

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

APPLE INC.,

Petitioner,

v.

SCRAMOGE TECHNOLOGY LTD.,

Patent Owner.

IPR 2022-00120
Patent 9,997,962 B2

Record of Oral Hearing
Held: February 2, 2023

Before, JAMESON LEE, KARL D. EASTHOM, and AARON W. MOORE,
Administrative Patent Judges.

IPR2022-00120
Patent 9,997,962 B2

APPEARANCES:

ON BEHALF OF THE PETITIONER:

SCOTT T. JARRATT, ESQUIRE
Haynes & Boone, LLP
2323 Victory Avenue
Suite 700
Dallas, TX 75219

ON BEHALF OF PATENT OWNER:

BRETT COOPER, ESQUIRE
JOHN PETRSORIC, ESQUIRE
BC Law Group
1803 South Kanner Highway
Stuart, FL 34994

The above-entitled matter came on for hearing, by video, on Thursday, February 2, 2023, commencing at 10:00 a.m., EDT, at the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, before Julie Souza, Notary Public.

P R O C E E D I N G S

1 - - - - -

2 JUDGE EASTHOM: Good morning. This is Judge Easthom at the
3 Patent & Trademark Office. We have also Judges Moore and Lee. This
4 case is *Apple, Inc., v. Scamoge Technology Ltd.*, IPR 2022-00120 and the
5 patent challenges 9,997,962 B2.

6 Just a couple of preliminaries before we get started. First of all,
7 thanks for your flexibility in conducting this video hearing. Our primary
8 concern is that you have a right to be heard so if at any time you have any
9 technical difficulties, please let us know immediately. You can contact the
10 Board at the 571-272-9797 number or 571-272-6666. Second, please mute
11 yourself if you're not speaking. Third, just identify yourself at the beginning
12 of your presentation and then fourth, finally we have all the slides. You can
13 show us the slides if you want and we can follow along or you don't have to
14 show them to us. We have them all and we recognize Patent Owner
15 submitted some revised slides, we have those.

16 So with that, welcome everyone and can we just have appearances
17 from both counsel, please? Why don't we start with Petitioner.

18 MR. JARRATT: Good morning, Your Honors. This is Scott Jarratt
19 for Petitioner Apple and also joining is Calmann Clements, also representing
20 Petitioner Apple.

21 JUDGE EASTHOM: Welcome Mr. Clements and Jarratt. Okay.
22 And then what about Patent Owner?

23 MR. COOPER: Good morning, Your Honors, co-counsel and
24 opposing counsel. My name is Brett Cooper. It's a pleasure to be here on

1 behalf of the respondent, Patent Owner Scramoge Technology Ltd., and my
2 partner John Petrsoric is on the line as well. He's going to be presenting for
3 us.

4 JUDGE EASTHOM: Okay. Great. Welcome, Mr. Petrsoric and
5 good morning, Mr. Cooper. So why don't we start then. We'll just get
6 started here. We have Petitioner, you'll have 60 minutes to present your
7 case in chief followed by Patent Owner responding to that and then if you
8 reserve any rebuttal time you'll go on with that and then Patent Owner can
9 provide a surrebuttal if they reserve time. So, Petitioner I guess, Mr. Jarratt,
10 would you like to reserve any rebuttal time?

11 MR. JERRATT: Yes, Your Honor. Fifteen minutes, please.

12 JUDGE EASTHOM: Okay. So, all right. With that, why don't we
13 get started.

14 MR. PETRSORIC: Before we proceed, Your Honor, can I make one
15 -- address one preliminary point?

16 JUDGE EASTHOM: Hang on one second. Let me get my clock.
17 Sure, go ahead.

18 MR. PETRSORIC: We have maintained our objections with respect
19 to the new evidence raised in reply. I don't wish to interrupt Mr. Jarratt
20 during his presentation but that we still maintain the objection.

21 JUDGE EASTHOM: Okay. We have that noted. That's on the
22 record and it's in your surreply; right?

23 MR. PETRSORIC: Correct.

24 JUDGE EASTHOM: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Petrsoric. Yes we
25 appreciate it. You could just raise that in your argument if you want also

1 again. But okay, so why don't we get started. Again, Mr. Jarratt, please
2 proceed. I'll start -- give me one second to start this clock again. Okay.
3 Please proceed.

4 MR. JARRATT: All right. Let's begin on slide 2, Your
5 Honors. So, the '962 patent is all about wireless charging and it is focused
6 on the receiving step such as a wireless powered receiver in a (audio
7 interference) and on slide 2 you can see figure 5 of the '962 patent. It
8 illustrates the various components of a wireless powered receiver that's
9 recited in the claims. You have a receiving coil in blue that's embedded in a
10 soft magnetic layer shown in green and additionally there is a double-sided
11 adhesive layer between the coil and the magnetic layer and the only
12 remaining issue with respect to independent claims is whether it was obvious
13 to use this double-sided adhesive layer in this manner and as the record
14 shows that not only was it obvious, but it was routine. The adhesive was
15 actually sticking receiving coils to magnetic layers using double-sided tape
16 before the '962 patent and that's what makes this case a little bit different
17 from most obviousness cases because no one needed to wrestle with the
18 hypothetical of whether a POSITA would or would not use double-sided
19 tape in this way. We know they did.

20 Turning to slide 3. Ground 1 challenges claims 1, 18 and 19 over
21 Suzuki and Lee and claims 1 and 18 are the independent claims.

22 Moving to slide 4. So this is claim 1 of the '962 patent and it's
23 representative of claim 18 as well and as I mentioned the only disputed
24 limitation in claim 1 is shown here and highlighted. It recites a multi-layer
25 adhesive layer between the coil and the magnetic layer and the adhesive

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.