

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

PERFECT CORP.,

Petitioner,

v.

LENNON IMAGE TECHNOLOGIES, LLC,

Patent Owner.

---

PTAB Case No. IPR2021-00124

Patent No. 6,624,843

---

**DECLARATION OF ELI SABER, PH.D. IN SUPPORT OF PETITION FOR**

***INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF U.S. PATENT NO. 6,624,843**

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
<b>I. INTRODUCTION AND SUMMARY OF TESTIMONY .....</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>A. Qualifications .....</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>B. Materials reviewed .....</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>C. Level of ordinary skill in the art .....</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>D. Identification of Prior Art .....</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>E. Summary of opinions .....</b>	<b>8</b>
<b>II. OVERVIEW OF THE TECHNOLOGY .....</b>	<b>8</b>
<b>A. The '843 patent .....</b>	<b>8</b>
<b>B. The '843 Priority Date .....</b>	<b>11</b>
<b>C. The '669 <i>Ex Parte</i> Reexamination .....</b>	<b>11</b>
<b>D. Prior IPR Petitions .....</b>	<b>11</b>
<b>E. Claim Construction .....</b>	<b>12</b>
<b>III. UNPATENTABILITY OF THE '843 PATENT CLAIMS .....</b>	<b>13</b>
<b>A. Standards for Invalidity.....</b>	<b>13</b>
<b>1. Anticipation .....</b>	<b>13</b>
<b>2. Obviousness .....</b>	<b>14</b>
<b>B. Ground 1: Bechara and Goldberg Render Obvious Claims         5, 6, 8, 9, 12, 18, 22, 23, 25, 27, 28, 30 .....</b>	<b>16</b>
<b>1. Bechara (WO 97/29441) .....</b>	<b>16</b>
<b>2. Goldberg (WO 98/10358) .....</b>	<b>18</b>
<b>3. Motivation to Combine.....</b>	<b>22</b>

4.	<b>Independent Claim 1 and Associated Dependent Claims.....</b>	<b>26</b>
5.	<b>Independent Claim 14 and Associated Dependent Claims.....</b>	<b>50</b>
C.	<b>Ground 1A: Bechara, Goldberg, and Lin Render Obvious Claims 18, 20, 24, 27, and 29. ....</b>	<b>59</b>
1.	<b>Lin (US 6,108,437).....</b>	<b>59</b>
2.	<b>Motivation to Combine.....</b>	<b>60</b>
3.	<b>Claim 18: “The method of claim 17, wherein the step of determining further comprises comparing biometric information of the person with the customer image.” .....</b>	<b>62</b>
4.	<b>Claim 20: “The method of claim 14, wherein the step of displaying further comprises: detecting a presence of a person near a display; determining that the person does not correspond of the customer image; and informing the person about a subsequent ac[t]ion in response to the step of determining.” .....</b>	<b>62</b>
5.	<b>Claim 24: “The apparatus of claim 1, wherein the image capture system repeatedly captures images until the controller determines that a valid image has been obtained for use with a composite image.” .....</b>	<b>64</b>
6.	<b>Claim 27: “The method of claim 14, wherein the step of capturing the customer image comprises: detecting presence of the customer; capturing the customer image in response to detecting presence of the customer, wherein the step of detecting presence of a customer further comprises detecting proximity of a customer.” .....</b>	<b>65</b>
7.	<b>Claim 29: “The method of claim 14, wherein the step of capturing the customer image comprises repeatedly capturing customer images until determining that a</b>	

	valid image has been obtained for use with a composite image.” .....	66
<b>D.</b>	<b>Ground 1B: Bechara and Nobuyoshi Render Obvious Claims 21 and 26.....</b>	<b>66</b>
1.	Nobuyoshi (JPH07282227A) .....	66
2.	Claim 21: “The apparatus of claim 1, further comprising: a trigger device, coupled to the controller, that detects a presence of the customer and activates the image capture system in response to detecting presence of the customer, wherein the trigger device detects the presence of the customer by identifying one or more facial features.” .....	67
3.	Claim 26: “The method of claim 14, wherein the step of capturing the customer image comprises: detecting presence of the customer; capturing the customer image in response to detecting presence of the customer, wherein the step of detecting presence of the customer further comprises identifying one or more customer facial features.” .....	69
<b>E.</b>	<b>Ground 2: MasqueArray Renders Obvious Claims 8, 18, 21-23, 26-28.....</b>	<b>70</b>
1.	MasqueArray.....	70
2.	MasqueArray is Prior Art.....	70
3.	Independent Claim 1 and Associated Dependent Claims.....	72
4.	Independent Claim 14 and Associated Dependent Claims.....	84
<b>IV.</b>	<b>CONCLUSION .....</b>	<b>87</b>

## I. INTRODUCTION AND SUMMARY OF TESTIMONY

1. I, Eli Saber, have been retained by Petitioner Perfect Corp.

(“Petitioner”) to investigate and opine on certain issues relating to United States Patent No. 6,624,843 (“the ’843 patent”) in Perfect’s Petition for *Inter Partes*

5 Review of that patent. The Petition requests that the Patent Trial and Appeal Board (“PTAB” or “Board”) review and cancel claims 5, 6, 8, 9, 12, 18, and 20-30 of the ’843 patent.

2. I am being compensated for my work on this matter by Petitioner for consulting services including time spent testifying at any hearing that may be held.

10 I am also reimbursed for reasonable and customary expenses associated with my work in this case. I receive no other forms of compensation related to this case. My compensation does not depend on the outcome of this *inter partes* review and I have no other financial interest in this *inter partes* review.

3. This declaration is based on the information currently available to me.

15 To the extent that additional information becomes available, I reserve the right to continue my investigation and study, which may include a review of documents and information that may be produced, as well as testimony from depositions that have not yet been taken.

### A. Qualifications

20 4. I have summarized in this section my educational background, career

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.