

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

ALIGN TECHNOLOGY, INC.
Petitioner

v.

3SHAPE A/S
Patent Owner

Case IPR2022-00144
U.S. Patent No. RE48,221

**DECLARATION OF DR. CHANDRAJIT L. BAJAJ, PH.D.
IN SUPPORT OF INTER PARTES REVIEW OF U.S. RE48,221**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | | |
|------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----|
| I. | Introduction | 1 |
| II. | Qualifications and Expertise..... | 6 |
| III. | Legal Understanding..... | 11 |
| | A. My Understanding of Claim Construction..... | 11 |
| | B. A Person of Ordinary Skill in the Art..... | 12 |
| | C. My Understanding of Obviousness..... | 13 |
| IV. | Background of the Technologies Disclosed in the '221 Patent..... | 15 |
| | A. 3D handheld digital scanning was well-known..... | 15 |
| | 1. Dental application of three-dimensional handheld digital scanning was well-known. | 17 |
| | 2. Incorporating human-computer interfaces into three-dimensional handheld digital scanning and interactive computer graphics display was well-known..... | 19 |
| | B. Three-dimensional human-computer interfaces with motion sensors were well-known. | 21 |
| | 1. Medical application of three-dimensional human-computer interfaces were well-known..... | 23 |
| | 2. Incorporation of motion sensors into medical applications and three-dimensional human-computer interfaces were well-known. | 25 |
| | 3. Accelerometers, gyros, and magnetometers are merely species of the generic genus of motion sensors..... | 29 |
| V. | The '221 Patent..... | 32 |
| | A. Overview..... | 32 |
| | 1. Patent Owner fails to specify any novelty or criticality of the claimed species of motion sensors (“an accelerometer, gyro, or magnetometer”)..... | 33 |
| | B. Summary of the Prosecution History | 34 |
| | 1. FWD for the '675 Patent invalidated claims 1-19, including claims 4, 5, 8, and 19 directed to “at least one motion sensor”37 | |
| | 2. Claims of the '221 Patent are based on invalidated claims 1-19 of the '675 Patent and should not have been allowed | 38 |

| | | |
|-------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----|
| VI. | Claim Construction | 39 |
| VII. | Overview of Grounds Asserted in the Petition and Prior Art | 40 |
| | A. Overview of Serra..... | 41 |
| | B. Overview of Kriveshko..... | 43 |
| | C. Overview of Marvit..... | 44 |
| | D. Overview of Knighton..... | 46 |
| VIII. | Ground 1: Claims [1, 19] 20-34 and 37-44 are unpatentable as obvious under 35 U.S.C. § 103 over Serra..... | 48 |
| | A. Claim 33..... | 48 |
| | 1. [33.P]: A scanning system for scanning a 3D environment, the scanning system comprising: | 48 |
| | 2. [33.1]: a handheld device including an optical scanner, wherein the 3D environment to be scanned is selected by pointing the optical scanner at the 3D environment | 51 |
| | a) Serra..... | 51 |
| | b) General Knowledge of a POSITA..... | 53 |
| | c) Motivation to Modify | 54 |
| | 3. [33.2]: and at least one display remotely connected to the handheld device..... | 55 |
| | 4. [33.3.1]: wherein the handheld device is adapted for performing at least one scanning action in a physical 3D environment..... | 58 |
| | 5. [33.3.2]: and the at least one display is adapted for visually representing the physical 3D environment..... | 61 |
| | 6. [33.4]: and wherein the handheld device includes at least one motion sensor for remotely controlling the display to adjust the view with which the 3D environment is represented on the display | 64 |
| | 7. [33.5]: and wherein the at least one motion sensor is an accelerometer, gyro, or magnetometer. | 68 |
| | a) Serra..... | 68 |
| | b) General Knowledge of a POSITA..... | 72 |
| | c) Motivation to Use | 74 |
| | B. Claim 34..... | 75 |

| | | |
|----|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----|
| 1. | [34.0] The scanning system according to claim 33, wherein the handheld device further comprises at least two user interface elements. | 76 |
| C. | Claim 37..... | 79 |
| 1. | [37.0] The scanning system according to claim 36, wherein at least one of the user input provides for manually switching between performing the at least one scanning action and remotely controlling the view. | 79 |
| D. | Claim 38..... | 83 |
| 1. | [38.0] The scanning system according to claim 37, [a] wherein switching to remotely controlling the view puts the handheld device into a controller mode, [b] wherein holding at least one user interface element on the handheld device in conjunction with moving the handheld device determines the view of the 3D environment on the display in accordance with signals from the motion sensor..... | 84 |
| E. | Claim 39..... | 88 |
| 1. | [39.0] The scanning system according to claim 37, [a] wherein switching to remotely controlling the view [p]uts the handheld device into a controller mode and [b] wherein when in [the] controller mode, moving the handheld device down results in showing the view of the 3D environment from a downward viewing angle on the display. | 88 |
| F. | Claim 44..... | 92 |
| 1. | [44.0] The scanning system according to claim 33, [a] wherein the at least one display is a 3D display, [b] whereby a 3D representation of the 3D environment is displayed on the 3D display; [c] wherein the handheld device is an intra-oral 3D scanner or an in-ear 3D scanner; and [d] wherein the 3D display is configured to project stereoscopic image pairs of the 3D representation. | 93 |
| a) | wherein the at least one display is a 3D display,..... | 93 |
| b) | whereby a 3D representation of the 3D environment is displayed on the 3D display;..... | 93 |
| d) | and wherein the 3D display is configured to project stereoscopic image pairs of the 3D representation..... | 93 |

| | | |
|----|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| c) | wherein the handheld device is an intra-oral 3D scanner or an in-ear 3D scanner;..... | 96 |
| G. | Invalidated/Cancelled Claim 1 | 100 |
| H. | Claim 20..... | 102 |
| 1. | [20.0] The scanning system according to claim 1, wherein the at least one motion sensor is an accelerometer, gyro, or magnetometer..... | 102 |
| I. | Claim 21..... | 103 |
| 1. | [21.0] The scanning system according to claim 1, [a] wherein the at least one motion sensor is adapted for taking the movement of the scanner into account [b] while performing the scanning. | 103 |
| J. | Claim 22..... | 106 |
| 1. | [22.0] The scanning system according to claim 1, [a] wherein the system comprises at least two motion sensors and [b] wherein the at least two motion sensors provide sensor fusion. | 107 |
| a) | Serra..... | 107 |
| b) | General Knowledge of a POSITA..... | 111 |
| c) | Motivation to Modify..... | 113 |
| K. | Claim 23..... | 114 |
| 1. | [23.0] The scanning system according to claim 1, [a] wherein the at least one motion sensor is the 3D user interface for remotely controlling the display, [b] wherein the view on the display is determined by moving the handheld scanner. | 115 |
| L. | Claim 24..... | 119 |
| 1. | [24.0] The scanning system according to claim 23, wherein moving the handheld scanner to point down causes the view on the display to be a downward viewing angle. | 119 |
| M. | Claim 25..... | 123 |
| 1. | [25.0] The scanning system according to claim 1, wherein the handheld device further comprises a user-interface element. | 123 |
| N. | Claim 26..... | 126 |

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.