| 1       | Frank E. Scherkenbach (SBN 142549 / scherkenbach@fr.com)   |   |
|---------|--|---|
| 2       | Adam J. Kessel ( <i>pro hac vice</i> application to be filed / kessel@fr.com)  Proshanto Mukherji ( <i>pro hac vice</i> application to be filed / mukherji@fr.com) |   |
| 3       | Jeffrey Shneidman (pro hac vice application to be filed / shneidman@fr.com)  |   |
| 3       | FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.   |   |
| 4       | One Marina Park Drive Boston, MA 02210 Telephone: (617) 542-5070   |   |
| 5       |  |   |
| 6       | Facsimile: (617) 542-8906  |   |
| 7       | Michael R. Headley (SBN 220834 / headley@fr.com)   |   |
|         | FISH & RICHARDSON P.C. 500 Arguello Street, Suite 500  |   |
| 8       | Redwood City, CA 94063   |   |
| 9       | Telephone: (650) 839-5070  |   |
| 10      | Facsimile: (650) 839-5071  |   |
| $_{11}$ | Attorneys for Plaintiffs   |   |
|         | BYTEDANCE INC., TIKTOK INC., and TIKTOK PTE. LTD.  |   |
| 12      | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT   |   |
| 13      | NORTHERN DISTR   | ICT OF CALIFORNIA                                     |
| ا 14    |  |   |
| 15      |  | Case No. 3:20-cv-7572-TSH                             |
| 16      |  | FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR                           |
|         | BYTEDANCE INC., TIKTOK INC., AND   | (1) DECLADATIONY HIDOMENT OF                          |
| 17      | TIKTOK PTE. LTD.   | (1) DECLARATORY JUDGMENT OF NON-INFRINGEMENT OF U.S.  |
| 18      | Plaintiffs   | PATENT NO. 9,691,429                                  |
| 19      | v.   | (2) INJUNCTION AGAINST TRILLER TO                     |
| $_{20}$ |  | CEASE INFRINGEMENT OF U.S.                            |
| 21      | TRILLER, INC.  | PATENT NOS. 9,648,132, 9,992,322, & 9,294,430         |
|         | Defendant.   |   |
| 22      |  | (3) DAMAGES FOR PATENT<br>INFRINGEMENT                |
| 23      |  | INTRINGENENT  |
| 24      |  | DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL                                 |
| 25      |  |   |
| 26      | Plaintiffs Bytedance Inc. ("BDI"), TikTok Inc. ("TTI"), and TikTok Pte. Ltd. ("TTPL")  |   |
|         |  |   |
| 27      | (collectively, "Plaintiffs") hereby bring this First Amended Complaint against Defendant Triller,  |   |
| 28      | Inc. ("Triller" or "Defendant") as follows:  | FIRST ALSO DE COLON ANTON                             |
|         | 1  | FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT<br>Case No 3:20-cv-07572-TSH. |



## 2

3 4

5 6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23 24

25

26

27 28

#### NATURE OF ACTION

- 1. Plaintiffs BDI and TTI bring this action for a declaratory judgment of noninfringement of U.S. Patent No. 9,691,429 ("the '429 patent"). Plaintiffs TTI and TTPL also seek an injunction against Triller and damages for Triller's past and ongoing infringement of U.S. Patent Nos. 9,648,132 ("the '132 patent"), 9,992,322 ("the '322 patent"), and 9,294,430 ("the '430 patent").
- 2. Plaintiffs BDI and TTI seek a declaratory judgment that they do not infringe any claim of the '429 patent (attached as Exhibit A). Plaintiffs TTI and TTPL also seek remedies in equity and law for Triller's past and ongoing infringement of TikTok's patented intellectual property as set forth below.
- 3. Plaintiffs are technology companies that provide and support a variety of mobile software applications that enable people around the world to connect with, consume, and create entertainment content, including via an application called "TikTok." TikTok is a mobile software application that millions of Americans, including many in this judicial district, use to create and share short videos composed of expressive content.
- 4. Defendant Triller is the developer, distributor, and operator of an application called "Triller" which it characterizes as "an entertainment platform built for creators." Defendant Triller has alleged that TikTok infringes the '429 patent, which is not correct. To the contrary, it is Triller that improperly is infringing TTPL and TTI intellectual property, including by Triller's past and ongoing infringement of the '132 patent, '322 patent, and '430 patent, which includes acts of infringement in this judicial district.

### Triller's Accusations Against TikTok Are Without Merit

5. On July 29, 2020, Triller filed a lawsuit against the entities TikTok Inc. and Bytedance Ltd. in the Western District of Texas (C.A. No. 20-cv-00693) ("the Texas Litigation") alleging that those entities "directly and indirectly infringe the ['429] Patent by making, using, offering for sale, selling, and importing the popular iOS and Android software application known

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> https://apps.apple.com/us/app/triller-social-video-platform/id994905763 (accessed Oct. 27,  $202\bar{0}$ ). FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT



1 as
2 "2
3 he
4 th
5 ps
6 al
7 cr
8 al

as 'TikTok.'" *Id.*, Dkt. No. 1 ¶3. Triller has alleged that the "Accused Products" in that lawsuit (the "Accused TikTok Products") are "software products [that] are available for iOS and Android handheld or tablet devices and are distributed under the TikTok brand name." *Id.*, ¶14. Triller has alleged that "making, using, offering for sale, selling and/or importing the Accused Products" constitutes patent infringement and violates at least 35 U.S.C. § 271(a), (b), and (c). *Id.* ¶34 *et seq.* Triller has also alleged that various training videos, demonstrations, brochures, and user guides, which are created by BDI or TTI, instruct users of the TikTok apps to infringe the '429 patent. *Id.* Triller has alleged that making the Accused TikTok Products (among other acts) infringes at least claims 1, 3, 4, 5, 6, and 7 of the '429 patent. *Id.* 

- 6. Notwithstanding Triller's allegations in the Texas Litigation, that district is not a proper forum for a dispute concerning the Accused TikTok Products. Bytedance Ltd., a defendant in that case, is a holding company based outside of the United States that does not have employees or property in Texas. TTI, the other defendant in that case, has no employees or facilities in the State of Texas and, more specifically, does not have any regular and established place of business in that forum, and thus is not subject to venue under the Supreme Court's decision in *TC Heartland LLC v. Kraft Foods Group Brands LLC*, 581 U.S. \_\_\_\_\_, 137 S. Ct. 1514 (2017). BDI and TTI thus bring the instant action seeking declaratory judgment in a proper forum—in the state where the relevant parties are based, and in the judicial district where a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to Triller's alleged infringement claims have occurred and continue to occur.
- 7. BDI and TTI are the only companies based in the United States responsible for developing, providing, and supporting the Accused TikTok Products. Triller's actions and allegations have created a real and immediate controversy between Triller, BDI, and TTI as to whether the Accused TikTok Products infringe any claim of the '429 patent. Triller's lawsuit and statements that "making" the Accused TikTok Products infringes the '429 patent demonstrate that it is highly likely that Defendant Triller will assert infringement against BDI in addition to its previous allegations against TTI. In the meantime, the cloud of Triller's allegations, including that making the Accused TikTok Products infringes the '429 patent, hangs over BDI and TTI.

8. As set forth herein, BDI and TTI do not infringe and have not infringed the '429 patent. Therefore, an actual and justiciable controversy exists as to whether BDI and TTI's Accused TikTok Products infringe any claim of the '429 patent. A judicial declaration is necessary to resolve the real, immediate, and justiciable controversy concerning these issues and to determine the respective rights of the parties regarding the '429 patent. BDI and TTI respectfully seek a judicial determination that the '429 patent is not directly or indirectly infringed by BDI and TTI, including by their products and/or services.

### **Triller Infringes TikTok's Patents**

9. Contrary to Triller's assertions, it is Triller that is using TikTok's innovative, valuable, and patented functionality. Triller's software application for the iOS operating system and Triller's software application for the Android operating system (collectively, the "Infringing Triller Products") infringe several TikTok patents, including the '132 patent, '322 patent, and '430 patent, which are owned by TTPL and exclusively licensed to TTI in the United States. The inventions claimed and disclosed in TTPL's multiple patents go to the heart of the Infringing Triller Products.





TikTok

Triller

10. For example, the '132 patent, '322 patent, and '430 patent are each titled "Method of enabling digital music content to be downloaded to and used on a portable wireless computing

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

device." These patents claim a priority date of 2006, which predates the founding of Triller by nearly a decade.

- 11. For example, TikTok owns—and Triller infringes—the invention claimed in claim 30 of the '322 patent, i.e., a "software application [that] is executable on a smartphone device ... (a) in which the software application allows the end-user to, over a wireless connection, create on a remote server one or more user accounts with associated profiles for that end-user, wherein the profiles are editable; and (b) the software application allows the end-user to, over the wireless connection, view profiles created by other users of a service; and (c) the software application allows the end-user to, over the wireless connection, interact with other users of the service; and (d) the software application allows the end-user to, over the wireless connection, send and receive messages to and from other users of the service; and (e) the software application allows the end-user to, over the wireless connection, link his or her user account on the remote server to user accounts on the remote server of other users of the same service or of other services."
- 12. As a further example, TikTok owns—and Triller infringes—the invention claimed in claim 35 of the '322 patent, where that "software application is a music application wherein the software application uses track meta-data that is formed as a separate meta-data layer and defines attributes of tracks, the meta-data being external to a music track to make sharing and browsing of track information possible without needing to distribute the related music track files."
- 13. As a still further example, TikTok owns—and Triller infringes—the invention claimed in claim 51 of the '322 patent, where "the software application [is] such that the service provides over a wireless connection, recommendations to the user of people, media content or any other items which the user might like, based on the user's viewing ... history, on the viewing ... history of any other users or on any other criteria."
- 14. As their titles suggest, TikTok's other patents asserted in this litigation, specifically the '132 and '420 patents, cover other aspects of "enabling digital music content to be downloaded to and used on a portable wireless computing device," which is critical functionality to Triller.
- 15. As set forth herein, Triller has illegally practiced, and continues to practice and infringe claims of the '132, '332, and '430 patents, all in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271.

# DOCKET

## Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

#### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### **LAW FIRMS**

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### **FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## **E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS**

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

