

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

VOLKSWAGEN GROUP OF AMERICA, INC.,
Petitioner

v.

STRATOSAUDIO, INC.,
Patent Owner

Case No. IPR2021-00716
Patent No. 8,688,028

**DECLARATION OF VIJAY MADISETTI, PhD
IN SUPPORT OF PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF
U.S. PATENT NO. 8,688,028**

Table of Contents

I.	INTRODUCTION	4
II.	BACKGROUND AND QUALIFICATIONS	4
III.	MATERIALS CONSIDERED	9
IV.	LEGAL PRINCIPLES OF ANTICIPATION AND OBVIOUSNESS	10
V.	OVERVIEW OF THE '028 PATENT	13
VI.	LEVEL OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART	18
VII.	CLAIM CONSTRUCTION	20
A.	Legal Principles and Methodology Applied	20
B.	Construction of Specific Terms.....	21
1.	“broadcast stream,” “broadcast segment,” and “associated media content”	21
2.	“receiving a data stream associated with the broadcast stream”	26
3.	“operations are accessible by”	28
VIII.	THE PRIOR ART	30
A.	The State of the Art	30
B.	U.S. Patent No. 5,579,537 (“Takahisa”)	30
C.	U.S. Patent No. 6,317,784 (“Mackintosh”).....	32
IX.	SUMMARY OF THE GROUNDS	38
X.	ANALYSIS.....	38
A.	Takahisa Anticipates Claims 11, 14–16, and 18	38

1.	Claim 11	38
2.	Claim 14	55
3.	Claim 15	57
4.	Claim 16	58
5.	Claim 18	60
B.	Mackintosh Renders Obvious Claims 11, 14–16, and 18	60
1.	Claim 11	62
2.	Claim 14	80
3.	Claim 15	81
4.	Claim 18	83
5.	Claim 16	85
XI.	CONCLUSION.....	89

I, Vijay Madiseti, declare as follows:

I. INTRODUCTION

1. I have been retained by Volkswagen Group of America, Inc. (“Volkswagen” or “Petitioner”) as an independent expert consultant in this proceeding before the Patent Trial and Appeal Board (“PTAB”) of the United States Patent and Trademark Office.

2. Specifically, Volkswagen has asked me to provide technical assistance in this *inter partes* review (“IPR”) of U.S. Patent No. 8,688,028 (“the ’028 Patent”).

3. This declaration is a statement of my opinions on issues related to the unpatentability of Claims 11, 14–16, and 18 of the ’028 Patent.

4. I am being compensated by Volkswagen at the rate of \$600 per hour for my work in this case, including time spent testifying. This rate is my standard hourly rate for engagements of this nature. I am being reimbursed for reasonable fees and expenses, including hotel and travel expenses, incurred as a result of my work on this case. My compensation does not depend on the outcome of the case and the fact that I am being compensated has not altered the opinions that I have or will give in this case.

II. BACKGROUND AND QUALIFICATIONS

5. I am an independent consultant. All of my opinions stated in this

declaration are based on my own personal knowledge and professional judgment. In formulating my opinions, I have relied upon my knowledge, training, and experience in the relevant art.

6. I am over 18 years of age, and, if I am called upon to do so, I would be competent to testify as to the matters set forth herein. While my qualifications are stated more fully in my curriculum vitae, attached hereto as Appendix A, I provide below a brief summary of my qualifications.

7. I earned a Bachelor of Technology (Honors) in Electronics & Electrical Communications Engineering from the Indian Institute of Technology (IIT) in Kharagpur, India, in 1984. I earned my Ph.D. in Electrical Engineering and Computer Science (EECS) from the University of California at Berkeley in 1989. I have published extensively, with about 100 technical publications and eight books in the areas of computing, signal processing and communications systems.

8. I am an Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers (“IEEE”) Fellow and, in 2006, I was awarded the 2006 Frederick Emmons Terman Medal by the American Society of Engineering Education (“ASEE”) and HP Corporation for my contributions to electrical engineering.

9. I have been a Full Professor of Electrical/Computer Engineering at the Georgia Institute of Technology (“Georgia Tech”) since 1989. I lead several

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.