### UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

\_\_\_\_\_

#### BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

APPLE INC., Petitioner

v.
SCRAMOGE TECHNOLOGY, LTD.,
Patent Owner

IPR2022-00117 U.S. Patent No. 9,843,215

PETITIONER'S REPLY
TO PATENT OWNER'S RESPONSE



## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

| PET  | ITION  | ER'S   | EXHIBIT LIST   | iv |
|------|--|--|--|----|
| I.   | INTI   | RODU   | ICTION   | 1  |
| II.  | THE PETITION ESTABLISHES THAT SAWA TEACHES SOFT MAGNETIC LAYERS AS CLAIMED |  |  |    |
|      | A.   | The Evidence in the Record Establishes that Sawa's First Magnetic Thin Plate is a "Soft Magnetic Layer"                        |  |    |
|      |  | 1.   | The Petition and Dr. Phinney's declaration present a <i>prima facie</i> showing that Sawa's first magnetic thin plate is a soft magnetic layer | 2  |
|      |  | 2.   | The overwhelming evidence shows that a POSITA would have considered Sawa's first magnetic thin plate materials to be soft magnetic materials   | 3  |
|      | В.   | Patent Owner's Arguments Fail Because They Apply the Wrong Standard in Concluding Sawa's First Magnetic Plate is a Hard Magnet |  | 5  |
|      |  | 1.   | Coercivity is the industry standard metric for designating a material as magnetically hard or soft   | 5  |
|      |  | 2.   | Patent Owner's position is based on Sawa's magnetic plate being hard to saturate rather than its coercivity                                    | 6  |
|      |  | 3.   | Patent Owner is wrong that Sawa's "processing steps" turns Sawa's soft magnetic thin plate into hard magnetic material                         | 9  |
|      |  | 4.   | Sawa's device would be unsuited for its purpose if it used a hard magnetic material  | 13 |
| III. | CLA  | IMED   | TION ESTABLISHES THAT SAWA TEACHES THE  O "A FIRST POLMERIC MATERIAL LAYER" AND "A  POLYMERIC MATERIAL LAYER"                                  | 16 |



|      | A.     | The Petition Identifies Two Distinct Portions of Sawa's Resin Film as Corresponding to the Claimed Polymeric Material Layers.                                 | 17 |
|------|--------|---|----|
|      | B.     | Patent Owner's "Separate and Distinct" Construction is Internally Inconsistent  | 19 |
|      | C.     | Patent Owner's "Separate and Distinct" Construction Alternatively Implies a Product-by-Process Claim that is Taught by Sawa.                                  | 20 |
| IV.  | FOU    | PETITION ESTABLISHES THAT A POSITA WOULD HAVE<br>ND IT OBVIOUS TO COMBINE SAWA AND INOUE<br>DUND 2)   | 23 |
|      | A.     | Sawa's Lack of Adhesive Between Magnetic Plates is Irrelevant to Whether it Would Have Been Obvious to Use Adhesive to Secure Polymer to the Magnetic Plates. | 24 |
|      | В.     | A POSITA Would Have Looked to Inoue for Known Implementation Techniques for Securing Polymer to a Magnetic Sheet.   | 24 |
|      | C.     | Including an Adhesive Layer to Secure the Resin Film to the Magnetic Sheet is Consistent with Sawa's Design Tolerances  | 26 |
| V.   | CON    | CLUSION   | 28 |
| CERT | ΓIFICA | ATE OF WORD COUNT   | 29 |
| CERT | ΓIFICA | ATE OF SERVICE  | 30 |



## **PETITIONER'S EXHIBIT LIST**

| Ex.1001 | U.S. Patent No. 9,843,215  |
|---------|--|
| Ex.1002 | Prosecution History of U.S. 9,843,215  |
| Ex.1003 | Declaration of Joshua Phinney under 37 C.F.R. § 1.68   |
| Ex.1004 | Curriculum Vitae of Joshua Phinney   |
| Ex.1005 | U.S. Patent No. 9,443,648 to Sawa et al. ("Sawa")  |
| Ex.1006 | U.S. Patent No. 8,922,162 to Park et al. ("Park")  |
| Ex.1007 | U.S. Patent No. 8,922,160 to Inoue ("Inoue")   |
| Ex.1008 | U.S. Patent No. 9,030,724 to Agrawal et al. ("Agrawal")  |
| Ex.1009 | U.S. Patent Publication No. 2012/0236528 to Le et al. ("Le")   |
| Ex.1010 | U.S. Patent Publication No. 2014/0320369 to Azenui et al. ("Azenui")   |
| Ex.1011 | U.S. Patent No. 9,252,611 to Lee et al. ("Lee")  |
| Ex.1012 | U.S. Patent No. 8,427,100 to Vorenkamp et al. ("Vorenkamp")  |
| Ex.1013 | U.S. Patent No. 8,687,536 to Michaelis ("Michaelis")   |
| Ex.1014 | U.S. Patent No. 9,627,646 to Ellinger et al. ("Ellinger")  |
| Ex.1015 | Scheduling Order, <i>Scramoge Tech. Ltd. v. Apple Inc.</i> , WDTX-6-21-cv-00579 (filed Sept. 28, 2021)   |
| Ex.1016 | Plaintiff's Preliminary Disclosure of Asserted Claims and Infringement Contentions to Apple Inc., <i>Scramoge Tech. Ltd. v. Apple Inc.</i> , WDTX-6-21-cv-00579 (served Sept. 7, 2021) |
| Ex.1017 | Deposition Transcript of Dr. David Ricketts (Oct. 6, 2022)   |
| Ex.1018 | Supplemental Declaration of Joshua Phinney under 37 C.F.R. § 1.68  |



| Γ       |   |
|---------|---|
| Ex.1019 | B.D. Cullity, Introduction to Magnetic Materials, 2nd Edition (2009)  |
| Ex.1020 | U.S. Patent No. 10,344,391 to Liu et al. ("Liu")  |
| Ex.1021 | Xing Xing, Soft Magnetic Materials and Devices on Energy<br>Applications, July 2011 doctoral thesis at Northeastern<br>University   |
| Ex.1022 | S. Tumanski, Magnetic Materials from: Handbook of Magnetic Measurements, CRC Press  |
| Ex.1023 | Sun, Soft High Saturation Magnetization (Fe <sub>0.7</sub> Co <sub>0.3</sub> ) <sub>1-x</sub> N <sub>x</sub> Thin Films For Inductive Write Heads   |
| Ex.1024 | Leary, Soft Magnetic Materials in High-Frequency, High-Power Conversion Applications  |
| Ex.1025 | The Merriam-Webster Dictionary, Merriam-Webster, Inc., 1995.  |
| Ex.1026 | The Wayback Machine, capture of "Separate   Define Separate at Dictionary.com" on February 7, 2012, https://web.archive.org/web/20120207103735/http://dictionary.reference.com:80/browse/separate |
| Ex.1027 | U.S. Patent No. 8,409,341 to Iftime et al. ("Iftime")   |
| Ex.1028 | Wiley Online Record for Cullity (Ex.1019)   |
| Ex.1029 | Northeastern Library Link   |
| Ex.1030 | Xing Xing, High Bandwidth Low Insertion Loss Solenoid<br>Transformers Using FeCoB Multilayer (p.19)   |
| Ex.1031 | Online Print Publication Record for Ex.1022   |
| Ex.1032 | Magnetic Nanoparticles: From Fabrication to Clinical Applications" (pg. 41) which was published in 2012 by CRC Press, ISBN 978-1-4398-6933-8.   |
| Ex.1033 | U.S. Patent No. 7,968,219 to Jiang et al. ("Jiang")   |
|         |   |



# DOCKET

# Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

#### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### **LAW FIRMS**

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### **FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

### **E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS**

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

