

**UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE  
BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD**

---

APPLE INC.,  
Petitioner

v.

BILLJCO LLC,  
Patent Owner

CASE: IPR2022-00131  
U.S. PATENT NO. 8,639,267

---

**PATENT OWNER'S RESPONSE**  
**PURSUANT TO 35 U.S.C. §§ 316(a)(8)**

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION .....	1
II.	PETITIONER'S UNPATENTABILITY GROUNDS.....	1
III.	THE '267 PATENT .....	2
IV.	THE CITED PRIOR ART.....	4
V.	LEVEL OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART.....	6
VI.	CLAIM CONSTRUCTION .....	6
	A. "Privilege Data" and "Matching Privilege" and "Privileged Action" .....	9
	B. "Destination Identity" .....	15
VII.	PETITIONER'S CITED PRIOR ART IS MERELY CUMULATIVE OR LESS RELEVANT THAN DURING THAT CONSIDERED PROSECUTION OF THE '267 PATENT .....	17
VIII.	HABERMAN, ALONE OR IN COMBINATION WITH BOGER, FAILS TO RENDER THE CHALLENGED CLAIMS UNPATENTABLE AS OBVIOUS .....	19
	A. Haberman Fails To Disclose Or Make Obvious The Claimed "Privilege Data" or "Matching Privilege" .....	19
	B. Haberman Fails To Disclose Or Make Obvious The Claimed "Destination Identity" .....	24
	C. Combining Haberman With Boger Does Not Render The Challenged Claims Obvious.....	25
IX.	VANLUIJT FAILS TO RENDER THE CHALLENGED CLAIMS UNPATENTABLE AS OBVIOUS.....	25
	A. Vanlujt Fails To Disclose Or Make Obvious The Claimed "Privilege Data" or "Matching Privilege" or "Privileged Action" .....	26

B.	Vanlujt Fails To Disclose Or Make Obvious The Claimed "Destination Identity" .....	29
X.	OBJECTIVE INDICIA OF NON-OBVIOUSNESS DEMONSTRATES THE PATENTABILITY OF THE CHALLENGED CLAIMS .....	30
A.	Copying .....	31
1.	Petitioner's Access to the '267 Patented Technology.....	31
2.	Petitioner's Devices Embody The Challenged Claims .....	33
B.	Commercial Success.....	36
C.	Licensing .....	37
D.	The Nexus Between The Challenged Claims And The Objective Evidence of Non-Obviousness .....	38
XI.	CONCLUSION.....	40

## TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

### Cases:

AbbVie Deutschland GmbH v. Janssen Biotech, Inc., 759 F.3d 1285 (Fed. Cir. 2014) .....	17
Advanced Bionics, LLC v. Med-El Electromedizinische Gerate GmbH, IPR2019-01469, Paper 6 at 7 (Feb. 13, 2020) .....	18
Becton, Dickinson & Co. v. B. Braun Melsungen AG, IPR2017-01586, Paper 8 at 17 (Dec. 15, 2017) .....	18
Fox Factory, Inc. v. SRAM, LLC, 944 F.3d 1366 (Fed. Cir. 2019) .....	38
Graham v. John Deere Co. of Kan. City, 383 U.S. 1, 86 S.Ct. 684 (1966) .....	21
In re Gartside, 203 F.3d 1305 (Fed. Cir. 2000) .....	21
In re Warsaw Orthopedic, Inc., 832 F.3d 1327 (Fed. Cir. 2016) .....	21
Institut Pasteur & Universite Pierre Et Marie Curie v. Focarino, 738 F.3d 1337 (Fed. Cir. 2013) .....	37
J.T. Eaton & Co. v. Atl. Paste & Glue Co., 106 F.3d 1563 (Fed. Cir. 1997) .....	39
Lectrosonics, Inc. v. Zaxcom, Inc., IPR2018-01129, Paper 33 at 33 (PTAB Jan. 24, 2020) .....	38
Liqwd, Inc. v. L'Oreal USA, Inc., 941 F.3d 1133 (Fed. Cir. 2019) .....	31
Microsoft Corp. v. i4i Ltd. Partnership, 564 U.S. 91 (2011).....	17

Panduit Corp. v. Dennison Mfg. Co., 774 F.2d 1082 (Fed. Cir. 1985) .....	31
Phillips v. AWH Corp., 415 F.3d 1303 (Fed. Cir. 2005) (en banc) .....	7, 8
Thorner v. Sony Computer Entm't Am. LLC, 669 F.3d 1362 (Fed. Cir. 2012) .....	7
Transocean Offshore Deepwater Drilling Inc. v. Maersk Drilling USA, Inc., 699 F.3d 1340 (Fed. Cir. 2012) .....	30
Underwater Devices Inc. v. Morrison-Knudsen Co., 717 F.2d 1380 (Fed. Cir. 1983) .....	17
United States v. Adams, 383 U.S. 39, 86 S.Ct. 708 (1966) .....	21
WBIP, LLC v. Kohler Co., 829 F.3d 1317 (Fed. Cir. 2016) .....	30, 31, 36, 39
 <u>Other Authority:</u>	
35 U.S.C. § 103 .....	21
35 U.S.C. § 325(d) .....	17
37 C.F.R. § 42.100(b) .....	6

All **emphasis** supplied unless otherwise noted.

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.