

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

LG ELECTRONICS INC.,

Petitioners,

v.

PARKERVISION, INC.,

Patent Owner.

Case IPR2022-00246

U.S. Patent No. 7,292,835

DECLARATION OF DEAN P. NEIKIRK, PH.D.

REGARDING U.S. PATENT NO. 7,292,835

I, Dean P. Neikirk, Ph.D., do hereby declare and state, that all statements are made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true. I am over the age of 21 and am competent to make this declaration. These statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code.

Dated: December 17, 2021



Dean P. Neikirk, Ph.D.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. QUALIFICATIONS1

II. MATERIALS REVIEWED9

III. PERSON OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART10

IV. STANDARDS OF ANTICIPATION AND OBVIOUSNESS12

V. BRIEF SUMMARY OF OPINIONS23

 A. The '835 Patent23

 B. The Claims are Obvious Over Hulkko in View of Gibson27

 C. The Claims Are Obvious Over Gibson in View of Schiltz.....32

 D. Claim 1 Preamble: “Cable Modem”35

VI. BACKGROUND TECHNOLOGY.....38

 A. Wireless Communications Signals.....38

 B. “Modulating” Signals for Wireless Communications.....39

 1. Amplitude Modulation.....39

 2. Phase Modulation.....40

 3. Quadrature Amplitude Modulation (“QAM”)41

VII. OVERVIEW OF THE '835 PATENT44

 A. Alleged Problem.....44

 B. Alleged Invention.....44

 C. The Examiner Did Not Consider or Analyze the Primary Prior Art
 References Presented in the Petition During Original Prosecution ...49

VIII. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION50

 A. “cable modem” (Claim 1, Preamble)50

B.	“frequency translation module” (Claims 1, 18)	51
C.	“storage module” (Claims 1, 18).....	53
IX.	OVERVIEW OF THE PRIOR ART REFERENCES	54
A.	Hulkko (Ex. 1004).....	54
B.	Gibson (Ex. 1005)	57
C.	Schiltz (Ex. 1006).....	58
D.	DOCSIS References (Goldberg (Ex. 1007), Thacker (Ex. 1008))	60
E.	ITU-T J.83b (Ex. 1009).....	61
F.	Applicant Admitted Prior Art (“AAPA”)	62
G.	Motivation to Combine	63
1.	Ground 1: Hulkko in View of Gibson	63
2.	Ground 2: Gibson in View of Schiltz	65
3.	“Cable Modem”	67
X.	SPECIFIC GROUNDS FOR PETITION.....	69
A.	Ground I: Claims 1, 12, 15, and 17 are Obvious Over Hulkko in View of Gibson	69
1.	Claim 1	69
(a)	Element [1 preamble]: “A cable modem for down-converting an electromagnetic signal having complex modulations, comprising”.....	69
(b)	Element [1A]: “an oscillator to generate an in-phase oscillating signal”	71
(c)	Element [1B]: “a phase shifter to receive said in-phase oscillating signal and to create a quadrature-phase oscillating signal”	72

(d)	Element [1C]: “a first frequency down-conversion module to receive the electromagnetic signal and said in-phase oscillating signal”	75
(e)	Element [1D]: “a second frequency down-conversion module to receive the electromagnetic signal and said quadrature-phase oscillating signal”	76
(f)	Element [1E]: “wherein said first frequency down-conversion module further comprises a first frequency translation module”	77
(g)	Element [1F]: “and a first storage module”	78
(h)	Element [1G]: “wherein said first frequency down-conversion module samples the electromagnetic signal at a rate that is a function of said in-phase oscillating signal, thereby creating a first sampled signal”	79
(i)	Element [1H]: “said second frequency down-conversion module further comprises a second frequency translation module”	81
(j)	Element [1I]: “and a second storage module”	81
(k)	Element [1J]: “wherein said second frequency down-conversion module samples the electromagnetic signal at a rate that is a function of said quadrature-phase oscillating signal, thereby creating a second sampled signal”	82
2.	Claim 12: “The cable modem of claim 1, wherein said sampled signal is a first information output signal, and said second sampled signal is a second information output signal.”	83
3.	Claim 15: “The cable modem of claim 1, further comprising a first filter receiving said first sampled signal and outputting a first filtered signal, and a second filter receiving said second sampled signal and outputting a second filtered signal.”	83
4.	Claim 17: “The cable modem of claim 1, wherein the electromagnetic signal has been transmitted by a wireless method to the cable modem.”	84

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.