deduced amino acid sequence (SEQ ID NO:16) of the modified Flt1 receptor termed VEGFR1R2-FcAC1(a).

FIG. 25A-25C. Phosphorylation assay. At a 1.5 molar excess of either Flt1(1-3)-Fc , Flt1(1-3)-Fc (A40) or transient Flt1D2Flk 1D3.Fc $\Delta \mathrm{C} 1$ (a) there is complete blockage of receptor stimulation by these these is modified Flt1 receptors as compared to control media challenge. In contrast, transient Flt1D2VEGFR3D3.FcAC1(a) does not show significant blockage at this molar excess, as compared with VEGF positive control challenge. Similar results are seen in FIG. 25B, where the modified Flt receptors are in a 3-fold molar excess to VEGF 165 ligand. In FIG. 25C, where the modified Flt1 receptors are in a 6 -fold molar excess to VEGF165 ligand, transient Flt1D2VEGFR3D3.FcAC1 (a) can now be shown to be partially blocking VEGF 165 -induced stimulation of cell-surface receptors.

FIG. 26A-26B. Phosphorylation assay. Detection by Western blot of tyrosine phosphorylated VEGFR2(Flk1) by VEGF165 ligand stimulation shows that cell-surface receptors are not phosphorylated by challenge samples which have VEGF 165 preincubated with 1 and 2 fold molar excess (FIG. 26A) or 3 and 4 fold molar excess (FIG. 26B) of either transient Flt1D2Flk1D3.Fc $\Delta \mathrm{C} 1(\mathrm{a})$, stable Flt1D2Flk1D3.Fc $\Delta \mathrm{C} 1$ (a), or transient VEGFR1R2-Fc $\Delta \mathrm{C} 1$ (a). At all modified Flt1 receptor concentrations tested there is complete binding of VEGF165 ligand during the preincubation, resulting in no detectable stimulation of cellsurface receptors by unbound VEGF165 as compared to control media challenge.
FIG. 27. MG/R2 Cell proliferation assay. The following modified Flt receptors Flt1(1-3)-Fc, Flt1D2.Flk1D3.Fc $\Delta \mathrm{C} 1$ (a) and Flt1D2.VEGFR3D3.FcaC1(a), plus an irrelevant receptor termed Tie2-Fc as a negative control, were titrated from 40 nM to 20 pM and incubated on the cells for 1 hr at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Human recombinant VEGF165 in defined media was then added to all the wells at a concentration of 1.56 nM . The negative control receptor Tie2-Fc does not block VEGF165induced cell proliferation at any concentration whereas Flt1D2.Flk1D3.Fc $\Delta \mathrm{C} 1$ (a) blocks 1.56 nM VEGF 165 with a half maximal dose of 0.8 nM . Flt1 $(1-3)-\mathrm{Fc}$ and Flt1D2.VEGFR3D3.Fc $\Delta \mathrm{C} 1$ (a) are less effective in blocking VEGF165 in this assay with a half maximal dose of $\sim 2 \mathrm{nM}$. VEGF165 alone gives a reading of 1.2 absorbance units and the background is 0.38 absorbance units.

FIG. 28. BIACORE ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ analysis of Binding Stoichiometry. Binding 20 stoichiometry was calculated as a molar ratio of bound VEGF165 to the immobilized Flt1D2Flk1D3.Fc. $\Delta \mathrm{C} 1$ (a) or VEGFR1R2-FcDC1 (a), using the conversion factor of 1000 RU equivalent to $1 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{ml}$. The results indicated 5 binding stoichiometry of one VEGF 165 dimeric molecule per one Flt1D2Flk1D3.Fc $\Delta \mathrm{C} 1$ (a) or VEGFR1R2-Fc $\Delta \mathrm{C} 1$ (a) molecule.

FIG. 29 and FIG. 30. Size Exclusion Chromatography Stoichiometry. Flt1D2Flk1D3.FcaC1(a) or VEGFR1R2$\mathrm{Fc} \Delta \mathrm{C} 1$ (a) at a concentration of 1 nM (estimated to be 1000 times higher than the KD of the Flt1D2F1k1D3.Fc $\Delta \mathrm{C} 1$ (a) or VEGFR1R2-Fc $\Delta$ C1(a)/VEGF165 interaction) were mixed with varied concentrations of VEGF165. After incubation, concentrations of the free Flt1D2FIk1D3.FcAC1 (a) in solution were measured. The data shows that the addition of 1 nM VEGF165 into the Flt1D2Flk1D3.Fc $\Delta \mathrm{C} 1$ (a) solution completely blocks Flt1D2Flk1D3.FcAC1 (a) binding to the VEGF 165 surface. This result suggested the binding stoichiometry of one VEGF165 molecule per one Flt1D2FIk1D3.FcaC1(a) molecule.
FIG. 31. Size Exclusion Chromatography (SEC) under native conditions. Peak \#1 represents the Flt1D2Flk1D3.FcAC1(a)/VEGF165 complex and peak \#2 represents unbound VEGF165. Fractions eluted between 1.1 and 1.2 ml were combined and guanidinium hydrochloride ( GuHCl )was added to a final concentration 4.5 M to dissociate the complex.
FIG. 32. Size Exclusion Chromatography (SEC) under dissociative conditions. To separate the components of the receptor-ligand complex and to determine their molar ratio, 50 .mu. 1 of dissociated complex was loaded onto a SUPER$\mathrm{OSE}^{\mathrm{TM}} 12 \mathrm{PC} 3.2 / 30$ equilibrated in 6 M GuHCl and eluted, Peak \#1 represents Flt1D2F1k1D3.Fc $\Delta \mathrm{C} 1$ (a) and peak \#2 represents VEGF165.
FIG. 33, FIG. 34 and FIG. 35. Size Exclusion Chromatography (SEC) with On-Line Light Scattering. Size exclusion chromatography column with a MiniDawn on-line light scattering detector (Wyatt Technology, Santa Barbara, Calif.) and refractive index (RI) detectors (Shimadzu, Kyoto, Japan) was used to determine the molecular weight (MW) of the receptor-ligand complex. As shown in FIG. 33, the elution profile shows two peaks. Peak \#1 represents the receptor-ligand complex and peak \#2 represents the unbound VEGF165. MW was calculated from LS and RI signals. The same procedure was used to determine MW of the individual components of the receptor-ligand complex. The results of these determinations are as follows: MW of the Flt1D2Flk1D3.FcムC1(a)/VEGF165 complex at the peak position is 157300 (FIG. 33), the MW of VEGF165 at the peak position is 44390 (FIG. 34) and the MW of R1R2 at the peak is 113300 (FIG. 35).
FIG. 36. Peptide mapping and glycosylation analysis. The disulfide structures and glycosylation sites in Flt1D2.Flk1D3.Fc $\Delta$ C1(a) (SEQ ID NO:12) were determined by a peptide mapping method. There are a total of ten cysteines in Flt1D2.F1k 1D3.Fc $\Delta$ C1 (a); six of them belong to the Fc region. Cys27 is disulfide bonded to Cys76. Cys121 is disulfide bonded to Cys 182. The first two cysteines in the Fc region (Cys211 and Cys214) form an intermolecular disulfide bond with the same two cysteines in another Fc chain. However, it can not be determined whether disulfide bonding is occurring between same cysteines (Cys211 to Cys211, for example) or between Cys211 and Cys211. Cys216 is disulfide bonded to Cys306. Cys 352 is disulfide bonded to Cys410.
There are five possible N-linked glycosylation sites in Flt1D2.Flk1D3.Fc $\Delta \mathrm{C} 1$ (a) (SEQ ID NO:12) and are found to be glycosylated to varying degrees. Complete glycosylation is observed at Asn33, Asn193, and Asn282. Partial glycosylation is observed on Asn65 and Asn120. Sites of glycosylation are highlighted by underline in the Figure.
FIG. 37. Pharmacokinetics of Flt1(1-3)-Fc (A40), Flt1D2.Flk1D3.Fc $\Delta \mathrm{C} 1(\mathrm{a})$ and VEGFR1R2-Fc $\Delta \mathrm{C} 1(\mathrm{a})$. $\mathrm{Balb} / \mathrm{c}$ mice were injected subcutaneously with $4 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ of
$\mathrm{Flt1}(1-3)-\mathrm{Fc} \quad$ (A40), CHO transiently expressed Flt1D2.Flk1D3.FcAC1(a), CHO stably expressed Flt1D2.Flk1D3.FccCl(a), and CHO transiently expressed VEGFR1R2-Fc $\Delta C 1$ (a). The mice were tail bled at 1, 2, 4, 6, 24 hrs, 2 days, 3 days and 6 days after injection. The sera were assayed in an ELISA designed to detect Flt1(1-3)-Fc (A40), Flt1D2.F1k1D3.Fc $\Delta \mathrm{C} 1$ (a) or VEGFR1R2-Fc $\Delta \mathrm{C} 1$ (a). The Tmax for Flt1(1-3)-Fc (A40) was at 6 hrs while the Tmax for the transient and stable Flt1D2.Flk1D3.Fc $\Delta \mathrm{C} 1$ (a) and the transient VEGFR1R2-FcAC1(a) was 24 hrs . The Cmax for Flt1(1-3)-Fc (A40) was $8 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$, For both transients (Flt1D2.F1k1D3.Fc $\Delta \mathrm{C} 1$ (a) and VEGFR1R2-Fc $\Delta \mathrm{C} 1$
(a)) the Cmax was $18 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ and the Cmax for the stable VEGFR1R2-Fc $\Delta C 1$ (a) was $30 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$.

FIG. 38. Pharmacokinetics of $\mathrm{Flt1}(1-3)-\mathrm{Fc}$ (A40), Flt1D2.Flk1D3.Fc $\Delta \mathrm{C} 1$ (a) and Flt1D2.VEGFR3D3.Fc $\Delta \mathrm{C} 1$ (a). Balb/c mice were injected subcutaneously with $4 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ of Flt1(1-3)-Fc (A40), CHO transiently expressed Flt1D2.Flk1D3.FcムC1(a) and CHO transiently expressed Flt1D2.VEGFR3D3.Fc $\Delta \mathrm{C} 1$ (a). The mice were tail bled at 1 , $2,5,6,7,8,12,15$ and 20 days after injection. The sera were assayed in an ELISA designed to detect Flt1(1-3)-Fc, Flt1D2.Flk1D3.FcaC1(a) and Flt1D2.VEGFR3D3.Fc $\Delta C 1$ (a). Flt1(1-3)-Fc (A40) could no longer be detected in the serum after day 5 whereas Flt1D2.Flk1D3.Fc $\Delta \mathrm{C} 1$ (a) and Flt1D2.VEGFR3D3.FcaC1(a) were detectable for 15 days or more.
FIG. 39. The Ability of Flt1D2.Flk1D3.Fc $\Delta \mathrm{C} 1$ (a) to Inhibit HT-1080 Fibrosarcoma Tumor Growth In Vivo. Every other day or 2 times per week treatment of SCID mice with Flt1D2.Flk1D3.Fc $\Delta \mathrm{Cl}$ (a) at $25 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{Kg}$ significantly decreases the growth of subcutaneous HT-1080 fibrosarcoma tumors.

FIG. 40. The Ability of Flt1D2.Flk1D3.Fc $\Delta C 1$ (a) to Inhibit C6 Glioma Tumor Growth In Vivo. Every other day or 2 times a week treatment of SCID mice with Flt1D2.Flk1D3.FcAC1(a) significantly decreases the growth of subcutaneous C6 glioma tumors at doses as low as 2.5 $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{Kg}$.

FIG. 41. VEGF-Induced Uterine Hyperpermeability. PMSG injected subcutaneously ( 5 IU) to induce ovulation in prepubertal female rats results in a surge of estradiol after 2 days which in turn causes an induction of VEGF in the uterus. This induction results in hyperpermeability of the uterus and an increase in uterine wet. Subcutaneous injection of Flt1(1-3)-Fc (A40), Flt1D2.Flk1D3.Fc $\Delta \mathrm{C} 1$ (a) and Flt1D2.VEGFR3D3.Fc $\Delta \mathrm{C} 1$ (a) at $25 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ at 1 hr after PMSG injection results in about a $50 \%$ inhibition of the increase in uterine wet weight.

FIG. 42A-42B. Assessment of Corpus Luteum Angiogenesis Using Progesterone as a Readout. PMSG was injected subcutaneously ( 5 IU ) to induce ovulation in prepubertal female rats, resulting in a fully functioning corpus luteum containing a dense network of blood vessels that secretes progesterone into the blood stream to prepare the uterus for implantation. The induction of angiogenesis in the corpus luteum requires VEGF. Resting levels of progesterone are about $5 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{ml}$ and can be induced to $25-40 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{ml}$ after PMSG. Subcutaneous injection of $\operatorname{Flt1}(1-3)$-Fc (A40) or Flt1D2.Flk1D3.FcaCl(a) at $25 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ or $5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ at 1 hr . after PMSG injection resulted in a complete inhibition of the progesterone induction on day 4.

## DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

It has been a long standing problem in the art to produce a receptor based VEGF antagonist that has a pharmacokinetic profile that is appropriate for consideration of the antagonist as a therapeutic candidate. Applicants describe herein, for the first time, a chimeric polypeptide molecule, capable of antagonizing VEGF activity, that exhibits improved pharmacokinetic properties as compared to other known receptor-based VEGF antagonists. The chimeric polypeptide molecules described herein thus provide for the first time appropriate molecules for use in therapies in which antagonism of VEGF is a desired result.

The present invention provides for novel chimeric polypeptide molecules formed by fusing a modified extracellular ligand binding domain of the Flt1 receptor to the Fc region of $\operatorname{IgG}$.

The extracellular ligand binding domain is defined as the portion of a receptor that, in its native conformation in the cell membrane, is oriented extracellularly where it can contact with its cognate ligand. The extracellular ligand binding domain does not include the hydrophobic amino acids associated with the receptor's transmembrane domain or any amino acids associated with the receptor's intracellular domain. Generally, the intracellular or cytoplasmic domain of a receptor is usually composed of positively charged or polar amino acids (i.e. lysine, arginine, histidine, glutamic acid, aspartic acid). The preceding 15-30, predominantly hydrophobic or apolar amino acids (i.e. leucine, valine, isoleucine, and phenylalanine) comprise the transmembrane domain. The extracellular domain comprises the amino acids that precede the hydrophobic transmembrane stretch of amino acids. Usually the transmembrane domain is flanked by positively charged or polar amino acids such as lysine or arginine. von Heijne has published detailed rules that are commonly referred to by skilled artisans when determining which amino acids of a given receptor belong to the extracellular, transmembrane, or intracellular domains (See von Heijne, 1995, BioEssays 17:25-30). Alternatively, websites on the Internet have become available to provide protein chemists with information about making predictions about protein domains.

The present invention provides for the construction of nucleic acid molecules encoding chimeric polypeptide molecules that are inserted into a vector that is able to express the chimeric polypeptide molecules when introduced into an appropriate host cell. Appropriate host cells include, but are not limited to, bacterial cells, yeast cells, insect cells, and mammalian cells. Any of the methods known to one skilled in the art for the insertion of DNA fragments into a vector may be used to construct expression vectors encoding the chimeric polypeptide molecules under control of transcriptional/translational control signals. These methods may include in vitro recombinant DNA and synthetic techniques and in vivo recombinations (genetic recombination) (See Sambrook, et al., Molecular Cloning, A Laboratory Manual, Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory; Current Protocols in Molecular Biology, Eds. Ausubel, et al., Greene Publ. Assoc., Wiley-Interscience, NY).

Expression of nucleic acid molecules encoding the chimeric polypeptide molecules may be regulated by a second nucleic acid sequence so that the chimeric polypeptide molecule is expressed in a host transformed with the recombinant DNA molecule. For example, expression of the chimeric polypeptide molecules described herein may be controlled by any promoter/enhancer element known in the
art. Promoters which may be used to control expression of the chimeric polypeptide molecules include, but are not limited to, the long terminal repeat as described in Squinto et al., (1991, Cell 65:1-20); the SV40 early promoter region (Bernoist and Chambon, 1981, Nature 290:304-310), the CMV promoter, the M-MuLV 5' terminal repeat the promoter contained in the $3^{\prime}$ long terminal repeat of Rous sarcoma virus (Yamamoto, et al., 1980, Cell 22:787-797), the herpes thymidine kinase promoter (Wagner et al., 1981, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 78:144-1445), the regulatory sequences of the metallothionine gene (Brinster et al., 1982, Nature 296:39-42); prokaryotic expression vectors such as the $\beta$-lactamase promoter (Villa-Kamaroff, et al., 1978, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 75:3727-3731), or the tac promoter (DeBoer, et al., 1983, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 80:21-25, see also "Useful proteins from recombinant bacteria" in Scientific American, 1980, 242:74-94); promoter elements from yeast or other fungi such as the Gal 4 promoter, the ADH (alcohol dehydrogenase) promoter, PGK (phosphoglycerol kinase) promoter, alkaline phosphatase promoter, and the following animal transcriptional control regions, which exhibit tissue specificity and have been utilized in transgenic animals: elastase I gene control region which is active in pancreatic acinar cells (Swift et al., 1984, Cell 38:639-646; Ornitz et al., 1986, Cold Spring Harbor Symp. Quant. Biol. 50:399-409; MacDonald, 1987, Hepatology 7:425-515); insulin gene control region which is active in pancreatic beta cells (Hanahan, 1985, Nature $315: 115-122$ ), immunoglobulin gene control region which is active in lymphoid cells (Grosschedl et al., 1984, Cell 38:647-658; Adames et al., 1985, Nature 318:533-538; Alexander et al., 1987, Mol. Cell. Biol. 7:1436-1444), mouse mammary tumor virus control region which is active in testicular, breast, lymphoid and mast cells (Leder et al., 1986, Cell 45:485-495), albumin gene control region which is active in liver (Pinkert et al., 1987, Genes and Devel. $1: 268-276$ ), alpha-fetoprotein gene control region which is active in liver (Krumlauf et al., 1985, Mol. Cell. Biol. 5:1639-1648; Hammer et al., 1987, Science 235:53-58); alpha 1 -antitrypsin gene control region which is active in the liver (Kelsey et al, 1987, Genes and Devel. 1:161-171), beta-globin gene control region which is active in myeloid cells (Mogram et al., 1985, Nature 315:338-340; Kollias et al., 1986, Cell 46:89-94); myelin basic protein gene control region which is active in oligodendrocyte cells in the brain (Readhead et al., 1987, Cell 48:703-712); myosin light chain-2 gene control region which is active in skeletal muscle (Shani, 1985, Nature 314:283-286), and gonadotropic releasing hormone gene control region which is active in the hypothalamus (Mason et al., 1986, Science 234:13721378).

Thus, according to the invention, expression vectors capable of being replicated in a bacterial or eukaryotic host comprising chimeric polypeptide molecule-encoding nucleic acid as described herein, are used to transfect the host and thereby direct expression of such nucleic acids to produce the chimeric polypeptide molecules, which may then be recovered in a biologically active form. As used herein, a biologically active form includes a form capable of binding to VEGF.

Expression vectors containing the chimeric nucleic acid molecules described herein can be identified by three general approaches: (a) DNA-DNA hybridization, (b) presence or absence of "marker" gene functions, and (c) expression of inserted sequences. In the first approach, the presence of a foreign gene inserted in an expression vector can be detected by DNA-DNA hybridization using probes comprising
sequences that are homologous to the inserted chimeric polypeptide molecule sequences. In the second approach, the recombinant vector/host system can be identified and selected based upon the presence or absence of certain "marker" gene functions (e.g., thymidine kinase activity, resistance to antibiotics, transformation phenotype, occlusion body formation in baculovirus, etc.) caused by the insertion of foreign genes in the vector. For example, if the chimeric polypeptide molecule DNA sequence is inserted within the marker gene sequence of the vector, recombinants containing the insert can be identified by the absence of the marker gene function. In the third approach, recombinant expression vectors can be identified by assaying the foreign gene product expressed by the recombinant. Such assays can be based, for example, on the physical or functional properties of the chimeric polypeptide molecules.

Cells of the present invention may transiently or, preferably, constitutively and permanently express the chimeric polypeptide molecules.
The chimeric polypeptide molecules may be purified by any technique which allows for the subsequent formation of a stable, biologically active chimeric polypeptide molecule. For example, and not by way of limitation, the factors may be recovered from cells either as soluble proteins or as inclusion bodies, from which they may be extracted quantitatively by 8 M guanidinium hydrochloride and dialysis (see, for example, Builder, et al., U.S. Pat. No. $5,663,304$ ). In order to further purify the factors, conventional ion exchange chromatography, hydrophobic interaction chromatography, reverse phase chromatography or gel filtration may be used.
In one embodiment of the invention, the nucleotide sequence encoding the first component is upstream of the nucleotide sequence encoding the second component. In another embodiment of the invention, the nucleotide sequence encoding the first component is downstream of the nucleotide sequence encoding the second component. Further embodiments of the invention may be prepared in which the order of the first, second and third fusion polypeptide components are rearranged. For example, if the nucleotide sequence encoding the first component is designated 1 , the nucleotide sequence encoding the second component is designated 2 , and the nucleotide sequence of the third component is designated 3, then the order of the components in the isolated nucleic acid of the invention as read from $5^{\prime}$ to $3^{\prime}$ may be any of the following six combinations: $1,2,3$; $1,3,2 ; 2,1,3 ; 2,3,1 ; 3,1,2$; or $3,2,1$.

The present invention also has diagnostic and therapeutic utilities. In particular embodiments of the invention, methods of detecting aberrancies in the function or expression of the chimeric polypeptide molecules described herein may be used in the diagnosis of disorders. In other embodiments, manipulation of the chimeric polypeptide molecules or agonists or antagonists which bind the chimeric polypeptide molecules may be used in the treatment of diseases. In further embodiments, the chimeric polypeptide molecule is utilized as an agent to block the binding of a binding agent to its target.
By way of example, but not limitation, the method of the invention may be useful in treating clinical conditions that are characterized by vascular permeability, edema or inflammation such as brain edema associated with injury, stroke or tumor, edema associated with inflammatory disorders such as psoriasis or arthritis, including rheumatoid arthritis; asthma; generalized edema associated with burns; ascites
and pleural effusion associated with tumors, inflammation or trauma; chronic airway inflammation; capillary leak syndrome; sepsis;
kidney disease associated with increased leakage of protein; and eye disorders such as age related macular degeneration and diabetic retinopathy.

An amino acid sequence analysis of Flt1(1-3)-Fc revealed the presence of an unusually high number (46) of the basic amino acid residue lysine. An IEF analysis of Flt1(1-3)-Fc showed that this protein has pl greater than 9.3 , confirming the prediction that the protein is very basic. It was hypothesized that the basic nature of Flt1(1-3)-Fc protein was causing it to bind to extracellular matrix components and that this interaction might be the cause of the extremely short detectable circulating serum half-life exhibited by Flt1(1-3)-Fc when injected into mice. In order to test this hypothesis, Flt1(1-3)-Fc protein was acetylated at the lysine residues to reduce the basic charge. Acetylated Flt1(1-3)-Fc was then tested in the assays described infra.

The following examples are offered by way of illustration and not by way of limitation.

## EXAMPLES

## Example 1

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { Expression of Flt1(1-3)-Fc Protein in CHO K1 } \\
\text { Cells }
\end{gathered}
$$

Using standard molecular biology techniques (see e.g., Molecular Cloning, A Laboratory Manual (Sambrook, et al., Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory), Current Protocols in Molecular Biology (Eds. Ausubel, et al., Greene Publ. Assoc., Wiley-Interscience, NY), the gene encoding Flt1(1-3)-Fc was inserted into the expression vector pEE14.1 (Lonza Biologics, ple) at a multiple cloning site downstream of the CMV promoter. CHO K1 cells were transfected with the pEE14.1/Flt1(1-3)-Fc DNA construct using lipofectamine (Gaithersburg, Md.). The transfected CHO K1 cells were grown in glutamine-free DMEM (JRH, Kansas City, Mo.) containing $25 \mu \mathrm{M}$ methionine sulfoximine (MSX) from Sigma Inc., St. Louis, Mo., and high recombinant protein expressors were obtained by screening the CHO K 1 cell supernatants from over 100 hand-picked colony isolates using a standard immunoassay which captures and detects human Fc. The selected hand-picked clone was amplified in the presence of $100 \mu \mathrm{M}$ MSX followed by a second round of screening of the amplified clones. The highest producing clone had a specific productivity of recombinant Flt1(1-3)Fc protein of $55 \mathrm{pg} / \mathrm{cell} /$ day .

The selected clone was expanded in $225 \mathrm{~cm}^{2}$ T-flasks (Corning, Acton, Mass.) and then into 8.5 L roller bottles (Corning, Acton, Mass.) using the cell culture media described supra. Cells were removed from the roller bottles by standard trypsinization and put into 3.5 L of suspension medium. The suspension medium is comprised of glutamine-free ISCHO medium (Irvine Scientific, Santa Ana, Calif.) containing 5\% fetal bovine serum (FBS from Hyclone Labs, Logan, Utah), $100 \mu \mathrm{M}$ MSX and GS supplement (JRH Scientific, Kansas City, Mo.) in a 5 L Celligen bioreactor (New Brunswick Scientific, New Brunswick, N.J.) at a density of $0.3 \times 10^{6}$ cells $/ \mathrm{mL}$. After the cells reached a density of $3.6 \times 10^{6} / \mathrm{mL}$ and were adapted to suspension they were transferred to a 60 L bioreactor (ABEC, Allentown, Pa.) at a density of $0.5 \times 10^{6}$ cells $/ \mathrm{mL}$ in 20 L of ISCHO medium with $5 \%$ fetal bovine serum. After two days an additional 20 L of ISCHO $+5 \%$ fetal bovine serum was added
to the bioreactor. The cells were allowed to grow for an additional two days reaching a final density of $3.1 \times 10^{6}$ cells $/ \mathrm{mL}$, and a final $\mathrm{Flt} 1(1-3)-\mathrm{Fc}$ concentration at harvest was $95 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$. At harvest the cells were removed by tangential flow filtration using $0.45 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ Prostak Filters (Millipore, Inc., Bedford, Mass.).

Example 2

## Purification of Flt1(1-3)-Fc Protein Obtained from CHO K1 Cells

Flt1(1-3)-Fc protein was initially purified by affinity chromatography. A Protein A column was used to bind, with high specificity, the Fc portion of the molecule. This affinitypurified protein was then concentrated and passed over a SEC column. The protein was then eluted into the formulation buffer. The following describes these procedures in detail.

## Materials and Methods

All chemicals were obtained from J. T. Baker, Phillipsburg, N.J. with the exception of PBS, which was obtained as a 10 .times. concentrate from Life Technologies, Gaithersburg, Md. Protein A Fast Flow and SUPERDEX ${ }^{\text {TM }} 200$ preparation grade resins were obtained from Pharmacia, Piscataway, N.J. Equipment and membranes for protein concentration were obtained from Millipore, Bedford, Mass.

Approximately 40 L of $0.45 \mu \mathrm{~m}$-filtered CHO conditioned media containing Flt1(1-3)-Fc protein was applied to a 290 mL Protein A Fast Flow column ( 10 cm diameter) that had been equilibrated with PBS. The column was washed with PBS containing 350 mM NaCl and $0.02 \%$ CHAPS and the bound protein was eluted with 20 mM Citric Acid containing $10 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{Na} \mathrm{NaO}_{4}$. The single peak in the elution was collected and its pH was raised to neutrality with 1 M NaOH . The eluate fractions was concentrated to approximately 9 $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ using 10 K regenerated cellulose membranes by both tangential flow filtration and by stirred cell concentration. To remove aggregates and other contaminants, the concentrated protein was applied to a column packed with Superdex 200 preparation grade resin ( $10 \mathrm{~cm} \times 55 \mathrm{~cm}$ ) and run in PBS containing $5 \%$ glycerol. The main peak fractions were pooled, sterile filtered, aliquoted and stored at $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

## Example 3

## Acetylation of Flt1(1-3)-Fc Protein

Two milligrams of Flt1(1-3)-Fc protein were acetylated as described in the instruction manual provided with the sulfo-NHS-acetate modification kit (Pierce Chemical Co., Rockford, Ill., Cat.\#26777).

## Example 4

## Characterization of Acetylated Flt1(1-3)-Fc Protein

(a.) IEF analysis: Flt1(1-3)-Fc and acetylated Flt1(1-3)-Fc were analyzed by standard IEF analysis. As shown in FIG. 1, Flt1(1-3)-Fc protein is not able to migrate into the gel and therefore must have a pl greater than 9.3 , the highest pl in the standard. However, acetylated Flt1(1-3)-Fc is able to migrate into the gel and equilibrate at a pl of approximately 5.2. This result demonstrates that acetylation reduces the net positive charge of the protein and therefore its pl considerably.
(b.) Binding to Extracellular Matrix Components

To test for binding to extracellular matrix components, Flt1(1-3)-Fc and acetylated Flt1(1-3)-Fc where tested in an assay designed to mimic the interaction with extracellular matrix components. In this assay, 96-well tissue culture plates are coated with Matrigel (Biocoat MATRIGEL(®) matrix thin layer 96 well plate, Catalog \#40607, Becton Dickinson Labware, Bedford, Mass.). The plates are incubated with varying concentrations of either Flt1(1-3)-Fc, acetylated Flt1(1-3)-Fc, or rTie2-Fc (an irrelevant control) protein are added to the wells. The plates are incubated for 1-2 hours at either room temperature or $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. degrees and then detection of bound proteins is accomplished by adding a secondary alkaline phosphatase-conjugated anti-human Fc antibody to the wells. Finally, alkaline phosphatase substrate is added to the wells and optical density is measured. FIG. $\mathbf{2}$ shows the results of this assay. Like the irrelevant control protein rTie2-Fc, acetylated Flt1(1-3)-Fc does not exhibit any binding to the Matrigel coated plate, whereas the non-acetylated Flt1(1-3)-Fc protein exhibits significant binding. This result indicates that acetylation of basic amino acid residues is an effective way to interfere with the charge interactions that exist between positively charged proteins and the negatively charged extracellular matrix components they are exposed to in vivo.

## Example 5

## Pegylation of Flt1(1-3)-Fc Protein

Although pegylation (polyethylene glycol-PEG) of proteins has been shown to increase their in vivo potency by enhancing stability and bioavailability while minimizing immunogenicity (see references cited supra), it is counterintuitive that pegylating molecules that are too large to be filtered by the kidney glomeruli would improve their pharmacokinetic properties. Without being bound by theory, Applicants postulated that pegylation of the Flt1(1-3)-Fc molecules could improve the pharmacokinetic properties, possibly not by altering the positive charge or by decreasing the pl of $\mathrm{Flt1}(1-3)-\mathrm{Fc}$, but rather by physically shielding the positive charges from interacting with the extracellular matrix. Applicants decided to attempt to improve the pharmacokinetic properties of Flt 1(1-3)-Fc molecules by attaching strands of 20 K PEGs as described infra.

Materials and Methods
Purified Flt 1(1-3)-Fc derived from CHO cells (see supra) was used in the following pegylation experiments. Functionalized PEGs were obtained from Shearwater Polymers, Huntsville, Ala.; Bicine from Sigma, St Louis, Mo.; Superose 6 column from Pharmacia, Piscataway, N.J.; PBS as a $10 \times$ concentrate from Life Technologies, Gaithersburg, Md.; Glycerol from J. T. Baker, Phillipsburg, N.J.; and Bis-Tris precast gels from Novex, Calif.

20K PEG strands functionalized with amine-specific terminal moieties were used in small-scale reaction studies that were set-up to evaluate different reaction conditions in which the PEG:protein stoichiometry was varied. Based on these reactions and the analyses of samples on standard SDS-PAGE, Flt1(1-3)-Fc at a concentration of $1.5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ was reacted at pH 8.1 with 20K SPA-PEG (PEG succinimidyl propionate) molecules at a PEG-to-Flt1(1-3)-Fc monomer molar ratio of $1: 6$. The reaction was allowed to proceed at $8^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. overnight. For initial purification, the reaction products were applied to a $10 \mathrm{~mm} \times 30 \mathrm{~cm}$ Superose 6 column equilibrated with PBS containing 5\% Glycerol. The column appeared to separate pegylated Flt1(1-3)-Fc molecules based on the extent of pegylation. Fractions corresponding to what appeared to be primarily mono-pegylated
and di-pegylated dimeric Flt1(1-3)-Fc, as judged by banding patterns on reducing and non-reducing SDS-PAGE gels were pooled. The protein concentration was determined by measuring absorbance at 280 nm . The pegylated Flt1(1-3)Fc protein was sterile filtered, aliquoted and stored at $-40^{\circ}$ C.

## Example 6

Binding of Unmodified, Acetylated, and Pegylated Flt1(1-3)-Fc in a BIACORE ${ }^{\text {TM }}$-Based Assay

Unmodified, acetylated, and pegylated Flt1(1-3)-Fc proteins were tested in a BIACORETM-based assay to evaluate their ability to bind to the Flt1 ligand, VEGF. In this assay, unmodified Flt1(1-3)-Fc protein was immobilized on the surface of a BIACORETM chip (see BIACORETM Instruction Manual, Pharmacia, Inc., Piscataway, N.J., for standard procedures) and a sample containing $0.2 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ VEGF and either unmodified Flt1(1-3)-Fc, acetylated Flt1(1-3)-Fc or pegylated Flt1(1-3)-Fc (each at $25 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ ) was passed over the Flt1(1-3)-Fc-coated chip. To minimize the effects of non-specific binding, the bound samples were washed with a 0.5 M NaCl wash. In one sample, unmodified F1t1 (1-3)-Fc was mixed with heparin. Heparin is a negatively charged molecule and the Flt1(1-3)-Fc protein is a positively charged molecule, so when the two molecules are mixed together they should interact through their respective charges. This essentially neutralizes Flt1(1-3)-Fc's inherent positive charge making the molecule behave as if it has been chemically or genetically modified so as to reduce its charge and its tendency to bind via charge interactions. As shown in FIG. 3, acetylated (columns 13-16), pegylated (columns 17-20), and heparin-treated Flt1(1-3)-Fc (columns 21-24) are each able to completely compete with the BIACORETM chip-bound Flt 1(1-3)-Fc for VEGF binding as compared to control (columns 1-4) and irrelevant protein (columns 5-8). Unmodified Flt1(1-3)-Fc (columns 5-6) appeared to only partially compete with BIACORE ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ chip-bound Flt1 (1-3)Fc for VEGF binding. However, washing the bound samples with 0.5 M NaCl (columns $7-8$ ) resulted in a binding profile similar to the modified forms of Flt1(1-3)-Fc, indicating that the unmodified protein was exhibiting non-specific binding to the chip that could be eliminated by the salt wash.

## Example 7

Binding of Unmodified, Acetylated, and Pegylated Flt1(1-3)-Fc in an ELISA-Based Assay

Unmodified, acetylated, and pegylated Flt1(1-3)-Fc proteins were tested in a standard ELISA-based assay to evaluate their ability to bind the Flt 1 receptor ligand VEGF. As shown in FIG. 4, both pegylated and acetylated Flt1(1-3)-Fc proteins are capable of binding to VEGF, demonstrating that modifying the protein either by pegylation or acetylation does not destroy its ability to bind its ligand.

## Example 8

Pharmacokinetic Analysis of Unmodified F1t1(1-3)Fc, Acetylated Flt1(1-3)-Fc, and Pegylated Flt1(1-3)-Fc

In vivo experiments were designed to assess the pharmacokinetic profiles of unmodified $\mathrm{Flt} 1(1-3)-\mathrm{Fc}$, acetylated Flt1(1-3)-Fc, and pegylated Flt1(1-3)-Fc protein. Balb/c
mice (23-28 g; 3 mice/group) were injected subcutaneously with $4 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ of unmodified, acetylated, or pegylated Flt1 (1-3)-Fc. The mice were tail bled at $1,2,4,6,24$ hours, 2 days, and 3 days after injection of protein. The sera were assayed in a standard ELISA-based assay designed to detect Flt1(1-3)-Fc protein. Briefly, the assay involves coating an ELISA plate with VEGF, binding the unmodified, acetylated, or pegylated Flt1(1-3)-Fc-containing sera, and reporting with an anti-Fc antibody linked to alkaline phosphatase. As shown in FIG. 5, the Tmax for all of the Flt1(1-3)-Fc proteins was between the 6 hour and 24 hour time points. The Cmax for the different proteins was as follows: Unmodified: $0.06 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}-0.15 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$; acetylated: $1.5 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}-4.0$ $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{ml}$; and pegylated: approximately $5 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$.

## Example 9

## Step-Acetylation of F1t1(1-3)-Fc

To determine what minimal amount of acetylation is necessary to eliminate binding to extracellular matrix components, an experiment was designed that acetylated the Flt1(1-3)-Fc protein in a step-wise fashion by using increasing amounts of molar excess of acetylation reagent in the acetylation reaction mixture. The range of molar excess was as follows: $0,10,20,30,40,50,60,70,80,90$, and 100 moles of acetylation reagent per 1 mole of Flt1(1-3)-Fc monomer. The reactions were performed as detailed in the instruction manual provided with the sulfo-NHS-Acetate modification kit (Pierce Chemical Co., Rockford, Ill., Cat.\# 26777).

Example 10
Characterization of Step-Acetylated Flt1(1-3)-Fc
(a.) IEF analysis Unmodified Flt1(1-3)-Fc and step-acetylated Flt1(1-3)-Fc proteins were analyzed by standard IEF analysis. As shown in FIG. 6A-6B, unmodified Flt1(1-3)-Fc protein was not able to migrate into the gel due to its extremely high pl (greater than 9.3). However, most of the step-acetylated Flt1(1-3)-Fc samples (30-100 fold molar excess samples) were able to migrate into the gel and equilibrate at pls ranging between 4.55-8.43, depending on the degree of acetylation of the protein. This result demonstrates that acetylation can change the positive charge of the protein in a dose-dependent manner and that reduction of the pl can be controlled by controlling the degree of acetylation.
(b.) Binding of Step-Acetylated Flt1(1-3)-Fc to Extracellular Matrix Components

To test for binding to extracellular matrix components, Flt1(1-3)-Fc and step-acetylated Flt1(1-3)-Fc where tested in the above-described assay designed to mimic the interaction with extracellular matrix components. Varying concentrations of either unmodified Flt1(1-3)-Fc, step-acetylated Fltl(1-3)-Fc (10, 20, and 30 fold molar excess samples), or rTie2-Fc (an irrelevant control) protein were added to the wells. The plates were incubated for 1-2 hours at room temperature or $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. and then detection of bound proteins was accomplished by adding a secondary alkaline phosphatase-conjugated anti-human Fc antibody to the wells. Alkaline phosphatase substrate was subsequently added to the wells and optical density measured. FIG. 7 shows the results of this assay. Like the irrelevant control protein rTie2-Fc, step-acetylated Flt1(1-3)-Fc (20 and 30 fold molar excess samples) did not exhibit any significant
binding to the Matrigel coated plate, whereas the nonacetylated Flt1(1-3)-Fc protein exhibited significant binding. The binding is saturable, indicating that the $\mathrm{Flt1}(1-3)-\mathrm{Fc}$ protein may be binding to specific sites, rather than a more general charge-mediated interaction that might not be saturable. The 10 fold molar excess sample showed reduced binding, but the degree of acetylation was not enough to completely block binding to extracellular matrix components. The 20 fold molar excess and higher samples displayed no detectable binding, despite the fact that by IEF analysis (FIG. 6A and 6B) the lower molar excess samples still had a large net positive charge. This result demonstrates that it is not necessary to completely acetylate all available basic amino acids in order to eliminate binding to extracellular matrix components.
(c.) Binding of Step-Acetylated Flt1(1-3)-Fc in a BiacoreBased Assay.
(c.) Binding of Step-Acetylated Flt1(1-3)-Fc in a BIACORE ${ }^{\text {TM }}$-Based Assay
Unmodified and step-acetylated Flt1(1-3)-Fc proteins where tested in a BIACORE ${ }^{\text {TM }}$-based assay to evaluate their ability to bind to the Flt1 ligand, VEGF. In this assay, unmodified Flt1(1-3)-Fc protein ( $0.5,1.0$, or $5.0 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ ) was immobilized on the surface of a BIACORE ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ chip (see BIACORETM Instruction Manual, Pharmacia, Inc., Piscataway, N.J., for standard procedures) and a solution containing $0.2 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ VEGF and either unmodified Flt1(1-3)-Fc (at either $0.5,1.0$, or $5.0 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ ) or 10 different step-acetylated Flt1(1-3)-Fc samples (at $0.5,1.0$, or $5.0 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ each) were passed over the Flt1 (1-3)-Fc-coated chip. As shown in FIG. 8, at a sub-stoichiometric ratio ( $0.5 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ of either unmodified Flt1(1-3) or step-acetylated FIt1(1-3)-Fc vs. $0.21 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ VEGF), there is not enough Flt1(1-3)-Fc (either unmodified or step-acetylated) in the solution to completely bind the VEGF. At $1.0 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$, which approximates a $1: 1$ stoichiometrie ratio, both unmodified and step-acetylated $\mathrm{Flt1}(1-3)-\mathrm{Fc}$ are better able to compete for VEGF binding, but there is still insufficient Flt1(1-3)-Fc protein (either unmodified or step-acetylated) to completely bind the available VEGF. However, at $5.0 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$, which is several times greater than a $1: 1$ stoichiometrie ratio, both the $\operatorname{Flt1}(1-3)-\mathrm{Fc}$ and the step-acetylated Flt( $1-3$ )-Fc proteins are able to bind the VEGF, regardless of the degree of acetylation. This clearly demonstrates that acetylation does not alter Flt1(1-3)-Fc's ability to bind VEGF.
(d.) Pharmacokinetic Analysis of Step-Acetylated Flt1(1-3)Fc
In vivo experiments were designed to assess the pharmacokinetic profiles of unmodified $\mathrm{Flt1}(1-3)-\mathrm{Fc}$ and stepacetylated Flt1(1-3)-Fc protein. Balb/c mice ( $23-28 \mathrm{~g}$ ) were injected subcutaneously with $4 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ of unmodified or 10 , 20, 40, 60 and 100 fold molar excess samples of stepacetylated $\mathrm{Flt1}(1-3)-\mathrm{Fc}$ ( 3 mice for unmodified, 10, 20 and 40 fold molar excess samples and 2 mice for 60 and 100 fold molar excess samples). The mice were tail bled at $1,2,4,6$, 24 hours, 2 days and 3 days after injection. The sera were assayed in an ELISA-based assay designed to detect Flt1 (1-3)-Fc (described supra). FIG. 9 details the results of this study. The Tmax for all of the Flt1(1-3)-Fc proteins tested was at the 6 hour time point but the Cmax was as follows: Unmodified Flt1(1-3)-Fc: $0.06 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml} ; 10$ fold molar excess sample: $-0.7 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}, 20$ fold molar excess sample $-2 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$, 40 fold molar excess sample - $4 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$, 60 fold molar excess sample $-2 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}, 100$ fold molar excess sample $-1 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$.

This results demonstrates that acetylation or pegylation of Flt1(1-3)-Fc significantly improves its pharmacokinetic profile.

Example 11
Construction of Flt1(1-3)-Fc Basic Region Deletion Mutant Designated Mut1: Flt1 $\left(1-3_{\Delta B}\right)$-Fc

Based on the observation that acetylated Flt1(1-3)-Fc, which has a pl below 6 , has much better pharmacokinetics than the highly positive unmodified Flt1(1-3)-Fc (pl>9.3), it was asked whether the difference in pharmacokinetics could be attributed to the net charge of the protein, Which made it stick to negatively charged extracellular matrix components, or whether there were perhaps specific locations on the surface of the Flt1(1-3)-Fc protein that constituted specific binding sites for extracellular matrix components. For example, many proteins are known to have heparin binding sites, often consisting of a cluster of basic residues. Sometimes these residues are found in a cluster on the primary sequence of the protein; some of the literature has identified "consensus sequences" for such heparin binding sites (see for example Hileman, et al., 1998, Bioessays 20(2):156-67). In other cases, the known crystal structure of a protein reveals a cluster of positively charged residues on the surface of a protein, but the residues come from different regions of the primary sequence and are only brought together when the protein folds into its tertiary structure. Thus it is difficult to deduce whether an isolated amino acid residue forms part of a cluster of basic residues on the surface of the protein. However, if there is a cluster of positively charged amino acid residues in the primary sequence, it is not unreasonable to surmise that the residues are spatially close to one another and might therefore be part of an extracellular matrix component binding site. Flt1 receptor. has been studied extensively and various domains have been described (see for example Tanaka et al., 1997, Jpn. J. Cancer Res 88:867-876). Referring to the nucleic acid and amino acid sequence set forth in FIG. 10A-10D of this application, one can identify the signal sequence for secretion which is located at the beginning of the sequence and extends to the glycine coded for by nucleotides 76-78. The mature protein begins with Ser-Lys-Leu-Lys, starting at nucleotide 79 of the nucleic acid sequence. Flt1 Ig domain 1 extends from nucleotide 79 to 393 , ending with the amino acids Ser-Asp-Thr. Fltt Ig domain 2 extends from nucleotide 394 to 687 (encoding Gly-Arg-Pro to Asn-Thr-Ile), and Flt1 Ig domain 3 extends from nucleotides 688 to 996 (encoding Ile-Asp-Val to Asp-Lys-Ala). There is a bridging amino acid sequence, Gly-Pro-Gly, encoded by nucleotides 997-1005, followed by the nucleotide sequence encoding human Fc (nucleotides 1006-1701 or amino acids Glu-Pro-Lys to Pro-Gly-Lys-stop).
A more detailed analysis of the Flt 1 amino acid sequence reveals that there is a cluster, namely, amino acid residues 272-281 (KNKRASVRR) of FIG. 10A-10D, in which 6 out of 10 amino acid residues are basic. This sequence is located in Flt 1 Ig domain 3 of the receptor (see FIG. 11), which is not itself essential for binding of VEGF ligand, but which confers a higher affinity binding to ligand. An alignment of the sequence of Ig domain 3 with that of Ig domain 2 reveals that in this region, there is very poor alignment between the two Ig domains, and that there are about 10 additional amino acids in Ig domain 3. An analysis of the hydrophilicity profiles MACVECTORTM ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ computer software) of these two domains clearly indicates the presence of a hydrophilic
region in the protein (FIG. 12A-12B). These observations raised the possibility that the actual three dimensional conformation of Flt1 Ig domain 3 allowed for some type of protrusion that is not in Flt Ig domain 2. To test this hypothesis, the 10 additional amino acids were deleted and the resulting protein was tested to see whether the deletion would affect the pharmacokinetics favorably without seriously compromising the affinity of the receptor for VEGF. This DNA construct, which was constructed using standard molecular biology techniques (see e.g., Molecular Cloning, A Laboratory Manual (Sambrook, F et al., Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory), Current Protocols in Molecular Biology (Eds. Ausubel, et al., Greene PubI. Assoc., Wiley-lnterscience, N.Y.) in the mammalian expression vector pMT21, (Genetics Institute, Inc., Cambridge, Mass.), is referred to as Mut1: Flt1 $(1-3 \Delta B)-F c$. The Mut1: Flt1 $(1-3 \Delta B)$-Fc construct was derived from Flt1(1-3)-Fc by deletion of nucleotides 814-843 (set forth in FIG. 10A-10D), which deletes the highly basic 10 -amino acid residue sequence Lys-Asn-Lys-Arg-Ala-Ser-VaI-Arg-Arg-Arg from Flt1 Ig domain 3.
The final DNA construct was sequence-verified using an ABI 373A DNA sequencer and Taq Dideoxy Terminator Cycle Sequencing Kit (Applied Biosystems, Inc., Foster City, Calif.). The sequence of Mut1: Flt $1\left(1-3_{\Delta B}\right)$-Fc is set forth in FIG. 13A-13D.

## Example 12

## Construction of Flt1(1-3)-Fc Basic Region Deletion Mutant Designated Mut2: Flt1 $\left(2-3_{\Delta B}\right)$-Fc

A second deletion mutant construct, designated Mut2: Flt1 $\left(2-3_{\Delta B}\right)$-Fc, was derived from the Mutl: Flt1 $\left(1-3_{\Delta B}\right)-\mathrm{Fc}$ construct by deletion of Flt1 Ig domain 1 encoded by nucleotides 79-393 (see FIG. 10A-10D); for convenience, nucleotides 73-78 (TCA GGT) were changed to TCC GGA. This introduced a restriction site ( BspE ) without altering the associated amino acid sequence, Ser-Gly. This DNA construct, which was constructed using standard molecular biology techniques (see e.g., Molecular Cloning, A Laboratory Manual (Sambrook, et al., Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory), Current Protocols in Molecular Biology (Eds. Ausubel, et al., Greene Publ. Assoc., Wiley-Interscience, NY) in the mammalian expression vector pMT21 (Genetics Institute, Inc., Cambridge, Mass.), was also sequence-verified using an ABI 373A DNA sequencer and Taq Dideoxy Terminator Cycle Sequencing Kit (Applied Biosystems, Inc., Foster City, Calif.). The sequence of Mut2: Flt1 ( $2-3_{\Delta B}$ )Fc is set forth in FIG. 14A-14C.

## Example 13

## Construction of Flt1(1-3)-Fc Deletion Mutant Designated Mut3: Flt1(2-3)-Fc

A third deletion mutate construct, designated Mut3: Flt1 (2-3)-Fc, was constructed the same way as the Mut2: Flt1 $\left(2-3_{\Delta B}\right)$-Fc construct, except that Flt1 Ig domain 3 was left intact (the basic region amino acids were not deleted). The construct was constructed using standard molecular biology techniques and the final construct was sequence-verified as described supra. The sequence of Mut3: Flt1(2-3)-Fc is set forth in FIG. 15A-15C.

Construction of Flt(1-3)-Fc Basic Region N-glycosylation Mutant Designated Mut4: Flt1 $\left(1-3_{R->N}\right)$-Fc

A final construct was made in which a N -glycosylation site was introduced into the middle of the basic region of Flt1 Ig domain 3. This construct was designated Mut4: Flt1 $\left(1-3_{R->N}\right)$-Fc and was made by changing nucleotides 824-825 from GA to AC, consequently changing the coded Arg residue (AGA) into an Asn residue (AAC) (see FIG. 10A-10D). The resulting amino acid sequence is therefore changed from Arg-Ala-Ser to Asn-Ala-Ser, which matches the canonical signal (Asn-Xxx-Ser/Thr) for the addition of a N -glycosylation site at the Asn residue. The sequence of Mut4: Flt1 $\left(1-3_{R->N}\right)$-Fc is set forth in FIG. 16A-16D.

## Example 15

Characterization of Acetylated Flt1(1-3)-Fc Mut1: Flt1 $\left(1-3_{\Delta B}\right)$-Fc, and Mut4: Flt1 $\left(1-3_{R->N}\right)$-Fc mutants
(a.) Binding to Extracellular Matrix Components

To determine whether the three modified proteins were more or less likely to have improved pharmacokinetic properties, Matrigel coated 96-well dishes (as described supra ) were incubated with varying concentrations of the mutant proteins and detected with anti-human Fc/alkalinephosphatase conjugated antibodies. As shown in FIG. 18, this experiment showed that while the unmodified Flt1(1-3)-Fc protein could bind avidly to these wells, the Mut3: Flt1(2-3)-Fc protein bound somewhat more weakly, the Mut1: Flt1 $\left(1-3_{\Delta B}\right)$-Fc protein bound more weakly still, and the Mut2: Flt1 $\left(2-3_{\Delta B}\right)$-Fc protein showed the best profile, binding more weakly than any of the other mutant proteins. The Mut4: Flt1 $\left(1-3_{R->N}\right)-\mathrm{Fc}$ glycosylation mutant protein showed only marginal benefit on the Matrigel assay. These results confirm the hypothesis that a linear sequence of positive amino acids can be deleted from the primary sequence resulting in a decrease in charge interaction with extracellular matrix components.
(b.) Binding of Mut1: Flt1 $(1-3 \Delta \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{Fc}$ and Mut4: Flt1(1-$3_{R->N}$ ) Fc in a BIACORETM-Based Assay.
Unmodified and acetylated Flt1(1-3)-Fc and genetically modified Mut1: Flt1 $(1-3 \Delta \mathrm{~B})$-Fc and Mut4: Flt1 $\left(1-3_{R->N}\right)$-Fc proteins where tested in a BIACORETM-based assay to evaluate their ability to bind to the Flt1 ligand, VEGF. In this assay, unmodified Flt1(1-3)-Fc protein ( $0.25,0.5$, or 1.0 $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{ml}$ ) was immobilized on the surface of a BIACORETM chip (see BIACORETM Instruction Manual, Pharmacia, Inc., Piscataway, N.J., for standard procedures) and a solution containing $0.1 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ VEGF and either purified or COS cell supernatant containing unmodified Flt1(1-3)-Fc (at approxi55 mately ( $0.25,0.5$, or $1.0 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ ), purified acetylated Flt1(1-3)-Fc (at ( 0.250 .5 , or $1.0 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ ), COS cell supernatant containing Mut1: FIt1 (1-3 B B)-Fc. (at approximately ( 0.25 , 0.5 , or $1.0 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ ), or COS cell supernatant containing Mut4: Flt1 $\left(1-3_{R->N}\right)$-Fc (at approximately $(0.25,0.5$, or $1.0 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml})$ were passed over the Flt1(1-3)-Fc-coated chip. As shown in FIG. 17, at the sub-stoichiometric ratio $(0.25 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ Flt 1(13 )-Fc of unmodified, acetylated or genetically modified samples vs. 01. $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{ml}$ VEGF), there is insufficient Flt1 (1-3)-Fc protein to block binding of VEGF to the Flt1(1-3)-Fc immobilized on the BIACORETM chip. At $0.5 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ of unmodified, acetylated or genetically modified Flt1(1-3)-Fc proteins, the stoichiometric ratio approximates $1: 1$ and there
is an increased ability to block VEGF binding to the BIACORETM chip. At $1.0 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ of unmodified, acetylated or genetically modified Flt1(1-3)-Fc proteins, which is approximately a $10: 1$ stoichiometric ratio, the Flt1 ( $1-3$ )-Fc proteins are able to block binding of VEGF to the BIACORETM chip, but they are not equivalent. Unmodified, acetylated, and Mut1: Flt1( $1-3 \Delta \mathrm{~B})$-Fc are essentially equal in their ability to block VEGF binding, whereas Mut4: Flt1 $\left(1-3_{R-\lambda_{N}}\right)$-Fc is somewhat less efficient at blocking binding. These results confirm the hypothesis that it is possible to reduce the non-specific binding of a positively charged molecule by genetically removing a linear sequence of predominantly negatively charged amino acids.
(c.) Binding of Mut1: Flt1 $\left(1-3_{\Delta B}\right)$-Fc, Mut2: Flt1 $\left(2-3_{\Delta B}\right)$-Fc, Mut3: Flt1(2-3)-Fc, and in an ELISA-Based Assay.
To determine whether the three mutant proteins could bind the Flt1 ligand VEGF, binding experiments were done in which 96 -well plates coated with VEGF were incubated with varying concentrations of the respective mutant protein, and after washing, the amount bound was detected by incubating with an alkaline phosphatase conjugated antihuman Fc antibody and quantitated colorimetrically by the addition of an appropriate alkaline phosphatase substrate. As shown in FIG. 19, this experiment showed that all the mutant proteins could bind VEGF similarly, at the concentrations tested.

## Example 16

Pharmacokinetic Analysis of Acetylated Flt1(1-3)Fc , Mut1: $\operatorname{Flt1}\left(1-3_{A B}\right)$-Fc, and Unmodified Flt1(1-3)-Fc

In vivo experiments were designed to assess the pharmacokinetic profiles of unmodified Flt1(1-3)-Fc, Mut1: Flt1(1$3_{\Delta B}$ )-Fc, and 40 fold molar excess acetylated Flt1(1-3)-Fc protein. Balb/c mice ( $25-30 \mathrm{~g}$ ) were injected subcutaneously with $4 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ of unmodified Flt1(1-3)-Fc, 40 fold molar excess acetylated $\mathrm{Flt1}(1-3)-\mathrm{Fc}$, and Mut1: $\mathrm{Flt1}(1-3, B)-\mathrm{Fc}$ proteins ( 4 mice each). These mice were tail bled at $1,2,4$, 6,24 hours, 2 days, 3 days, and 5 days after injection. The sera were assayed in an ELISA designed to detect FIt1(1-$3)-\mathrm{Fc}$ protein which involves coating an ELISA plate with VEGF, binding the Flt $1(1-3)-\mathrm{Fc}$ and reporting with an anti-Fc antibody linked to alkaline phosphatase. As shown in FIG. 20, the Cmax for these reagents was as follows: Unmodified Fltt(1-3)-Fc- $0.15 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml} ; 40$ fold molar excess acetylated Flt1(1-3)-Fc $-1.5 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$; and Mut1: $\operatorname{Flt1}\left(1-3_{\Delta B}\right)-$ Fc- $0.7 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$.

## Example 17

## Modified Flt1 Receptor Vector Construction

The rationale for constructing modified versions of the Flt1 receptor (also known as VEGFR1) was based on the observation that the protein sequence of Flt1 was highly basic, and was therefore likely to stick to extracellular matrix (ECM). The highly basic nature of Flt1 probably explains why unmodified Flt1(1-3)-Fc (described supra) has poor pharmacokinetics that make it difficult to use as a therapeutic agent. As described supra, the chemically modified form of 40 fold molar excess acetylated Flt1(1-3)-Fc, hereinafter termed A40 exhibited a greatly improved pharmacokinetic ( PK ) profile over the non-acetylated Flt1 (1-3)Fc . Therefore, attempts were made to engineer DNA mol-
ecules that could be used to recombinantly express modified forms of a Flt1 receptor molecule that would possess the improved PK profile exhibited by A40 and still maintain the ability to bind tightly to VEGF.
It is known in the literature that the first Ig domain of Flt 1 (which has a net charge of +5 at neutral pH ) is not essential for tight binding to VEGF, so this domain was deleted. The third Ig domain (having a net charge of +11 ) is not essential for binding, but confers higher affinity for VEGF than the second Ig domain, so instead of deleting it entirely, it was replaced with the equivalent domains of the Flt1 receptor relatives Flk1 (also known as VEGFR2) and Flt4 (also known as VEGFR3). These chimeric molecules (denoted R1R2 (Flt1.D2.Flk1D3.Fc $\Delta \mathrm{C} 1$ (a) and VEGFR1R2-Fc $\Delta \mathrm{C} 1$ (a) and R1R3 (Flt1D2.VEGFR3D3-FcAC1(a) and VEGFR1R3-FcAC1(a) respectively, wherein R1 and Flk1D2=Ig domain 2 of Flt1 (VEGFR1); R2 and Flk1D3=Ig domain 3 of Flk1 (VEGFR2); and R3 and VEGFR3D3 $=\mathrm{Ig}$ domain 3 of Flt4 (VEGFR3)) were much less sticky to ECM, as judged by an in vitro ECM binding assay as described infra, had greatly improved PK as described infra. In addition, these molecules were able to bind VEGF tightly as described infra and block phosphorylation of the native Flk1 receptor expressed in endothelial cells as described infra.
(a) Construction of the Expression Plasmid pFlt1D2.Flk1D3.FcaC1(a)

Expression plasmids pMT21 .Flt1(1-3).Fc (6519bp) and pMT21.Flk-1(1-3).Fc (5230bp) are plasmids that encode ampicillin resistance and Fc -tagged versions of Ig domains 1-3 of human Flt1 and human Flk1, respectively. These plasmids were used to construct a DNA fragment consisting of a fusion of Ig domain 2 of Flt1 with Ig domain 3 of Flk1, using PCR amplification of the respective Ig domains followed by further rounds of PCR to achieve fusion of the two domains into a single fragment. For Ig domain 2 of Flt1, the 5 ' and $3^{\prime}$ amplification primers were as follows:

```
5': bsp/flt1D2
(5'-GACTAGCAGTCCGGAGGTAGACCTTTCGTAGAGATG-3')
3': Flt1D2-Flk1D3.as
(5'-CGGACTCAGAACCACATCTATGATTGTATTGGT-3')
```

The $5^{\prime}$ amplification primer encodes a BspE1 restriction enzyme site upstream of $\operatorname{Ig}$ domain 2 of Flt1, defined by the amino acid sequence GRPFVEM (corresponding to amino acids 27-33 of FIG. 21A-21C). The $3^{\prime}$ primer encodes the reverse complement of the $3^{\prime}$ end of Flt1 Ig domain 2 fused directly to the 5 ' beginning of Flk1 $\operatorname{Ig}$ domain 3, with the fusion point defined as TIID of Flt1 (corresponding to amino acids 123-126 of FIG. 21A-21C) and continuing into VVLS (corresponding to amino acids 127-130 of FIG. 21A-21C) of Flk1. were as follows:

```
5': Flt1D2-Flk1D3.s
(5'-ACAATCATAGATGTGGTTCTGAGTCCGTCTCATGG-3')
3': Flk1D3/apa/srf.as
(5'GATAATGCCCGGGCCCTTTTCATGGACCCTGACAAATG-3')
```

The $5^{\prime}$ amplification primer encodes the end of Fltt Ig domain 2 fused directly to the beginning of Flk 1 Ig domain 3 , as described above. The $3^{\prime}$ amplification primer encodes the end of Flk1 Ig domain 3, defined by the amino acids

VRVHEK (corresponding to amino acids 223-228 of FIG. 21A-21C), followed by a bridging sequence that includes a recognition sequence for the restriction enzyme Srf1, and encodes the amino acids GPG. The bridging sequence corresponds to amino acids 229-231 of FIG. 21A-21C.
After a round of PCR amplification to produce the individual domains, the products were combined in a tube and subjected to a further round of PCR with the primers $\mathrm{bsp} / \mathrm{flt1D} 2$ and Flk1D3/apa/srf.as (described supra) to produce the fusion product. This PCR product was subsequently digested with the restriction enzymes BspEI and SmaI and the resulting 614bp fragment was subcloned into the BspEI to SrfI restriction sites of the vector $\mathrm{pMT} 21 / \Delta \mathrm{B} 2 \mathrm{Fc}$, to create the plasmid pMT21/Flt1D2.Flk1D3.Fc. The nucleotide sequence of the Flt1D2-Flk1D3 gene fusion insert was verified by standard sequence analysis. This plasmid was then digested with the restriction enzymes EcoRI and SrfI and the resulting 702bp fragment was transferred into the EcoRI to SrfI restriction sites of the plasmid $\mathrm{pFlt1}(1-3) \mathrm{B} 2-$ $\mathrm{Fc} \Delta \mathrm{C} 1(\mathrm{a})$ to produce the plasmid pFlt1D2.Flk1D3.Fc $\Delta \mathrm{C} 1$ (a). The complete DNA and deduced amino acid sequences of the Flt1D2.Flk1D3.Fc $\Delta \mathrm{C} 1$ (a) chimeric molecule is set forth in FIG. 21A-21C.

## (b) Construction of the Expression Plasmid pFlt1D2VEGFR3D3FcaC1(a)

The expression plasmid pMT21.Flt1(1-3).Fc (6519bp) encodes ampicillin resistance and an Fc -tagged version of Ig domains 1-3 of human Flt1 receptor. This plasmid was used to produce a DNA fragment containing Ig domain 2 of Flt 1 by PCR. RNA from the cell line HEL921.7 was used to produce Ig domain 3 of Flk1, using standard RT-PCR methodology. A further round of PCR amplification was used to achieve fusion of the two Ig domains into a single fused fragment. For Ig domain 2 of Flt1, the 5' and 3' amplification primers were as follows:

```
5': bsp/flt1D2
(5'-GACTAGCAGTCCGGAGGTAGACCTTTCGTAGAGATG-3')
3': Flt1D2.VEGFR3D3.as
(TTCCTGGGCAACAGCTGGATATCTATGATTGTATTGGT)
```

The $5^{\prime}$ amplification primer encodes a BspE1 restriction site upstream of Ig domain 2 of Flt1, defined by the amino acid sequence GRPFVEM (corresponding to amino acids 27-33 of FIG. 22A-22C). The $3^{\prime}$ amplification primer encodes the reverse complement of the end of Flt1 Ig domain 2 fused directly to the beginning of VEGFR3 Ig domain 3, with the fusion point defined as TIID of Flt 1 (corresponding to amino acids 123-126 of FIG. 22A-22C) and continuing into IQLL of VEGFR3 (corresponding to amino acids 127-130 of FIG. 22A-22C).

For Ig domain 3 of VEGFR3, the $5^{\prime}$ and $3^{\prime}$ primers used for RT-PCR were as follows:

```
5': R3D3.s
(ATCCAGCTGTTGCCCAGGAAGTCGCTGGAGCTGCTGGTA)
3': R3D3.as
(ATTTTCATGCACAATGACCTCGGTGCTCTCCCGAAATCG)
```

Both the $5^{\prime}$ and $3^{\prime}$ amplification primers match the sequence of VEGFR3. The 296bp amplification product of this RT-PCR reaction was isolated by standard techniques and subjected to a second round of PCR to add suitable sequences to allow for fusion of the Flt1D2 with the Flk1D3
domains and fusion of the Flk1D3 and Fc domains via a GPG bridge (see below). The amplification primers were as follows:

```
5':Flt1D2 .VEGFR3D3.s
(TCATAGATATCCAGCTGTTGCCCAGGAAGTCGCTGGAG)
3':VEGFR3D3/srf.as
(GATAATGCCCGGGCCATTTTCATGCACAATGACCTCGGT)
```

The $5^{\prime}$ amplification primer encodes the $3^{\prime}$ end of Flt1 Ig domain 2 fused directly to the beginning ( $5^{\prime}$ end) of VEGFR3 Ig domain 3, as described above. The $3^{\prime}$ amplification primer encodes the $3^{\prime}$ end of VEGFR3 Ig domain 3, defined by the amino acids VIVHEN (corresponding to amino acids 221-226 of FIG. 22A-22C), followed by a bridging sequence that includes a recognition sequence for Srf1, and encodes the amino acids GPG. The bridging sequence corresponds to amino acids 227-229 of FIG. $22 \mathrm{~A}-22 \mathrm{C}$.

After one round (for Flt1 Ig domain 2) or two rounds (for Flt4 Ig domain 3) of PCR to produce the individual Ig domains, the PCR products were combined in a tube and subjected to a further round of PCR amplification with the amplification primers bsp/flt1D2 and VEGFR3D3/srf.as described supra, to produce the fusion product. This PCR product was subsequently digested with the restriction enzymes BspEI and SmaI and the resulting 625bp fragment was subcloned into the BspEI to SrfI restriction sites of the vector $\mathrm{pMT} 21 / \mathrm{Flt1} 1 \mathrm{~B} 2 . \mathrm{Fc}$ (described supra), to create the plasmid pMT21/Flt1D2.VEGFR3D3.Fc. The sequence of the F1t1D2-VEGFR3D3 gene fusion insert was verified by standard sequence analysis. This plasmid was then digested with the restriction enzymes EcoRI and SrfI and the resulting 693bp fragment was subcloned into the EcoRI to SrfI restriction sites of the plasmid $\mathrm{pFlt1}(1-3) \Delta \mathrm{B} 2-\mathrm{Fc} \Delta \mathrm{C} 1$ (a) to produce the plasmid designated pFlt1D2.VEGFR3D3.Fc $\Delta \mathrm{C} 1(\mathrm{a})$. The complete DNA deduced amino acid sequence of the Flt1D2.VEGFR3D3.Fc $\Delta \mathrm{C} 1$ (a) chimeric molecule is set forth in FIG. 22A-22C.

Example 18
Extracellular Matrix Binding (ECM) Binding Assay
ECM-coated plates (Becton Dickinson catalog \#35-4607) were rehydrated with warm DME supplemented with glutamine $(2 \mathrm{mM}), 100 \mathrm{U}$ penicillin, 100 U streptomycin, and $10 \% \mathrm{BCS}$ for at least 1 hr . before adding samples. The plates were then incubated for 1 hr . at room temperature with varying concentrations of Flt1D2.F1k1D3.Fc $\Delta \mathrm{C} 1$ (a) and 55 Flt1D2.VEGFR3D3.Fc $\Delta C 1$ (a) starting at 10 nM with subsequent 2 -fold dilutions in PBS plus $10 \%$ BCS. The plates were then washed 3 times with PBS plus $0.1 \%$ Triton- X and incubated with alkaline phosphatase-conjugated anti-human Fc antibody (Promega, 1:4000 in PBS plus $10 \%$ BCS) for 1 hr . at room temperature. The plates were then washed 4 times with PBS $0.1 \%$ Triton-X and alkaline phosphatase buffer/pNPP solution (Sigma) was added for color development. Plates were read at $\mathrm{I}=405-570 \mathrm{~nm}$. The results of this experiment are shown in FIG. 23 and demonstrate that the Flt1D2.Flk1D3.Fc $\Delta \mathrm{C} 1$ (a) and Flt1D2.VEGFR3D3.Fc $\Delta \mathrm{C} 1$ (a) proteins are considerably less sticky to the ECM as compared to the Flt1(1-3)-Fc protein.

Example 19

## Transient Expression of pFlt1D2.Flk1D3.Fc $\Delta C 1$ (a) in CHO-K1 (E1A) cells

A large scale ( 2 L ) culture of $E$. coli DH 10 B cells carrying the pFlt 1 D 2. Flk1D3.Fc $\Delta \mathrm{C} 1$ (a) plasmid described supra in Example 17(a) was grown overnight in Terrific Broth (TB) plus $100 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ ampicillin. The next day, the plasmid DNA was extracted using a QIAgen ENDOFREE ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ Megaprep kit following the manufacturer's protocol. The concentration of the purified plasmid DNA was determined by standard techniques using a UV spectrophotometer and fluorometer. The plasmid DNA was verified by standard restriction enzyme digestion of aliquots using the restriction enzymes EcoRI plus NotI and Asel. All restriction enzyme digest fragments corresponded to the predicted sizes when analyzed on a $1 \%$ agarose gel.
Forty 15 cm petri plates were seeded with CHO-K1/E1A cells at a density of $4 \times 10^{6}$ cells/plate. Plating media was Gibco Ham's F-12 supplemented with $10 \%$ HYCLONETM Fetal Bovine Serum (FBS), 100 U penicillin/100 U streptomycin and glutamine ( 2 mM ). The following day each plate of cells was transfected with $6 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ of the pFlt1D2.Flk1D3.FcaC1(a) plasmid DNA using Gibco Optimem and Gibco Lipofectamine in 12 ml volume, following the manufacturer's protocol. Four hours after adding the transfection mix to the cells, $12 \mathrm{ml} /$ plate of Optimem supplemented with $10 \%$ FBS was added. Plates were incubated at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. in a $5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ incubator overnight. The following day the media was removed from each plate and 25 ml expression media (Gibco CHO-S-SFM II supplemented with glutamine ( 2 mM ) and 1 mM sodium butyrate) was added. The plates were incubated at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. for 3 days. After 3 days of incubation, the media was aspirated from each plate and centrifuged at 400 rpm in a swinging bucket rotor to pellet cells. The supernatant was decanted into sterile 1 L bottles and purification of the expressed protein was performed as described infra.

## Example 20

## Construction pVEGFR1R2-FcAC1C(a) Expression Vector

The p VEGFR1R2.Fc $\Delta \mathrm{C} 1$ (a) expression plasmid was constructed by insertion of DNA encoding amino acids SDT (corresponding to amino acids $27-29$ of FIG. 24A-24C) between Flt1d2-F1k1d3-FcAC1(a) amino acids 26 and 27 of FIG. 21A-21C (GG) and removal of DNA encoding amino acids GPG corresponding to amino acids 229-231 of Figure. The SDT amino acid sequence is native to the Flt l receptor and was added back in to decrease the likelihood of heterogeneous N-terminal processing. The GPG (bridging sequence) was removed so that the Flt1 and Flk1 Ig domains were fused directly to one another. The complete DNA and deduced amino acid sequences of the pVEGFR1R2.Fc $\Delta C 1$ (a) chimeric molecule is set forth in FIG. 24A-24C.

Example 21
Cell Culture Process Used to Produce Modified Flt1 Receptors
(a) Cell Culture Process Used to Produce
Flt1D2.Flk1D3.Fc $\Delta \mathrm{C} 1$ (a)

The process for production of Flt1D2.Flk1D3.Fc $\Delta \mathrm{C} 1$ (a) protein using the expression plasmid pFlt1D2.Flk1D3.Fc $\Delta \mathrm{C} 1$ (a) described supra in Example 1
involves suspension culture of recombinant Chinese hamster ovary (CHO K1/E1A) cells which constitutively express the protein product. The cells are grown in bioreactors and the protein product is isolated and purified by affinity and size exclusion chromatography. The process is provided in greater detail below.

## Cell Expansion

Two confluent $\mathrm{T}-225 \mathrm{~cm}^{2}$ flasks containing the Flt1D2.Flk1D3.Fc $\Delta \mathrm{C} 1$ (a) expressing cell line were expanded by passaging cells into eight T-225 $\mathrm{cm}^{2}$ flasks in medium (GMEM $+10 \%$ serum, GIBCO) and incubated at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. and $5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$. When the flasks approached confluence (approximately 3 to 4 days) the cells were detached using trypsin. Fresh medium was added to protect the cells from further exposure to the trypsin. The cells were centrifuged and resuspended in fresh medium then transferred to eight $850 \mathrm{~cm}^{2}$ roller bottles and incubated at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. and $5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ until confluent.

Suspension Culture in Bioreactors
Cells grown in roller bottles were trypsinized to detach them from the surface and washed with suspension culture medium. The cells are aseptically transferred to a 5 L bioreactor (New Brunswick Celligen Plus) where the cells are grown in 3.5 L of suspension culture. The suspension culture medium was a glutamine-free low glucose modification of IS-CHO (Irvine Scientific) to which 5\% fetal bovine serum (Hyclone), GS supplement (Life Technologies) and $25 \mu \mathrm{M}$ methionine sulfoximine (Sigma) was added. The pH was controlled at 7.2 by addition of carbon dioxide to the inlet gas or by addition of a liquid solution of sodium carbonate to the bioreactor. Dissolved oxygen level was maintained at $30 \%$ of saturation by addition of oxygen or nitrogen to the inlet gas and temperature controlled at $37^{\circ}$ C. When a density of $4 \times 10^{6}$ cells $/ \mathrm{mL}$ was reached the cells were transferred to a 40 L bioreactor containing the same medium and setpoints for controlling the bioreactor. The temperature setpoint was reduced to $34^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. to slow cell growth and increase the relative rate of protein expression.
Cell Culture Process Used to Produce Flt1D2.VEGFR3D3.Fc $\Delta \mathrm{C} 1$ (a)

The same methodologies as described supra for Flt1D2.Flk1D3.Fc $\Delta \mathrm{C} 1$ (a) were used to produce Flt1D2.VEGFR3D3.Fc $\Delta \mathrm{C} 1$ (a).

Example 22
Harvest and Purification of Modified Flt1 Receptors
(a) Harvest and Purification of Flt1D2.F1k1D3.FcдC1(a)

The product protein was aseptically harvested from the bioreactor while retaining cells using Millipore Prostak tangential-flow filtration modules and a low-shear mechanical pump (Fristam). Fresh medium was added to the bioreactor to replace that removed during the harvest filtration. Approximately 40 L of harvest filtrate was then loaded onto a 400 mL column containing Protein A SEPHAROSETM resin (Amersham Pharmacia). After loading the resin was washed with buffer containing 10 mM sodium phosphate, 500 mM sodium chloride, pH 7.2 to remove any unbound contaminating proteins. Flt1D2.Flk1D3.Fc $\Delta \mathrm{C} 1$ (a) protein was eluted with a pH 3.0 citrate buffer. The eluted protein was neutralized by addition of Tris base and frozen at $-20^{\circ}$ C.

Several frozen lots of Flt1D2.Flk1D3.Fc $\Delta \mathrm{C} 1$ (a) protein from the Protein A step above -were thawed, pooled and concentrated using a Millipore 30 kD nominal molecular weight cutoff (NMWCO) tangential flow filtration membrane. The protein was transferred to a stirred cell concentrator (Millipore) and further concentrated to $30 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ using a 30 kD NMWCO membrane. The concentrated protein was loaded onto a size exclusion column packed with Superdex 200 resin (Amersham Pharmacia) that was equilibrated with phosphate buffered saline plus $5 \%$ glycerol. The same buffer was used to run the column. The fractions corresponding to Flt1D2.Flk1D3.Fc $\Delta \mathrm{C} 1$ (a) dimer were pooled, sterile filtered through a 0.22 micron filter, aliquoted and frozen.
(b) Harvest and Purification of Flt1D2.VEGFR3D3.Fc $\Delta \mathrm{C} 1$ (a)

The same methodologies as described supra for Flt1D2.Flk1D3.Fc $\Delta \mathrm{C} 1$ (a) were used to harvest and purify Flt1D2.VEGFR3D3.Fc $\Delta \mathrm{C} 1$ (a).

## Example 23

## Phosphorylation Assay for Transiently Expressed VEGFR2

Primary human umbilical vein endothelial cells (HUVECs), passage 4-6, were starved for 2 hrs in serum-free DME high glucose media. Samples containing $40 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{ml}$ (1 nM) human VEGF165, which is a ligand for the VEGF receptors Flt1, Flk1 and Flt4(VEGFR3) were prepared and were preincubated for 1 hr . at room temperature with varying amounts of the modified Flt1 receptors Flt1(1-3)-Fc, Flt1(1-3)-Fc (A40), Flt1D2Flk1D3.FcaC1(a) and Flt1D2VEGFR3D3.Fc $\Delta C 1$ (a) in serum-free DME-high glucose media containing $0.1 \% \mathrm{BSA}$. Cells were challenged for minutes with the samples prepared above +/- VEGF165, followed by whole cell lysis using complete lysis buffer. Cell lysates were immunoprecipitated with an antibody directed against the C-terminus of VEGFR2 receptor. The immunoprecipitated lysates were loaded onto $4-12 \%$ SDS-PAGE Novex gel and then transferred to PVDF membrane using standard transfer methodologies. Detection of phosphorylated VEGFR2 was done by immunoblotting with the antiphospho Tyrosine mAb called 4G10 (UBI) and developed using ECL-reagent (Amersham). FIGS. 25A-25C and 26A26 B show the results of this experiment. FIG. 25A-25C reveals that detection by Western blot of tyrosine phosphorylated VEGFR2(Flk1) by VEGF165 ligand stimulation shows that cell-surface receptors are phosphorylated to varying levels depending on which modified Flt1 receptor is used during the preincubations with VEGF. As is seen in FIG. 25A, at a 1.5 molar excess of either Flt1(1-3)-Fc, Flt1(1-3)-Fc (A40) or transient Flt1D2F1k1D3.Fc $\Delta C 1$ (a) there is complete blockage of receptor stimulation by these three modified Flt1 receptors as compared to control media challenge. In contrast, transient Flt1D2VEGFR3D3.Fc $\Delta C 1$ (a) does not show significant blockage at this molar excess, as compared with VEGF positive control challenge. Similar results are seen in FIG. 25B, where the modified Flt receptors are in a 3-fold molar excess to VEGF165 ligand. In FIG. 25C, where the modified Flt1 receptors are in a 6-fold molar excess to VEGF165 ligand, transient Flt1D2VEGFR3D3.Fc $\Delta \mathrm{C} 1$ (a) can now be shown to be partially blocking VEGF165-induced stimulation of cell-surface receptors.

In FIG. 26A-26B, detection by Western blot of tyrosine phosphorylated VEGFR2(Flk1) by VEGF165 ligand stimu-
lation shows that cell-surface receptors are not phosphorylated by challenge samples which have VEGF165 preincubated with 1 and 2 fold molar excess (FIG. 26A) or 3 and 4 fold molar excess (FIG. 26B) of either transient Flt1D2Flk1D3.FcaC1(a), stable Flt1D2Flk1D3.FcaC1(a), or transient VEGFR1R2-FcaC1(a). At all modified Flt1 receptor concentrations tested there is complete binding of VEGF165 ligand during the preincubation, resulting in no detectable stimulation of cell-surface receptors by unbound VEGF165 as compared to control media challenge.

Example 24

## Cell Proliferation Bioassay

The test cell population is MG87 cells that have been stably transfected with a expression plasmid that contains a DNA insert encoding the VEGFR2(Flk1) extracellular domain fused to the TrkB intracellular kinase domain, thus producing a chimeric molecule. The reason the TrkB intracellular kinase domain was used rather than the native VEGFR2(Flk1) intracellular kinase domain is that the intracellular kinase domain of VEGFR2(Flk1) does not cause a strong proliferative response when stimulated by VEGF165
in these cells. It is known that MG87 cells containing full length TrkB receptor give a robust proliferative response when stimulated with BDNF, so the TrkB intracellular kinase domain was engineered to replace the intracellular kinase domain of VEGFR2(Flk1) to take advantage of this proliferative response capability.
$5 \times 10^{3}$ cells/well were plated in a 96 well plate and allowed to settle for 2 hrs at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The following modified Flt receptors Flt1(1-3)-Fc, Flt1D2.Flk1D3.FcaC1(a) and Flt1D2.VEGFR3D3.FcAC1(a), plus an irrelevant receptor termed Tie2-Fc as a negative control, were titrated from 40 nM to 20 pM and incubated on the cells for 1 hr at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Human recombinant VEGF165 in defined media was then added to all the wells at a concentration of 1.56 nM . The plates were incubated for 72 hrs at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. and then MTS (Owen's reagent, Promega) added and the plates were incubated for an additional for 4 hrs . Finally, the plates were read on a spectrophotometer at $450 / 570 \mathrm{~nm}$. The results of this experiment are shown in FIG. 27. The control receptor Tie2-Fc does not block VEGF 165 -induced cell proliferation at any concentration whereas Flt1D2.Flk1D3.Fc $\Delta \mathrm{C} 1$ (a) blocks 1.56 nM VEGF1 65 with a half maximal dose of 0.8 nM. Flt1(1-3)-Fc and Flt1D2.VEGFR3D3.Fc $\Delta$ C1(a) are less effective in blocking VEGF165 in this assay with a half maximal dose of $\sim 2 \mathrm{nM}$. VEGF 165 alone gives a reading of 1.2 absorbance units and the background is 0.38 absorbance units.

Example 25
Binding Stoichiometry of Modified Flt Receptors to VEGF165
(a) BIACORE ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ Analysis

The stoichiometry of Flt1D2Flk1D3.Fc $\Delta \mathrm{C} 1$ (a) and VEGFR1R2-Fc $\Delta C 1$ (a) interaction with human VEGF165 was determined by measuring either the level of VEGF saturation binding to the Flt1D2Flk1D3. $\mathrm{Fc} \Delta \mathrm{C} 1(\mathrm{a})$ or VEGFR1R2-Fc $\Delta \mathrm{Cl}$ (a) surfaces or measuring concentration of VEGF165 needed to completely prevent binding of Flt1D2F1k1D3.Fc $\Delta \mathrm{C} 1(a)$ or VEGFR1R2-Fc $\Delta \mathrm{C} 1(\mathrm{a})$ to VEGF BIACORE ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ chip surface.

Modified Flt receptors Flt1D2Flk1D3.FcAC1(a) and VEGFR1R2-Fc $\Delta \mathrm{C} 1$ (a), were captured with an anti-Fc specific antibody that was first immobilized on a BIACORE ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ chip using amine-coupling chemistry. A blank antibody surface was used as a negative control. VEGF165 was injected at a concentration of $1 \mathrm{nM}, 10 \mathrm{nM}$, and 50 nM over the Flt1D2F1k1D3.Fc $\Delta \mathrm{C} 1$ (a) and VEGFR1R2-Fc $\Delta \mathrm{C} 1(\mathrm{a})$ surfaces at $10 \mu \mathrm{l} / \mathrm{min}$ for one hour. A real-time binding signal was recorded and saturation binding was achieved at the end of each injection. Binding stoichiometry was calculated as a molar ratio of bound VEGF165 to the immobilized Flt1D2Flk1D3.FcaC1(a) or VEGFR1R2-Fc $\Delta \mathrm{C} 1$ (a), using the conversion factor of 1000 RU equivalent to $1 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{ml}$. The results indicated binding stoichiometry of one VEGF165 dimeric molecule per one Flt1 D2Flk1 D3.FcaC1(a) or VEGFR1R2-Fc $\Delta \mathrm{C} 1$ (a) molecule (FIG. 28).
In solution, Flt1D2Flk1D3.Fc $\Delta \mathrm{C} 1$ (a) or VEGFR1R2$\mathrm{Fc} \triangle \mathrm{C} 1$ (a) at a concentration of 1 nM (estimated to be 1000 times higher than the KD of the Flt1 D2Flk1 D3.FcдC1(a) or VEGFR1 R2-Fc $\triangle C 1$ (a)NEGF165 interaction) were mixed with varied concentrations of VEGF165. After one hour incubation, concentrations of the free Flt1D2Flk1D3.Fc $\Delta \mathrm{C} 1(\mathrm{a})$ in solution were measured as a binding signal to an amine-coupled VEGF165 surface. A calibration curve was used to convert the Flt1D2Flk1D3.FcAC1(a) BIACORETM binding signal to its molar concentration. The data showed that the addition of 1 nM VEGF165 into the Flt1D2Flk1D3.Fc $\Delta$ C1(a) solution completely blocked Flt1D2Flk1D3.Fc4C1(a) binding to the VEGF 165 surface. This result suggested the binding stoichiometry of one VEGF165 molecule per one Flt1D2Flk1D3.FcaC1(a) molecule (FIG. 29 and FIG. 30). When the concentration of Flt1D2Flk1D3.FcaC1 (a) was plotted as a function of added concentration of VEGF165, the slope of the linear portion was 1.06 for Flt1D2Flk1D3.Fc $\Delta \mathrm{C} 1$ (a) and $-1,07$ for VEGFR1R2-Fc $\Delta \mathrm{C} 1$ (a). The magnitude of the slope, very close to negative one, was indicative that one molecule of VEGF165 bound to one molecule of either Flt1D2FIk1D3.FcAC1(a) or VEGFR1R2$\mathrm{Fc} \Delta \mathrm{Cl}(\mathrm{a})$.

## (b) Size Exclusion Chromatography

Flt1D2Flk1D3.FcaC1(a) was mixed with a 3 -fold excess of VEGF165 and the receptor-ligand complex was purified using a Pharmacia SUPEROSETM 6 size exclusion chromatography column. The receptor-ligand complex was then incubated in a buffer containing 6M guanidine hydrochloride in order to dissociate it into its component proteins. Flt1D2Flk1D3.Fc $\Delta \mathrm{C} 1$ (a) was separated from VEGF165 using SUPEROSE ${ }^{\text {TM }} 6$ size exclusion chromatography column run in 6 M guanidium chloride. In order to determine complex stoichiometry, several injections of Flt1D2Flk1D3.Fc $\Delta \mathrm{Cl}$ (a) and VEGF165 were made and peak height or peak integrated intensity was plotted as a function of the concentration of injected protein. The calibration was done under condition identical to one used in separating components of Flt1D2F1k1D3.Fc $\Delta C 1$ (a)VEGF complex. Quantification of the Flt1D2Flk1D3.Fc $\Delta \mathrm{C} 1(\mathrm{a}) /$ VEGF complex composition was based on the calibration curves. The results of this experiment are set forth in FIG. 28, which shows the ratio of VEGF165 to Flt1D2Flk1D3.Fc $\Delta \mathrm{C} 1$ (a) in a complex to be $1: 1$.

## Determination of the Binding Stoichiometry of Flt1D2Flk1D3.FcAC1(a)/VEGF165 Complex by Size Exclusion Chromatography

Flt1D2Flk1D3.Fc $\Delta \mathrm{C} 1(\mathbf{a}) / \mathrm{VEGF} 165$ Complex Preparation
VEGF165 (concentration $=3.61 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$ ) was mixed with CHO cell transiently expressed Flt1D2.Flk1D3.FcaC1(a) (concentration $=0.9 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$ ) in molar ratio of 3:1 (VEGF 165: Flt1D2.Flk1D3.FcaC1(a)) and incubated overnight at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
(a) Size Exclusion Chromatography (SEC) Under Native Conditions

To separate the complex from excess of unbound VEGF165, $50 \mu 1$ of the complex was loaded on a Pharmacia SUPEROSETM 12 PC 3.2/30 which was equilibrated in PBS buffer. The sample was eluted with the same buffer at flow rate $40 \mu 1 / \mathrm{min}$. at room temperature. The results of this SEC are shown in FIG. 31. Peak \#1 represents the complex and peak \#2 represents unbound VEGF165. Fractions eluted between 1.1 and 1.2 ml were combined and guanidinium hydrochloride (GuHCl)was added to a final concentration 4.5 M to dissociate the complex.
(b) Size Exclusion Chromatography (SEC) Under Dissociative Conditions

To separate the components of the receptor-ligand complex and to determine their molar ratio, $50 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of dissociated complex as described supra was loaded onto a SUPEROSE ${ }^{\text {TM }} 12 \mathrm{PC} 3.2 / 30$ equilibrated in 6 M GuHCl and eluted with the same solution at a flow rate $40 \mu 1 / \mathrm{min}$. at room temperature. The results of this SEC are shown in FIG. 32.
(c) Calculation of Flt1D2Flk1D3.Fc $\Delta \mathrm{C} 1$ (a):VEGF165 Complex Stoichiometry

The stoichiometry of the receptor-ligand complex was determined from the peak area or the peak height of the components. Concentrations of VEGF165 and Flt1D2Flk1D3.Fc $\Delta \mathrm{C} 1$ (a) corresponding to the peak height or peak area, respectively, were obtained from the standard curves for VEGF165 and Flt1D2Flk1D3.Fc $\Delta \mathrm{C} 1$ (a). To obtain a standard curve, four different concentrations ( 0.04 $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}-0.3 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$ ) of either component were injected onto a Pharmacia SEPHAROSE ${ }^{\text {TM }} 12$ PC $3.2 / 30$ column equilibrated in 6 M guanidinium chloride and eluted with the same solution at flow rate $40 \mu 1 / \mathrm{min}$. at room temperature. The standard curve was obtained by plotting peak area or peak height vs protein concentration. The molar ratio of VEGF165:Flt1D2F1k1D3.FcAC1(a) determined from the peak area of the components was 1.16. The molar ratio of VEGF165:Flt1D2Flk1D3.FcaC1(a) determined from the peak height of the components was 1.10 .

Example 27

> Determination of the Stoichiometry of the Flt1D2Flk1D3.Fc4C1(a)/VEGF165 Complex by Size Exclusion Chromatography with On-Line Light Scattering

## Complex Preparation

VEGF165 was mixed with CHO transiently expressed Flt1D2.Flk1D3.Fc $\Delta \mathrm{C} 1$ (a) protein in molar ratio of 3:1 (VEGF165:Flt1D2F1k1D3.Fc $\Delta \mathrm{C} 1$ (a)) and incubated overnight at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
(a) Size Exclusion Chromatography (SEC) with On-Line Light Scattering
Size exclusion chromatography column with a MiniDawn on-line light scattering detector (Wyatt Technology, Santa Barbara, Calif.) and refractive index (RI) detectors (Shimadzu, Kyoto, Japan) was used to determine the molecular weight (MW) of the receptor-ligand complex. Samples were injected onto a SUPEROSE ${ }^{\text {TM }} 12$ HR 10/30 column (Pharmacia) equilibrated in PBS buffer and eluted with the same buffer at flow rate $0.5 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min}$. at room temperature. As shown in FIG. 33, the elution profile shows two peaks. Peak \#1 represents the receptor-ligand complex and peak \#2 represents the unbound VEGF 165. MW was calculated from LS and RI signals. The same procedure was used to determine MW of the individual components of the receptorligand complex. The results of these determinations are as follows: MW of the Flt1D2F1k1D3.Fc $\Delta \mathrm{C} 1$ (a)/VEGF-165 complex at the peak position is 157300 (FIG. 33), the MW of VEGF165 at the peak position is. 44390 (FIG. 34) and the MW of R1R2 at the peak is 113300 (FIG. 35).

These data indicated that the stoichiometry of the Flt1D2Flk1D3.FcaC1(a)/VEGF complex is 1:1 as its corresponds to the sum of molecular weights for Flt1D2Flk1D3.FcaC1(a) and VEGF165. Importantly, this method conclusively proved that the FIt1D2Flk1D3.Fc $\Delta \mathrm{C} 1$ (a)/VEGF165 complex was indeed composed of only one molecule of VEGF165 ligand and only one molecule of the Flt1D2Flk1D3.Fc $\Delta \mathrm{Cl} 1$ (a).

## Example 28

## Peptide Mapping of Flt1D2.Flk1D3.Fc $\Delta \mathrm{C} 1$ (a)

The disulfide structures and glycosylation sites in Flt1D2.Flk1D3.FcAC1(a) were determined by a peptide mapping method. In this method, the protein was first cleaved with trypsin. Tryptic fragments were analyzed and identified by HPLC coupled with mass spectrometry, in addition to an N -terminal sequencing technique.
Reduction of the tryptic digest was employed to help identify disulfide-bond-containing fragments. Treatment of the tryptic digest with PNGase F (Glyko, Novato, Calif.) was employed to help identify fragments with N-linked glycosylation sites. The results are summarized in the accompanying FIG. 36.
There are a total of ten cysteines in Flt1D2.Flk1D3.Fc $\Delta \mathrm{C} 1$ (a); six of them belong to the Fc region. Cys 27 has been confirmed to be disulfide bonded to Cys76. Cys121 is confirmed to be disulfide bonded to Cys 182. The first two cysteines in the Fc region (Cys211 and Cys214) form an intermolecular disulfide bond with the same two cysteines in another Fc chain. However, because these two cysteines can not be separated enzymatically from each other, it can not be determined whether disulfide bonding is occurring between same cysteines (Cys211 to Cys211, for example) or between Cys211 and Cys214. Cys216 is confirmed to be disulfide bonded to Cys306. Cys 352 is confirmed to be disulfide bonded to Cys 410 .

There are five possible N -linked glycosylation sites in Flt1D2.Flk1D3.FcaC1(a). All five of them are found to be glycosylated to varying degrees. Complete glycosylation was observed at Asn33 (amino acid sequence NIT), Asn193 (amino acid sequence NST), and Asn282 (amino acid sequence NST). In addition, partial glycosylation is observed on Asn65 and Asn120. Sites of glycosylation are highlighted by underline in the FIG. 36.

## Pharmacokinetic Analysis of Modified Flt Receptors

(a) Pharmacokinetic Analysis of Flt1(1-3)-Fc (A40), Flt1D2.F1k1D3.Fc $\Delta \mathrm{C} 1$ (a) and VEGFR1R2-Fc $\Delta \mathrm{C} 1$ (a)
$\mathrm{Balb} / \mathrm{c}$ mice ( $25-30 \mathrm{~g}$ ) were injected subcutaneously with $4 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ of Flt1(1-3)-Fc (A40), CHO transiently expressed Flt1D2.Flk1D3.Fc $\Delta \mathrm{C} 1$ (a), CHO stably expressed Flt1D2.Flk1D3.Fc $\Delta \mathrm{C} 1$ (a), and CHO transiently expressed VEGFR1R2-Fc $\Delta \mathrm{C} 1$ (a). The mice were tail bled at $1,2,4,6$, 24 hrs, 2 days, 3 days and 6 days after injection. The sera were assayed in an ELISA designed to detect Flt1(1-3)-Fc (A40), Flt1D2.Flk1D3.Fc $\Delta \mathrm{C} 1$ (a) or VEGFR1R2-Fc $\Delta \mathrm{C} 1$ (a). The ELISA involves coating an ELISA plate with VEGF165, binding the detect Flt1(1-3)-Fc (A40), Flt1D2.Flk1D3.Fc $\Delta \mathrm{C} 1$ (a) or VEGFR1R2-Fc $\Delta \mathrm{C} 1$ (a) and reporting with an anti-Fc antibody linked to horse radish peroxidase. The results of this experiments are shown in FIG. 37. The $\mathrm{T}_{\text {max }}$ for Flt1(1-3)-Fc (A40) was at 6 hrs while the $\mathrm{T}_{\text {max }}$ for the transient and stable F1t1D2.F1k1D3.Fc $\Delta \mathrm{C} 1$ (a) and the transient VEGFR1R2-Fc $\Delta \mathrm{C} 1$ (a) was 24 hrs . The $\mathrm{C}_{\text {max }}$ for Flt1(1-3)-Fc (A40) was $8 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$. For both transients (F1t1D2.F1k1D3.Fc $\Delta \mathrm{C} 1$ (a) and VEGFR1R2-Fc $\Delta \mathrm{C} 1$ (a)) the $\mathrm{C}_{\text {max }}$ was $18 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ and the $\mathrm{C}_{\max }$ for the stable VEGFR1R2$\mathrm{Fc} \Delta \mathrm{C} 1$ (a) was $30 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$.
(b) Pharmacokinetic Analysis of Flt1(1-3)-Fc (A40), Flt1D2.Flk1D3.Fc $\Delta \mathrm{C} 1$ (a) and Flt1D2.VEGFR3D3.Fc $\Delta \mathrm{C} 1$ (a)
$\mathrm{Balb} / \mathrm{c}$ mice $(25-30 \mathrm{~g})$ were injected subcutaneously with $4 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ of Flt1(1-3)-Fc (A40), CHO transiently expressed Flt1D2.Flk1D3.FcaC1(a) and CHO transiently expressed Flt1D2.VEGFR3D3.Fc $\Delta \mathrm{C} 1$ (a). The mice were tail bled at 1 , $2,5,6,7,8,12,15$ and 20 days after injection. The sera were assayed in an ELISA designed to detect Flt1(1-3)-Fc, Flt1D2.Flk1D3.Fc $\Delta \mathrm{C} 1$ (a) and Flt1D2.VEGFR3D3.Fc $\Delta \mathrm{C} 1$ (a). The ELISA involves coating an ELISA plate with 165, binding the Flt1(1-3)-Fc, Flt1D2.Flk1D3.Fc $\Delta \mathrm{C} 1$ (a) or Flt1D2.VEGFR3D3.Fc $\Delta \mathrm{C} 1$ (a) and reporting with an anti-Fc antibody linked to horse radish peroxidase. Flt1(1-3)-Fc (A40) could no longer be detected in the serum after day 5 whereas, Flt1D2.Flk1D3.Fc $\Delta \mathrm{C} 1$ (a) and Flt1D2.VEGFR3D3.Fc $\Delta \mathrm{C} 1$ (a) were detectable for 15 days or more. The results of this experiment are shown in FIG. 38.

Example 30
Evaluation of the Ability of
Flt1D2.F1k1D3.Fc $\Delta \mathrm{C} 1$ (a) to Inhibit Tumor Growth in Vivo

To evaluate the ability of F1t1D2.F1k1D3.Fc $\Delta C 1$ (a) to inhibit tumor growth in vivo a model in which tumor cell suspensions are implanted subcutaneously on the right flank of male severe combined immunodeficiency (SCID) mice was employed. Two cell lines, the human HT-1080 fibrosarcoma cell line (ATCC accession no. CCL-121) and the rat C6 glioma cell line (ATCC accession no. CCL-107), each of which exhibit distinctly different morphologies and growth characteristics, were used in the assay. The first dose of Flt1D2.Flk1D3.Fc $\Delta \mathrm{C} 1$ (a) (at $25 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{Kg}$ or as indicated in FIGS. 39 and 40) was given on the day of tumor implantation. Animals subsequently received subcutaneous injections of Flt1(1-3)-Fc (A40), Flt1D2.Flk1D3.Fc $\Delta \mathrm{C} 1$ (a) or vehicle either every other day (EOD) or two times per week
$(2 \times / w k)$ for a period of 2 weeks. After 2 weeks, animals were perfused with fixative, tumors were removed and samples were blinded. Tumor volume was determined by measuring the length and width of visible subcutaneous tumors. Both of Flt1(1-3)-Fc (A40) and Flt1D2.Flk1D3.Fc $\Delta \mathrm{C} 1$ (a) significantly reduced the growth of tumors formed by HT-1080 and C6 cells. The results of these experiments are shown in FIG. 39 and FIG. 40.

## Example 31

The Effect of VEGF165 and Modified Flt Receptors in Female Reproductive System

The stereotypic pattern of vascular remodeling which occur in the uterus and ovary over the course of the reproductive cycle has been well characterized, making these tissues particularly well suited to the study of mechanisms which regulate angiogenesis, vascular remodeling and vascular regression. Indeed, in situ hybridization studies in the reproductive tissues provided the first clear evidence that VEGF acts as a mediator of physiological angiogenesis in mature rodents, as well as humans and non-human primates (Phillips et al, 1990; Ravindranath et al, 1992; Shweiki et al, 1993; Kamat et al, 1995). As cyclic angiogenesis and vascular remodeling are prominent features of the normal ovary and uterus, it is not surprising that abnormal blood vessel growth and/or vascular dysfunction have been found to characterize many pathological conditions which affect these organs. Furthermore, these pathogenic vascular abnormalities are thought to be caused or perpetuated by the dysregulated expression of one or more angiogenic or antiangiogenic factors, most prominently VEGF.

For example, abnormal angiogenesis is characteristic of polycystic ovary disease, endometriosis and endometrial carcinoma, and in each case VEGF is over expressed in the affected tissue (Kamat et al, 1995; Shifren et al, 1996; Guidi et al, 1996; Donnez et al, 1998). Overexpression of VEGF is also thought to play a pathogenic role in the establishment of systemic vascular hyperpermeability in ovarian hyperstimulation syndrome (McClure et al, 1994; Levin et al, 1998) and preeclampsia (Baker et al, 1995; Sharkey et al, 1996). In addition, VEGF has been implicated as the permeability factor responsible for the production of ascites associated with ovarian carcinoma and other tumors (Senger et al, 1983; Boocock et al, 1995). Agents which effectively neutralize the biological actions of VEGF can reasonably be anticipated to be of therapeutic benefit in the above and related conditions.

Angiogenesis and vascular remodeling are also hallmarks of blastocyst implantation and placental development (Findlay, 1986). VEGF is strongly expressed both in the maternal decidua and in embryonic trophoblasts, where it is thought to first stimulate expansion and hyperpermeability of the uterine vasculature during the peri-implantation period and subsequently mediate formation of both the maternal and embryonic components of the placental vasculature (Shweiki et al, 1993; Cullinan-Bove and Koos, 1993; Chakraborty et al, 1995; Das et al, 1997). VEGF is also required for luteal angiogenesis and associated progesterone secretion necessary to prepare the uterus for implantation (Ferrara et al, 1998). Thus, agents which inhibit the biological actions of VEGF may prove to be useful as contraceptive agents (by preventing implantation), or as an abortifacients in the early stages of gestation. The latter application might find particular use as a non-surgical intervention for the termination of ectopic pregnancies.

While the expression of VEGF receptors is largely confined to the vascular endothelium in normal reproductive tissues, Flt1 is also expressed by trophoblasts in the placenta in both humans and animals (Clark et al, 1996; He et al, 1999) where it has been proposed to play a role in trophoblast invasion. Interestingly, both Flt1 and KDR (Flk1) are expressed by choriocarcinoma cell line BeWo (CharnockJones et al, 1994), and VEGF has been shown to promote DNA synthesis and tyrosine phosphorylation of MAP kinase in these cells. Furthermore, primary and metastatic ovarian carcinomas not only to express high levels of VEGF, but - in addition to the vascular endothelium -the tumor cells themselves express KDR and/or Flt1 (Boocock et al, 1995). These findings suggest that VEGF may not only be critically involved in the generation and maintenance of tumor vasculature, but that at least in some tumors of reproductive origin VEGF may subserve an autocrine role, directly supporting the survival and proliferation of the tumor cells. Thus agents which block the actions of VEGF may have particularly beneficial applications to the treatment of tumors of reproductive origin.

## Methods and Results

(a) Assessment of VEGF-Induced Uterine Hyperpermeability

Pregnant mare's serum gonadotrophin (PMSG) was injected subcutaneously ( 5 IU ) to induce ovulation in prepubertal female rats. This results in a surge of estradiol after 2 days which in turn causes an induction of VEGF in the uterus. It is reported that this induction results in hyperpermeability of the uterus and an increase in uterine wet weight 6 hrs. later and, therefore, could potentially be blocked by the modified Flt receptors Flt1(1-3)-Fc (A40), Flt1D2.F1k1D3.Fc $\Delta \mathrm{C} 1$ (a) and Flt1D2.VEGFR3D3.Fc $\Delta \mathrm{C} 1$ (a). In this in vivo model, the normal weight of the rat uterus is about 50 mg and this can be induced to $300-350 \mathrm{mg}$ by PMSG. Desiccation of the tissue reveals that this is all water weight. Subcutaneous injection of Flt1(1-3)-Fc (A40), Flt1D2.Flk1D3.Fc $\Delta \mathrm{C} 1$ (a) and Flt1D2.VEGFR3D3.Fc $\Delta \mathrm{C} 1$ (a) at $25 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ at 1 hr . after PMSG injection results in about a $50 \%$ inhibition of the increase in uterine wet weight. Increasing the dose of modified Flt receptor does not further reduce the increase in wet weight suggesting that there is a VEGF-independent component to this model. The results of this experiment are shown in FIG. 41.
(a) Assessment of Corpus Luteum Angiogenesis Using Progesterone as a Readout

Pregnant mare's serum gonadotrophin (PMSG) is injected subcutaneously (5 IU) to induce ovulation in prepubertal female rats. This results in a fully functioning corpus luteum containing a dense network of blood vessels after 4 days that allows for the secretion of progesterone into the blood stream in order to prepare the uterus for implantation. The induction of angiogenesis in the corpus luteum requires VEGF; therefore, blocking VEGF would result in a lack of new blood vessels and thus a lack of progesterone secreted into the blood stream. In this in vivo model, resting levels of progesterone are about $5 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{ml}$ and this can be induced to a level of $25-40 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{ml}$ after PMSG. Subcutaneous injection of Flt1(1-3)-Fc (A40) or Flt1D2.Flk1D3.Fc $\Delta \mathrm{C} 1$ (a) at $25 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ or $5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ at 1 hr . after PMSG injection results in a complete inhibition of the progesterone induction on day 4. The results of this experiment are shown in FIG. 42A-42B.

## Example 33

Pharmacokinetic Analysis of Flt1(1-3)-Fc (A40) and Pegylated Flt1(1-3)-Fc

Flt1(1-3)-Fc was PEGylated with either 10 kD PEG or 20 kD PEG and tested in balb/c mice for their pharmacokinetic profile. Both PEGylated forms of Flt1(1-3)-Fc were found to have much better PK profiles than FIt1(1-3)-Fc (A40), with the Tmax occurring at 24 hrs. for the PEGylated molecules as opposed to 6 hrs. for $\operatorname{Flt1}(1-3)-\mathrm{Fc}$ (A40).

## Example 34

## VEGF165 ELISA to Test Affinity of Modified Flt1 Receptor Variants

10 pM of VEGF165 was incubated overnight at room temperature with modified Flt1 receptor variants ranging from 160 pM to 0.1 pM . The modified Flt1 receptor variants used in this experiment were Flt1(1-3)-Fc, Fltt $(1-3)-\mathrm{Fc}$ (A40), transiently expressed Flt1D2Flk1D3.Fc $\Delta \mathrm{Cl}$ (a), transiently expressed Flt1D2VEFGFR3D3-FcaC1(a), Flt1-(1-
$\left.3_{\text {NAS }}\right)-\mathrm{Fc}, \mathrm{Flt}\left(1-3_{R \rightarrow C}\right)-\mathrm{Fc}$ and Tie2-Fc. Flt $1\left(1-3_{N A S}\right)-\mathrm{Fc}$ is a modified version of Flt1(1-3)-Fc in which the highly basic amino acid sequence KNKRASVRRR is replaced by NASVNGSR, resulting in the incorporation of two new glyco5 sylation sites and a net reduction of five positive charges, both with the purpose of reducing the unfavorable effects of this sequence on PK . Flt1 $\left(1-3_{R->C}\right)$ - Fc is a modification in which a single arginine ( R ) residue within the same basic amino acid sequence is changed to a cysteine (C) (KNK RASVRRR->KNKCASVRRR) to allow for pegylation at that residue, which could then shield the basic region from exerting its unfavorable effects on PK. After incubation the solution was transferred to a plate containing a capture antibody for VEGF165 (R\&D). The amount of free VEGF165 was then determined using an antibody to report free VEGF165. This showed that the modified Flt1 receptor variant with the highest affinity. for VEGF165 (determined as the lowest amount of free VEGF165) was 20 Flt1D2Flk1D3.Fc $\Delta \mathrm{C} 1(\mathrm{a})$, followed by Flt1(1-3)-Fc and Flt1 (1-3)-Fc (A40) and then by Flt1(1-3 $R->C$ - Fc , $\operatorname{Flt1}\left(1-3_{\text {NAS }}\right)-$ Fc and Flt1D2VEFGFR3D3-Fc $\Delta \mathrm{C} 1$ (a). Tie2Fc has no affinity for VEGF 165.


-continued

$<210>$ SEQ ID NO 2
$<211>$ LENGTH: 567
$<212>$ TYPE: PRT
$<213>$ ORGANISM: Homo sapiens
$<400>$ SEQUENCE: 2



```
<210> SEQ ID NO 3
<211> LENGTH: 1674
<212> TYPE: DNA
<213> ORGANISM: Homo sapiens
<220> FEATURE:
<221> NAME/KEY: CDS
<222> LOCATION: (1) ...(1671)
<400> SEQUENCE: 3
```



$<210>$ SEQ ID NO 4
$<211>$ LENGTH: 557
$<212>$ TYPE: PRT
$<213>$ ORGANISM: Homo sapiens
$<400>$ SEQUENCE: 4


|  | 50 |  |  |  | 55 |  |  |  | 60 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Glu } \\ & 65 \end{aligned}$ | Met | Val | Ser Lys | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Glu } \\ & 70 \end{aligned}$ | Ser | Glu | Arg Leu | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ser } \\ & 75 \end{aligned}$ | Ile | Thr Lys |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ala } \\ & 80 \end{aligned}$ |
| Cys | Gly | Arg | $\begin{gathered} \text { Asn Gly } \\ 85 \end{gathered}$ | Lys | Gln | Phe | $\begin{gathered} \text { Cys Ser } \\ 90 \end{gathered}$ | Thr | Leu | Thr Leu | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Asn } \\ & 95 \end{aligned}$ | Thr |
| Ala | Gln | Ala | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Asn His } \\ & 100 \end{aligned}$ | Thr | Gly | Phe | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Tyr Ser } \\ & 105 \end{aligned}$ | Eys | Lys | $\begin{aligned} \text { Tyr Leu } \\ 110 \end{aligned}$ | Ala | Val |
| Pro | Thr | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ser } \\ & 115 \end{aligned}$ | Lys Lys | Lys | Glu | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Thr } \\ & 120 \end{aligned}$ | Glu Ser |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Tyr Ile } \\ & 125 \end{aligned}$ |  | Ile |
| Ser | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Asp } \\ & 130 \end{aligned}$ | Thr | Gly Arg | Pro | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Phe } \\ & 135 \end{aligned}$ | Val | Glu Met | Tyr | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ser } \\ & 140 \end{aligned}$ | Glu Ile | Pro | Glu |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ile } \\ & 145 \end{aligned}$ | Ile | His | Met Thr | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Glu } \\ & 150 \end{aligned}$ | Gly | Arg | Glu Leu | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Val } \\ & 155 \end{aligned}$ | Ile | Pro Cys | Arg | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Val } \\ & 160 \end{aligned}$ |
| Thr | Ser | Pro | $\begin{array}{r} \text { sn } \begin{array}{r} \text { Ile } \end{array} \\ 165 \end{array}$ | Thr | Val | Thr | $\begin{aligned} \text { Leu } \begin{array}{l} \text { Lys } \\ \\ 170 \end{array} \end{aligned}$ | Lys | Phe | Pro Leu | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Asp } \\ & 175 \end{aligned}$ | Thr |
| Leu | Ile | Pro | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Asp Gly } \\ & 180 \end{aligned}$ | Lys | Arg | Ile | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ile Trp } \\ & 185 \end{aligned}$ | Asp | Ser | Arg Lys 190 | Gly | Phe |
| Ile | Ile | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ser } \\ & 195 \end{aligned}$ | Asn Ala | Thr | Tyr | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Lys } \\ & 200 \end{aligned}$ | Glu Ile | Gly | Leu | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Leu Thr } \\ & 205 \end{aligned}$ | cys | Glu |
| Ala | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Thr } \\ & 210 \end{aligned}$ | Val | sn Gly | His | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Leu } \\ & 215 \end{aligned}$ | Tyr | Lys Thr | Asn | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Tyr } \\ & 220 \end{aligned}$ | Leu Thr | His | Arg |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Gln } \\ & 225 \end{aligned}$ | Thr | Asn | r Ile | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ile } \\ & 230 \end{aligned}$ | Asp | Val | ln Ile | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ser } \\ & 235 \end{aligned}$ | Thr | Pro Arg | Pro | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Val } \\ & 240 \end{aligned}$ |
| Lys | Leu | Leu | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Arg Gly } \\ 245 \end{array}$ | His | Thr | Leu |  | Asn | Cys | Thr Ala | $\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{Thr} \\ & 255 \end{aligned}$ | Thr |
| Pro | Leu | Asn | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Thr Arg } \\ & 260 \end{aligned}$ | Val | Gln | Met | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Thr Trp } \\ & 265 \end{aligned}$ | Ser | Tyr | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Pro Asp } \\ 270 \end{array}$ | Glu | Ile |
| Asp | Gln | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ser } \\ & 275 \end{aligned}$ | Asn Ser | is | Ala | $\begin{gathered} \text { Asn } \\ 280 \end{gathered}$ | Ile Phe | Tyr |  | Val Leu 285 | Thr | Ile |
| Asp | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Lys } \\ & 290 \end{aligned}$ | Met | $\operatorname{Ln} \text { Asn }$ | Lys | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Asp } \\ & 295 \end{aligned}$ | Lys | Gly Leu | Tyr | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Thr } \\ & 300 \end{aligned}$ | Cys Arg | Val | Arg |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ser } \\ & 305 \end{aligned}$ | Gly | $\bigcirc$ | r | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Lys } \\ & 310 \end{aligned}$ | Ser | Val | sn Thr | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ser } \\ & 315 \end{aligned}$ | Val | His Ile | Tyr | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Asp } \\ & 320 \end{aligned}$ |
| Lys | Ala | Gly | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Gly } \\ 325 \end{array}$ | Glu | Pro | Lys | $\begin{gathered} \text { er Cys } A \\ 330 \end{gathered}$ | Asp |  | Thr His | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Thr } \\ & 335 \end{aligned}$ | Cys |
| Pro | Pro | Cys | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pro Ala } \\ & 340 \end{aligned}$ | ro | Glu | Leu | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Leu Gly } \\ & 345 \end{aligned}$ | gly |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Ser Val } \\ 350 \end{array}$ |  | Leu |
| Phe | Pro | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pro } \\ & 355 \end{aligned}$ | Lys Pro | Lys | Asp | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Thr } \\ & 360 \end{aligned}$ | Leu Met | Ile | Ser | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Arg Thr } \\ & 365 \end{aligned}$ | Pro | Glu |
| Val | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Thr } \\ & 370 \end{aligned}$ | Cys | Val Val | al | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Asp } \\ & 375 \end{aligned}$ | Val | er His | Glu | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Asp } \\ & 380 \end{aligned}$ | Pro Glu | Val | Lys |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Phe } \\ & 385 \end{aligned}$ | Asn | Trp | yr Val | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Asp } \\ & 390 \end{aligned}$ | Gly | Val | Glu Val | $\begin{aligned} & \text { His } \\ & 395 \end{aligned}$ | Asn | Ala Lys | Thr | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Lys } \\ & 400 \end{aligned}$ |
| Pro | Arg | Glu | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Glu } \begin{array}{r} \text { Gln } \\ 405 \end{array} \end{array}$ | TYY | Asn | Ser | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Thr Tyr } \\ 410 \end{array}$ | Arg |  | Val Ser | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Val } \\ & 415 \end{aligned}$ | Leu |
| Thr V | Val | Leu | $\begin{aligned} & \text { His Gln } \\ & 420 \end{aligned}$ | Asp | $\operatorname{Trp}$ | Leu | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Asn Gly } \\ & 425 \end{aligned}$ | Lys | Glu | $\begin{aligned} \text { Tyr Lys } \\ 430 \end{aligned}$ | Cys | Lys |
| Val | Ser | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Asn } \\ & 435 \end{aligned}$ | Lys Ala | Leu | Pro | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ala } \\ & 440 \end{aligned}$ | Pro Ile | Glu | Lys | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Thr Ile } \\ & 445 \end{aligned}$ | Ser | Lys |
| Ala | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Lys } \\ & 450 \end{aligned}$ | Gly | Gln Pro | Arg | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Glu } \\ & 455 \end{aligned}$ | Pro | Gln Val | Tyr | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Thr } \\ & 460 \end{aligned}$ | Leu Pro |  | Ser |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Arg A } \\ & 465 \end{aligned}$ | Asp | Glu | Leu Thr | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Lys } \\ & 470 \end{aligned}$ | Asn | Gln V | Val Ser | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Leu } \\ & 475 \end{aligned}$ | Thr | Cys Leu | Val | Lys 480 |



<210> SEQ ID NO 6
<211> LENGTH: 452
<212> TYPE: PRT
<213> ORGANISM: Homo sapiens
<400> SEQUENCE: 6







```
<210> SEQ ID NO 9
<211> LENGTH: 1704
<212> TYPE: DNA
<213> ORGANISM: Homo sapiens
<220> FEATURE:
<221> NAME/KEY: CDS
<222> LOCATION: (1) ... (1701)
<400> SEQUENCE: 9
```



<210> SEQ ID NO 10
<211> LENGTH: 567
<212> TYPE: PRT
<213> ORGANISM: Homo sapiens
<400> SEQUENCE: 10




$<210>$ SEQ ID NO 12
$<211>$ LENGTH: 458
$<212>$ TYPE: PRT
$<213>$ ORGANISM: Homo sapiens
<400> SEQUENCE: 12



$<210>$ SEQ ID NO 14
$<211>$ LENGTH: 455
$<212>$ TYPE: PRT
$<213>$ ORGANISM: Homo sapiens
$<400>$ SEQUENCE: 14





-continued

| Asp | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Gly } \\ & 50 \end{aligned}$ | Lys | Arg I | Ile | Ile | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Trp } \\ & 55 \end{aligned}$ | Asp | Ser | Arg | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Lys } 6 \\ 6 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Gly } \\ & 60 \end{aligned}$ | he |  | e ser |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Asn } \\ & 65 \end{aligned}$ | Ala | Thr | Tyr L | Lys | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Glu } \\ & 70 \end{aligned}$ | Ile | Gly | Leu |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Thr } \\ & 75 \end{aligned}$ | Cys | Glu | Ala | $\begin{gathered} \text { Thr Val } \\ 80 \end{gathered}$ |
| Asn | Gly | His | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Leu } \mathrm{T} \\ & 8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Tyr I } \\ & 85 \end{aligned}$ | Lys | Thr | Asn | TYr | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Leu } \\ & 90 \end{aligned}$ | Thr | His | Arg | Gln 9 | Thr Asn 95 |
| Thr | Ile | Ile | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Asp V } \\ & 100 \end{aligned}$ | Val | Val | Leu | Ser | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pro } \\ & 105 \end{aligned}$ | Ser | His | Gly | Ile G | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Glu L } \\ & 110 \end{aligned}$ | Leu Ser |
| Val | Gly | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Glu } \\ & 115 \end{aligned}$ | Lys L | Leu | Val | eu | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Asn } \\ & 120 \end{aligned}$ | Cys | Thr | Ala | Arg | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Thr } \\ & 125 \end{aligned}$ |  | Leu Asn |
| Val | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Gly } \\ & 130 \end{aligned}$ | Ile | Asp P | Phe | Asn | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Trp } \\ & 135 \end{aligned}$ | Glu | TYr | Pro | Ser | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ser } \\ & 140 \end{aligned}$ | Lys | His | Gln His |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Lys } \\ & 145 \end{aligned}$ | Lys | Leu | Val A | Asn 7 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Arg } \\ & 150 \end{aligned}$ | Asp | Leu | Lys | Thr | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Gln } \\ & 155 \end{aligned}$ | Ser | Gly | Ser | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Glu Met } \\ 160 \end{array}$ |
| Lys | Lys | Phe | Leu $\begin{array}{r}\text { S } \\ 1\end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ser } \\ & 165 \end{aligned}$ | Thr | Leu | Thr | Ile | Asp <br> 170 | Gly | Val | hr | $\begin{array}{r} \text { rg } \\ \\ 1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ser Asp } \\ & 175 \end{aligned}$ |
| Gln | Gly | Leu | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Tyr T } \\ & 180 \end{aligned}$ | Thr | Cys | Ala | Ala | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ser } \\ & 185 \end{aligned}$ | Ser | Gly | Leu | $\begin{aligned} \text { Met } \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Thr } \\ & 190 \end{aligned}$ | Lys Lys |
| Asn | Ser | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Thr } \\ & 195 \end{aligned}$ | Phe V | Val | Arg | Val | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{His} \\ & 200 \end{aligned}$ | Glu | Lys | Gly | Pro | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Gly } \\ & 205 \end{aligned}$ | sp | Lys Thr |
| His | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Thr } \\ & 210 \end{aligned}$ | Cys | $60 \mathrm{P}$ | Pro | Cys | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pro } \\ & 215 \end{aligned}$ | Ala | Pro | lu. | eu | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Leu } \\ & 220 \end{aligned}$ | Gly | Gly | ro Ser |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Val } \\ & 225 \end{aligned}$ | Phe | u | e P | $\bigcirc$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pro } \\ & 230 \end{aligned}$ | Lys | ro | Lys | Asp | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Thr } \\ & 235 \end{aligned}$ | Leu | Met | Ile | $\text { Ser Arg } \begin{array}{r} \text { A } \end{array}$ |
| Thr | Pro | Glu | I 2 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Thr } \\ & 245 \end{aligned}$ | Cys | Val | al | al | Asp <br> 250 | Val | Ser | His | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{Alu} \\ 2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Asp Pro } \\ & 255 \end{aligned}$ |
| Glu | Val | Lys | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Phe A } \\ & 260 \end{aligned}$ | Asn | Trp | Tyr | al | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Asp } \\ & 265 \end{aligned}$ | Gly |  | Glu | $\begin{array}{r} \text { al } \begin{array}{r} H \\ 2 \end{array} ~ \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{His} \\ & 270 \end{aligned}$ | Asn Ala |
| Lys | Thr | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Lys } \\ & 275 \end{aligned}$ | Pro A | Arg | Glu | Glu | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Gln} \\ & 280 \end{aligned}$ | TYr | Asn | Ser | Thr | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Tyr A } \\ & 285 \end{aligned}$ | Arg | Val Val |
| Ser | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Val } \\ & 290 \end{aligned}$ | Leu | hr | Val | eu | $\begin{aligned} & \text { His } \\ & 295 \end{aligned}$ | Gln | Asp | Trp | eu | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Asn } \\ & 300 \end{aligned}$ | Gly | ys | Glu Tyr |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Lys } \\ & 305 \end{aligned}$ | Cys | Lys |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Asn } \\ & 310 \end{aligned}$ | Lys | Ala | u | $0$ | Ala $315$ | Pro | Ile | $1 u$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Lys Thr } \\ 320 \end{array}$ |
| Ile | Ser | LYs | Ala | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Lys } \\ & 325 \end{aligned}$ | Gly | Gln | Pro | Arg | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Glu } \\ & 330 \end{aligned}$ | Pro | Gln | Val | $\begin{aligned} \text { Tyr } \\ 3 \\ 3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Thr Leu } \\ & 335 \end{aligned}$ |
| Pro | Pro | Ser | Arg A <br> 340 | Asp | Glu | Leu | Thr | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Lys } \\ & 345 \end{aligned}$ | Asn | Gln |  | $\text { er } \begin{aligned} & L \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Leu } \\ & 350 \end{aligned}$ | Thr Cys |
| Leu | Val | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Lys } \\ & 355 \end{aligned}$ | Gly P | Phe | Tyr | ro | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ser } \\ & 360 \end{aligned}$ | Asp | le | la | al | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Glu T } \\ & 365 \end{aligned}$ | $\operatorname{Trp} G$ | Glu Ser |
| Asn | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Gly } \\ & 370 \end{aligned}$ | Gln | Pro G | Glu | Asn | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Asn } \\ & 375 \end{aligned}$ | Tyr | Lys | Thr | Thr | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pro } \\ & 380 \end{aligned}$ | Pro | Val | Leu Asp |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ser } \\ & 385 \end{aligned}$ | Asp | Gly | Ser | he | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Phe } \\ & 390 \end{aligned}$ | Leu | Tyr | Ser | Lys | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Leu } \\ & 395 \end{aligned}$ | Thr | Val | Asp | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Lys Ser } \\ 400 \end{array}$ |
| Arg | $\operatorname{Trp}$ | Gln | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{Gln} \\ 4 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Gly } \\ & 405 \end{aligned}$ | Asn | Val | Phe | Ser | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cys } \\ & 410 \end{aligned}$ | Ser | Val | Met | His | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Glu Ala } \\ & 415 \end{aligned}$ |
| Leu | His | Asn | $\begin{aligned} & \text { His } \mathrm{T} \\ & 420 \end{aligned}$ | Tyr | Thr | Gln | Lys | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ser } \\ & 425 \end{aligned}$ | Leu | Ser | Pro | $\begin{array}{ll} \text { Gly } & L \\ & 4 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Lys } \\ & 430 \end{aligned}$ |  |

```
<210> SEQ ID NO 18
<211> LENGTH: 36
<212> TYPE: DNA
<213> ORGANISM: Artificial Sequence
<220> FEATURE:
<223> OTHER INFORMATION: primer
```

```
<400> SEQUENCE: 18
```

```
gactagcagt ccggaggtag acctttcgta gagatg36
<210> SEQ ID NO 19
<211> LENGTH: }3
<212> TYPE: DNA
<213> ORGANISM: Artificial Sequence
<220> FEATURE.
<223> OTHER INFORMATION: primer
<400> SEQUENCE: 19
cggactcaga accacatcta tgattgtatt ggt 33
<210> SEQ ID NO 20
<211> LENGTH: 7
<212> TYPE: PRT
<213> ORGANISM: Homo sapiens
<400> SEQUENCE: 20
Gly Arg Pro Phe Val Glu Met
<210> SEQ ID NO 21
<211> LENGTH: }3
<212> TYPE: DNA
<213> ORGANISM: Artificial Sequence
<220> FEATURE:
<223> OTHER INFORMATION: primer
<400> SEQUENCE: 21
acaatcatag atgtggttct gagtccgtct catgg
<210> SEQ ID NO 22
<211> LENGTH: }3
<212> TYPE: DNA
<213> ORGANISM: Artificial Sequence
<220> FEATURE:
<223> OTHER INFORMATION: primer
<400> SEQUENCE: 22
gataatgccc gggccctttt catggaccct gacaaatg
<210> SEQ ID NO 23
<211> LENGTH: 6
<212> TYPE: PRT
<213> ORGANISM: Homo sapiens
<400> SEQUENCE: 23
Val Arg Val His Glu Lys
<210> SEQ ID NO 24
<211> LENGTH: 36
<212> TYPE: DNA
<213> ORGANISM: Artificial Sequence
<220> FEATURE:
<223> OTHER INFORMATION: primer
<400> SEQUENCE: 24
gactagcagt ccggaggtag acctttcgta gagatg
<213> ORGANISM: Artificial sequence
<220> FEATURE:
<223> OTHER INFORMATION: primer
\(<400>\) SEQUENCE: 25
ttcctgggca acagctggat atctatgatt gtattggt
<210> SEQ ID NO 26
<211> LENGTH: 4
<212> TYPE: PRT
<213> ORGANISM: Homo sapiens
<400> SEQUENCE: 26
Ile Gln Leu Leu
1
<210> SEQ ID NO 27
<211> LENGTH: 39
<212> TYPE: DNA
<213> ORGANISM: Artificial Sequence
<220> FEATURE:
<223> OTHER INFORMATION: primer
<400> SEQUENCE: 27
atccagctgt tgcccaggaa gtcgctggag ctgctggta
<210> SEQ ID NO 28
<211> LENGTH: 39
<212> TYPE: DNA
<213> ORGANISM: Artificial Sequence
<220> FEATURE:
<223> OTHER INFORMATION: primer
<400> SEQUENCE: 28
attttcatgc acaatgacct cggtgctctc ccgaaatcg
<210> SEQ ID NO 29
<211> LENGTH: 38
<212> TYPE: DNA
<213> ORGANISM: Artificial Sequence
<220> FEATURE:
<223> OTHER INFORMATION: primer
<400> SEQUENCE: 29
tcatagatat ccagctgttg cccaggaagt cgctggag
```

<210> SEQ ID NO 30
<211> LENGTH: 39
<212> TYPE: DNA
<213> ORGANISM: Artificial Sequence
<220> FEATURE:
<223> OTHER INFORMATION: primer
<400> SEQUENCE: 30

```
gataatgccc gggccatttt catgcacaat gacctcggt
```

<210> SEQ ID NO 31
<211> LENGTH: 6
<212> TYPE: PRT
<213> ORGANISM: Homo sapiens
<400> SEQUENCE: 31
Val Ile Val His Glu Asn
1 5

```
<210> SEQ ID NO 32
\(<211>\) LENGTH: 10
\(<212>\) TYPE: PRT
\(<213>\) ORGANISM: Artificial Sequence
\(<220>\) FEATURE:
\(<223>\) OTHER INFORMATION: modified Flt1 receptor
\(<400>\) SEQUENCE: 32
\begin{tabular}{cc} 
Lys Asn Lys Arg Ala \\
1 & 5
\end{tabular}
```

<210> SEQ ID NO 33
<211> LENGTH: 8
<212> TYPE: PRT
<213> ORGANISM: Artificial Sequence
<220> FEATURE:
<223> OTHER INFORMATION: modified Flt1 receptor
<400> SEQUENCE: 33

```
Asn Ala Ser Val Asn Gly Ser Arg
    1
<210> SEQ ID NO 34
<211> LENGTH: 10
<212> TYPE: PRT
<213> ORGANISM: Artificial Sequence
<220> FEATURE:
<223> OTHER INFORMATION: modified Flt1 receptor
<400> SEQUENCE: 34
\(\begin{array}{cccc}\text { Lys Asn Lys Cys Ala } \\ 1 & 5 & \text { Ser } & \text { Arg Arg Arg } \\ 10\end{array}\)
<210> SEQ ID NO 35
<211> LENGTH: 4
<212> TYPE: PRT
<213> ORGANISM: Homo sapiens
<400> SEQUENCE: 35
Ser Lys Leu Lys
    1
<210> SEQ ID NO 36
\(<211>\) LENGTH: 9
<212> TYPE: PRT
<213> ORGANISM: Homo sapiens
<400> SEQUENCE: 36
Lys Asn Lys Arg Ala Ser Val Arg Arg
1
<210> SEQ ID NO 37
<211> LENGTH: 4
<212> TYPE: PRT
<213> ORGANISM: Homo sapiens
<400> SEQUENCE: 37
Thr Ile Ile Asp
1
<210> SEQ ID NO 38
<211> LENGTH: 4
<212> TYPE: PRT
<213> ORGANISM: Homo sapiens
```

<400> SEQUENCE: 38

```
```

Val Val Leu Ser
1

```

\section*{We claim:}
1. A method of inhibiting vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) activity in a mammal, comprising:
administering a pharmaceutical composition to the mammal, wherein the pharmaceutical composition comprises
(a) a VEGF antagonist, and
(b) a pharmaceutically acceptable carrier
wherein the VEGF antagonist comprises a dimeric fusion polypeptide comprising two fusion polypeptides, each fusion polypeptide comprising:
(i) a VEGF receptor component consisting of an immu-noglobulin-like ( Ig ) domain 2 of a first VEGF receptor human Flt1 and Ig domain 3 of a second VEGF receptor human Flk1 or human Flt4; and
(ii) a multimerizing component,
wherein VEGF activity is inhibited.
2. The method of claim 1, wherein the mammal is a human.
administering a pharmaceutical composition to the mammal, wherein the pharmaceutical composition comprises
(a) a VEGF antagonist, and
(b) a pharmaceutically acceptable carrier
wherein the VEGF antagonist comprises a dimeric fusion polypeptide comprising two fusion polypeptides, each fusion polypeptide comprising:
(i) a VEGF receptor component consisting of an immu-noglobulin-like ( Ig ) domain 2 of a first VEGF receptor human Flt1 and Ig domain 3 of a second VEGF receptor human Flk1 or human Flt4; and
(ii) a multimerizing component,
wherein tumor growth is inhibited.```

