

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
MARSHALL DIVISION**

BRIGHT DATA LTD.,

*Plaintiff,*

v.

CODE 200, UAB ET AL.,

*Defendants.*

§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§

Case No. 2:19-cv-00396-JRG

**ORDER**

Before the Court is the Claim Construction Memorandum Opinion and Order (“Order”) of Magistrate Judge Payne dated February 8, 2021 (Dkt. No. 97). Defendants Code200, UAB, Oxysales, UAB, and Metacluster LT, UAB (collectively, “Defendants”) have filed Objections to the Order (Dkt. No. 103), to which Plaintiff Bright Data Ltd., formerly Luminati Networks Ltd. (“Plaintiff”) has filed a Response (Dkt. No. 109). Likewise, Plaintiff has filed Objections to the Order (Dkt. No. 102), to which Defendants filed a Response (Dkt. No. 110).

In response to Plaintiff’s Objections, Magistrate Judge Payne issued a Supplemental Claim Construction Order (“Supplemental Order”) dated August 6, 2021 (Dkt. No. 244). In response to the Supplemental Order, Plaintiff filed Objections to the Supplemental Order (Dkt. No. 248), with Defendants filing a Response (Dkt. No. 250).

After considering the reasoning provided in the Order, the reasoning provided in the Supplemental Order, the underlying claim construction briefing, both Plaintiff and Defendants’ Objections, and the Responses thereto, the Court agrees with the conclusions reached within the Order as modified by the Supplemental Order, finds Defendants’ arguments within their Objections unpersuasive, and finds that the Supplemental Order properly addresses the arguments in Plaintiff’s Objections that are persuasive.

Accordingly, the Court **OVERRULES** Plaintiff's Objections and Defendants' Objections, and **ADOPTS** Magistrate Judge Payne's Order and Supplemental Order.

**So ORDERED and SIGNED this 16th day of September, 2021.**

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
RODNEY GILSTRAP  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE