

1 Frank E. Scherkenbach (SBN 142549 / scherkenbach@fr.com)  
Adam J. Kessel (Admitted *pro hac vice* / kessel@fr.com)  
2 Proshanto Mukherji (Admitted *pro hac vice* / mukherji@fr.com)  
3 Jeffrey Shneidman (Admitted *pro hac vice* / shneidman@fr.com)  
FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.  
4 One Marina Park Drive  
Boston, MA 02210  
5 Telephone: (617) 542-5070  
Facsimile: (617) 542-8906  
6

7 Michael R. Headley (SBN 220834 / headley@fr.com)  
FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.  
8 500 Arguello Street, Suite 500  
Redwood City, CA 94063  
9 Telephone: (650) 839-5070  
Facsimile: (650) 839-5071  
10

11 Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
BYTEDANCE INC., TIKTOK INC., and TIKTOK PTE. LTD.  
12

13 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
14 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
15 OAKLAND DIVISION

16 BYTEDANCE INC., TIKTOK INC., AND  
TIKTOK PTE. LTD.,

17 Plaintiffs,

18 v.  
19

20 TRILLER, INC.,

21 Defendant.  
22

Case No. 4:20-cv-07572-JSW

**PLAINTIFFS' DISCLOSURE OF  
ASSERTED CLAIMS AND  
INFRINGEMENT CONTENTIONS**

**REDACTED**

23 Pursuant to Patent Local Rules 3-1 and 3-2, Plaintiffs TikTok Inc. and TikTok Pte. Ltd.  
24 (collectively "TikTok" or "Plaintiffs") provide Defendant Triller, Inc. ("Triller") with the  
25 following preliminary disclosure of asserted claims and infringement contentions. Given the  
26 limited information Triller has disclosed thus far through discovery, TikTok's disclosure is based  
27 on public information relating to Triller's products, including Triller's software application for the  
28

1 iOS operating system and Triller’s software application for the Android operating system  
2 (collectively, “the Accused Products”).

3 All sources identified in TikTok’s disclosure of asserted claims and infringement  
4 contentions (as well as the attached claim charts) are exemplary. TikTok reserves the right to  
5 supplement these disclosures with additional evidence because Triller has not yet provided  
6 discovery regarding its products, the discovery period has not yet ended, and the Court has not yet  
7 construed any claim terms. TikTok also reserves the right to identify other Accused Products that  
8 are made, used, sold, offered for sale, and/or imported into the United States by Triller, as  
9 appropriate.

#### 10 **DISCLOSURES UNDER RULE 3-1**

##### 11 **(A) Asserted Claims (Patent L.R. 3-1(a))**

12 Based on information obtained to date, TikTok asserts that Triller has infringed and/or is  
13 infringing the following claims of the following United States patents (collectively, “the Asserted  
14 Claims” of “the Asserted Patents”):

- 15 • U.S. Patent No. 9,648,132 (“the ’132 patent”) claims 1, 2, 3, 6, 22, 26, 27, and 31
- 16 • U.S. Patent No. 9,992,322 (“the ’322 patent”) claims 30, 31, 32, 35, 51, 55, and 56
- 17 • U.S. Patent No. 9,294,430 (“the ’430 patent”) claims 1, 19, 23, 24, and 28

##### 18 **(B) Accused Products (Patent L.R. 3-1(b))**

19 Based on information obtained to date, TikTok asserts that Triller has infringed and/or is  
20 infringing the asserted claims with its software application for the iOS operating system and  
21 Triller’s software application for the Android operating system (collectively, “the Accused  
22 Products”), commonly referred to as the Triller app.

##### 23 **(C) Claim Charts (Patent L.R. 3-1(c))**

24 The claim charts labeled Exhibits F1-F3 identify specifically the element-by-element  
25 analyses of Triller’s infringement of the Asserted Claims of TikTok’s Asserted Patents.

##### 26 **(D) Indirect Infringement (Patent L.R. 3-1(d))**

27 Triller both induces and contributes to the infringement of others through the marketing  
28 and distribution of the Accused Products, i.e. the Triller app. In particular, Triller has induced and

1 contributed to infringement of the Asserted Claims by encouraging and instructing users of the  
2 Triller app to perform activities that infringe the patents-in-suit with full knowledge of the patents  
3 and their infringement, including using the Accused Products in the U.S., and Triller has so  
4 encouraged and instructed with the intent to cause others to perform those acts. These acts were  
5 undertaken, additionally, knowing that the accused functionality of the products is default  
6 functionality and that the Triller app therefore has no substantial non-infringing uses. For the  
7 accused products, Triller has made available to customers in the United States materials including  
8 website pages, videos, and other promotional materials instructing customers on how to use the  
9 products in an infringing manner. Providing such materials to U.S. based customers with the  
10 specific intent to induce those customers to infringe has thereby induced infringement of the  
11 Asserted Claims; and with knowledge that the Triller app has no substantial non-infringing uses,  
12 their acts additionally contribute to infringement by others. Additional detail regarding specific  
13 acts of direct infringement, including the volume of infringement and details of Triller's  
14 inducement and contributory infringement, will be the subject of discovery that TikTok has sought  
15 (and will continue to seek) from Triller and will seek from additional third parties.

16 **(E) Literal Infringement and Doctrine of Equivalents (Patent L.R. 3-1(e))**

17 Based on the information presently available and on information and belief, each limitation  
18 of each of the Asserted Claims of the Asserted Patents is literally met by the Accused Products as  
19 described in the accompanying Exhibits F1 – F3.

20 To the extent Triller argues that any claim limitations are not literally satisfied, TikTok  
21 may supplement these contentions to show that those limitations are also met under the doctrine of  
22 equivalents because the differences between the claim limitation and the corresponding element in  
23 the Accused Products are insubstantial or perform substantially the same function as the claimed  
24 limitation, and do so in substantially the same way to create substantially the same result. TikTok  
25 also reserves the right to allege that certain claim limitations are satisfied under the doctrine of  
26 equivalents once the Court construes any disputed claim terms.



1           **(C) File Histories (Patent L.R. 3-2(c))**

2           TikTok has produced the file histories for each of the patents-in-suit at  
3 BDTT\_TRIL\_CA\_0000001 - BDTT\_TRIL\_CA\_0006561.

4           **(D) Ownership (Patent L.R. 3-2(d))**

5           TikTok has produced documents that show ownership of the Asserted Patents at  
6 BDTT\_TRIL\_CA\_0006570 - BDTT\_TRIL\_CA\_0006580.

7           **(E) Products Practicing the Claimed Inventions (Patent L.R. 3-2(e))**

8           TikTok has produced documents showing the operation of the embodying MusicStation  
9 software at BDTT\_TRIL\_CA\_0000001-6561. Additional documents sufficient to show the  
10 operation of the TikTok app practicing claims of the Asserted Patents are available for inspection  
11 upon reasonable advance notice at TikTok’s Transparency and Accountability Center.

12           **(F) Agreements Transferring an Interest in the Asserted Patents (Patent L.R. 3-2(f))**

13           TikTok has produced relevant agreements and licenses at BDTT\_TRIL\_CA\_0006562 -  
14 BDTT\_TRIL\_CA\_0006580.

15           **(G) All Agreements Supporting Damages (Patent L.R. 3-2(g)-(h))**

16           TikTok is not presently aware of any licenses or agreements that are comparable to a  
17 license that would result from a hypothetical reasonable royalty negotiation in this case.

18           **(H) Documents Regarding Embodying Instrumentalities (Patent L.R. 3-2(i))**

19           TikTok is not making any claims regarding marking or Lost Profits in this case.

20           **(I) All Documents Concerning Any F/RAND Commitment (Patent L.R. 3-2(j))**

21           TikTok does not contend that that any of the Asserted Patents is subject to a FRAND  
22 commitment or agreement.

23

24

25

26

27

28

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.