UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

EPIC GAMES, INC.,
Petitioner,

v.

INGENIOSHARE, LLC,
Patent Owner.

Case No. IPR2022-00202
Patent No. 10,142,810

DECLARATION OF PROFESSOR GEORGE N. ROUSKAS, PH.D.

Exhibit 2005

DOCKET ALARM
Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
INTRODUCTION ……	1
QUALIFICATIONS ……	1
BASES OF OPINIONS ……	6
APPLICABLE LEGAL STANDARDS ……	6
A. Ordinary Skill in the Art ……	6
B. Claim Construction ……	8
C. Obviousness (35 U.S.C. § 103) ……	9
TERMINOLOGY ……	12
GROUND I – DIACAKIS DOES NOT OBVIATE CLAIMS 1-20 ……	12
A. Diacakis (Exhibit 1007) ……	12
1. Diacakis Does Not Provide Or Support Messages ……	18
B. [1.0] "Network-Based Portal" ……	19
1. A "Portal" Is *Not* A User Terminal Or A "Client Communication Device" ……	21
2. The '810 Specification Defines "Portal" As A "Gateway" And Defines A "Gateway" As A "Networked Server" ……	21
3. The Functionality Of A "Portal" Is Different Than That Of A Client Communication Device …..	22

DOCKET ALARM
Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

4. The Claims Also Distinguish A "Portal" From A Client Communication Device ……. 23

5. The User Interface Of Diacakis Is Not A "Portal" …….. 24

6. Dr. Almeroth's Testimony Is Not Supported By The Specification …….. 25

7. Patent Owner's Construction Of A NBP Does Not Exclude A Preferred Embodiment …… 26

8. [1.0] Summary …… 29

C. [1.1] Is Not Obviated By Diacakis …… 29

D. [1.3] "All" "Communications" "Use One Identifier" Is Not Obviated By Diacakis …….. 29

E. [1.4] Is Not Obviated By Diacakis …… 31

F. [1.6] Is Not Obviated By Diacakis …… 33

G [1.8] Is Not Obviated By Diacakis …… 34

H. [1.9] "Even When The Message Is Received" "The Contact Information" "Is Not Provided" Is Not Obviated By Diacakis …….. 35

1. The Petitioner's Positions On "NBP" And "Contact Information Not Provided" Are Incompatible …… 35

2. Diacakis Teaches That The Recipient's Contact Information Is Sent To The Sender's Client Device …… 36

i. Diacakis Teaches A POSITA That Contact Information Is Provided …… 36

DOCKET ALARM Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

ii The Board Already Determined That Diacakis Teaches A POSITA That Contact Information Is Provided 39

iii Judge Chang Also Determined That Diacakis Teaches A POSITA That Contact Information Is Provided 40

3. Diacakis's Blocked Users Do Not Receive A Message 41

4. [1.9] Summary 41

I. [3.0] "Group Messaging" Using The One Identifier 41

J. [7.0] "Depends On A Time" 42

K. [10.0] "Voice Mail" 42

GROUND II – HULLFISH COMBINED WITH TANIGAWA DOES NOT OBVIATE CLAIMS 1-9, 11-17, and 19-20 TO A POSITA 42

A. Tanigawa (Exhibit 1008) 43

B. Hullfish (Exhibit 1009) 48

C. A POSITA Would Not Be Motivated To Combine Tanigawa And Hullfish To Implement The Claimed Invention Of The '810 Patent 48

1. Tanigawa And Hullfish Are Incompatible 49

2. Petitioner's Alleged Motivation To Combine Is Nonsense 52

D. [1.0] "Network-Based Portal" 54

1. A "Portal" Is *Not* A User Terminal Or A Client Communication Device 56

DOCKET ALARM Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

2. The '810 Specification Defines "Portal" As A "Gateway" And Defines A "Gateway" As A "Networked Server" 56

3. The Functionality Of A "Portal" Is Different Than That Of A Client Communication Device 57

4. The Claims Also Distinguish A "Portal" From A Client Communication Device 58

5. Petitioner's Construction Is Contradictory 59

6. Tanigawa's User Interface Is Not A "Network-Based Portal" 60

E. [1.1] Is Not Obviated By The Combination Of Tanigawa And Hullfish 62

F. [1.3] "All" "Communications" "Use One Identifier" 62

G. [1.5] "Block" Is Not Obviated By The Combination 64

H. [1.6] The Combination Does Not Obviate "Enabling, Via The Network-Based Portal" "Based On *The One Identifier*" "In View Of The Second User *Not Blocking* The First User" 66

I. [1.9] Is Not Obviated By The Combination 66

1. The Petitioner's Positions On "NBP" And "Contact Information *Not* Provided" Are Incompatible 66

2. The Combination Teaches That The Recipient's Contact Information Is Sent To The Sender's Client Communication Device 68

i. Tanigawa Teaches A POSITA That Contact Information Is Provided 68

DOCKET ALARM Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

DOCKET ALARM

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts

Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research

With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips

Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS
Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS
Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS
Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.