IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

_____

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

_____

TRILLER, INC.
Petitioner

v.

TIKTOK PTE. LTD.
Patent Owner

Case No. _____
U.S. Patent No. 9,648,132
Issue Date: May 9, 2017

Title: Method Of Enabling Digital Music Content To Be Downloaded To And Used On a Portable Wireless Computing Device

---

PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF U.S. PATENT NO. 9,648,132 UNDER 35 U.S.C. §§ 311-319 AND 37 C.F.R. § 42.100 *ET SEQ.*

DOCKET ALARM
Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. MANDATORY NOTICES UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(a)(1) 1

II. PAYMENT OF FEES UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.103 2

III. REQUIREMENTS FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.104 2

A. Standing - 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(a) 2

B. Challenge and Relief Requested - 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b) 3

1. Challenged Claims - 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(1) 3

2. Specific Statutory Grounds - 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(2) 3

3. Claim Construction - 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(3) 4

4. Explanation of Unpatentability - 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(4) 4

5. Supporting Evidence - 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(5) 4

IV. THE SPECIFICATION AND CLAIMS OF THE '132 PATENT 4

V. CLAIMS 1, 2, 3, 6, 22, 26, 27, and 31 OF THE '132 PATENT ARE UNPATENTABLE 11

A. Claims 1, 22, 26, and 31 Are Anticipated, or At Least Rendered Obvious, By Abrams 11

1. Overview of Abrams 11

2. Abrams Anticipates, or At Least Renders Obvious, Claim 1 21

a) Limitation 1.1 21

b) Limitation 1.2 21

c) Limitation 1.3 22

d) Limitation 1.4 22

e) Limitation 1.5 24

f) Limitation 1.6 27

DOCKET ALARM

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

g) Limitation 1.7 27

h) Limitation 1.8 28

3. Abrams Anticipates, or At Least Renders Obvious, Claim 22 30

4. Abrams Anticipates, or At Least Renders Obvious, Claim 26 31

5. Abrams Anticipates, or At Least Renders Obvious, Claim 31 31

a) Limitation 31.1 32

b) Limitation 31.2 32

c) Limitation 31.3 32

d) Limitation 31.4 32

e) Limitation 31.5 32

f) Limitation 31.6 33

g) Limitation 31.7 33

h) Limitation 31.8 33

B. Claims 2 and 27 Would Have Been Obvious In View of Abrams and The Knowledge of a POSITA 33

1. Claim 2 Would Have Been Obvious In View of Abrams and The Knowledge of a POSITA About Multitasking and Multithreading 33

2. Claim 27 Would Have Been Obvious In View of Abrams and The Knowledge of a POSITA About Multitasking and Multithreading 37

C. Claims 3, 6, and 27 Are Not Entitled to Their Claimed Priority In May 2007 Because Their Subject Matter Is Not Disclosed In the May 2007 Priority Document, And Therefore The Applicants' Own Disclosure In Knight 2010 Anticipates, Or At Least Renders Obvious, Claims 3, 6, and 27 38

1. Knight 2010 Anticipates, Or At Least Renders Obvious,

DOCKET ALARM Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

Claim 3 41

a) Claim 1, Limitation 1.1 42

b) Claim 1, Limitation 1.2 42

c) Claim 1, Limitation 1.3 43

d) Claim 1, Limitation 1.4 43

e) Claim 1, Limitation 1.5 43

f) Claim 1, Limitation 1.6 44

g) Claim 1, Limitation 1.7 44

h) Claim 1, Limitation 1.8 44

i) Additional Limitations of Claim 2 45

j) Additional Limitations of Claim 3 45

2. Knight 2010 Anticipates, Or At Least Renders Obvious, Claim 6 46

3. Knight 2010 Anticipates, Or At Least Renders Obvious, Claim 27 47

D. If The Subject Matter of Claim 6 Is Disclosed In The May 2007 Priority Document, The Subject Matter of Claim 6 Would Have Been Obvious In Light of Abrams and Khedouri 48

1. Overview of Khedouri 49

2. If The Subject Matter of Claim 6 Is Disclosed In The May 2007 Priority Document, The Subject Matter of Claim 6 Would Have Been Obvious In View of Abrams and Khedouri 55

a) Khedouri Discloses "A Music Application" 56

b) Khedouri Discloses That the Music Application Uses Track Meta-Data That Defines Attributes of Tracks 56

c) Khedouri Discloses Track Meta-Data External to A Music Track 57

d) Under Potential Interpretations Derived From Patent

DOCKET ALARM

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

Owner's Positions In The Related Litigation, Khedouri Discloses "Track Meta-Data That Is Formed As A Separate Meta-Data Layer" 57

e) The Combination of Khedouri and Abrams Would Have Been Obvious 59

E. Claim 3 Would Have Been Obvious In View of Abrams, Khedouri, and The Knowledge of a POSITA About Multitasking and Multithreading 61

F. If The Subject Matter of Claim 6 Is Disclosed In The May 2007 Priority Document, The Subject Matter of Claim 6 Would Have Been Obvious In Light of Abrams and Partovi 63

1. Overview of Partovi 63

2. If The Subject Matter of Claim 6 Is Disclosed In The May 2007 Priority Document, The Subject Matter of Claim 6 Would Have Been Obvious In View of Abrams and Partovi 65

a) Partovi Discloses "A Music Application" 66

b) Partovi Discloses That the Music Application Uses Track Meta-Data That Defines Attributes of Tracks 66

c) Partovi Discloses Track Meta-Data External to A Music Track 67

d) Under Potential Interpretations Derived From Patent Owner's Positions In The Related Litigation, Partovi Discloses "Track Meta-Data That Is Formed As A Separate Meta-Data Layer" 68

e) The Combination of Partovi and Abrams Would Have Been Obvious 69

VI. NO BASIS EXISTS FOR DISCRETIONARY DENIAL 70

VII. CONCLUSION 72

DOCKET ALARM

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

DOCKET ALARM

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts

Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research

With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips

Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS
Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS
Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS
Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.