Paper No. ___

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD.
Petitioner

v.

MEMORYWEB, LLC
Patent Owner

Patent No. 10,621,228

Inter Partes Review No. IPR2022-00222

PATENT OWNER'S RESPONSE

DOCKET ALARM
Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. Introduction....1

II. Overview of the '228 Patent....1

A. The '228 patent....1

B. Relevant Prosecution History....4

III. Summary of References Identified by Petitioner....5

A. Okamura (EX1005)....5

1. Okamura's "Related Art" Discussion....5

2. Okamura's Cluster Maps....6

3. Okamura's First And Second Embodiments....7

B. Belitz (EX1006)....9

IV. Level of Ordinary Skill in the Art....10

V. Claim Construction....10

A. Limitations [1g] and [1i]: "responsive to a second input … causing a people view to be displayed … the people view including: . . . a first name"....11

B. Limitations [1g], [1i] and [1k]: "the people view including: . . . a first name … [and] … a second name"....14

C. Claim 18: "first person view … including a representation of each digital file in the third set of digital files"....17

VI. Petitioner Has Not Carried Its Burden On Obviousness....19

A. Limitations [1g] and [1i]: "responsive to a second input … causing a people view to be displayed … the people view including: . . . a first name"....20

B. Limitations [1g], [1i] and [1k]: Okamura Does Not Disclose a "people view" that includes a "first name" and "second name"....25

C. Limitations [1c]-[1d]: "a [first/second] location selectable thumbnail image at a [first/second] location on the interactive map"....28

1. Petitioner's First Proposed Okamura-Belitz Combination....28

a. Petitioner's First Combination Replaces Okamura's Cluster Maps With Thumbnail Images....29

b. A POSITA Would Not Have Been Motivated to Replace

DOCKET ALARM

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

Okamura's Cluster Maps with Images That Are Not Maps 31
c. Petitioner's First Combination is Analogous to "Related Art" Discredited by Okamura 35
d. Petitioner's First Combination Also Conflicts with Belitz's Objectives 42
e. Petitioner's Alleged "Motivations" Lack Merit 43
i. Belitz's Thumbnails Reduce the Ability to Provide a View of "What Location Is Associated With What" 43
ii. Okamura Already Allows a User to "Preview Pictures" 46
iii. Thumbnail Images Are Not "Functionally Equivalent" or "Known and Predictable Alternative[s]" To Cluster Maps. 46
f. Petitioner Has Also Failed to Establish That the First Combination Would Be Used with Okamura's FACE Index Screen 50
2. Petitioner's Second Proposed Okamura-Belitz Combination 52
3. Petitioner's Third Proposed Okamura-Belitz Combination 57
4. Alleged Photo Management Products 59
D. Dependent Claims 60
1. Claim 18: "first person view … including a representation of each digital file in the third set of digital files" 61
2. Claim 19 63
VII. Samsung Should Be Estopped Under 35 U.S.C. §315(e)(1) From Maintaining This IPR Challenge 64
VIII. Conclusion 66

DOCKET ALARM
Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Page(s)

Cases

Am. Calcar, Inc. v. Am. Honda Motor Co.,
651 F.3d 1318 (Fed. Cir. 2011) 12, 13, 17

Apotex Inc. v. Wyeth LLC,
IPR2015-00873, slip op. (Sept. 16, 2015) 65

Arctic Cat Inc. v. Bombardier Recreational Prod. Inc.,
876 F.3d 1350 (Fed. Cir. 2017) 25, 28, 40, 42

Cal. Inst. of Tech. v. Broadcom Ltd.,
25 F.4th 976 (Fed. Cir. 2022) 65

Callaway Golf Co. v. Acushnet Co.,
576 F.3d 1331 (Fed. Cir. 2009) 60

Cisco Sys., Inc. v. C-Cation Techs., LLC,
Case IPR2014-0054, slip op. (PTAB Aug. 29, 2014) 60

Colas Solutions, Inc. v. Blacklidge Emulsions, Inc.,
759 Fed.Appx. 986 (Fed. Cir. 2019).....22

Fujitsu Ltd. v. Belkin Int'l, Inc.,
2012 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 142102 (N.D. Cal. Sep. 28, 2012) 12

General Plastic Industrial Co., Ltd. v. Canon Kabushiki Kaisha,
IPR2016-01357, Paper 19 (Sep. 6, 2017) 65

Google Inc. v. Singular Computing LLC,
IPR2021-00155 .....31, 33, 44

Intelligent Bio-Sys., Inc. v. Illumina Cambridge Ltd.,
821 F.3d 1359 (Fed. Cir. 2016) 22, 27, 63

InTouch Techs., Inc. v. VGO Commc'ns, Inc.,
751 F.3d 1327 (Fed. Cir. 2014) 42, 51

Intuitive Surgical, Inc. v. Ethicon LLC,
No. IPR2018-01248, Paper 34, 10-18 (PTAB Feb. 6, 2020) 64

DOCKET ALARM
Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

In re Kahn,
441 F.3d 977 (Fed. Cir. 2006) 52

KCJ Corp. v. Kinetic Concepts, Inc.,
223 F.3d 1351 (Fed. Cir. 2000) 15

Kinetic Concepts, Inc. v. Smith & Nephew, Inc.,
688 F.3d. 1342 (Fed. Cir. 2012) 46

Koninklijke Philips N.V. v. Google LLC,
948 F.3d 1330 (Fed. Cir. 2020) 23, 27, 63

In re Magnum Oil Tools International, Ltd.,
829 F.3d 1364 (Fed. Cir. 2016) 23, 24, 25

Microsoft Corp. v. FG SRC, LLC,
860 F. App'x 708 (Fed. Cir. 2021).....12

NetApp, Inc. v. Proven Networks, LLC,
IPR2020-01436, Paper 33 (Apr. 07, 2022).....23

Parallel Networks Licensing, LLC v. Int'l Bus. Machines Corp.,
No. CV 13-2072 (KAJ), 2017 WL 1045912 (D. Del. Feb. 22,
2017), aff'd, 721 F. App'x 994 (Fed. Cir. 2018) 66

Polaris Indus., Inc. v. Arctic Cat, Inc.,
882 F.3d 1056 (Fed. Cir. 2018) 40, 41, 44

Power Integrations, Inc. v. Fairchild Semiconductor Int'l, Inc.,
711 F.3d 1348 (Fed. Cir. 2013) 48

Praxair Distribution, Inc. v. Ino Therapeutics LLC,
No. IPR2016-00781, Paper 10, 7 (PTAB Aug. 25, 2016).....65

Qualcomm Inc. v. Apple Inc.,
24 F.4th 1367 (Fed. Cir. 2022) 22, 27, 63

Sandisk Corp. v. Memorex Prods.,
415 F.3d 1278 (Fed. Cir. 2005) 14, 15

Sony Interactive Entertainment LLC v. Intellectual Pixels Limited,
IPR2021-00237, Paper 38, 25 (Jun. 8, 2022) 23

DOCKET ALARM
Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

DOCKET ALARM

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts

Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research

With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips

Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS
Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS
Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS
Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.