

Filed on behalf of Petitioner by:

Paper No. ____

Michael N. Rader, Reg. No. 52,146
Adam R. Wichman, Reg. No. 43,988
Gregory S. Nieberg Reg. No. 57,063
WOLF, GREENFIELD & SACKS, P.C.
600 Atlantic Avenue
Boston, MA 02210
(617) 646-8000 Phone
(617) 646-8646 Fax

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

THE DATA COMPANY TECHNOLOGIES INC.,
Petitioner,

v.

BRIGHT DATA LTD.,
Patent Owner.

Case No. IPR2022-00135
Patent No. 10,257,319

PETITIONER'S REPLY TO PATENT OWNER'S RESPONSE

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	CLAIM 1 IS UNPATENTABLE	3
A.	History of the Disputed Terms	3
1.	“client device”	3
2.	“second server”	4
B.	The Board Should Adopt the Court’s Constructions and Reject PO’s Narrow Constructions	5
1.	The Court’s Constructions Deserve Substantial Weight	5
2.	PO’s Attempt to Rewrite the Claims	7
3.	Disputed Terms	10
a.	“client device”	10
i.	Claims.....	10
ii.	Specification and Cited Intrinsic Evidence	11
iii.	The Inventors Confirmed the ID’s Role-Based Construction Is Correct.....	14
iv.	Prosecution History	15
b.	“second server”.....	17
4.	The Board Should Not Rewrite PO’s Claims to Preserve Validity	18
C.	Plamondon Anticipates Claim 1 Under the Board’s Constructions....	19
1.	Step 1E	19
2.	Step 1B	21
D.	Plamondon Anticipates Claim 1 Even Under PO’s Constructions	21

II.	THE DEPENDENT CLAIMS ARE UNPATENTABLE	22
A.	Plamondon Anticipates Claims 14 and 24	22
1.	Claim 14	22
2.	Claim 24	24
B.	Plamondon+Price (Ground 6) Renders Claims 2-5 & 19-20 Obvious	24
1.	Plamondon+Price Meets Steps 2A-2B	24
2.	The Reasons to Combine Are Undisputed.....	25
C.	Plamondon+Kozat (Ground 7) Renders Claims 6-11 Obvious	26
D.	Secondary Considerations Are Irrelevant	26
III.	CONCLUSION.....	29

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

CASES

<i>AC Technologies S.A. v. Amazon.com, Inc.</i> , 912 F.3d 1358 (Fed. Cir. 2019)	19
<i>Assembly Guidance Systems, Inc. v. Virtek Vision International ULC</i> , IPR2021-00062, Paper 24 (May 6, 2022)	28
<i>Cohesive Technologies, Inc. v. Waters Corporation</i> , 543 F.3d 1351 (Fed. Cir. 1364)	27
<i>Core Wireless Licensing S.a.r.l. v. Apple Inc.</i> , 853 F.3d 1360 (Fed. Cir. 2017)	14
<i>Ecolab, Inc. v. FMC Corp.</i> , 569 F.3d 1335 (Fed. Cir. 2009)	19
<i>Elbex Video, Ltd. v. Sensormatic Electronics Corp.</i> , 508 F.3d 1366 (Fed. Cir. 2007)	15
<i>Eli Lilly & Co. v. Zenith Goldline Pharmaceuticals, Inc.</i> , 471 F.3d 1369 (Fed. Cir. 2006)	22
<i>EWP Corp. v. Reliance Universal Inc.</i> , 755 F.2d 898 (Fed. Cir. 1985)	22
<i>Fox Factory, Inc. v. SRAM, LLC</i> , 944 F.3d 1366 (Fed. Cir. 2019)	27
<i>Hill-Rom Services, Inc. v. Stryker Corp.</i> , 755 F.3d 1367 (Fed. Cir. 2014)	13
<i>In re Ethicon, Inc.</i> , 844 F.3d 1344 (Fed. Cir. 2017)	26
<i>In re Garrido</i> , 646 F. App'x 942 (Fed. Cir. 2016)	16

<i>In re Petering</i> , 301 F.2d 676 (C.C.P.A. 1962).....	22
<i>Intel Corp. v. Qualcomm Inc.</i> , 21 F.4th 784 (Fed. Cir. 2021).....	25
<i>Lectrosonics, Inc. v. Zaxcom, Inc.</i> , IPR2018-01129, Paper 33 (Jan. 24, 2020) (precedential).....	26, 27
<i>Phillips v. AWH Corp.</i> , 415 F.3d 1303 (Fed. Cir. 2005) (en banc).....	passim
<i>Powell v. Home Depot USA, Inc.</i> , 663 F.3d 1221 (Fed. Cir. 2011).....	11, 12
<i>Quest Integrity USA, LLC v. Cokebusters USA Inc.</i> , 924 F.3d 1220 (Fed. Cir. 2019).....	16
<i>SightSound Technologies, LLC v. Apple Inc.</i> , 809 F.3d 1307 (Fed. Cir. 2015).....	10, 16
<i>St. Clair Intellectual Property Consultants, Inc. v. Canon Inc.</i> , 412 F. App'x 270 (Fed. Cir. 2011).....	16
<i>Tate Access Floors, Inc. v. Interface Architectural Resources, Inc.</i> , 279 F.3d 1357 (Fed. Cir. 2002).....	18
<i>The Toro Company v. MTD Products Inc.</i> , IPR2016-00194, Paper 41 (May 10, 2017).....	19
<i>Tokai Corp. v. Easton Enterprises, Inc.</i> , 632 F.3d 1358 (Fed. Cir. 2011).....	26, 27
<i>TVIIM, LLC v. McAfee, Inc.</i> , 851 F.3d 1356 (Fed. Cir. 2017).....	6, 20
<i>Ventana Medical Systems, Inc. v. Biogenex Laboratories, Inc.</i> , 473 F.3d 1173 (Fed. Cir. 2006).....	15
<i>Wyers v. Master Lock Company</i> , 616 F.3d 1231 (Fed. Cir. 2010).....	28

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.