

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

APPLE INC.,
Petitioner,

v.

SCRAMOGE TECHNOLOGY LTD.,
Patent Owner.

IPR2022-00118
U.S. Patent No. 10,804,740

**PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW
UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 312 AND 37 C.F.R. § 42.104**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PETITIONER’S EXHIBIT LIST	4
I. INTRODUCTION	5
II. GROUNDS FOR STANDING.....	5
III. NOTE.....	5
IV. SUMMARY OF THE ’740 PATENT	6
V. PROSECUTION HISTORY	8
VI. LEVEL OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART	9
VII. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION	10
VIII. RELIEF REQUESTED AND THE REASONS FOR THE REQUESTED RELIEF	10
IX. DISCRETIONARY DENIAL WOULD BE INAPPROPRIATE.....	11
A. Discretionary denial under the <i>Fintiv</i> factors is not appropriate.....	11
1. No evidence regarding a stay	11
2. Parallel proceeding trial date	11
3. Investment in the parallel proceeding.....	12
4. Overlapping issues with the parallel proceeding	13
5. Petitioner is a defendant.....	13
6. Other circumstances.....	13
B. The <i>Fintiv</i> Framework Should Be Overturned.....	13
C. Discretionary denial under <i>General Plastic</i> is not appropriate.....	14

D.	Discretionary denial under 35 U.S.C. § 325(d) is not appropriate	14
X.	IDENTIFICATION OF HOW THE CLAIMS ARE UNPATENTABLE....	15
A.	Challenged Claims	15
B.	Statutory Grounds for Challenges.....	15
C.	Ground 1: Claims 6, 7, 16, 17, 19, and 20 are obvious under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) over Hasegawa	15
1.	Summary of Hasegawa	15
2.	Claim 6.....	20
3.	Claim 7.....	37
4.	Claim 16.....	38
5.	Claim 17.....	42
6.	Claim 19.....	42
7.	Claim 20.....	45
XI.	CONCLUSION.....	46
XII.	MANDATORY NOTICES	47
A.	Real Party-in-Interest	47
B.	Related Matters.....	47
C.	Lead and Back-up Counsel and Service Information	47
	CERTIFICATE OF WORD COUNT.....	49
	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE	50

PETITIONER'S EXHIBIT LIST

Ex.1001	U.S. 10,804,740
Ex.1002	Prosecution History of U.S. 10,804,740
Ex.1003	Declaration of Dr. Joshua Phinney under 37 C.F.R. § 1.68
Ex.1004	<i>Curriculum Vitae</i> of Dr. Joshua Phinney
Ex.1005	U.S. Patent Application Publication 2009/0021212 (Hasegawa)
Ex.1006	U.S. Patent Application Publication 2007/0069961
Ex.1007	U.S. Patent Application Publication 2014/0306656 A1 Tabata et al
Ex.1008	U.S. Patent 8,384,263 B2 to Hiramatsu et al
Ex.1009	Reserved
Ex.1010	Reserved
Ex.1011	Reserved
Ex.1012	Reserved
Ex.1013	Reserved
Ex.1014	Reserved
Ex.1015	Scheduling Order, <i>Scramoge Technology Limited v. Apple Inc.</i> , WDTX-6-21-cv-00579 (filed Sept. 28, 2021)
Ex.1016	Plaintiff's Preliminary Disclosure of Asserted Claims and Infringement Contentions to Apple Inc., <i>Scramoge Technology Limited v. Apple Inc.</i> , WDTX-6-21-cv-00579 (served Sept. 7, 2021)

I. INTRODUCTION

U.S. Patent No. 10,804,740 (the “’740 patent,” Ex.1001) is generally directed to wireless power reception via electromagnetic induction, a concept long known and applied in consumer devices. The claimed “wireless power receiver” simply recites an obvious arrangement of the components commonly found in these devices. For example, portable telephones already included wireless power receivers with coils, adhesive layers, connection terminals, and connecting units—all arranged as claimed—as illustrated in this petition.

Accordingly, pursuant to 35 U.S.C. §§ 311, 314(a), and 37 C.F.R. § 42.100, Apple Inc. (“Petitioner”) respectfully requests that the Board review and cancel as unpatentable under (pre-AIA) 35 U.S.C. §103(a) claims 6, 7, 16, 17, 19, and 20 (hereinafter, the “Challenged Claims”) of the ’740 patent.

II. GROUNDS FOR STANDING

Petitioner certifies that the ’740 patent is eligible for IPR and that Petitioner is not barred or estopped from requesting IPR challenging the patent claims. 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(a).

III. NOTE

Petitioner cites to exhibits’ original page numbers. **Emphasis** in quoted material has been added. Claim terms are presented in *italics*.

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.