

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

TRILLER, INC.,  
Petitioner

v.

TIKTOK PTE. LTD,  
Patent Owner

**U.S. Patent No. 9,294,430**

**U.S. Patent No. 9,648,132**

**U.S. Patent No. 9,992,322**

**DECLARATION OF MICHAEL SHAMOS, PH.D.**

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION .....	1
II.	QUALIFICATIONS .....	3
III.	RELEVANT LEGAL STANDARDS .....	5
IV.	OVERVIEW OF THE PATENTS .....	9
A.	The Patents Collectively.....	9
1.	The '132 Claims.....	16
2.	The '322 Claims.....	18
3.	The '430 Claims.....	19
B.	Level of Ordinary Skill in the Art .....	20
V.	CLAIM CONSTRUCTION .....	22
A.	“track meta-data that is formed as a separate meta-data layer” .....	23
VI.	PRIORITY DATES .....	27
VII.	OVERVIEW OF THE PRIOR ART .....	32
A.	Background of Social Networks and Music Sharing .....	32
1.	Overview of Abrams .....	32
2.	MySpace / Partovi.....	40
3.	Khedouri.....	46
B.	Background of Multitasking and Multithreading.....	52
VIII.	GROUND 1: ANTICIPATION BY OR OBVIOUSNESS IN VIEW OF ABRAMS .....	61
A.	'132 Claims 1, 22, 26 and 31 Are Anticipated, or At Least Rendered Obvious, by Abrams .....	61
B.	'322 Claims 30, 51 and 55 Are Anticipated or, At the Very Least, Rendered Obvious by Abrams.....	75
IX.	GROUND 2: OBVIOUSNESS IN VIEW OF ABRAMS AND THE KNOWLEDGE OF A POSITA.....	80

A.	'132 Claims 2 and 27 Are Rendered Obvious by Abrams and the Knowledge of a POSITA .....	80
B.	'322 Claims 31 and 56 Are Rendered Obvious by Abrams and the Knowledge of a POSITA .....	81
X.	GROUND 3: INVALIDITY OVER KNIGHT 2010 .....	82
A.	The Late Claims are Anticipated by, or At Least Rendered Obvious By, Knight 2010.....	82
XI.	GROUND 4: OBVIOUSNESS OVER ABRAMS AND PARTOVI .....	99
XII.	GROUND 5: OBVIOUSNESS OVER ABRAMS AND KHEDOURI.....	118
XIII.	SUMMARY .....	139
XIV.	CONCLUDING STATEMENT .....	140

I, Michael Shamos, declare as follows:

## I. INTRODUCTION

1. I am over the age of eighteen (18) and otherwise competent to make this Declaration.

2. I have been retained by counsel for Petitioner as an independent technical expert consultant in this proceeding before the United States Patent and Trademark Office. I am being compensated at my rate of \$550 per hour for my services. No part of my compensation is dependent on my opinions or on the outcome of this proceeding. I have no financial interest in any of the parties to this proceeding.

3. This Declaration is in support of petitions (“Petitions”) for *inter partes* review (“IPR”) of U.S. Patents 9,294,430 (“’430 Patent”, Ex. 1003), 9,648,132 (“’132 Patent”, Ex. 1001) and 9,992,322 (“’322 Patent”, Ex. 1002) (collectively, the “Patents”). The Patents are intimately related, in that the ’322 Patent is a continuation of the ’132 Patent, which is itself a continuation of the ’430 Patent. Thus, the Patents share a common specification.

4. The following claims are challenged among the three Petitions (collectively, the “Challenged Claims”): ’430 Patent claims 1, 19, 23, 24 and 28; ’132 Patent claims 1-3, 6, 22, 26, 27 and 31; and ’322 Patent claims 30-32, 35, 51 and 55-56.

5. The challenged claims of the '430 Patent and the '322 Patent contain multiple limitations that do not differ materially from the limitations of the Challenged Claims of the '132 Patent. Therefore, a showing of invalidity of the Challenged '132 claims serves as a basis for my opinions concerning the Challenged '430 Claims and the Challenged '322 Claims. For reasons of economy, this Declaration is structured to be used in support of all three Petitions.

6. The Patents are all entitled “Method of Enabling Digital Music Content to be Downloaded to and Used on a Portable Wireless Computing Device” and list the same inventors.

7. I understand that, according to USPTO records, the Patents are currently assigned to TikTok Pte. Ltd. (“TTPL” or “Patent Owner”).

8. Patent Owner has asserted the Patents against Petitioner Triller in case 4:20-cv-7572-JSW (N.D. Cal.) (the “Underlying Litigation”).

9. In preparing this Declaration, I have reviewed the Patents, their prosecution histories, including all the patents and patent applications to which the Patents claim priority, as well as the patents and documents cited herein, and I have considered these documents in light of the general knowledge in the art. In formulating my opinions, I have relied upon my experience in the relevant art. I have also considered the viewpoint of a person of ordinary skill in the art

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.