UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD
EPIC GAMES, INC., Petitioner,
v.
INGENIOSHARE, LLC, Patent Owner
U.S. PATENT NO. 10,142,810
Case IPR2022-00202

PETITIONER'S REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT



Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.70(a), Petitioner respectfully requests oral argument. Petitioner requests, and Patent Owner agrees to, a consolidated oral argument covering issues with respect to IPR2022-00202 and IPR2022-00291. The issues to be argued with respect to these cases include the following:

- 1. Obviousness of U.S. Patent No. 10,142,810 on the instituted grounds:
  - Ground I Claims 1–20 are obvious under 35 U.S.C. § 103 in view of Diacakis;
  - Ground II Claims 1–9, 11–17, 19, and 20 are obvious under 35 U.S.C. § 103 in view of Tanigawa in combination with Hullfish.
- 2. Obviousness of U.S. Patent No. 10,708,727 on the instituted grounds:
  - Ground I Claims 1–6, 15, and 17 are obvious under 35 U.S.C. § 103 in view of Diacakis;
  - Ground II Claims 7–9 are obvious under 35 U.S.C. § 103 in view of Diacakis in combination with Loveland;
  - Ground III Claim 16 is obvious under 35 U.S.C. § 103 in view of Diacakis in combination with Takahashi;
  - Ground IV Claims 1–3, 6, 15, and 17 are obvious under 35 U.S.C. § 103 in view of Tanigawa in combination with Hullfish;
  - Ground V Claims 7–9 are obvious under 35 U.S.C. § 103 in view of Tanigawa in combination with Hullfish and Loveland;
  - Ground VI Claim 16 is obvious under 35 U.S.C. § 103 in view of Tanigawa in combination with Hullfish and Takahashi.
- 3. Procedural and evidentiary issues raised, *e.g.*, in Objections to Evidence.



4. Any additional issues on which the Board seeks information or clarification.

Petitioner respectfully asks the Board for clarity regarding the location of oral argument. With respect to IPR2022-00202, oral argument is presently scheduled for February 17, 2023 in Alexandria, Virginia. *See* IPR2022-00202, Paper 10 at 6, 11. With respect to IPR2022-00291, oral argument is presently scheduled for the same day (February 17, 2023) before the same panel in Denver, Colorado. *See* IPR2022-00291, Paper 11 at 6, 11.

Petitioner requests, and Patent Owner agrees, that each party be allowed a total of sixty (60) minutes for its argument. Petitioner may reserve a portion of its time for rebuttal.

Petitioner further requests the use of audio-visual equipment to assist its arguments and to display its demonstrative exhibits. The Board has indicated that oral argument will be conducted in person. Paper 10. Accordingly, Petitioner requests a computer connectable projector, an ELMO, and a screen. If instead the Board decides oral argument will be conducted by remote videoconferencing, Petitioner requests permission to use video-conferencing software to allow the presentation of demonstratives.



Date: January 6, 2023 Respectfully submitted,

## /s/ W. Todd Baker

W. Todd Baker (No. 45,265) todd.baker@kirkland.com

Postal and Hand-Delivery Address:
KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP
1301 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20004
Telephone: (202) 389-5000
Facsimile: (202) 389-5200

Yimeng Dou (No. 69,770) yimeng.dou@kirkland.com Lindsey Y. Shi (*pro hac vice* admission) lindsey.shi@kirkland.com KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP 555 South Flower Street, Suite 3700 Los Angeles, CA 90071 Telephone: (213) 680-8400 Facsimile: (213) 680-8500

Attorneys for Petitioner Epic Games, Inc.



## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

The undersigned hereby certifies that a copy of the foregoing document was served on January 6, 2023 by electronic mail on the attorneys of record below:

Cortney Alexander cortneyalexander@kentrisley.com Stephen R. Risley steverisley@kentrisley.com

A courtesy copy was also served by via overnight delivery directed to the attorney/agent of record for the patent as identified on USPTO PAIR and associated with USPTO Customer No. 34,071 at the following address:

C. Thomas (No. 32,947) Peter Tong (No. 35,757) 4010 Moorpark Ave., Ste. 211 San Jose, CA 95117

> /s/ W. Todd Baker W. Todd Baker (No. 45,265)

