

DOCKET NO.: 337722-000230

Filed on behalf of Apple Inc.

By: Larissa S. Bifano, Reg. No. 59,051

Jonathan Hicks, Reg. No. 75,195

Joseph Wolfe, Reg. No. 73,173

DLA Piper LLP (US)

33 Arch Street, 26th Floor

Boston, Massachusetts 02110-1447

Email: Larissa.Bifano@dlapiper.com

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

APPLE INC.,

Petitioner

v.

BILLJCO LLC,

Patent Owner

IPR2022-00131

**PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF
U.S. PATENT NO. 8,639,267
CHALLENGING CLAIMS 1, 5, 13, 20, 21, 29, 30, 34, 42, AND 49
UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 312 AND 37 C.F.R. § 42.104**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. INTRODUCTION1

II. MANDATORY NOTICES1

 A. Real Party-in-Interest1

 B. Related Matters.....1

 C. Counsel.....1

 D. Service Information, Email, Hand Delivery, and Postal.....2

III. CERTIFICATION OF GROUNDS FOR STANDING2

IV. OVERVIEW OF CHALLENGE AND RELIEF REQUESTED.....2

 A. Prior Art Patents and Printed Publications.....2

 B. Grounds for Challenge4

V. OVERVIEW OF THE '267 PATENT4

 A. Summary of the Alleged Invention4

 B. Level of Ordinary Skill in the Art.....5

 C. Prosecution History5

VI. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION7

VII. SPECIFIC GROUNDS FOR PETITION.....7

 A. Ground 1: Claims 1, 5, 13, 20, 21, 29, 30, 34, 42, and 49 are
 obvious over Haberman7

 1. Overview of Haberman.....7

 2. Claims 1 and 29 are obvious over Haberman.....9

 3. Claims 5 and 34 are obvious over Haberman.....27

 4. Claims 13 and 42 are obvious over Haberman.....29

 5. Claims 20 and 49 are obvious over Haberman.....30

 6. Claims 21 and 30 are obvious over Haberman.....32

 B. Ground 2: Claims 1, 5, 13, 20, 21, 29, 30, 34, 42, and 49 are
 obvious over Haberman and Boger.....33

1.	Overview of Boger.....	33
2.	Claims 1 and 29 are obvious over Haberman and Boger	33
3.	Claims 5 and 34 are obvious over Haberman and Boger	39
4.	Claims 13 and 42 are obvious over Haberman and Boger	39
5.	Claims 20 and 49 are obvious over Haberman and Boger	41
6.	Claims 21 and 30 are obvious over Haberman and Boger	42
C.	Ground 3: Claims 1, 5, 13, 20, 21, 29, 30, 34, 42, and 49 are obvious over Vanluijt	42
1.	Overview of Vanluijt	43
2.	Claims 1 and 29 are obvious over Vanluijt.....	44
3.	Claims 5 and 34 are obvious over Vanluijt.....	57
4.	Claims 13 and 42 are obvious over Vanluijt	58
5.	Claims 20 and 49 are obvious over Vanluijt	60
6.	Claims 21 and 30 are obvious over Vanluijt	63
VIII.	DISCRETIONARY DENIAL IS NOT APPROPRIATE	64
A.	<i>General Plastic</i> Denial is Inappropriate.....	64
B.	<i>Fintiv</i> Discretionary Denial is Inappropriate.....	65
1.	<i>Fintiv</i> Factor 1: Institution Will Enable a Stay	65
2.	<i>Fintiv</i> Factor 2: District Court Schedule.....	66
3.	<i>Fintiv</i> Factor 3: Parallel Proceeding Considerations	68
4.	<i>Fintiv</i> Factor 4: The Petition Raises Unique Issues.....	69
5.	<i>Fintiv</i> Factor 5: The Petition Will Enable Cancellation of Claims that Might Be Reasserted.....	69
6.	<i>Fintiv</i> Factor 6: Other Considerations Support Institution	70
IX.	CONCLUSION.....	70

I. INTRODUCTION

Apple Inc. (“Apple” or “Petitioner”) petitions for *inter partes* review of U.S. Patent No. 8,639,267 (“’267 patent”) (EX1001). The ’267 patent describes one or more mobile systems (“MS”) that are configured to receive whereabouts data, where, based on preferences set by the user, the whereabouts data may cause the MS to present information to a user. As shown below, the techniques described in the ’267 patent were known in the prior art.

II. MANDATORY NOTICES

A. Real Party-in-Interest

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(1), Petitioner certifies that Apple is the real party-in-interest, and further certifies that no other party exercised control or could exercise control over the filing of this petition or Apple’s participation in any proceeding instituted on this petition.

B. Related Matters

According to assignment records at the United States Patent and Trademark Office, the ’267 patent is currently owned by BillJCo LLC (“BillJCo”). The ’267 patent is asserted in the matter *BillJCo v. Apple Inc.*, 6:21-cv-00528 (WDTX).

C. Counsel

Lead Counsel: Larissa S. Bifano (Reg. No. 59,051)

Backup Counsel: Joseph Wolfe (Reg. No. 73,173)

Backup Counsel: Jonathan Hicks (Reg. No. 75,195)

D. Service Information, Email, Hand Delivery, and Postal

Apple consents to electronic service at DLA-Apple-BillJCoIPRs@dlapiper.com.

Petitioner can be reached at DLA Piper LLP (US), 33 Arch Street, 26th Floor, Boston, Massachusetts 02110, Phone: 617-406-6000, Fax: 617-406-6100.

III. CERTIFICATION OF GROUNDS FOR STANDING

Petitioner certifies pursuant to Rule 42.104(a) that the patent for which review is sought is available for *inter partes* review, and that Petitioner is not barred or estopped from requesting an *inter partes* review challenging the patent claims on the grounds identified in this Petition.

IV. OVERVIEW OF CHALLENGE AND RELIEF REQUESTED

Pursuant to Rules 42.22(a)(1) and 42.104(1)-(2), Petitioner challenges claims 1, 5, 13, 20, 21, 29, 30, 34, 42, and 49 of the '267 patent.

A. Prior Art Patents and Printed Publications

The '267 patent issued from U.S. Patent Application No. 12/287,064 ("064 application"), filed on October 3, 2008. The '064 application is a continuation-in-part of Application No. 12/077,041 ("041 application"), filed on March 14, 2008.

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.