

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

THE DATA COMPANY TECHNOLOGIES INC.,

Petitioner

v.

BRIGHT DATA LTD.,

Patent Owner

Case IPR2022-00135

Patent No. 10,257,319

**PATENT OWNER'S PRELIMINARY RESPONSE
UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.107**

Mail Stop PATENT BOARD
Patent Trial and Appeal Board
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. DISCRETIONARY DENIAL3
 A. *FINTIV* ANALYSIS4
 B. *GENERAL PLASTIC* ANALYSIS9
 C. *ADVANCED BIONICS* ANALYSIS10
II. OVERVIEW OF THE ‘319 PATENT12
 A. THE CHALLENGED CLAIMS.....15
 B. PRIORITY DATE15
 C. PERSON OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART15
 D. PETITIONER’S MISCHARACTERIZATION OF THE ‘319 PATENT18
 E. PETITIONER’S MISCHARACTERIZATION OF THE COURT’S CLAIM CONSTRUCTION.....23
III. OVERVIEW OF PLAMONDON26
 A. MIXING AND MATCHING EMBODIMENTS OF PLAMONDON.....27
 B. THE EMBODIMENTS OF SECTION A (FIGS. 1A-C) DO NOT DISCLOSE USE OF A “FIRST CLIENT DEVICE” BETWEEN A “SECOND SERVER” AND A “WEB SERVER” AS CLAIMED IN THE ‘319 PATENT..31
 C. THE EMBODIMENTS OF SECTION F (FIGS. 6A-B) DO NOT DISCLOSE USE OF A “FIRST CLIENT DEVICE” BETWEEN A “SECOND SERVER” AND A “WEB SERVER” AS CLAIMED IN THE ‘319 PATENT..36
 D. THE EMBODIMENTS OF SECTION B (FIGS. 2A-B) DO NOT DISCLOSE USE OF A “FIRST CLIENT DEVICE” BETWEEN A “SECOND SERVER” AND A “WEB SERVER” AS CLAIMED IN THE ‘319 PATENT..38
 E. THE EMBODIMENTS OF SECTION E (FIGS. 5A-B) DO NOT DISCLOSE USE OF A “FIRST CLIENT DEVICE” BETWEEN A “SECOND SERVER” AND A “WEB SERVER” AS CLAIMED IN THE ‘319 PATENT..40
 F. THE EMBODIMENTS OF SECTION G (FIGS. 7A-B), SECTION H (FIGS. 8A-B), AND SECTION O (FIGS. 15A-B) DO NOT DISCLOSE USE OF A “FIRST CLIENT DEVICE” BETWEEN A “SECOND SERVER” AND A “WEB SERVER” AS CLAIMED IN THE ‘319 PATENT41

G. THE EMBODIMENTS OF SECTION L (FIGS. 12A-B) AND SECTION N (FIGS. 14A-C) DO NOT DISCLOSE USE OF A “FIRST CLIENT DEVICE” BETWEEN A “SECOND SERVER” AND A “WEB SERVER” AS CLAIMED IN THE ‘319 PATENT.....42

IV. PLAMONDON DOES NOT DISCLOSE ALL STEPS OF CLAIM 1 OF THE ‘319 PATENT43

A. THE PREAMBLE OF CLAIM 144

1. A POSA would not understand appliance 200 as the “first client device” claimed in the ‘319 Patent44

2. Petitioner takes contradictory positions from the Teso defendants regarding appliance 20045

3. Petitioner takes contradictory positions from the examiner regarding appliance 20046

4. Client 102 is not a “second server” in the context of the ‘319 Patent47

B. STEP 1 OF CLAIM 149

C. STEP 2 OF CLAIM 150

D. STEP 3 OF CLAIM 154

E. STEP 4 OF CLAIM 154

V. ALLEGED ANTICIPATION OF DEPENDENT CLAIMS 12-14 AND 21-27 BY PLAMONDON.....59

VI. BECAUSE PLAMONDON DOES NOT ANTICIPATE CLAIM 1, AND BECAUSE PETITIONER PROVIDES NO OBVIOUSNESS ANALYSIS OF CLAIM 1, GROUNDS 2-7 AUTOMATICALLY FAIL59

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Adobe v. RAH Color Techs., IPR2019-00627, Paper 41 (Sept. 10, 2019)4

Advanced Bionics v. MED-EL, IPR2019-01469, Paper 6 (PTAB Feb. 13, 2020)
(precedential)(“*Advanced Bionics*”)3, 10

Apple Inc. v. Fintiv Inc., IPR2020-00019, Paper 11 (PTAB March 20,
2020)(precedential)(“*Fintiv*”)..... 3, 8, 10

General Plastic Industrial Co., Ltd. v. Canon Kabushiki Kaisha, IPR2016-01357,
Paper 19 (PTAB Sept. 6, 2017)(precedential)(“*General Plastic*”)3, 10

Kennametal, Inc. v. Ingersoll Cutting Tool Co., 780 F.3d 1376, 114 USPQ2d 1250
(Fed. Cir. 2015).....27

Koninklijke Philips N.V. v. Google LLC, 2019-1177 (Fed. Cir. Jan. 30, 2020)9

Microsoft Corp. v. Biscotti, Inc., Nos. 2016-2080, -2082, -2083, 2017 WL 6613262
(Fed. Cir. Dec. 28, 2017)27

Net Moneyin v. Verisign, 545 F.3d 1359 (Fed Cir 2008)27

NHK Spring Co. v. Intri-Plex Techs., Inc., IPR2018-00752, Paper 8 (PTAB Sept.
12, 2018)(precedential)(“*NHK*”)8

SCHOTT Gemtron Corp. v. SSW Holding Co., IPR2014-00367, Paper 62 (PTAB
May 26, 2015)16

Supercell Oy, v. Gree, Inc., IPR2020-00310, Paper 13 (PTAB June 18, 2020).....8

PATENT OWNER'S LIST OF EXHIBITS

EX. 2001	Declaration of Dr. V. Thomas Rhyne
EX. 2002	U.S. Patent No. 10,469,614
EX. 2003	U.S. Patent No. 10,491,712
EX. 2004	U.S. Patent No. 10,491,713
EX. 2005	U.S. Patent No. 11,050,852
EX. 2006	U.S. Patent No. 8,972,602
EX. 2007	Order (Dkt. 303) in the case of <i>Bright Data Ltd. f/k/a Luminati Networks Ltd. v. Teso LT, UAB a/k/a UAB Teso LT, et al.</i> , Case No. 2:19-cv-00395-JRG (E.D. Tex. Feb. 12, 2021)
EX. 2008	Patent Owner's Sur-Reply (Dkt. 47) in the case of <i>Bright Data Ltd. f/k/a Luminati Networks Ltd. v. Teso LT, UAB a/k/a UAB Teso LT, et al.</i> , Case No. 2:19-cv-00395-JRG (E.D. Tex. May 5, 2020)
EX. 2009	Patent Owner's Reply (Dkt. 145) in the case of <i>Bright Data Ltd. f/k/a Luminati Networks Ltd. v. Teso LT, UAB a/k/a UAB Teso LT, et al.</i> , Case No. 2:19-cv-00395-JRG (E.D. Tex. Oct. 20, 2020)

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.