

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

EPIC GAMES, INC.,
Petitioner,

v.

INGENIOSHARE, LLC,
Patent Owner

U.S. PATENT NO. 10,142,810

Case IPR2021-TBD

**DECLARATION OF DR. KEVIN ALMEROOTH
IN SUPPORT OF PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF U.S.
PATENT NO. 10,142,810**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	ASSIGNMENT.....	1
II.	BACKGROUND AND QUALIFICATIONS.....	2
III.	MATERIALS AND OTHER INFORMATION CONSIDERED.....	14
IV.	UNDERSTANDING OF PATENT LAW	15
V.	SUMMARY OF OPINIONS.....	18
VI.	OVERVIEW OF TECHNOLOGY AND OF THE '810 PATENT.....	18
	A. Technological Background	18
	1. Network Protocols and Architecture.....	18
	2. Modes of Internet Communications	22
	B. Overview of the '810 Patent.....	26
	1. Claims	27
	2. Summary of the Specification.....	27
	3. Summary of the Prosecution History.....	30
VII.	LEVEL OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART.....	31
VIII.	DETAILED INVALIDITY ANALYSIS	33
	A. Background on Prior Art References	33
	1. Overview of Diacakis (Ex. 1007)	33
	2. Overview of Tanigawa (Ex. 1008).....	37
	3. Overview of Hullfish (Ex. 1009)	40
	B. Ground I: Claims 1–20 Are Obvious in View of Diacakis.....	41
	1. Independent Claim 1	41
	2. Dependent Claim 2	69
	3. Dependent Claim 3	70
	4. Dependent Claim 4	72
	5. Dependent Claim 5	73
	6. Dependent Claim 6	75
	7. Dependent Claim 7	76

8.	Dependent Claim 8	78
9.	Dependent Claim 9	79
10.	Dependent Claim 10	79
11.	Independent Claim 11	82
12.	Dependent Claim 12	86
13.	Dependent Claim 13	86
14.	Dependent Claim 14	87
15.	Dependent Claim 15	87
16.	Dependent Claim 16	87
17.	Dependent Claim 17	88
18.	Dependent Claim 18	88
19.	Independent Claim 19	89
20.	Dependent Claim 20	93
C.	Ground II: Claims 1–9, 11–17, 19, and 20 Are Rendered Obvious by Tanigawa in View of Hullfish	93
1.	Motivation to Combine	94
2.	Independent Claim 1	100
3.	Dependent Claim 2	124
4.	Dependent Claim 3	125
5.	Dependent Claim 4	126
6.	Dependent Claim 5	128
7.	Dependent Claim 6	128
8.	Dependent Claim 7	130
9.	Dependent Claim 8	131
10.	Dependent Claim 9	132
11.	Independent Claim 11	133
12.	Dependent Claim 12	139
13.	Dependent Claim 13	139
14.	Dependent Claim 14	140
15.	Dependent Claim 15	140
16.	Dependent Claim 16	141
17.	Dependent Claim 17	141
18.	Independent Claim 19	141
19.	Dependent Claim 20	147
IX.	SECONDARY CONSIDERATIONS	147
X.	CONCLUSION.....	148

LIST OF EXHIBITS IN THE PETITION

Exhibit No.	Description
1001	U.S. Patent No. 10,142,810
1002	File History of U.S. Patent No. 10,142,810
1003	Declaration of Dr. Kevin Almeroth in Support of <i>Inter Partes Review</i> of U.S. Patent No. 10,142,810
1004	<i>Curriculum Vitae</i> of Dr. Kevin Almeroth
1005	U.S. Provisional Patent Application No. 60/527,565
1006	U.S. Provisional Patent Application No. 60/689,686
1007	U.S. Patent Application 2002/0116461 (“Diacakis”)
1008	U.S. Patent Application 2004/0001480 (“Tanigawa”)
1009	U.S. Patent No. 7,428,580 (“Hullfish”)
1010	Patent Owner’s Infringement Contentions
1011	Texas Litigation Proposed Scheduling Order
1012	Fourteenth Supplemental Order Regarding Court Operations Under the Exigent Circumstances Created by the COVID-19 Pandemic
1013	Judge Albright’s Standing Order re Inter-District Transfer
1014	Kurose, J. and Ross, K., Computer Networking: A Top-Down Approach Feature the Internet (2000)
1015	Kuehn, S., A Play Theory Analysis of Computer-Mediated Telecommunication (Apr. 20, 1990)
1016	Telecomputing in Japan
1017	Hernandez, R., ECPA and Online Computer Privacy (1988)
1018	Miller, A., Applications of Computer Conferencing to Teacher Education and Human Resource Development (1991)
1019	Benimoff, N. and Burns, M., Multimedia User Interfaces for Telecommunications Products and Services (1993)

Exhibit No.	Description
1020	Falconer, W. and Hooke, J., Telecommunications Services in the Next Decade (1986)
1021	Hine, N.A., et al., An Adaptable User Interface to a Multimedia Telecommunications Conversation Service for People with Disabilities (1995)
1022	Bazaios, A., et al., Multimedia Architecture Offering Open Distance Learning Services over Internet
1023	Stein, J., et al., Chat and Instant Messaging Systems (2002)
1024	U.S. Patent Application 2002/ 0183114 (“Takahashi”)
1025	U.S. Patent No. 6,241,612 (“Heredia”)
1026	U.S. Patent Application 2003/0216178 (“Danieli”)
1027	International Patent Application WO 01/45343 (“Davies”)
1028	Grinter, R. and Palen, L., Instant Messaging in Teen Life (2002)
1029	File History of U.S. Patent No. 7,729,688
1030	File History of U.S. Patent No. 8,744,407
1031	File History of U.S. Patent No. 9,204,268
1032	File History of U.S. Patent No. 9,736,664
1033	U.S. Patent No. 10,142,810 Claim Listing
1034	Patil, S. and Kobsa, A., The Challenges in Preserving Privacy in Awareness Systems (2003)
1035	Internet Engineering Task Force RFC 2779 (Instant Messaging/Presence Protocol Requirements) (2000)

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.