# **FIFTH EDITION COMPUTER NETWORKS**



## **COMPUTER NETWORKS**

FIFTH EDITION

### **ANDREW S. TANENBAUM**

Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam, The Netherlands

## **DAVID J. WETHERALL**

University of Washington Seattle, WA

#### PRENTICE HALL

Boston Columbus Indianapolis New York San Francisco Upper Saddle River Amsterdam Cape Town Dubai London Madrid Milan Paris Montreal Toronto Delhi Mexico City Sao Paulo Sydney Hong Kong Seoul Singapore Tapei Tokyo

The Data Company Technologies Inc. v. Bright Data Ltd. IPR2022-00135, EX. 2045 2 of 6 The Data Company Technologies Inc. v. Bright Data Ltd. IPR2022-00138, EX. 2045 2 of 6 Editorial Director: Marcia Horton Editor-in-Chief: Michael Hirsch Executive Editor: Tracy Dunkelberger Assistant Editor: Melinda Haggerty Editorial Assistant: Allison Michael Vice President, Marketing: Patrice Jones Marketing Manager: Yezan Alayan Marketing Coordinator: Kathryn Ferranti Vice President, Production: Vince O'Brien Managing Editor: Jeff Holcomb Senior Operations Supervisor: Alan Fischer Manufacturing Buyer: Lisa McDowell Cover Direction: Andrew S. Tanenbaum,

David J. Wetherall, Tracy Dunkelberger

Art Director: Linda Knowles Cover Designer: Susan Paradise Cover Illustration: Jason Consalvo Interior Design: Andrew S. Tanenbaum AV Production Project Manager:

Gregory L. Dulles Interior Illustrations: Laserwords, Inc. Media Editor: Daniel Sandin Composition: Andrew S. Tanenbaum Copyeditor: Rachel Head Proofreader: Joe Ruddick Printer/Binder: Courier Companies, Inc. Cover Printer: Courier Companies, Inc.

Credits and acknowledgments borrowed from other sources and reproduced, with permission, in this textbook appear on appropriate page within text.

Many of the designations by manufacturers and sellers to distinguish their products are claimed as trademarks. Where those designations appear in this book, and the publisher was aware of a trademark claim, the designations have been printed in initial caps or all caps.

Copyright © 2011, 2003, 1996, 1989, 1981 Pearson Education, Inc., publishing as Prentice Hall. All rights reserved. Manufactured in the United States of America. This publication is protected by Copyright, and permission should be obtained from the publisher prior to any prohibited reproduction, storage in a retrieval system, or transmission in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, or likewise. To obtain permission(s) to use material from this work, please submit a written request to Pearson Education, Inc., Permissions Department, 501 Boylston Street, Suite 900, Boston, Massachusetts 02116.

#### Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Tanenbaum, Andrew S., 1944Computer networks / Andrew S. Tanenbaum, David J. Wetherall. -- 5th ed. p. cm.
Includes bibliographical references and index.
ISBN-13: 978-0-13-212695-3 (alk. paper)
ISBN-10: 0-13-212695-8 (alk. paper)
Computer networks. I. Wetherall, D. (David) II. Title.
TK5105.5.T36 2011
004.6--dc22

2010034366

7 8 9 10 V092 16 15 14



To Su: and th

To Ka

The Data Company Technologies Inc. v. Bright Data Ltd. IPR2022-00135, EX. 2045

#### USES OF COMPUTER NETWORKS

Although this book primarily focuses on networks, many of the topics are also important in distributed systems. For more information about distributed systems, see Tanenbaum and Van Steen (2007).

#### **1.1 USES OF COMPUTER NETWORKS**

Before we start to examine the technical issues in detail, it is worth devoting some time to pointing out why people are interested in computer networks and what they can be used for. After all, if nobody were interested in computer networks, few of them would be built. We will start with traditional uses at companies, then move on to home networking and recent developments regarding mobile users, and finish with social issues.

#### **1.1.1 Business Applications**

SEC. 1.1

Most companies have a substantial number of computers. For example, a company may have a computer for each worker and use them to design products, write brochures, and do the payroll. Initially, some of these computers may have worked in isolation from the others, but at some point, management may have decided to connect them to be able to distribute information throughout the company.

Put in slightly more general form, the issue here is **resource sharing**. The goal is to make all programs, equipment, and especially data available to anyone on the network without regard to the physical location of the resource or the user. An obvious and widespread example is having a group of office workers share a common printer. None of the individuals really needs a private printer, and a high-volume networked printer is often cheaper, faster, and easier to maintain than a large collection of individual printers.

However, probably even more important than sharing physical resources such as printers, and tape backup systems, is sharing information. Companies small and large are vitally dependent on computerized information. Most companies have customer records, product information, inventories, financial statements, tax information, and much more online. If all of its computers suddenly went down, a bank could not last more than five minutes. A modern manufacturing plant, with a computer-controlled assembly line, would not last even 5 seconds. Even a small travel agency or three-person law firm is now highly dependent on computer networks for allowing employees to access relevant information and documents instantly.

For smaller companies, all the computers are likely to be in a single office or perhaps a single building, but for larger ones, the computers and employees may be scattered over dozens of offices and plants in many countries. Nevertheless, a sales person in New York might sometimes need access to a product inventory

4 of 6

The Data Company Technologies Inc. v. Bright Data Ltd. IPR2022-00135, EX. 2045

The stage ience of the their thoucomed by = job. on of 1 col-Two ation. ared, sizes, ler to e of a work m, a SYS-Ofre, is buted nts a Users make harduser e and work s, the 3 (esor exfiles user.

AP. 1

3

#### INTRODUCTION

database in Singapore. Networks called VPNs (Virtual Private Networks) may be used to join the individual networks at different sites into one extended network. In other words, the mere fact that a user happens to be 15,000 km away from his data should not prevent him from using the data as though they were local. This goal may be summarized by saying that it is an attempt to end the "tyranny of geography."

In the simplest of terms, one can imagine a company's information system as consisting of one or more databases with company information and some number of employees who need to access them remotely. In this model, the data are stored on powerful computers called **servers**. Often these are centrally housed and maintained by a system administrator. In contrast, the employees have simpler machines, called **clients**, on their desks, with which they access remote data, for example, to include in spreadsheets they are constructing. (Sometimes we will refer to the human user of the client machine as the "client," but it should be clear from the context whether we mean the computer or its user.) The client and server machines are connected by a network, as illustrated in Fig. 1-1. Note that we have shown the network as a simple oval, without any detail. We will use this form when we mean a network in the most abstract sense. When more detail is required, it will be provided.



Figure 1-1. A network with two clients and one server.

This whole arrangement is called the **client-server model**. It is widely used and forms the basis of much network usage. The most popular realization is that of a **Web application**, in which the server generates Web pages based on its database in response to client requests that may update the database. The client-server model is applicable when the client and server are both in the same building (and belong to the same company), but also when they are far apart. For example, when a person at home accesses a page on the World Wide Web, the same model is employed, with the remote Web server being the server and the user's personal

A sec than infor commun two or ma ally use f the water meaningle content-fr Telep instead of Voice ove speaker at puter. Co Other. works, Vi and hear e eliminatin remote wc easy for tw board or w document. days for a people eas remote coo



SEC. 1.1 compute

number

running

machine

over the

message

work or

shown in

C

If w

5 O 6

#### CHAP. 1

SEC. 1.1

ks) may ded netm away ey were end the

ystem as number are storused and simpler data, for we will nould be ient and fore that use this detail is

ly used i is that ts datat-server ng (and tample, : model ersonal

#### USES OF COMPUTER NETWORKS

computer being the client. Under most conditions, one server can handle a large number (hundreds or thousands) of clients simultaneously.

If we look at the client-server model in detail, we see that two processes (i.e., running programs) are involved, one on the client machine and one on the server machine. Communication takes the form of the client process sending a message over the network to the server process. The client process then waits for a reply message. When the server process gets the request, it performs the requested work or looks up the requested data and sends back a reply. These messages are shown in Fig. 1-2.



Figure 1-2. The client-server model involves requests and replies.

A second goal of setting up a computer network has to do with people rather than information or even computers. A computer network can provide a powerful **communication medium** among employees. Virtually every company that has two or more computers now has **email (electronic mail)**, which employees generally use for a great deal of daily communication. In fact, a common gripe around the water cooler is how much email everyone has to deal with, much of it quite meaningless because bosses have discovered that they can send the same (often content-free) message to all their subordinates at the push of a button.

Telephone calls between employees may be carried by the computer network instead of by the phone company. This technology is called **IP telephony** or **Voice over IP** (**VoIP**) when Internet technology is used. The microphone and speaker at each end may belong to a VoIP-enabled phone or the employee's computer. Companies find this a wonderful way to save on their telephone bills.

Other, richer forms of communication are made possible by computer networks. Video can be added to audio so that employees at distant locations can see and hear each other as they hold a meeting. This technique is a powerful tool for eliminating the cost and time previously devoted to travel. **Desktop sharing** lets remote workers see and interact with a graphical computer screen. This makes it easy for two or more people who work far apart to read and write a shared blackboard or write a report together. When one worker makes a change to an online document, the others can see the change immediately, instead of waiting several days for a letter. Such a speedup makes cooperation among far-flung groups of people easy where it previously had been impossible. More ambitious forms of remote coordination such as telemedicine are only now starting to be used (e.g.,

The Data Company Technologies Inc. v. Bright Data Ltd. IPR2022-00135, EX. 2045 6 of 6 5