

Patent Owner's Response
U.S. Patent No. 10,423,658
IPR2022-00221

Paper No. ____

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD.
Petitioner

v.

MEMORYWEB, LLC
Patent Owner

Patent No. 10,423,658

Inter Partes Review No. IPR2022-00221

PATENT OWNER'S RESPONSE

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION	1
II.	OVERVIEW OF THE ‘658 PATENT	1
III.	SUMMARY OF PETITIONER’S REFERENCES	7
A.	Okamura	7
1.	Okamura’s Cluster Maps.....	7
2.	Okamura’s Index Screens Embodiment.....	8
3.	Okamura’s Fig. 41 Embodiment	9
B.	Belitz.....	11
C.	Yee.....	11
IV.	LEVEL OF SKILL IN THE ART	13
V.	CLAIM CONSTRUCTION	13
A.	Claim 1: “application view”	13
B.	Claims 3-5, 7, 9, 10, and 12-15: “responsive to a click or tap . . . displaying”	17
C.	Claim 5: “the displaying the people view including displaying: . . . a name associated with the first person . . . and . . . a name associated with the second person”	25
D.	Claim 13: “the displaying the album view including displaying: . . . a first album name . . . and . . . a second album name”	29
VI.	PETITIONER FAILED TO MEET ITS BURDEN	30
A.	Limitations [1b]-[1g]: “... the displaying the map including displaying . . . a [first/second] location selectable thumbnail image at a [first/second] location on the interactive map”	30
1.	Petitioner’s First Proposed Okamura-Belitz Combination	31
a.	Petitioner’s First Combination Replaces Okamura’s Cluster Maps with Thumbnails.....	31
b.	A POSITA Would Not Have Been Motivated to Replace Okamura’s Cluster Maps with Images That Are Not Maps	33
c.	Petitioner’s First Combination is Analogous to “Related Art” Discredited by Okamura	38
d.	Petitioner’s First Combination Also Conflicts with Belitz’s	

Objectives.....	44
e. Petitioner’s Alleged “Motivations” Lack Merit.....	45
i. Belitz’s Thumbnails Reduce the Ability to Provide a View of “What Location Is Associated with What”.....	45
ii. Okamura Already Allows a User to “Preview Pictures”	48
iii. Thumbnail Images Are Not “Functionally Equivalent” or “Known and Predictable Alternative[s]” To Cluster Maps.....	49
f. Petitioner Has Also Failed to Establish That the First Combination Would Be Used with Okamura’s FACE Index Screen.....	52
2. Petitioner’s Second Proposed Okamura-Belitz Combination.....	54
3. Petitioner’s Third Proposed Okamura-Belitz Combination.....	58
4. Alleged Photo Management Products.....	60
B. Limitation 1[a]: “displaying an application view”	61
C. Dependent Claims 2-15 (Grounds 1-5)	62
1. Claims 3-4	63
2. Claim 5	64
3. Claims 7 and 10.....	69
4. Claims 9 and 12.....	75
a. Alleged obviousness based on Okamura	77
b. Alleged obviousness based on Okamura and Yee.....	81
5. Claim 13	85
6. Claims 14-15	89
VII. CONCLUSION.....	90

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
Cases	
<i>ACTV, Inc. v. Walt Disney Co.</i> , 346 F.3d 1082 (Fed. Cir. 2003)	14
<i>Allergan, Inc. v. Sandoz Inc.</i> , 796 F.3d 1293 (Fed. Cir. 2015)	68
<i>Am. Calcar, Inc. v. Am. Honda Motor Co.</i> , 651 F.3d 1318 (Fed. Cir. 2011)	19, 25
<i>Arctic Cat Inc. v. Bombardier Recreational Prod. Inc.</i> , 876 F.3d 1350 (Fed. Cir. 2017)	42, 44, 68
<i>Becton, Dickinson & Co. v. Tyco Healthcare Group, LP</i> , 616 F.3d 1249, 1254 (Fed. Cir. 2010)	14
<i>Callaway Golf Co. v. Acushnet Co.</i> , 576 F.3d 1331 (Fed. Cir. 2009)	62
<i>Dayco Prod., Inc. v. Total Containment, Inc.</i> , 258 F.3d 1317 (Fed. Cir. 2001)	28
<i>Ex Parte Interval Licensing</i> , Appeal No. 2014-002901, 2014 WL 2387821 (PTAB May 29, 2014)	20
<i>Fujitsu Ltd. v. Belkin Int'l, Inc.</i> , 10-CV-03972-LHK, 2012 WL 4497966 (N.D. Cal. Sep. 28, 2012)	20
<i>Google Inc. v. Singular Computing LLC</i> , IPR2021-00155, Paper 62 (PTAB May 23, 2022)	33, 47
<i>Intelligent Bio-Sys., Inc. v. Illumina Cambridge Ltd.</i> , 821 F.3d 1359 (Fed. Cir. 2016)	66

<i>InTouch Techs., Inc. v. VGO Commc'ns, Inc.</i> , 751 F.3d 1327 (Fed. Cir. 2014)	42, 44, 51, 53
<i>In re Kahn</i> , 441 F.3d 977 (Fed. Cir. 2006)	53
<i>Kinetic Concepts, Inc. v. Smith & Nephew, Inc.</i> , 688 F.3d. 1342 (Fed. Cir. 2012)	48, 49
<i>Medgraph, Inc. v. Medtronic, Inc.</i> , 843 F.3d 942 (Fed. Cir. 2016)	26, 28, 29, 30
<i>Merck Sharp & Dohme B.V. v. Warner Chilcott Co., LLC</i> , 711 F. App'x 633 (Fed. Cir. 2017)	43
<i>Micron Tech., Inc. v. N. Star Innovations, Inc.</i> , No. IPR2018-00989, Paper 35 (PTAB Oct. 22, 2019).....	20
<i>Plas-Pak Indus., Inc. v. Sulzer Mixpac AG</i> , 600 F.App'x 755 (Fed. Cir. 2015)	51
<i>Polaris Indus., Inc. v. Arctic Cat, Inc.</i> , 882 F.3d 1056 (Fed. Cir. 2018)	42, 43, 47
<i>Integrations, Inc. v. Semiconductor Components Indus., LLC</i> , IPR2018-00180, Paper 33 (PTAB May 23, 2019)	20
<i>Power Integrations, Inc. v. Fairchild Semiconductor Int'l, Inc.</i> , 711 F.3d 1348 (Fed. Cir. 2013)	50
<i>Progressive Semiconductor Sols. LLC v. Qualcomm Techs., Inc.</i> , No. 8:13-CV-01535-ODW, 2014 WL 4385938 (C.D. Cal. Sept. 4, 2014)	19
<i>Qualcomm Inc. v. Apple Inc.</i> , 24 F.4th 1367 (Fed. Cir. 2022)	67
<i>Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd., v. MemoryWeb, LLC</i> , IPR2022-00222, Paper 12 (PTAB June 13, 2022)	26

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.