

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
WACO DIVISION**

SCRAMOGE TECHNOLOGY LTD.,

Plaintiff,

v.

SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD.,
AND SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS
AMERICA, INC.,

Defendants.

§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§

Civil Action No. 6:21-cv-00454-ADA

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

SCRAMOGE TECHNOLOGY LTD.,

Plaintiff,

v.

APPLE INC.,

Defendant.

§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§

Civil Action No. 6:21-cv-00579-ADA

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

SCRAMOGE TECHNOLOGY LTD.,

Plaintiff,

v.

GOOGLE LLC,

Defendant.

§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§

Civil Action No. 6:21-cv-00616-ADA

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

DEFENDANTS' JOINT REPLY CLAIM CONSTRUCTION BRIEF

TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION 1

I. DISPUTED TERMS 1

 1. [“arranged/provided] on” 1

 ’215 Patent, Claims 1, 9, 13 and 19 1

 ’370 Patent, Claims 1 and 9 1

 2. “a [first/second] surface of the plurality of soft magnetic layers” 4

 ’215 Patent, Claims 1 and 13 4

 3. “the [first/second] polymeric material layer includes a [first/second] extending portion extending longer than the plurality of soft magnetic layer 7

 / the [first/second] polymeric material layer comprises a [first/second] extending portion extending longer than the plurality of soft magnetic layers” ’215 Patent, Claims 1 and 13 7

 4. “a [first/second] magnetic sheet” 12

 ’962 Patent, Claims 1, 7, 9, and 18 12

 5. “wherein a height of a highest position of the second magnetic sheet from the substrate is higher than a height of a lowest position of the receiving coil from the substrate” 14

 ’962 Patent, Claims 1 and 18 14

 6. “a second polymeric film provided on the plurality of soft magnetic layers” 17

 ’370 Patent, Claim 1 17

 7. “plurality of soft magnetic layers provided on the first adhesive layer” ’370 Patent, Claim 1 17

 8. “the [first/second] polymer film includes a [first/second] extending portion that extends further than the plurality of soft magnetic layers” ’370 Patent, Claim 1 17

 9. “a [first/second] extending adhesive portion that extends further outward than the side portion of the plurality of soft magnetic layers, and a portion of the [first/second] extending adhesive portion is provided in the connected area” ’370 Patent, Claims 12 and 15 18

10. “a predetermined intensity” ’941 Patent, Claim 1 19

11. “receiving space” ’740 Patent, Claims 1-3, 6, 7, and 8 (Google), Claims 6, 7, and 16 (Apple)..... 19

CONCLUSION..... 20

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
Cases	
<i>Acacia Media Techs. Corp. v. New Destiny Internet Grp.</i> , 405 F. Supp. 2d 1127 (N.D. Cal. 2005)	14
<i>Actavis Lab'ys UT, Inc. v. UCB, Inc.</i> , No. 2:15-cv-1001-JRG-RSP, 2016 WL 3678987 (E.D. Tex. July 11, 2016)	11
<i>Advanced Steel Recovery, LLC v. X-Body Equip., Inc.</i> , 808 F.3d 1313 (Fed. Cir. 2015)	2
<i>Allen Eng'g Corp. v. Bartell Indus., Inc.</i> , 299 F.3d 1336 (Fed. Cir. 2002)	13
<i>Altair Eng'g, Inc. v. LEDdynamics, Inc.</i> , 413 F. App'x 251, 254 (Fed. Cir. 2011)	2
<i>Baldwin Graphic Sys., Inc. v. Siebert, Inc.</i> , 512 F.3d 1338 (Fed. Cir. 2008)	5
<i>Becton, Dickinson & Co. v. Tyco Healthcare Grp., LP</i> , 616 F.3d 1249 (Fed. Cir. 2010)	21
<i>Bicon, Inc. v. Straumann Co.</i> , 441 F.3d 945 (Fed. Cir. 2006)	22
<i>CAE Screenplates Inc. v. Heinrich Fiedler GmbH & Co. KG</i> , 224 F.3d 1308 (Fed. Cir. 2000)	20
<i>Chi. Bd. Options Exch., Inc. v. Int'l Sec. Exch., LLC</i> , 677 F.3d 1361 (Fed. Cir. 2012)	20, 21
<i>CloudfChange, LLC v. NCR Corp.</i> , No. 6-19-CV-00513-ADA, 2020 WL 4004810 (W.D. Tex. July 15, 2020).....	9
<i>Cohesive Tech., Inc. v. Waters Corp.</i> , 543 F.3d 1351 (Fed. Cir. 2008)	22
<i>Curtiss-Wright Flow Control Corp. v. Velan, Inc.</i> , 438 F.3d 1374 (Fed. Cir. 2006)	3
<i>Daiichi Sankyo Co., Ltd. v. Apotex, Inc.</i> , 501 F.3d 1254 (Fed. Cir. 2007)	12

Enzo Biochem, Inc. v. Applera Corp.,
599 F.3d 1325 (Fed. Cir. 2010) 10

In re Collier,
397 F.2d 1003 (C.C.P.A. 1968) 14

In re Fought,
941 F.3d 1175, 131 U.S.P.Q.2d 422062 (Fed. Cir. 2019) 11

Luv N' Care, Ltd. v. Koninklijke Philips Elecs. N.V.,
No. 2:11-CV-512-RSP, 2013 WL 3471269 (E.D. Tex. July 9, 2013),
aff'd sub nom. Luv N' Care Ltd. v. Philips Elecs. N. Am. Corp., 587 F. App'x 657 (Fed. Cir.
2014); *DSW, Inc. v. Shoe Pavilion, Inc.*, 537 F.3d 1342, 1347 (Fed. Cir. 2008) 9

Nautilus, Inc. v. Biosig Instruments, Inc.,
572 U.S. 898 (2014) 14

Negotiated Data Sols., LLC v. Dell, Inc.,
596 F. Supp. 2d 949 (E.D. Tex. 2009) 2

O2 Micro Int'l Ltd. v. Beyond Innovation Tech. Co.,
521 F.3d 1351 (Fed. Cir. 2008) 7, 8, 9

Pisony v. Commando Constr., Inc.,
No. W-17-CV-00055-ADA, 2019 WL 928406 (W.D. Tex. Jan. 23, 2019) 22

SightSound Technologies, LLC v. Apple Inc.,
809 F.3d 1307 (Fed. Cir. 2015) 20

Sonix Tech. Co. v. Pub'ns Int'l, Ltd.,
844 F.3d 1370 (Fed. Cir. 2017) 10

Synopsys, Inc. v. Mentor Graphics Corp.,
814 F.3d 1309 (Fed. Cir. 2016),
overruled on other grounds by Aqua Prods., Inc. v. Matal, 872 F.3d 1290 (Fed. Cir. 2017) .. 14

Tandon Corp. v. Int'l Trade Comm'n,
831 F.2d 1017 (Fed. Cir. 1987) 2

Teva Pharms. USA, Inc. v. Sandoz, Inc.,
789 F.3d 1335 (Fed. Cir. 2015) 10

Twist, Inc. v. B GSE Group, LLC,
No. 3:19-cv-00583-MOC-DSC, 2021 WL 2210892 (W.D.N.C. June 1, 2021) 11

Whirlpool Corp. v. Ozcan,
Nos. 2:15-cv-2103-JRG, 2016 WL 7474517 (E.D. Tex. Dec. 29, 2016) 11

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.