

Paper No. ____

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD.

Petitioner

v.

MEMORYWEB, LLC

Patent Owner

Patent No. 10,621,228

Inter Partes Review No. IPR2022-00222

**PATENT OWNER'S PRELIMINARY RESPONSE
UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.107**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page(s)
I. Introduction.....	1
II. Overview of the '228 Patent.....	2
III. Summary of References Identified by Petitioner	5
A. Okamura (Ex. 1005).....	6
1. Okamura's Description of the Related Art	6
2. Okamura's Cluster Maps	12
B. Belitz (Ex. 1006)	25
IV. The Board Should Exercise its Discretion to Deny Institution Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 314(a).....	35
A. The First <i>General Plastic</i> Factor Favors Denial	30
B. The Second <i>General Plastic</i> Factor Favors Denial.....	32
C. The Third <i>General Plastic</i> Factor	36
D. The Fourth <i>General Plastic</i> Factor Favors Denial.....	36
E. The Fifth <i>General Plastic</i> Factor Favors Denial.....	37
F. The Sixth and Seventh <i>General Plastic</i> Factors Favor Denial	37
G. The Eighth and Ninth Factors Are Neutral	38
V. The Board Should Exercise its Discretion to Deny Institution Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 314(a) in View of the Parallel Litigation.....	39
A. The Parallel Litigation.....	40
B. The First <i>Fintiv</i> Factor Is Neutral or Favors Denial.....	40
C. The Second <i>Fintiv</i> Factor Is Neutral	41
D. The Third <i>Fintiv</i> Factor Favors Denial	42
E. The Fourth <i>Fintiv</i> Factor Is Neutral or Favors Denial	43
F. The Fifth <i>Fintiv</i> Factor Favors Denial	44
G. The Sixth <i>Fintiv</i> Factor Favors Denial.....	44
VI. Level of Ordinary Skill in the Art	45
VII. Claim Construction.....	45

VIII. Petitioner Has Not Established a Reasonable Likelihood of Success.....	46
A. Ground 1: Purported Obviousness over Okamura and Belitz.....	46
1. Limitations [1g]-[1k]: Okamura does not disclose the claimed “people view”.....	46
2. Limitations [1a]-[1d]: “the map view including: an interactive map; a first location selectable thumbnail image at a first location on the interactive map; and a second location selectable thumbnail image at a second location on the interactive map”.....	50
3. Alleged Photo Management Products.....	66
B. Dependent Claims	67
IX. The Petition Does Not Comply with 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(2).....	67
X. Conclusion.....	68

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
Cases	
<i>Apple, Inc. v. ContentGuard Holdings, Inc.</i> , IPR2015-00356, Paper 9 (PTAB June 26, 2015)	68
<i>Apple Inc. v. Fintiv, Inc.</i> , IPR2020-00019, Paper 11 (PTAB Mar. 20, 2020)	<i>passim</i>
<i>Apple Inc. v. Fintiv, Inc.</i> , IPR2020-00019, Paper 15 (PTAB May 13, 2020)	40
<i>Application of Ratti</i> , 270 F.2d 810, 813 (CCPA 1959)	58
<i>Callaway Golf Co. v. Acushnet Co.</i> , 576 F.3d 1331 (Fed. Cir. 2009)	67
<i>Cisco Sys., Inc. v. C-Cation Techs., LLC</i> Case IPR2014-0054, slip op. at 9 (PTAB Aug. 29, 2014) (Paper 12)	67
<i>Cuozzo Speed Techs., LLC v. Lee</i> , 136 S.Ct. 2131 (2016)	39
<i>Dolby Labs., Inc. v. Intertrust Techs. Corp.</i> , IPR2020-01106, Paper 12 (PTAB Jan. 5, 2021)	42
<i>General Plastic Co., Ltd. v. Canon Kabushiki Kaishaat</i> , IPR2016-01357, Paper 19 (PTAB Sept. 6, 2017)	<i>passim</i>
<i>In re Kahn</i> , 441 F.3d 977 (Fed. Cir. 2006)	65
<i>InTouch Techs., Inc. v. VGO Commc'ns, Inc.</i> , 751 F.3d 1327 (Fed. Cir. 2014)	65

<i>NHK Spring Co. v. Intri-Plex Techs., Inc.</i> , IPR2018-00752, Paper 8 (PTAB Sept. 12, 2018).....	39
<i>Nidec Motor Corp. v. Zhongshan Broad Ocean Motor Co.</i> , 868 F.3d 1013 (Fed. Cir. 2017)	45
<i>Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd., v. Iron Oak Technologies, LLC</i> , IPR2018-01554, Paper No. 9 (PTAB Feb. 13, 2019).....	30
<i>Sotera Wireless, Inc. v. Masimo Corp.</i> , IPR2020-01019, Paper No. 12 (PTAB Dec. 1, 2020)	41
<i>Trivascular, Inc. v. Samuels</i> , 812 F.3d 1056 (Fed. Cir. 2016)	55
<i>TQ Delta, LLC v. Cisco Systems, Inc.</i> , 942 F.3d 1352 (Fed. Cir. 2019)	65
<i>Valve Corp. v. Elec. Scripting Prods., Inc.</i> , IPR2019-00062, -00063, -00084, Paper 11 (PTAB Apr. 2, 2019)	29, 37
<i>Valve Corp. v. Elec. Scripting Products, Inc.</i> , IPR2019-00064, Paper 10 (May 1, 2019).....	29

Federal Statutes

35 U.S.C. § 312.....	50, 67
35 U.S.C. § 314(a)	1, 27, 30, 39
35 U.S.C. § 314(b)	41
35 U.S.C. § 316(b)	29

Regulations

37 C.F.R. § 42.6(3)	67
37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(2).....	67, 68

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.