

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

SPLUNK INC.
Petitioner,

v.

SABLE NETWORKS, INC.
Patent Owner.

Case No. IPR2022-00228
U.S. Patent No. 8,243,593

**DECLARATION OF KEVIN JEFFAY, PH.D., IN SUPPORT OF PETITION
FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF U.S. PATENT NO. 8,243,593**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
I. BACKGROUND.....	1
II. SUMMARY OF GROUND.....	8
III. QUALIFICATIONS AND EXPERTISE.....	8
IV. LEGAL UNDERSTANDING.....	14
A. My Understanding of Claim Construction.....	14
B. A Person Having Ordinary Skill in the Art.....	15
C. My Understanding of Obviousness.....	16
V. TECHNICAL BACKGROUND.....	17
A. Traffic on computer networks increased dramatically with the increase of publicly available content on networks.	17
B. Network administrators deployed traffic shaping to preserve network integrity and performance.	19
C. It was widely recognized that better classification techniques were needed to identify misbehaving flows.....	22
VI. TECHNICAL ANALYSIS OF THE '593 PATENT.....	24
A. Overview of Patent Specification.....	24
B. The Claims.....	28
C. Claim Construction.....	42
1. “means for maintaining a set of behavioral statistics for the flow . . .” (claims 25 and 29).....	43
2. “means for determining. . . whether the flow is exhibiting undesirable behavior” (claim 25).....	44
3. “means for enforcing . . . a penalty on the flow” (claims 25 and 32).....	44
4. “means for computing . . . a badness factor for the flow” (claim 29).....	45
5. “means for determining . . . a penalty to impose on the flow” (claim 31).....	45

TABLE OF CONTENTS
(continued)

	Page
6. “means for determining an increased drop rate to impose on one or more information packets to belonging to the flow” (claim 37).....	46
7. “means for imposing the increased drop rate on the flow” (claims 27 and 38).....	47
8. “means for receiving a particular information packet belonging to the flow” (claims 43 and 44).....	47
9. “means for determining whether to forward the particular information packet to a destination” (claim 43)	48
10. “means for updating . . . the set of behavioral statistics to reflect processing of the particular information packet” (claims 43 and 44).....	49
VII. TECHNICAL ANALYSIS OF THE PRIOR ART	49
A. Yung.....	49
B. Copeland	55
C. Four-Steps Whitepaper.....	57
D. Ye.....	59
VIII. GROUNDS OF UNPATENTABILITY.....	61
A. Ground 1: Claims 1, 2, 4-7, 17, 18, 25-27, 37, and 38 are obvious over Yung.	61
1. Independent claim 1	62
a) Yung discloses the preamble of claim 1.....	62
b) Yung discloses the creating limitation of claim 1.	65
c) Yung discloses the updating limitation of claim 1.	77
d) Yung discloses the determining limitation of claim 1.	81
e) Yung discloses the enforcing limitation of claim 1.....	84
f) Yung discloses performing the steps on a router without requiring use of inter-router data.....	87

TABLE OF CONTENTS
(continued)

	Page
2. Independent claim 2.....	89
a) Yung discloses the preamble of claim 2.....	89
a) Yung discloses the limitations of claim 2.....	90
3. Independent claims 4 and 5.....	91
a) Yung discloses the limitations of claims 4 and 5.	91
4. Independent claim 25.....	93
a) Yung discloses the preamble of claim 25.....	93
b) Yung discloses the means for maintaining limitation.....	94
c) Yung discloses the determining limitation.	95
d) Yung discloses the enforcing limitation.	95
5. Dependent claims 6 and 26.....	96
6. Dependent claims 7 and 27.....	97
7. Dependent claims 17 and 37.....	98
8. Dependent claims 18 and 38.....	98
B. Ground 2: Claims 9-13, 19-24, 29-33, and 39-44 are obvious over Yung in view of Copeland.	99
1. Motivation to Combine.....	99
2. Independent claim 9.....	104
a) Yung discloses the preamble of claim 9.....	104
b) Yung discloses the maintaining limitation of claim 9.....	104
c) Yung in view of Copeland discloses the computing limitation of claim 9.....	105
3. Independent claim 29.....	108
a) Yung discloses the preamble of claim 29.....	108
b) Yung discloses the computing limitation of claim 29.....	109

TABLE OF CONTENTS
(continued)

	Page
4. Dependent claims 10 and 30.....	109
5. Dependent claims 11 and 31.....	110
6. Dependent claims 12 and 32.....	111
7. Dependent claims 13 and 33.....	112
8. Dependent claims 19 and 39.....	113
9. Dependent claims 20 and 40.....	115
10. Dependent claims 21 and 41.....	116
11. Dependent claims 22 and 42.....	117
12. Dependent claims 23 and 43.....	119
13. Dependent claims 24 and 44.....	121
C. Ground 3: Claim 3 is obvious over Yung in view of Four-Steps Whitepaper.....	123
1. Motivation to Combine.....	123
2. Independent claim 3.....	127
a) Yung discloses the preamble of claim 3.....	127
b) Yung discloses a medium having stored thereon a data structure.....	127
c) Yung discloses the first field.....	128
d) Yung in view of Four-Steps Whitepaper discloses the second field.....	129
e) Yung discloses the third field.....	130
f) Yung discloses the fourth field.....	131
g) Yung discloses the fifth field.....	131
D. Ground 4: Claims 8, 14-16, 28, and 34-36 are obvious over Yung in view of Copeland in view of Ye.....	132
1. Motivation to Combine.....	132
2. Dependent claims 8, 14, 28, and 34.....	136
3. Dependent claims 15 and 35.....	138

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.